

# Corrigendum: Self-dual instantons and holomorphic curves

By STAMATIS DOSTOGLU and DIETMAR A. SALAMON

## Abstract

We correct two mistakes in [1]. The first concerns the exponential decay in the proof of Theorem 7.4 and the second concerns the bubbling argument in the proof of Theorem 9.1.

### 1. Exponential decay

For Theorem 7.1. Replace the hypothesis  $\|B_t\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq c_0$  on p. 615 by the weaker assumption

$$(1) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in \Omega} \|B_t(s,t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \sup_{(s,t) \in \Omega} \|C(s,t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c_0.$$

All the estimates in the proof of Theorem 7.1 continue to hold under this assumption. To see this, use the inclusion  $W^{1,2}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow L^4(\Sigma)$  to obtain inequalities of the form

$$\|B_t\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \|C\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c\sqrt{u_0 v_0}, \quad \|B_t\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2 \leq v_0 + cu_0,$$

where  $u_0, v_0$  are as in the proof of Theorem 7.1.

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and  $K \subset \Omega$  be a compact subset. Then for every constant  $c_0 > 0$ , there exist constants  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that the following holds. If  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and  $\Xi = A + \Phi ds + \Psi dt$  is a connection on  $\Omega \times \Sigma$  that satisfies*

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t A - d_A \Psi + *_s(\partial_s A - d_A \Phi - X_s(A)) &= 0, \\ \partial_t \Phi - \partial_s \Psi - [\Phi, \Psi] + \varepsilon^{-2} * F_A &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and (1) holds, then

$$\|B_t\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c \left( \|B_t\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \right).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.1 (in the above strengthened form), the connection  $\Xi$  satisfies (7.4) in [1, p. 615]. The assertion follows by taking  $p = \infty$  and using [1, Lemma 7.6] with  $p = 4$ .  $\square$

For Lemma 7.5. On page 620 replace the inequality (7.7) by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\alpha\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 \\ & \leq c \left( \|\ast_s \nabla_s \alpha - \ast_s dX_s(A)\alpha - \ast_s d_A \phi - d_A \psi\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \psi - \varepsilon^{-2} d_A \alpha\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \ast_s \phi + \varepsilon^{-2} d_A \ast_s \alpha\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

On page 621 replace the last two sentences in the proof of Lemma 7.5 by the following text.

Hence it follows from Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.4 in [10] that there exist constants  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $c > 0$  such that the estimate (7.7) holds with  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and  $A + \Phi ds$  replaced by  $A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds$  where  $\nu \geq \nu_0$  (here the estimate for  $\alpha$  follows from Lemma 7.4 and the estimates for  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  from Lemma 7.3). With  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\nu$  and  $\nu > c$  this contradicts our assumption.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 7.4.* The last displayed inequality on p. 622 is correct as it stands; however its proof uses Corollary 1.1 above.

Replace the first displayed inequality on p. 623 by

$$\|B_t\|^2 + \|C\|^2 \leq c_3 \left( \|\nabla_s B_t - dX_s(A)B_t - d_A C\|^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A B_t\| \right).$$

(The mistake in [1] is the factor  $\varepsilon^2$  in front of  $\|C\|^2$  in this inequality; it can be removed because of the improved inequality in Lemma 7.5.) Inspection of the formula for  $f''(t)$  shows that this stronger estimate is needed to prove the inequality  $f''(t) \geq \rho^2 f(t)$  for  $t \geq 1$  (use the expression after the fourth equal sign in the formula for  $f''(t)$  on page 622).  $\square$

## 2. An a priori estimate

The following *a priori* estimate is an adaptation of [2, Lemma 9.1] to the present context. It is needed in the proof of Theorem 9.1.

LEMMA 2.1. *There is a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  with the following significance. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set and  $K \subset \Omega$  be a compact subset. Then, for every  $c_0 > 0$  and every  $p \geq 2$ , there are positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $c$  such that the following holds. If  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and the maps  $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P)$  and  $\Phi, \Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  satisfy (2) and*

$$(3) \quad \|\partial_t A - d_A \Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq c_0, \quad \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq \delta_0,$$

then

$$(4) \quad \int_K \left( \|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \varepsilon^p \|\nabla_s F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \varepsilon^p \|\nabla_t F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c\varepsilon^{2p},$$

$$(5) \quad \sup_K \left( \|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_s F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq c\varepsilon^{2-2/p}.$$

*Proof.* As in [1, Lemma 7.6] one can show that there exist constants  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $c_1 > 0$  such that every  $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$  with  $\|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \delta_0$  satisfies the inequalities

$$\|\phi\| \leq c_1 \|d_A \phi\|,$$

$$\|d_A (*_s dX_s(A)\alpha + \dot{*}_s \alpha)\| \leq c_1 (\|\alpha\| + \|d_A \alpha\| + \|d_A *_s \alpha\|)$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_P)$ , and  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_P)$ . Here and in the following all norms are  $L^2$ -norms on  $\Sigma$ .

Now let  $A, \Phi, \Psi$  satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma and define

$$(6) \quad B_s := \partial_s A - d_A \Phi, \quad B_t := \partial_t A - d_A \Psi, \quad C := \partial_t \Phi - \partial_s \Psi - [\Phi, \Psi].$$

Then the proof of [1, Th. 7.1] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 (\nabla_s \nabla_s C + \nabla_t \nabla_t C) &= d_A^* d_A C - 2 * [B_t \wedge B_t] + * [*_s X_s(A) \wedge B_t] \\ &\quad - * d_A (*_s dX_s(A) B_t + \dot{*}_s B_t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with  $\Delta := \partial^2/\partial s^2 + \partial^2/\partial t^2$  the standard Laplacian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \|C\|^2 &= 2 \|\nabla_s C\|^2 + 2 \|\nabla_t C\|^2 + 2 \langle \nabla_s \nabla_s C + \nabla_t \nabla_t C, C \rangle \\ &= 2\varepsilon^{-4} \|d_A *_s B_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^{-4} \|d_A B_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A C\|^2 \\ &\quad - 4\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, * [B_t \wedge B_t] \rangle + 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, * [*_s X_s(A) \wedge B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, * d_A (*_s dX_s(A) B_t + \dot{*}_s B_t) \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} \|C\|^2 - \frac{c}{\varepsilon^2} \|C\|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds for  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , with  $\varepsilon_0$  sufficiently small, and with suitable positive constants  $\delta$  and  $c$ , depending only on  $\delta_0$ ,  $c_0$ , and  $c_1$  (as well as the metrics on  $\Sigma$  and the vector fields  $X_s$ ). Since  $2\Delta \|C\|^p \geq p \|C\|^{p-2} \Delta \|C\|^2$  for  $p \geq 2$ , this implies

$$\|C\|^p \leq \frac{c}{\delta} \|C\|^{p-1} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{p\delta} \Delta \|C\|^p.$$

Using the inequality  $ab \leq a^p/p + b^q/q$  with  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ,  $a := c/\delta$  and  $b := \|C\|^{p-1}$  we obtain  $b^q = \|C\|^p$ , and hence

$$(7) \quad \|C\|^p \leq \frac{c^p}{\delta^p} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \Delta \|C\|^p.$$

By [2, Lemma 9.2], this implies that

$$\int_{B_R(z)} \|C\|^p \leq \frac{\pi(R+r)^2 c^p}{\delta^p} + \frac{8\varepsilon^2}{r^2 \delta} \int_{B_{R+r}(z)} \|C\|^p$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and every pair of positive real numbers  $R$  and  $r$  such that  $B_{R+r}(z) \subset \Omega$ . Now observe that  $\varepsilon^2 \|C\| = \|F_A\| \leq \delta_0 \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$  and use the last inequality repeatedly, with  $R$  replaced by  $R+r, R+2r, \dots, R+(p-1)r$ , to obtain the estimate  $\int_{B_R(z)} \|C\|^p \leq c_p$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $B_{R+pr}(z) \subset \Omega$ .

Now choose  $R$  and  $r$  such that  $B_{R+pr}(z) \subset \Omega$  for every  $z \in K$ . Cover  $K$  by finitely many balls of radius  $R$  to obtain

$$(8) \quad \int_K \|F_A\|^p = \varepsilon^{2p} \int_K \|C\|^p \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^{2p}.$$

It follows from (7) that the function  $z \mapsto \|C(z)\|^p + c^p |z - z_0|^2 / 8\delta^{p-1} \varepsilon^2$  is subharmonic in  $\Omega$  for every  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence, by the mean value inequality and (8), we have

$$(9) \quad \sup_K \|F_A\| = \varepsilon^2 \sup_K \|C\| \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^{2-2/p}$$

for a suitable constant  $c_{K,p}$ . It follows from (8) and (9) that every connection  $\Xi = A + \Phi ds + \Psi dt$  on  $\Omega \times P$  that satisfies (2) and (3) also satisfies (1) in every compact subset of  $\Omega$  and hence, by Corollary 1.1, satisfies the hypotheses of [1, Th. 7.1]. Hence it follows from [1, Th. 7.1] with  $p = \infty$  that, for every open set  $U$  with  $\text{cl}(U) \subset \Omega$ , there is a constant  $c_U$  such that every connection  $\Xi$  on  $\Omega \times P$  that satisfies (2) and (3) also satisfies the estimates

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \|\nabla_s B_t\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t B_t\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} \leq c_U, \\ & \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} \leq c_U, \\ & \|C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_s C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} \leq c_U. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last inequality is equivalent to (4) for  $p = 2$ .

Now consider the function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$u(s, t)^2 := \frac{1}{2} \left( \|C(s, t)\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s C(s, t)\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t C(s, t)\|^2 \right).$$

Again all norms are  $L^2$ -norms on  $\Sigma$ . In the following we shall assume, for simplicity, that the Hodge  $*$ -operator  $*_s = *$  is independent of  $s$  and that  $X_s = 0$  for all  $s$ . Then, as in the proof of [1, Th. 7.1],

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u^2 &= \varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A C\|^2 + \|\nabla_s C\|^2 + \|\nabla_t C\|^2 + \|d_A \nabla_s C\|^2 + \|d_A \nabla_t C\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \nabla_s C\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t \nabla_t C\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \nabla_t C\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon^2 \langle C, [\nabla_s C, \nabla_t C] \rangle - 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, *[B_t \wedge B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad - 4 \langle \nabla_s C, *[B_t \wedge \nabla_s B_t] \rangle - 4 \langle \nabla_t C, *[B_t \wedge \nabla_t B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle d_A \nabla_s C, [B_s, C] \rangle + \langle d_A \nabla_t C, [B_t, C] \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla_s C, *[B_s \wedge *d_A C] \rangle - \langle \nabla_t C, *[B_t \wedge *d_A C] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small it follows that

$$\Delta u^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} u^2 - \frac{c}{\varepsilon^2} u,$$

with suitable positive constants  $\delta$  and  $c$ . To see this examine the last eight terms in the formula for  $\Delta u^2$  and use (10). Now it follows as in (7) that

$$u^p \leq \frac{c}{\delta} u^{p-1} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{p\delta} \Delta u^p$$

for  $p \geq 2$ . By (9) and (10), we have  $u \leq c'/\varepsilon$  for some constant  $c'$ . Hence we can argue as above to show that, for every compact subset  $K \subset U$ , there is a constant  $c_{K,p} > 0$  such that  $\int_K u^p \leq c_{K,p}$  and  $\sup_K u^p \leq c_{K,p}\varepsilon^{-2}$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. Bubbling analysis

The assertion on p. 634 that the limit connection  $\Xi_0$  represents a *non-constant* holomorphic sphere  $S^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$  does not seem to follow from the argument in [1]. A modified bubbling argument does result in a nonconstant holomorphic sphere but only proves a weaker estimate; i.e., we must weaken the assertion of Theorem 9.1 and the assumption of Theorem 8.1. Then Theorem 9.2 remains valid.

*For Theorem 8.1.* The assertion of Theorem 8.1 in [1, p. 623] continues to hold if the hypothesis (8.1) is replaced by the weaker inequality

$$(11) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \|F_A\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t A - d_A \Psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0.$$

To see this, replace the last inequality on p. 625 by  $\|C^\nu\|_{L^p} \leq c\varepsilon_\nu^{2/p-1}$  or, equivalently,

$$\|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^p} \leq c\varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}.$$

For  $p = 2$  this follows from the first inequality in Step 2 on page 625, for  $p = \infty$  it holds by assumption, and for  $2 \leq p < \infty$  it follows by interpolation. Now replace the constant  $\varepsilon_\nu^2$  by  $\varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}$  in the following places.

- In the inequality (8.4) on page 626.
- Replace the inequality  $\|A' - A\|_{L^p} \leq c_2\varepsilon^2$  by  $\|A' - A\|_{L^p} \leq c_2\varepsilon^{1+2/p}$  in the middle of page 626.
- In the first two inequalities after (8.9), in the first inequality after (8.10), and in the first inequality in the proof of Step 5 (page 628).
- In the first inequality on page 629 and in the last inequality before (8.11).

The next lemma is a local version of Theorem 8.1; it is needed in the proof of Theorem 9.1. Let  $\Omega_\nu \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an exhausting sequence of open sets and  $s_\nu$ ,  $\varepsilon_\nu > 0$ ,  $\delta_\nu > 0$  be sequences of real numbers such that  $s_\nu \rightarrow s_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\delta_\nu \rightarrow 0$ . Abbreviate  $*_{\nu s} := *_{s_\nu + \delta_\nu s}$  and  $X_{\nu s} := \delta_\nu X_{s_\nu + \delta_\nu s}$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $\Xi_\nu = A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds + \Psi_\nu dt$  be a sequence of solutions of the equation (2), with  $(*, X_s)$  replaced by  $(*_{\nu s}, X_{\nu s})$ , on  $\Omega_\nu \times P$  such that*

$$(12) \quad \sup_\nu \left( \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} \right) < \infty,$$

$$\sup_\nu \left( \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} \right) < \infty.$$

Then there are a subsequence, still denoted by  $\Xi_\nu$ , a sequence of gauge transformations  $g_\nu : \Omega_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P)$ , and a connection  $\Xi_0 = A_0 + \Phi_0 ds + \Psi_0 dt$  on  $\mathbb{C} \times P$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A_0 - d_{A_0} \Psi_0 + *_{s_0}(\partial_s A_0 - d_{A_0} \Phi_0) &= 0, & F_{A_0} &= 0, \\ \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|g_\nu^* A_\nu - A_0\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \sup_{(s,t) \in K} \|g_\nu^{-1} B_{\nu t} g_\nu - B_{0t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ ; here  $B_{\nu t} := \partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu$ ,  $B_{0t} := \partial_t A_0 - d_{A_0} \Psi_0$ .

*Proof.* For every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  there is a constant  $\nu_K > 0$  such that, for every  $(s, t) \in K$  and every  $\nu \geq \nu_K$ , there is a unique section  $\eta_\nu(s, t) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  such that

$$F_{A'_\nu} = 0, \quad A'_\nu := A_\nu + *_{\nu s} d_{A_\nu} \eta_\nu,$$

and

$$(13) \quad \|d_{A_\nu} \eta_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq c_1 \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq c_2 \varepsilon_\nu$$

(see Lemma 8.2 in [1]). Choose  $\Phi'_\nu(s, t), \Psi'_\nu(s, t) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  such that

$$d_{A'_\nu} *_{\nu s} (\partial_s A'_\nu - d_{A'_\nu} \Phi'_\nu - X_{\nu s}(A'_\nu)) = d_{A'_\nu} *_{\nu s} (\partial_t A'_\nu - d_{A'_\nu} \Psi'_\nu) = 0.$$

Note that the sequence  $\Xi'_\nu = A'_\nu + \Phi'_\nu ds + \Psi'_\nu dt$  depends only on  $\nu$  and not on the compact set  $K$  in question. One proves exactly as in [1, pp. 626, 627] that the sequence  $\Xi'_\nu$  satisfies the estimates

$$(14) \quad \|\Xi'_\nu - \Xi_\nu\|_{1,p,\varepsilon;K} \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p},$$

$$(15) \quad \|B'_{\nu t}\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c_K,$$

$$(16) \quad \|B'_{\nu t} + *_{\nu s} (B'_{\nu s} - X_{\nu s}(A'_\nu))\|_{L^p(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p},$$

for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  and every  $p \geq 2$ , with suitable positive constants  $c_K$  and  $c_{K,p}$ . In addition we wish to prove the estimate

$$(17) \quad \sup_K \|B'_{\nu t} - B_{\nu t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c_K \sqrt{\varepsilon_\nu}.$$

To see this we use the identities

$$\begin{aligned} B'_t - B_t &= d_{A'}(\Psi' - \Psi) + *_{s} d_A \nabla_t \eta + *_{s} [B_t, \eta], \\ d_A *_{s} d_A (\Psi' - \Psi) &= d_A *_{s} B_t - [d_A B_t, \eta] - [F_A, \nabla_t \eta] \\ (18) \quad &\quad - [(A' - A) \wedge ([d_A \nabla_t \eta + [B_t, \eta]])] \\ d_A *_{s} d_A \nabla_t \eta &= -d_A B_t - [d_A \nabla_t \eta \wedge d_A \eta] - [[B_t, \eta] \wedge d_A \eta] \\ &\quad - 2[B_t \wedge *_{s} d_A \eta] - [d_A *_{s} B_t, \eta] \end{aligned}$$

(see (8.5), (8.7), and (8.8) in [1]). Here we have dropped the subscript  $\nu$ . Since

$$d_A B_t = \nabla_t F_A, \quad d_A *_{s} B_t = d_A B_s = \nabla_s F_A,$$

we obtain from Lemma 2.1 with  $p = 2$  that, for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , there is a constant  $c'_K > 0$  such that

$$\sup_K \left( \|d_A B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|d_A *_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq c'_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence it follows from (13) and the last equation in (18) that

$$\sup_K \|d_A \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c''_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Using this estimate and the second equation in (18) we obtain

$$\sup_K \|d_A(\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c'''_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Combining the last two estimates with the first equation in (18) we obtain (17). Now  $\Xi'_\nu$  descends to a sequence

$$\bar{u}'_\nu : K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$$

of approximate holomorphic curves (see (16)) with uniformly bounded derivatives (see (15)). We must prove that the sequence  $\bar{u}'_\nu$  is bounded in  $W^{2,p}$  for some  $p > 2$ . By the elliptic bootstrapping analysis for holomorphic curves (see [3, App. B]), this is equivalent to a  $W^{1,p}$ -bound on  $\bar{\partial}_J(\bar{u}'_\nu)$ . To obtain such a bound we examine the following formula from [1, p. 627]:

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} B'_t + *_s(B'_s - X_s(A')) &= *_s *_s d_A \eta - [X_s(A), \eta] - *_s(X_s(A') - X_s(A)) \\ &\quad + [(A' - A), \nabla_s \eta] - *_s[(A' - A), \nabla_t \eta] \\ &\quad - d_{A'}(\Psi' - \Psi + \nabla_s \eta) - *_s d_{A'}(\Phi' - \Phi - \nabla_t \eta). \end{aligned}$$

To begin with, observe that, by Lemma 2.1, we have estimates of the form

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A *_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^p.$$

Carrying the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 one step further we obtain estimates for the second derivatives of the curvature and hence

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A \nabla_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A *_s \nabla_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p};$$

similarly for  $\nabla_t$ . Differentiate the identities in (18) to obtain

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A \nabla_s \nabla_s \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_t \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_s \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p},$$

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A \nabla_s(\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_t(\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p}.$$

Combining these estimates with (19) we obtain

$$\int_K \left\| \nabla_s(B'_t + *_s(B'_s - X_s(A'))) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \leq c_{K,p},$$

and similarly for  $\nabla_t$ . This is the required  $W^{1,p}$ -estimate for  $\bar{\partial}_J(\bar{u}'_\nu)$ . It follows that  $\bar{u}'_\nu$  is bounded in  $W^{2,p}$  and hence has a  $C^1$ -convergent subsequence. The limit of this subsequence is the required holomorphic curve in  $\mathcal{M}(P)$ . The assertion of the lemma now follows from (17) and the  $C^1$ -convergence of  $\bar{u}'_\nu$ .  $\square$

*For Theorem 9.1.* On page 630 replace the estimate in the assertion of Theorem 9.1 by (11) above. In the proof on page 631 replace the factor  $\varepsilon_\nu^{-2}$  in (9.1) and (9.2) by  $\varepsilon_\nu^{-1}$ . Replace the next displayed formula by

$$c_\nu = c_\nu(w_\nu) = \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu(w_\nu)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu(w_\nu) - d_{A_\nu(w_\nu)} \Psi_\nu(w_\nu)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}.$$

On page 633 the assertion that the limits  $A_\infty(\theta)$  and  $\Phi_\infty(\theta)$  exist can be proved by a similar argument as in [2, Prop. 11.1]. Alternatively, one can use the beautiful and elegant argument in [4] for a direct proof of the energy identity.

On p. 634 replace the second displayed inequality by

$$\sup_{|w| \leq \rho_\nu c_\nu} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu} \|F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(w)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(w) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(w)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(w)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq 2.$$

We prove that the limit connection  $\Xi_0$  represents a nonconstant holomorphic sphere. First, note that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu} \|F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(0) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1$$

and use Corollary 1.1 with  $\varepsilon$  replaced by  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\nu := \varepsilon_\nu c_\nu \rightarrow 0$  to deduce that the functions  $\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu$  and  $(\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu)^{-1} F_{\tilde{A}_\nu}$  are uniformly bounded on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$ . Second, use Lemma 3.1 to deduce that the sequence  $\tilde{\Xi}_\nu = \tilde{A}_\nu + \tilde{\Phi}_\nu ds + \tilde{\Psi}_\nu dt$  has a  $C^1$  convergent subsequence (after gauge transformation). Third, use Lemma 2.1 to deduce that  $(\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu)^{-1} \|F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \rightarrow 0$  and hence

$$\|\partial_t A_0(0) - d_{A_0(0)} \Psi_0(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(0) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1.$$

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO, USA  
E-mail address: stamatis@math.missouri.edu

ETH-ZÜRICH, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND  
E-mail address: salamon@math.ethz.ch

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. DOSTOGLU and D. A. SALAMON, Self-dual instantons and holomorphic curves, *Ann. of Math.* **139** (1994), 581–640.
- [2] A. R. GAILO and D. A. SALAMON, Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic quotients and adiabatic limits, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **3** (2005), 55–159.

- [3] D. MCDUFF and D. SALAMON, *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology*, *A. M. S. Colloq. Publ.* **52**, A. M. S., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [4] K. WEHRHEIM, Energy identity for anti-self-dual instantons on  $\mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$ , *Math. Res. Lett.* **13** (2006), 161–166.

(Received October 4, 2004)