

Subalgebras of simple AF-algebras

By CHRISTOPHER SCHAFHAUSER

Abstract

It is shown that if A is a separable, exact C^* -algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT) and has a faithful, amenable trace, then A admits a trace-preserving embedding into a simple, unital AF-algebra with a unique trace. Modulo the UCT, this provides an abstract characterization of C^* -subalgebras of simple, unital AF-algebras.

As a consequence, for a countable, discrete, amenable group G acting on a second countable, locally compact, Hausdorff space X , $C_0(X) \rtimes_r G$ embeds into a simple, unital AF-algebra if, and only if, X admits a faithful, invariant, Borel, probability measure. Also, for any countable, discrete, amenable group G , the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$ admits a trace-preserving embedding into the universal UHF-algebra.

Introduction

It follows from the work of Murray and von Neumann in [57] that there is a unique separably acting, hyperfinite II_1 -factor \mathcal{R} and that any separably acting, hyperfinite, tracial von Neumann algebra admits a trace-preserving embedding into \mathcal{R} . A fundamental result of Connes in [13] characterizes hyperfinite von Neumann algebras abstractly by showing the equivalence of hyperfiniteness and injectivity among von Neumann algebras. Together, these results show that a separably acting, finite von Neumann algebra embeds into \mathcal{R} if, and only if, it is hyperfinite, a result which has an instrumental role in the theory of subfactors initiated by Jones in [41].

A C^* -algebraic analogue of the Murray-von Neumann classification theorem is given by Elliott's celebrated result in [26] classifying approximately finite-dimensional (AF) C^* -algebras and $*$ -homomorphisms between such algebras in terms of the non-stable K_0 -group. The problem of finding abstract characterizations of AF-algebras and C^* -subalgebras of AF-algebras was posed by Effros in [24] with the latter problem motivated in part by the AF-embedding of the irrational rotation algebras of Pimsner and Voiculescu in [64] which

Keywords: AF-embedding, amenable trace

AMS Classification: Primary: 46L05.

© 2020 Department of Mathematics, Princeton University.

led to the classification of such C^* -algebras in terms of the angle of rotation. Although an abstract characterization of AF-algebras among all C^* -algebras seems out of reach, such a characterization is possible among simple, unital C^* -algebras due to the remarkable success of Elliott's classification programme for simple, nuclear C^* -algebras over the last several decades with the final steps taken in [35], [29], and [81].

The problem of characterizing C^* -subalgebras of AF-algebras has received much attention over the last several decades with the standing conjecture being that a C^* -algebra embeds into an AF-algebra if, and only if, it is separable, exact, and quasidiagonal; see Section 7 of [4]. Shortly after the Pimsner-Voiculescu AF-embedding result of [64], Pimsner characterized in [63] which C^* -algebras of the form $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into an AF-algebra in terms of the underlying action of \mathbb{Z} on X . Similar results were obtained by Brown for crossed products of AF-algebras by \mathbb{Z} in [7] and for crossed products of UHF-algebras by \mathbb{Z}^k in [8]. Many other partial results along these lines have appeared in [42], [52], and [40] for example. The latter result in [40] also has an important role in the work of Ozawa, Rørdam, and Sato in [62] showing that the C^* -algebra of an elementary amenable group embeds into an AF-algebra, a result which was later extended to all countable, discrete, amenable groups in [81].

Aside from crossed products, it is known that all residually stably finite, type I C^* -algebras embed into AF-algebras [80], separable, exact, residually finite-dimensional C^* -algebras satisfying the UCT embed into AF-algebras [18], and the cone over any separable, exact C^* -algebra embeds into an AF-algebra [60]. Also, combining Ozawa's result in [60] with the techniques introduced by Spielberg in [80], Dadarlat has obtained AF-embeddings of continuous fields of C^* -algebras in [19] provided the base space is sufficiently connected and at least one fibre is AF-embeddable. See Chapter 8 of [10] for a well-written survey of the AF-embedding problem for C^* -algebras.

Despite the remarkable work on the AF-embedding problem given in the results above, in each of these results, the methods used are very specific to the class of C^* -algebras under consideration and shed very little light on the abstract AF-embedding problem. This paper introduces a systematic method for producing embeddings into certain simple, unital AF-algebras.

It is well known that any C^* -subalgebra of a simple, unital AF-algebra must be separable and exact and must admit a faithful, amenable trace. Modulo the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT) of [72], the present paper shows these are the only obstructions. There are no known counterexamples to the UCT among separable, exact C^* -algebras with a faithful, amenable trace, and it is an important open problem whether all separable, nuclear C^* -algebras satisfy the UCT.

THEOREM A. *If A is a separable, exact C^* -algebra which satisfies the UCT and admits a faithful, amenable trace, then there is a simple, unital AF-algebra B with a unique trace and a trace-preserving embedding $A \hookrightarrow B$.*

Amenable traces were introduced by Connes in [13] in the von Neumann algebraic setting and are characterized by the existence of almost multiplicative, almost trace-preserving, completely positive, contractive maps into \mathbb{M}_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} , where “almost” is measured in the 2-norm defined by the normalized trace on \mathbb{M}_n . A key step in Connes’ proof that injective II_1 -factors are hyperfinite consists of showing that the trace on an injective II_1 -factor is amenable. In fact, the amenability of the trace characterizes injectivity of II_1 -factors. Amenable traces were introduced in the C^* -algebraic setting by Kirchberg in [44] and extensively developed by Brown in [9].

There is a very close connection between the theory of amenable traces on C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras. For example, if A is an exact C^* -algebra, a trace τ_A on A is amenable if, and only if, $\pi_{\tau_A}(A)''$ is hyperfinite. Furthermore, if τ_A is faithful, then the GNS representation $\pi_{\tau_A} : A \rightarrow \pi_{\tau}(A)''$ is faithful, and hence any exact C^* -algebra A with a faithful, amenable trace admits a trace-preserving embedding into a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. This observation, which can be viewed as a weak*-version of Theorem A, is the starting point of the proof of the quasidiagonality theorem of Tikuisis, White, and Winter in [81] and Gabe in [33] which, in turn, has an important role in the proof of Theorem A.

Building on the work of Ozawa, Rørdam, and Sato in [62], it was shown in [81] that if G is a countable, discrete, amenable group, then $C_r^*(G)$ embeds into an AF-algebra, although these results give no control over the codomain AF-algebra. Combining the techniques introduced in the present paper with the work of Higson and Kasparov [39], Lück [53], and Tu [84] on the Baum-Connes conjecture yields a much sharper AF-embedding result showing group C^* -algebras embed into the universal UHF-algebra $\mathcal{Q} = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n$.

For a discrete group G , the group von Neumann algebra $L(G)$ and the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$ are equipped with the usual faithful trace given by $\sum_{g \in G} c_g \cdot g \mapsto c_e$, where $e \in G$ is the neutral element.

THEOREM B. *For a countable, discrete group G , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is amenable;
- (2) $L(G)$ admits a trace-preserving embedding into \mathcal{R} ;
- (3) $C_r^*(G)$ admits a trace-preserving embedding into \mathcal{Q} .

In fact, the methods introduced here also yield an AF-embedding result for crossed products of abelian C^* -algebras by amenable groups in the spirit

of Pimsner's result for crossed products $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ in [63] and Lin's result for crossed products $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^k$ in [52].

THEOREM C. *If X is a second countable, locally compact, Hausdorff space and G is a countable, discrete, amenable group acting on X , then $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ embeds into a simple, unital AF-algebra if, and only if, X admits a faithful, G -invariant, Borel, probability measure.*

The new technical tool facilitating these results is a classification theorem for faithful $*$ -homomorphisms into certain AF-algebras. The precise statement of Theorem D is given in [Corollary 5.4](#). Theorems A, B, and C will be deduced from Theorem D at the beginning of [Section 6](#).

THEOREM D. *If A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT with a faithful, amenable trace and B is a simple, unital AF-algebra with a unique trace and divisible K_0 -group, then the unital, trace-preserving embeddings $A \rightarrow B$ are classified up to approximate unitary equivalence by their behaviour on the K_0 -group.*

A more general classification result is given in [Theorem 5.3](#) which does not require any inductive limit structure or nuclearity assumption on the codomain C^* -algebra. Along with the applications to AF-embeddability listed above, this technical refinement of Theorem D also leads to a self-contained proof of an abstract characterization of AF-algebras among simple, unital C^* -algebras with a unique trace and divisible K_0 -group in [Corollary 6.7](#). These results also lead to new examples of MF algebras arising as reduced crossed products by free groups (see [Corollary 6.5](#)) building on [43], [66], [67], [77] and give AF-embedding results for k -graph algebras extending those of [76] and [12].

Special cases of Theorem D have appeared in several places in the literature. When A is an AF-algebra or an AT-algebra of real rank zero, Theorem D is a special case of classical results of Elliott in [26] and [28], respectively. For a commutative or, more generally, approximately homogeneous (AH) C^* -algebra A , classification results for embeddings from A into an AF-algebra form a crucial part of the AF-embedding results for crossed products obtained by Lin in [52]. Also, the classification results for simple, nuclear C^* -algebras with tracial approximation structure, initiated by Lin in [49] and culminating in the remarkable work of Gong, Lin, and Niu in [35], depends heavily on classification results for embeddings between such algebras.

The power of Theorem D and what distinguishes Theorem D from existing classification results is the general hypothesis on the domain C^* -algebra. The proof is motivated by the classical classification results for tracially AF-algebras in [17] and [51], but it avoids making any use of the internal structure of the domain C^* -algebra and makes no use of inductive limit models such as

the AH-algebras used in [51]. The proof of Theorem D, and the more general [Theorem 5.3](#) below, serve as proof of concept for an abstract approach to Elliott's classification programme which does not depend on tracial approximations. A more general result of this form will appear in forthcoming work of the author with Carrión, Gabe, Tikuisis, and White.

On the proof of Theorem D. The proof of Theorem D is heavily motivated by the classification theorem for separable, simple, unital, nuclear, tracially AF-algebras satisfying the UCT due to Lin in [51] and the classification of $*$ -homomorphisms between such algebras due to Dadarlat in [17], and, on a non-technical level, there are very close analogies between the proofs of these results. The class of tracially AF-algebras was introduced by Lin in [49] motivated in part by an approximation condition proved by Popa in [65] for simple, unital, quasidiagonal C^* -algebras of real rank zero. Roughly, a tracially AF-algebra is a C^* -algebra B which admits the following approximation condition in the spirit of Egoroff's Theorem: There is an approximately central projection in B with large trace such that the corner pBp is locally approximated by a finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra where the approximations are in operator norm.

The basic strategy for the classification results in [17] and [51] is to use the tracial finite-dimensional approximations for A to produce approximately multiplicative maps from A into a suitably well-behaved AH-algebra which approximately preserve the tracial data. From here, one then perturbs these maps on tracially small corners of A to adjust the behaviour of the maps on the infinitesimal elements of the K -theory groups. Then, the structure of AH-algebras allows one to construct an embedding of the given AH-algebra into B which implements an isomorphism on the invariant so that, upon composing this embedding with the approximately multiplicative maps into the AH-algebra, one obtains approximately multiplicative maps $A \rightarrow B$ with prescribed behaviour on K -theory and traces. Together with a uniqueness result for approximately multiplicative maps from A to B relying on a Weyl-von Neumann type theorem for Hilbert module representations as in [50] or [21] and using the tracial approximation structure of B , an intertwining argument allows one to construct $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B with prescribed behaviour on K -theory and traces.

Changing perspective slightly, the almost multiplicative, almost trace-preserving maps $A \rightarrow B$ can be encoded as a trace-preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$, where B_ω denotes the norm ultrapower of B . Adjusting the behaviour of these approximations on the tracially small corner of A can be viewed as classifying, up to unitary equivalence, all $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ which are trace-zero perturbations of ψ . It should be noted that

this formalism is also explicitly used in the classical tracially AF-algebra classification results (usually with sequence algebras in place of ultrapowers, but this is mostly a matter of taste).

In the abstract setting of Theorem D, the existence of ψ will follow directly from the quasidiagonality theorem of [81] and [33]; see [Theorem 1.2](#) below. The new step is an abstract method for describing the trace-zero perturbations of ψ up to unitary equivalence. Consider the *trace-kernel extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow J_B \xrightarrow{j_B} B_\omega \xrightarrow{q_B} B^\omega \longrightarrow 0$$

associated to B , where B^ω is the 2-norm ultrapower of B and

$$J_B = \{b \in B_\omega : \tau_{B_\omega}(b^*b) = 0\}.$$

Roughly, B^ω and J_B will play the roles of the tracially large and small corners of B , respectively.

When B has a unique trace and no non-zero, finite-dimensional representations, B^ω is a II_1 -factor, and hence all trace-preserving $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B^\omega$ factor through the von Neumann algebra $\pi_{\tau_A}(A)''$ associated to A and τ_A . When A is exact and τ_A is amenable, $\pi_{\tau_A}(A)''$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra, and hence the classification of normal $*$ -homomorphisms from hyperfinite von Neumann algebras to II_1 -factors yields a classification result for trace-preserving $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B^\omega$ up to unitary equivalence. It is through exploiting this observation that both the tracial approximation assumptions on A and factoring through a model AH-algebra are avoided.

Having classification of trace-preserving $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B^\omega \cong B_\omega/J_B$, the goal becomes to lift this classification along q_B to obtain classification of $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B_\omega$ up to unitary equivalence. Using extension theoretic methods, it is shown in [78] that (at least when $B \cong \mathcal{Q}$), modulo a certain KK -obstruction, a faithful, nuclear $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow B^\omega$ can be lifted to a nuclear $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow B_\omega$. The strategy behind Theorem D is to use extension theoretic methods to show that the nuclear $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B_\omega$ lifting a given faithful, nuclear $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow B^\omega$ are parametrized up to unitary equivalence by the Kasparov group $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, J_B)$.¹ Now, using the UCT and the K -theoretic assumptions on B , the group $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, J_B)$ is computed in terms of the K_0 -groups of A and B_ω . Together with an intertwining argument given in [Section 5](#), this will prove Theorem D.

In order to illustrate the lifting process suggested above, let us consider the uniqueness result ([Proposition 4.3](#)) in more detail; the corresponding existence

¹The C^* -algebra J_B is not σ -unital. In the actual proof, all computations will be done in a sufficiently large, separable C^* -subalgebra of J_B .

result ([Proposition 4.2](#)) has a similar flavour. Let A and B be as in Theorem D, and suppose $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ are unital, full, nuclear $*$ -homomorphisms with $\tau_{B_\omega}\varphi = \tau_{B_\omega}\psi$ and $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$.

Since φ and ψ agree on the trace on B_ω , $q_B\varphi, q_B\psi : A \rightarrow B^\omega$ agree on the trace on B^ω . As B^ω is a II_1 -factor and φ and ψ are nuclear, $q_B\varphi$ and $q_B\psi$ are unitarily equivalent; see [Proposition 1.1](#) below. Let $u \in B^\omega$ denote a unitary conjugating $q_B\psi$ to $q_B\varphi$. If $F \in B_\omega$ with $q_B(F) = u$, then

$$F^*F - 1_{B_\omega}, FF^* - 1_{B_\omega}, \varphi(a) - F\psi(a)F^* \in J_B$$

for all $a \in A$.² Hence viewing B_ω as a C^* -subalgebra of the multiplier algebra $M(J_B)$ of J_B , the triple (φ, ψ, F) defines an element in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, J_B)$.

Under the hypotheses of Theorem D, since $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$, this KK -class vanishes, so there are a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow M(J_B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and a unitary $V \in M(J_B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$V - F \oplus 1_{M(J_B \otimes \mathcal{K})} \in J_B \otimes \mathcal{K} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \oplus \pi = \text{ad}(V)(\psi \oplus \pi).$$

In [Section 3](#), following the techniques introduced in [\[78\]](#) for the case $B = \mathcal{Q}$, it is shown that, modulo separability issues, J_B is stable and has the corona factorization property. From here, the fullness of φ and ψ together with a Weyl-von Neumann type absorption theorem due to Elliott and Kucerovsky in [\[30\]](#) ([Theorem 2.3](#) below) is used to remove the summand π and show there is a unitary $U \in M(J_B)$ with

$$U - F \in J_B \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = \text{ad}(U)\psi.$$

But now, as $F \in B_\omega$ and $U - F \in J_B \subseteq B_\omega$, we have $U \in B_\omega$. Since U conjugates ψ to φ by construction, this shows the uniqueness result.

The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 1](#), some preliminary results on amenable traces are collected along with some general machinery for reducing problems to separable C^* -algebras. [Section 2](#) records some KK -theoretic prerequisites and obtains the non-stable KK -theoretic results needed in [Section 4](#). [Section 3](#) is devoted to the trace-kernel extension and proves certain extension-theoretic regularity conditions for the trace-kernel ideal. The main classification results are given in [Sections 4](#) and [5](#); the former section proves classification results for embeddings into ultrapower C^* -algebras, and the latter section restates these results in terms of approximate morphisms and, from here, obtains Theorem D via an intertwining argument. Finally, Theorems A, B, and C along with some other consequences of Theorem D are proved in [Section 6](#).

²In fact, as the unitary group of B^ω is path-connected, u lifts to a unitary. For technical reasons, it will be helpful to take a unitary lift of u and replace ψ with a unitary conjugate of ψ to arrange $F = 1_{B_\omega}$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank José Carrión, Jamie Gabe, Aaron Tikuisis, and Stuart White for several helpful conversations regarding this work and for their comments on early drafts of this paper. I am also grateful to Matt Kennedy for pointing out the Choquet theoretic result used in the proof of [Corollary 6.3](#). Finally, I thank the anonymous referees for several helpful comments on this paper.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. *Amenable traces.* Throughout, the word *trace* is reserved for a tracial state on a C^* -algebra. Given a C^* -algebra A and a trace τ_A on A , let $\hat{\tau}_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the induced state on $K_0(A)$. In the case A has a unit, $\hat{\tau}_A([p]) = (\tau \otimes \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}})(p)$ for a projection $p \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the usual tracial weight on the C^* -algebra \mathcal{K} of compact operators on a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

For $n \geq 1$, let $\tau_{\mathbb{M}_n}$ denote the unique trace on the C^* -algebra \mathbb{M}_n of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} and define the 2-norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ on \mathbb{M}_n by $\|a\|_2 = \tau_{\mathbb{M}_n}(a^*a)^{1/2}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{M}_n$. A trace τ_A on a C^* -algebra A is called *amenable* if there is a net $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n(i)}$ of completely positive, contractive maps with

$$\|\varphi_i(aa') - \varphi_i(a)\varphi_i(a')\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{\mathbb{M}_{n(i)}}(\varphi_i(a)) \rightarrow \tau_A(a)$$

for all $a, a' \in A$. See [\[9\]](#) or Chapter 6 of [\[10\]](#) for a detailed treatment of amenable traces. Note that by Theorem 4.2.1 of [\[9\]](#), all traces on nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable.

Exploiting the connections between amenable traces and hyperfinite von Neumann algebras given in Theorem 3.2.2 of [\[9\]](#) leads to the following uniqueness result which is well known in the case when A is nuclear.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *If A is a C^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} is a finite factor, and $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are weakly nuclear $*$ -homomorphisms such that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}\psi$, then there is a net of unitaries (u_i) in \mathcal{M} such that*

$$\|\varphi(a) - u_i\psi(a)u_i^*\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Let $\tau_A = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi$, and note that φ and ψ induce normal $*$ -homomorphisms $\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi} : \pi_{\tau_A}(A)'' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(\pi_{\tau_A}(a)) = \varphi(a)$ and $\bar{\psi}(\pi_{\tau_A}(a)) = \psi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. As $\bar{\varphi}$ is faithful and normal, Lemma 1.5.11 of [\[10\]](#) implies that there is a normal, completely positive map $\theta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \pi_{\tau_A}(A)''$ such that $\pi_{\tau}(a) = \theta(\varphi(a))$ for all $a \in A$. Since φ is weakly nuclear, $\pi_{\tau}(A)''$ is hyperfinite by the equivalence of (5) and (6) in Theorem 3.2.2 of [\[9\]](#). The result follows from the classification of normal $*$ -homomorphisms from hyperfinite von Neumann algebras into finite factors; see the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [\[11\]](#) for example. \square

The following fundamental result was proved for nuclear C^* -algebras by Tikuisis, White, and Winter in [81] and was extended to exact C^* -algebras by Gabe in [33]. (See also [78] for a short proof.) This result is the starting point for the existence result in Theorem D.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \bigotimes_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{M}_n$ denote the universal UHF-algebra, and let \mathcal{Q}_ω denote the norm ultrapower of \mathcal{Q} with respect to a fixed free ultrafilter ω on the natural numbers. Recall that a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ between C^* -algebras A and B is *full* if for every non-zero $a \in A$, $\varphi(a)$ generates B as an ideal.

THEOREM 1.2. *If A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and τ_A is a faithful, amenable trace on A , then there is a unital, full, nuclear $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\omega$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{Q}_\omega} \varphi = \tau_A$.*

The result is not quite stated this way in the references given above. The existence of a unital, nuclear, trace-preserving $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\omega$ follows from Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 3.4(ii) in [33], and this $*$ -homomorphism is necessarily full by Lemma 2.2 in [81] and the faithfulness of τ_A .

1.2. Separability issues. Throughout the paper, several non-separable C^* -algebras such as ultraproducts and their trace-kernel ideals (as defined in Section 3) will be considered. The lack of separability causes technical issues in certain arguments; this is especially the case with KK -theoretic considerations where all C^* -algebras are typically required to be separable or, at the very least, σ -unital. This section collects some general methods for reducing problems to the separable setting.

Definition 1.3 (Blackadar [3, §II.8.5]). A property (P) of C^* -algebras is called *separably inheritable* if

- (1) whenever A is a C^* -algebra satisfying (P) and A_0 is a separable C^* -subalgebra of A , there is a separable C^* -subalgebra \hat{A} of A which satisfies (P) and contains A_0 , and
- (2) whenever $A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2 \hookrightarrow A_3 \hookrightarrow \dots$ is an inductive system of separable C^* -algebras with injective connecting maps, if each A_n satisfies (P) , then $\varinjlim A_n$ satisfies (P) .

Many important properties of C^* -algebras are separably inheritable such as exactness, nuclearity, simplicity, real rank zero, and stable rank one, to name a few. Also, the meet of countably many separably inheritable properties is separably inheritable. See Section II.8.5 of [3] for proofs of these facts and for many more examples of separably inheritable properties.

The following slight variation of separable inheritability will be useful.

Definition 1.4. Let (P) be a property of separable C^* -algebras. A C^* -algebra A *separably satisfies* (P) if whenever A_0 is a separable C^* -subalgebra

of A , there is a separable C^* -subalgebra \hat{A} of A which satisfies (P) and contains A_0 .

Note that if (P) is a separably inheritable property of C^* -algebras and A is a C^* -algebra satisfying (P) , then A separably satisfies (P) . Note also that if (P) is a property of separable C^* -algebras preserved under sequential inductive limits with injective connecting maps, then separably (P) is a separably inheritable property.

The following is analogous to II.8.5.3 in [3], and the same proof holds here.

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let (P_i) be a countable family of properties of separable C^* -algebras preserved under sequential inductive limits with injective connecting maps. If A is a C^* -algebra separably satisfying (P_i) for each i , then A separably satisfies the meet of the (P_i) .*

The following result will be crucial for KK -theoretic considerations related to the trace-kernel extension defined in [Section 3](#).

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Consider an extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{q} D \longrightarrow 0$$

of C^* -algebras, and suppose that for each $X \in \{I, E, D\}$, (P_X) is a property of separable C^* -algebras preserved under sequential inductive limits with injective connecting maps and X separably satisfies (P_X) . If for each $X \in \{I, E, D\}$, a separable C^* -subalgebra X_0 of X is given, then for each $X \in \{I, E, D\}$, there is a separable C^* -subalgebra \hat{X} of X which satisfies (P_X) and contains X_0 and such that there is a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \hat{I} & \longrightarrow & \hat{E} & \longrightarrow & \hat{D} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{j} & E & \xrightarrow{q} & D & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of extensions where the vertical arrows are the inclusion maps.

Proof. For $X \in \{I, E, D\}$, we construct an increasing sequence of separable C^* -subalgebras $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of X containing X_0 such that for each $n \geq 1$, X_n satisfies (P_X) ,

$$q(E_{n-1}) \subseteq D_n \subseteq q(E_n), \quad \text{and} \quad I_{n-1} \subseteq j^{-1}(E_n) \subseteq I_n.$$

Assuming this has been done, for each $X \in \{I, E, D\}$, let \hat{X} be the closed union of the X_n and note that \hat{X} is a separable C^* -subalgebra of X . As each X_n satisfies (P_X) , so does \hat{X} by hypothesis. By construction, $q(\hat{E}) = \hat{D}$, and $j^{-1}(\hat{E}) = \hat{I}$, so the result follows.

We construct the desired C^* -subalgebras I_n , E_n , and D_n inductively starting from the given C^* -subalgebras I_0 , D_0 , and E_0 . Assume $n \geq 1$ and I_{n-1} , D_{n-1} , and E_{n-1} have been constructed. The C^* -subalgebra of D generated by D_{n-1} and $q(E_{n-1})$ is separable, and hence there is a separable C^* -subalgebra D_n of D which satisfies (P_D) and contains both D_{n-1} and $q(E_{n-1})$. Fix a countable, dense set $T_n \subseteq D_n$, and let $S_n \subseteq E$ be a countable set with $q(S_n) = T_n$. Then the C^* -subalgebra of E generated by $j(I_{n-1})$, E_{n-1} , and S_n is separable, and hence there is a separable C^* -subalgebra E_n of E which satisfies (P_E) and contains $j(I_{n-1})$, E_{n-1} , and S_n . Then $T_n = q(S_n) \subseteq q(E_n)$ since $S_n \subseteq E_n$, and as T_n is dense in D_n and the $*$ -homomorphism $q|_{E_n}$ has closed range, $D_n \subseteq q(E_n)$. Also, as $j(I_{n-1}) \subseteq E_n$ and j is injective, $I_{n-1} \subseteq j^{-1}(E_n)$. Finally, as $j^{-1}(E_n)$ is a separable C^* -subalgebra of I , there is a separable C^* -subalgebra I_n of I which satisfies (P_I) and contains $j^{-1}(E_n)$. This completes the construction. \square

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let (P) be a property of separable C^* -algebras preserved under sequential inductive limits with injective connecting maps. If (P) is preserved by ideals, quotients, or extensions of separable C^* -algebras, then separably (P) has the same permanence property among all C^* -algebras.*

Proof. We only consider the case of extensions as the other two results are similar. Let (P) be a property of separable C^* -algebras preserved by extensions. Suppose I is an ideal of a C^* -algebra A such that I and A/I both separably satisfy (P) , and suppose A_0 is a separable C^* -subalgebra of A . By [Proposition 1.6](#), there are separable C^* -subalgebras \hat{I} , \hat{A} , and \hat{B} of I , A , and A/I , respectively, such that \hat{I} and \hat{B} satisfy (P) , \hat{A} contains A_0 , and there is a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \hat{I} & \longrightarrow & \hat{A} & \longrightarrow & \hat{B} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A/I & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of extensions where the vertical arrows are the inclusion maps. Now \hat{A} is a separable C^* -subalgebra of A satisfying (P) and containing A_0 . \square

The method for reducing to separable C^* -algebras given here also behaves well with hereditary subalgebras.

PROPOSITION 1.8. *If (P) is a property of separable C^* -algebras preserved by hereditary subalgebras, then the property separably (P) is preserved by hereditary subalgebras.*

Proof. Suppose A is a C^* -algebra separably satisfying (P) and $B \subseteq A$ is a hereditary subalgebra. Let B_0 be a separable C^* -subalgebra of B . There is

a separable C^* -subalgebra \hat{A} of A which satisfies (P) and contains B_0 . Now, $\hat{B} := B_0 \hat{A} B_0$ is a separable C^* -subalgebra of B containing B_0 . Moreover, \hat{B} is a hereditary subalgebra of \hat{A} , and hence it satisfies (P) . \square

The following result will be used heavily in [Section 4](#).

PROPOSITION 1.9. *Suppose A and B are C^* -algebras such that A is separable and B is unital. If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a full, nuclear $*$ -homomorphism, there is a separable, unital C^* -subalgebra B_0 of B such that $\varphi(A) \subseteq B_0$ and the corestriction of φ to B_0 is full and nuclear.*

Proof. As A is separable and φ is nuclear, for each integer $n \geq 1$, there are an integer $d(n) \geq 1$ and completely positive maps $\theta_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{d(n)}$ and $\rho_n : \mathbb{M}_{d(n)} \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|\rho_n(\theta_n(a)) - \varphi(a)\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

By Proposition II.8.5.7 of [3], there is a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq A \setminus \{0\}$ such that for every non-zero ideal $I \subseteq A$, there is an $n \geq 1$ with $a_n \in I$. As φ is full and B is unital, for each $n \geq 1$, there are an integer $k(n) \geq 1$ and elements $b_{n,i}, b'_{n,i} \in B$ for $i = 1, \dots, k(n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} b_{n,i} \varphi(a_n) b'_{n,i} = 1_B$.

Let B_0 denote the C^* -subalgebra of B generated by $\varphi(A)$, $\rho_n(\mathbb{M}_{d(n)})$, $b_{n,i}$, and $b'_{n,i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k(n)$ and $n \geq 1$. Then B_0 is separable, and if φ_0 is the corestriction of φ to B_0 , then φ_0 is nuclear. Suppose $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ and let I denote the ideal of B_0 generated by $\varphi(a)$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(I)$ is an ideal in A which is non-zero as $a \in \varphi^{-1}(I)$, and hence there is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $a_n \in \varphi^{-1}(I)$. Now, $\varphi(a_n) \in I$, and since $\varphi(a_n)$ is full in B_0 by construction, $I = B_0$. This shows that φ_0 is full. \square

A version of the following result appeared in an early version of [78].

PROPOSITION 1.10. *Suppose G is a countable, abelian group and A is a C^* -algebra. For $i = 0, 1$, the natural group homomorphisms*

$$\varinjlim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, K_i(A_0)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, K_i(A))$$

and

$$\varinjlim \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(G, K_i(A_0)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(G, K_i(A))$$

are isomorphisms where the limit is taken over all separable C^* -subalgebras A_0 of A .

Proof. As G is a countable, abelian group, there is an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}Y \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

for countable sets X and Y , where $\mathbb{Z}X$ and $\mathbb{Z}Y$ denote the free abelian groups generated by X and Y , respectively. For every C^* -algebra B and for $i = 0, 1$, there is a natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, K_i(B)) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}Y, K_i(B)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}X, K_i(B)) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(G, K_i(B)). \end{aligned}$$

As inductive limits preserve exact sequences, it is enough to prove that the natural map

$$\varinjlim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}X, K_i(A_0)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}X, K_i(A))$$

is an isomorphism for each countable set X .

Adding a unit to A if necessary, we may assume A is unital. We only prove the case when $i = 0$ as the case $i = 1$ then follows by Bott periodicity (or by a similar argument).

To show surjectivity, let $f : \mathbb{Z}X \rightarrow K_0(A)$ be given. For each $x \in X$, there are an integer $n(x) \geq 1$ and projections p_x and q_x in $\mathbb{M}_{n(x)}(A)$ with $f(x) = [p_x] - [q_x]$. If A_0 denotes the unital C^* -subalgebra of A generated by the entries of the projections p_x and q_x , then there is a group homomorphism $f_0 : \mathbb{Z}X \rightarrow K_0(A_0)$ given by $f_0(x) = [p_x] - [q_x]$. If $\iota_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A$ denotes the inclusion, then $K_0(\iota_0)f_0 = f$.

To show injectivity, let $A_0 \subseteq A$ be a separable, unital C^* -subalgebra, let $\iota_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A$ denote the inclusion, and suppose $f, g : \mathbb{Z}X \rightarrow K_0(A_0)$ are such that $K_0(\iota_0)f = K_0(\iota_0)g$. For each $x \in X$, there are an integer $n(x) \geq 1$ and projections $p_x, q_x, p'_x, q'_x \in \mathbb{M}_{n(x)}(A_0)$ such that $f(x) = [p_x] - [q_x]$ and $g(x) = [p'_x] - [q'_x]$. For each $x \in X$, there are an integer $k(x) \geq 1$ and a partial isometry $v_x \in \mathbb{M}_{2n(x)+k(x)}(A)$ with

$$v_x^* v_x = p_x \oplus q'_x \oplus 1_A^{\oplus k(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad v_x v_x^* = p'_x \oplus q_x \oplus 1_A^{\oplus k(x)}.$$

Let A_1 denote the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by A_0 and the entries of each v_x for $x \in X$. If $\iota_{1,0} : A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1$ denotes the inclusion, then $K_0(\iota_{1,0})f = K_0(\iota_{1,0})g$. \square

1.3. Tensorial absorption and separability. Recall from [83] that a separable, unital, infinite-dimensional C^* -algebra D is *strongly self-absorbing* if there is an isomorphism $D \rightarrow D \otimes D$ approximately unitarily equivalent to the first factor embedding. For a strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra D , a separable C^* -algebra A is *D-stable* if $A \otimes D \cong A$. The only strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra needed here is the universal UHF-algebra \mathcal{Q} .

A local characterization of D -stability for separable, unital C^* -algebras can be extracted from Theorem 2.2 of [83], which shows a separable, unital C^* -algebra A is D -stable if, and only if, there is a unital embedding of D into the *central sequence algebra* $A_\infty \cap A'$ of A where $A_\infty := \ell^\infty(A)/c_0(A)$. This local characterization extends to the non-separable setting with D -stability replaced by separable D -stability.

LEMMA 1.11. *For a strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra D , a unital C^* -algebra A is separably D -stable if, and only if, for every finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq A$, for every finite set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq D$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a unital, completely positive map $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(dd') - \varphi(d)\varphi(d')\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\varphi(d) - \varphi(d)a\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$ and $d, d' \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Assume first that A is separably D -stable. Fix a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq A$, a finite set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq D$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a separable, unital, D -stable C^* -subalgebra \hat{A} of A containing \mathcal{F} . By Theorem 2.2 of [83], there is a unital embedding $\varphi_\infty : D \rightarrow \hat{A}_\infty \cap \hat{A}'$. As D is nuclear, the Choi-Effros lifting theorem implies that there are unital, completely positive maps $\varphi_n : D \rightarrow \hat{A}$ representing φ_∞ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(dd') - \varphi_n(d)\varphi_n(d')\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a\varphi_n(d) - \varphi_n(d)a\| = 0$$

for all $a \in \hat{A}$ and $d, d' \in D$. Take $\varphi = \varphi_n$ for some sufficiently large n .

Conversely, suppose the approximation condition holds and let A_0 be a separable, unital C^* -subalgebra of A . Let $\mathcal{F}_{0,n}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of A_0 with dense union, and let \mathcal{G}_n be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of D with dense union. There are unital, completely positive maps $\varphi_{0,n} : D \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|\varphi_{0,n}(dd') - \varphi_{0,n}(d)\varphi_{0,n}(d')\| < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\varphi_{0,n}(d) - \varphi_{0,n}(d)a\| < \frac{1}{n}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_{0,n}$ and $d, d' \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Let A_1 denote the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by A_0 and $\varphi_{0,n}(D)$ for each $n \geq 1$, and note that A_1 is separable.

Iterating this argument, there are an increasing sequence of separable C^* -subalgebras A_k of A and sequences of unital, completely positive maps $\varphi_{k,n} : D \rightarrow A_{k+1}$ with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_{k,n}(dd') - \varphi_{k,n}(d)\varphi_{k,n}(d')\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a\varphi_{k,n}(d) - \varphi_{k,n}(d)a\| = 0$$

for all $a \in A_k$, $d, d' \in D$, and $k \geq 0$. Let $\hat{A} \subseteq A$ denote the closed union of the A_k . A reindexing argument produces a sequence of unital, completely positive maps $\psi_n : D \rightarrow \hat{A}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_n(dd') - \psi_n(d)\psi_n(d')\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a\psi_n(d) - \psi_n(d)a\| = 0$$

for all $a \in \hat{A}$ and $d, d' \in D$. The sequence ψ_n induces a unital $*$ -homomorphism $D \rightarrow \hat{A}_\infty \cap \hat{A}'$, and since \hat{A} is separable, \hat{A} is D -stable by Theorem 2.2 of [83]. As \hat{A} contains A_0 by construction, this shows A is separably D -stable. \square

The next proposition collects some permanence properties of separable D -stability.

PROPOSITION 1.12. *For a strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra D , hereditary subalgebras, quotients, and extensions of separably D -stable C^* -algebras are separably D -stable, and ℓ^∞ -products and ultraproducts of unital, separably D -stable C^* -algebras are separably D -stable.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.4 in [83], D -stability is preserved by sequential inductive limits of separable C^* -algebras. By Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3 and Theorem 4.3 of [83], hereditary subalgebras, quotients, and extensions of separable, D -stable C^* -algebras are D -stable. Now, separable D -stability passes to hereditary subalgebras by [Proposition 1.8](#) and is preserved by quotients and extensions by [Corollary 1.7](#). For ℓ^∞ -products, the result follows from [Lemma 1.11](#) by choosing approximately central approximate morphisms into each factor of the product and taking the product of these maps. For ultraproducts, the result follows from the result for ℓ^∞ -products and quotients. \square

2. Some KK -theory

This section contains a brief overview of KK -theory and collects the results on absorbing representations which will be needed in the classification results in [Section 4](#). With one exception in the proof of [Proposition 3.3](#), we will work exclusively with the nuclear KK -bifunctor $KK_{\text{nuc}}(-, -)$ introduced by Skandalis in [79].

2.1. Basics of KK -theory. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra, and let B be a σ -unital C^* -algebra. The word *representation* will refer to a $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. A representation $\varphi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is called *weakly nuclear* if the completely positive map $A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ given by $a \mapsto b^* \varphi(a) b$ is nuclear for all $b \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ denote the set of pairs (φ, ψ) such that $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ are weakly nuclear representations with $\varphi(a) - \psi(a) \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $a \in A$. Such a pair (φ, ψ) is called a (*weakly nuclear*) *Cuntz pair*. A *homotopy* between Cuntz pairs (φ_0, ψ_0) and (φ_1, ψ_1) in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ is a Cuntz pair $(\Phi, \Psi) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, C([0, 1], B))$ such that for $t \in \{0, 1\}$, composing Φ and Ψ with the evaluation map $M(C([0, 1], B) \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ at t produces φ_t and ψ_t , respectively. Let $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of Cuntz pairs in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$, and let $[\varphi, \psi]$ denote the class of a Cuntz pair (φ, ψ) in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$.

Let $s_1, s_2 \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be isometries with $s_1 s_1^* + s_2 s_2^* = 1$. Given representations $\theta, \rho : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, define a representation $\theta \oplus_{s_1, s_2} \rho = s_1 \theta(\cdot) s_1^* + s_2 \rho(\cdot) s_2^*$ called the *Cuntz sum* with respect to s_1 and s_2 . For another choice of isometries $t_1, t_2 \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with $t_1 t_1^* + t_2 t_2^* = 1$, $u = t_1 s_1^* + t_2 s_2^*$ is a unitary with $\text{ad}(u)(\theta \oplus_{s_1, s_2} \rho) = \theta \oplus_{t_1, t_2} \rho$, and hence, up to unitary equivalence, the Cuntz sum is independent of the choice of s_1 and s_2 .

If (φ_1, ψ_1) and (φ_2, ψ_2) are Cuntz pairs in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$, then $(\varphi_1 \oplus_{s_1, s_2} \varphi_2, \psi_1 \oplus_{s_1, s_2} \psi_2)$ is also a Cuntz pair in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$. Since the unitary group of $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is path-connected in the operator norm topology by the main result of [14] (see also [56] for the case when B is unital), the class $[\varphi_1 \oplus_{s_1, s_2} \varphi_2, \psi_1 \oplus_{s_1, s_2} \psi_2]$ in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ is independent of the choice of isometries s_1 and s_2 ; abusing notation, this element will be written as $[\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2, \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2]$. The set $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ is an abelian group with addition given by Cuntz sum.

If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a nuclear *-homomorphism and $p \in \mathcal{K}$ is a rank one projection, define a representation $\varphi_p : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ by $\varphi_p(a) = \varphi(a) \otimes p$ for $a \in A$. Then $(\varphi_p, 0)$ defines a Cuntz pair in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ and the corresponding element of $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ is denoted by $[\varphi]$. The element $[\varphi]$ is independent of the choice of the rank one projection p .

Given a separable C^* -algebra A and a *-homomorphism $\theta : B \rightarrow D$ between σ -unital C^* -algebras B and D , there is an induced group homomorphism

$$\theta_* : KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B) \rightarrow KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, D).$$

In this way, $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, -)$ becomes a covariant functor from the category of σ -unital C^* -algebras to the category of abelian groups; see [79] for the details. We will only need an explicit computation of θ_* in the following special case.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Suppose A and E are separable C^* -algebras, $I \subseteq E$ is an ideal in E with $I \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong I$, and $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow E$ are nuclear *-homomorphisms with $\varphi(a) - \psi(a) \in I$ for all $a \in A$. Let $\lambda : E \rightarrow M(I)$ denote the canonical *-homomorphism, and note that $(\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, I)$.*

If $j : I \rightarrow E$ denotes the inclusion map, then $j_[\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi] = [\varphi] - [\psi]$ in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, E)$.*

Proof. As in [79] (see also Chapter 17 of [2]), $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, I)$ can be realized as homotopy classes of Kasparov modules (θ_0, θ_1, F) where $\theta_i : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K_i)$ is a weakly nuclear representation of A on a countably generated Hilbert I -module K_i and $F : K_0 \rightarrow K_1$ is an adjointable operator which, modulo the compacts, is a unitary intertwining of θ_0 and θ_1 .

Viewing I has a Hilbert module over itself, the Cuntz pair $(\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi)$ defines the Kasparov module $(\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi, 1_{M(I)})$ which defines the element $[\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi] \in KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, I)$. If $H_0 = I$ is viewed as a Hilbert E -module, then there is a natural isomorphism $M(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_0)$. Let $\varphi_0, \psi_0 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_0)$ denote the representations given by composing $\lambda\varphi$ and $\lambda\psi$ with this isomorphism. Then $j_*[\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi, 1_{M(I)}] = [\varphi_0, \psi_0, 1_{\mathcal{B}(H_0)}]$ in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, E)$ by the construction of j_* given in [79]. (See also Section 17.8 of [2].)

Consider $H_1 = E$ as a Hilbert E -module. If $\varphi_1, \psi_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1)$ denote the representations induced by φ and ψ , respectively, then $[\varphi_1, \psi_1, 1_{\mathcal{B}(H_1)}] = [\varphi] - [\psi]$ in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, I)$. It suffices to show $(\varphi_0, \psi_0, 1_{\mathcal{B}(H_0)})$ and $(\varphi_1, \psi_1, 1_{\mathcal{B}(H_1)})$

are homotopic. To this end, define

$$H = \{f \in C([0, 1], E) : f(0) \in I\}$$

and view H as a Hilbert $C([0, 1], E)$ -module in the natural way. Define $\Phi, \Psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ by

$$\Phi(a)(f)(t) = \varphi(a)f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(a)(f)(t) = \psi(a)f(t)$$

for all $a \in A$, $f \in C([0, 1], E)$, and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $(\Phi, \Psi, 1_{\mathcal{B}(H)})$ is a homotopy from $(\varphi_0, \psi_0, 1_{\mathcal{B}(H_0)})$ to $(\varphi_1, \psi_1, 1_{\mathcal{B}(H_1)})$. \square

For a separable C^* -algebras A , a σ -unital C^* -algebras, B , and a representation $\psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, define the *infinite repeat* $\psi_\infty : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ as follows. Let $(s_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of isometries in $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty s_n s_n^* = 1$, and let

$$\psi_\infty(a) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty s_n \psi(a) s_n^* \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

for $a \in A$ where convergence is in the strict topology. Then ψ_∞ is a $*$ -homomorphisms, and up to unitary equivalence, ψ_∞ is independent of the choice of the sequence $(s_n)_{n=1}^\infty$; in particular, $\psi \oplus \psi_\infty$ and ψ are unitarily equivalent. Note also that if ψ is weakly nuclear, then so is ψ_∞ .

2.2. Absorbing representations. Let A and B be C^* -algebras such that A is separable and B is σ -unital. Given two representations $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, write $\varphi \sim \psi$ if there is a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that for all $a \in A$,

- (1) $\|\varphi(a) - u_n \psi(a) u_n^*\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and
- (2) $\varphi(a) - u_n \psi(a) u_n^* \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Definition 2.2. Suppose A and B are C^* -algebras such that A is separable and B is σ -unital. A representation $\varphi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is called (*unitally*) *nuclearly absorbing* if for all (unital) weakly nuclear representations $\theta : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, $\varphi \oplus \theta \sim \varphi$.

Consider the special case when $B = \mathbb{C}$. All representations $A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ are weakly nuclear as \mathcal{K} is nuclear. Now, Voiculescu's representation theorem, as stated in Theorem II.5.8 of [22] for example, is the statement that a unital representation $\varphi : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{K})$ is unitally nuclearly absorbing if, and only if, φ is faithful and $\varphi(A) \cap \mathcal{K} = 0$. There is a far reaching generalization of this result for nuclearly absorbing representations due to the work of Elliott and Kucerovsky in [30]; see also [47] and [32].

A σ -unital C^* -algebra B has the *corona factorization property* if for all projections $p \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, $1 \precsim p \oplus p$ implies $1 \precsim p$; see [47]. The corona factorization property is a very weak regularity property of C^* -algebras. See

[46], [58], [59] and the references within for several examples and connections to other regularity properties. In this paper, the examples of interest will be separable C^* -subalgebras of the trace-kernel ideal J_B associated to an appropriate C^* -algebra B as discussed in the introduction and introduced formally in Section 3.

When B is unital, a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is called *unitizably full* if the unitization $\varphi^\dagger : A^\dagger \rightarrow B$ is full. Note that when A and B are unital C^* -algebras, a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is unitizably full if, and only if, φ is full and $1_B - \varphi(1_A)$ is full.

THEOREM 2.3. *If A is a separable C^* -algebra and B is a σ -unital C^* -algebra with the corona factorization property, then every unitizably full representation $A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is nuclearly absorbing.*

Proof. After adding units, it is enough to show that every unital, full representation $\varphi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is unitally nuclearly absorbing. Let $q : M(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})/(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ denote the quotient map. By [47], $q\varphi$ is a purely large extension, and hence, by the main result of [30], $q\varphi$ is unitally nuclearly absorbing as an extension.

For any unital, weakly nuclear representation $\psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, there is a unitary $u \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$u(\varphi(a) \oplus \psi_\infty(a))u^* - \psi_\infty(a) \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

for all $a \in A$. By the equivalence of (iv) and (v) in Theorem 3.4 of [34], $\varphi \oplus \psi_\infty \sim \varphi$. As $\psi_\infty \oplus \psi$ and ψ_∞ are unitarily equivalent,

$$\varphi \oplus \psi \sim \varphi \oplus \psi_\infty \oplus \psi \sim \varphi \oplus \psi_\infty \sim \varphi,$$

so φ is unitally nuclearly absorbing. \square

Given two representations $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, write $\varphi \sim_{\text{asymp}} \psi$ if there is a norm continuous family $(u_t)_{t \geq 0} \subseteq M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ of unitaries such that for all $a \in A$,

- (1) $\|\varphi(a) - u_t\psi(a)u_t^*\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and
- (2) $\varphi(a) - u_t\psi(a)u_t^* \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The following folklore result shows nuclearly absorbing representations also satisfy a stronger asymptotic absorption condition.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Suppose A and B are C^* -algebras such that A is separable and B is σ -unital. If $\varphi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a nuclearly absorbing representation and $\psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a weakly nuclear representation, then $\varphi \oplus \psi \sim_{\text{asymp}} \varphi$.*

Proof. As φ is nuclearly absorbing, $\varphi \oplus \psi_\infty \sim \varphi$; hence $\varphi \oplus \psi_\infty \sim_{\text{asymp}} \varphi$ by the equivalence of (v) and (vi) in Theorem 3.4 of [34]. Now,

$$\varphi \oplus \psi \sim_{\text{asymp}} \varphi \oplus \psi_\infty \oplus \psi \sim_{\text{asymp}} \varphi \oplus \psi_\infty \sim_{\text{asymp}} \varphi.$$

\square

2.3. *Destabilizing KK-theory.* Following Dadarlat and Eilers in [20], two representations $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ are *properly asymptotically unitarily equivalent*, written $\varphi \approx \psi$, if there is a norm continuous family of unitaries $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in $B \otimes \mathcal{K} + \mathbb{C}1_{M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})} \subseteq M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that for all $a \in A$,

- (1) $\|\varphi(a) - u_t\psi(a)u_t^*\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and
- (2) $\varphi(a) - u_t\psi(a)u_t^* \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The word *proper* reflects that the path of unitaries is taken from the minimal unitization of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ instead of the multiplier algebra. This is a subtle, but very critical, difference with the relation \sim_{asymp} above. The following result, which is essentially due to Dadarlat and Eilers in [20], shows the relevance of proper asymptotic equivalence in KK -theory. This is in stark contrast with [Proposition 2.4](#) above, which shows the relation \sim_{asymp} is a rather weak equivalence relation on representations.

THEOREM 2.5. *Suppose that A is a separable C^* -algebra, B is a σ -unital C^* -algebra, and $(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ is a Cuntz pair. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $[\varphi, \psi] = 0 \in KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$;
- (2) *there is a weakly nuclear representation $\theta : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $\varphi \oplus \theta \approx \psi \oplus \theta$;*
- (3) *for any weakly nuclear, nuclearly absorbing representation $\theta : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, $\varphi \oplus \theta \approx \psi \oplus \theta$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.10 of [20], (1) and (2) are equivalent to

- (3') *for any weakly nuclear, nuclearly absorbing representation $\theta : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, $\varphi \oplus \theta_\infty \approx \psi \oplus \theta_\infty$.*

Hence it suffices to show (3) is equivalent to (3'). If θ is weakly nuclear and nuclearly absorbing, then θ_∞ is weakly nuclear, and hence $\theta \oplus \theta_\infty \sim_{\text{asymp}} \theta$ by [Proposition 2.4](#). So $\theta_\infty \sim_{\text{asymp}} \theta$, and the result follows from Lemma 3.4 in [20]. \square

The next two results in this section will allow us to control the stabilizations appearing in the previous theorem when all representations considered are assumed to be nuclearly absorbing. These results form a critical part of the classification results in [Section 4](#) and play a role analogous to that of the stable uniqueness theorem used in earlier classification results.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Suppose A and B are C^* -algebras such that A is separable and B is σ -unital. If $x \in KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ and $\psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a weakly nuclear, nuclearly absorbing representation, then there is a weakly nuclear, nuclearly absorbing representation $\varphi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that (φ, ψ) is a Cuntz pair in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ and $x = [\varphi, \psi]$.*

Proof. Let $x \in KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ and ψ be given. There is a Cuntz pair (θ, ρ) in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ such that $x = [\theta, \rho]$. By [Proposition 2.4](#), there is a norm continuous family $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of unitaries in $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that for all $a \in A$,

- (1) $\|\psi(a) - u_t(\rho \oplus \psi)(a)u_t^*\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and
- (2) $\psi(a) - u_t(\rho \oplus \psi)(a)u_t^* \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

For each $t \geq 0$ and $a \in A$, $u_t(\rho \oplus \psi)(a)u_t^*$ and $u_0(\rho \oplus \psi)(a)u_0^*$ differ by an element of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ as both elements differ from $\psi(a)$ by an element of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$. As (θ, ρ) is a Cuntz pair, we have

$$u_0((\theta \oplus \psi)(a) - (\rho \oplus \psi)(a))u_0^* \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

for all $a \in A$. Hence $(\text{ad}(u_0)(\theta \oplus \psi), \text{ad}(u_t)(\rho \oplus \psi))$ is a Cuntz pair for all $t \geq 0$ and defines a homotopy³ between the Cuntz pairs $(\text{ad}(u_0)(\theta \oplus \psi), \text{ad}(u_0)(\rho \oplus \psi))$ and $(\text{ad}(u_0)(\theta \oplus \psi), \psi)$. Now,

$$x = [\theta \oplus \psi, \rho \oplus \psi] = [\text{ad}(u_0)(\theta \oplus \psi), \text{ad}(u_0)(\rho \oplus \psi)] = [\text{ad}(u_0)(\theta \oplus \psi), \psi].$$

To complete the proof, define $\varphi = \text{ad}(u_0)(\theta \oplus \psi)$. \square

In the uniqueness portion of [Theorem D](#), we will need a way to relate two weakly nuclear representations $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ when (φ, ψ) forms a Cuntz pair and $[\varphi, \psi] = 0$ in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$. Ideally, if φ and ψ are nuclearly absorbing, then $[\varphi, \psi] = 0$ would imply $\varphi \cong \psi$. This is known to be the case when $B = \mathcal{K}$ (see [Theorem 3.12](#) in [\[20\]](#)) but is not known in general. The following technical variation will be sufficient for our purposes. A stronger result of this form will appear in forthcoming work of the author with Carrión, Gabe, Tikuisis, and White.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Suppose A is a separable C^* -algebra, E is a separable, unital, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra, and $I \subseteq E$ is an ideal such that $I \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong I$. Suppose $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow E$ are nuclear $*$ -homomorphisms such that $\varphi(a) - \psi(a) \in I$ for all $a \in A$. Let $\lambda : E \rightarrow M(I)$ be the canonical $*$ -homomorphism, and note that $(\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, I)$.*

If $\lambda\varphi$ and $\lambda\psi$ are nuclearly absorbing representations and $[\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi] = 0 \in KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, I)$, then there is a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq E$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a) - u_n\psi(a)u_n^*\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Since $[\lambda\varphi, \lambda\psi] = 0 \in KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, I)$, $\lambda\varphi$ is nuclearly absorbing, and $\lambda\psi$ is weakly nuclear, we have $\lambda\varphi \oplus \lambda\psi \cong \lambda\psi \oplus \lambda\varphi$ by [Theorem 2.5](#). Reversing

³Here the homotopy is defined on $[0, \infty]$ in place of $[0, 1]$.

the roles of φ and ψ , we have $\lambda\varphi \oplus \lambda\psi \cong \lambda\psi \oplus \lambda\psi$. In particular, there is a sequence $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{M}_2(I + \mathbb{C}1_{M(I)})$ such that

$$\|(\lambda\varphi \oplus \lambda\varphi)(a) - u_n(\lambda\psi \oplus \lambda\psi)(a)u_n^*\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in A$. As the restriction of λ to $\mathbb{M}_2(I + \mathbb{C}1_E)$ is injective, it follows that $\varphi \oplus \varphi$ and $\psi \oplus \psi$ are approximately unitarily equivalent as $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(E)$.

As there is a unital embedding $\mathbb{M}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, $\varphi \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\psi \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}}$ are approximately unitarily equivalent as $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. As E is \mathcal{Q} -stable and \mathcal{Q} is strongly self-absorbing, there is, by Remark 2.7 in [83], a sequence $\theta_n : E \otimes \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow E$ of unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that $\theta_n(x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}}) \rightarrow x$ for all $x \in E$. An $\varepsilon/3$ argument implies that φ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent as $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow E$. \square

3. The trace-kernel extension

Let B be a simple, unital C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ_B , and define the 2-norm on B by $\|b\|_2 = \tau_B(b^*b)^{1/2}$ for all $b \in B$. Let $\ell^\infty(B)$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded sequences in B and, for a free ultrafilter ω on the natural numbers, define

$$B_\omega := \ell^\infty(B)/\{b \in \ell^\infty(B) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|b_n\| = 0\}$$

and

$$B^\omega := \ell^\infty(B)/\{b \in \ell^\infty(B) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|b_n\|_2 = 0\}.$$

Since τ_B is contractive, $\|b\|_2 \leq \|b\|$ for all $b \in B$, and hence there is a natural extension

$$0 \longrightarrow J_B \xrightarrow{j_B} B_\omega \xrightarrow{q_B} B^\omega \longrightarrow 0,$$

where q_B is the canonical quotient map, $J_B = \ker(q_B)$, and j_B is the inclusion map. The C^* -algebra J_B is referred to as the *trace-kernel ideal* associated to B , and the extension is called the *trace-kernel extension* associated to B .

The trace-kernel extension has been extensively studied in connection with the Toms-Winter conjecture (with a modified definition when B has more than one trace); see [5], [45], [54], [55], [74], [75], [82] for example. In the case $B = \mathcal{Q}$, this extension also has a crucial role in the proof of the quasidiagonality theorem (Theorem 1.2 above), where the basic strategy is to lift a trace-preserving $*$ -homomorphism into $\mathcal{Q}^\omega \cong \mathcal{R}^\omega$ along the quotient map $q_{\mathcal{Q}}$ to obtain a trace-preserving $*$ -homomorphism into \mathcal{Q}^ω . This was made more explicit in [78], where the lift was obtained through extension theoretic methods.

Many of the properties of $J_{\mathcal{Q}}$ proved in [78] also hold for J_B for much more general C^* -algebras B (Proposition 3.2 below). The following definition is taken from [78].

Definition 3.1. A C^* -algebra I is called an *admissible kernel* if I has real rank zero and stable rank one, $K_0(I)$ is divisible, $K_1(I) = 0$, the Murray-von Neumann semigroup $V(I)$ is almost unperforated, and every projection in $I \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in I .

The following result collects the key properties of the trace-kernel extension needed in the next section.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If B is a simple, unital, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ_B such that every quasitrace on B is a trace and $K_1(B) = 0$, then*

- (1) B^ω is a II_1 -factor;
- (2) B_ω has real rank zero and stable rank one, has a unique trace τ_{B_ω} , has strict comparison of positive elements with respect to the trace, is separably \mathcal{Q} -stable, and has trivial K_1 -group; and
- (3) J_B is an admissible kernel.

Proof. For (1), as B has a unique trace τ_B , $\pi_{\tau_B}(B)''$ is a II_1 -factor (see Theorem 6.7.4 and Corollary 6.8.5 in [23]), and hence so is the tracial ultrapower $(\pi_{\tau_B}(B)''')^\omega$. By Remark 4.7 in [45], $B^\omega \cong B_\omega/J_B \cong (\pi_{\tau_B}(B)''')^\omega$.

For (2), the C^* -algebra B has real rank zero by Theorem 7.2 of [70], stable rank one by Corollary 6.6 in [69], and strict comparison by Theorem 5.2 of [70]. All three properties are known to be preserved by ultraproducts; for strict comparison, this follows from Lemma 1.23 in [5], and for real rank zero and stable rank one, see the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [78] for example. As B is \mathcal{Q} -stable, we have that B_ω is separably \mathcal{Q} -stable by Proposition 1.12. By Theorem 8 in [61], the unique trace on B_ω is the trace τ_{B_ω} induced by τ_B .

As B_ω has stable rank one, to show $K_1(B_\omega) = 0$, it suffices by Theorem 2.10 of [68] to show that the unitary group of B_ω is path connected. Let u be a unitary in B_ω , and fix a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in B lifting u . As $K_1(B) = 0$ and B has stable rank one, another application of Theorem 2.10 in [68] shows u_n is in the path component of the identity for each $n \geq 1$. Since B has real rank zero, Theorem 5 of [48] implies there is a unitary $v_n \in B$ with finite spectrum such that $\|u_n - v_n\| < 1/n$. Write $v_n = e^{ih_n}$ for a self-adjoint $h_n \in B$ with $\|h_n\| \leq \pi$, and let h denote the self-adjoint element of B_ω determined by the sequence $(h_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Then $u = e^{ih}$, and hence u is in the path component of the identity in the unitary group of B_ω .

For (3), as B_ω has real rank zero and stable rank one, so does J_B as both properties pass of ideals by Corollary 2.8 in [6] and Theorem 4.3 in [68], respectively. If $d \geq 1$ is an integer and $p \in \mathbb{M}_d(J_B)$ is a projection, then

$$(\tau_{B_\omega} \otimes \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{M}_d})(p) = 0 < 1 = (\tau_{B_\omega} \otimes \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{M}_d})(1_{B_\omega} \oplus 0_{B_\omega}^{\oplus(d-1)}),$$

where $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{M}_d}$ is the tracial functional on \mathbb{M}_d normalized at a rank one projection. As B_ω has strict comparison, there is a partial isometry $v \in \mathbb{M}_d(B_\omega)$ such that

$v^*v = p$ and $vv^* \leq 1_{B_\omega} \oplus 0_{B_\omega}^{\oplus(d-1)}$. Since $v^*v \in \mathbb{M}_d(J_B)$, we have $vv^* \in \mathbb{M}_d(J_B)$, and hence $vv^* = q \oplus 0_{B_\omega}^{\oplus(d-1)}$ for some projection $q \in J_B$. So every projection in $J_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in J_B .

Since B_ω is separably \mathcal{Q} -stable, so is J_B by [Proposition 1.12](#). Hence there is an increasing net J_i of a separable, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -subalgebras of J_B with dense union. It is well known that, since J_i is \mathcal{Q} -stable, $V(J_i)$ is unperforated and $K_0(J_i)$ is divisible; this can be shown using the continuity of $V(-)$ and $K_0(-)$ and writing $J_i \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ as the inductive limit of the $*$ -homomorphisms $\mathbb{M}_k(J_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\ell(J_i)$ where k divides ℓ and the maps are given by the diagonal embeddings with multiplicity ℓ/k . Using the continuity of the functors $V(-)$ and $K_0(-)$ again, it follows that $V(J_B)$ is almost unperforated and $K_0(J_B)$ is divisible as both properties are preserved by inductive limits.

It remains to show $K_1(J_B) = 0$. Consider the exact sequence

$$K_0(B_\omega) \xrightarrow{K_0(q_B)} K_0(B^\omega) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} K_1(J_B) \xrightarrow{K_1(j_B)} K_1(B_\omega)$$

induced by the trace-kernel extension. As $K_1(B_\omega) = 0$, it suffices to show $K_0(q_B)$ is surjective. Suppose $t \in [0, 1]$, and write $t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} t_n$ with $t_n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$. As B is unital and \mathcal{Q} -stable, there is a unital embedding $\mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow B$. Hence there is a sequence of projections $(p_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq B$ with $\tau_B(p_n) = t_n$. If p denotes the projection in B_ω defined by the sequence p_n , then $\tau_{B_\omega}(p) = t$. Hence the group homomorphism $\hat{\tau}_{B_\omega} : K_0(B_\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is surjective, and since B^ω is a II_1 -factor, the group homomorphism $\hat{\tau}_{B^\omega} : K_0(B^\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an isomorphism. Now, $\hat{\tau}_{B_\omega} = \hat{\tau}_{B^\omega} K_0(q_B)$, so $K_0(q_B)$ is surjective, and hence $K_1(J_B) = 0$. \square

The relevant properties of admissible kernels are collected in the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.3.

- (1) *The property of being an admissible kernel is separably inheritable.*
- (2) *If I is an admissible kernel, then $\mathbb{M}_n(I)$ is an admissible kernel for all $n \geq 1$.*
- (3) *If I is a separable admissible kernel, then I is stable and has the corona factorization property.*
- (4) *If A is a separable C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and I is a separable admissible kernel, then the canonical homomorphism $\text{KK}_{\text{nuc}}(A, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(A), K_0(I))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (1) is [Proposition 4.1](#) in [\[78\]](#). In (2), the only non-trivial claim is that real rank zero and stable rank one are preserved by taking matrix algebras; this follows from [Theorem 2.10](#) in [\[6\]](#) and [Theorem 3.3](#) in [\[68\]](#), respectively. The first two paragraphs of the proof of [Theorem 2.1](#) in [\[78\]](#) show (3). Since $K_1(I) = 0$ and $K_0(I)$ is divisible, it is an immediate consequence of the UCT that

the natural map $KK(A, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(A), K_0(I))$ is an isomorphism. By Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 7.1 in [72], A is KK -equivalent to a commutative C^* -algebra, and hence, by Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 in [79], the canonical map $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, I) \rightarrow KK(A, I)$ is an isomorphism. \square

4. Classification of $*$ -homomorphisms into ultrapowers

The goal of this section is to produce classification results for unital, full, nuclear $*$ -homomorphisms from a separable, exact C^* -algebra A satisfying the UCT into an ultrapower of a suitably well-behaved codomain B as outlined in the introduction. The following pullback lemma, shown to me by Jamie Gabe, will be used to control the range of a representation $A \rightarrow M(J_B)$ in the proof of [Proposition 4.2](#). This lemma is implicitly contained in the proof Theorem 5.1 in [78] and was explicitly stated in a slightly different form in an earlier version available on the arXiv. As the result does not appear in the published version of [78], the statement and proof are reproduced here.

LEMMA 4.1. *Consider a commuting diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{j_1} & P & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & B_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \beta_1 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{j_2} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & D \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of C^* -algebras with exact rows. If A is a C^* -algebra and $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow B_i$ are $*$ -homomorphisms for $i = 1, 2$ such that $\beta_1\varphi_1 = \beta_2\varphi_2$, then there is a unique $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow P$ such that $\alpha_i\varphi = \varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, φ is nuclear if, and only if, φ_1 and φ_2 are nuclear.

Proof. Consider the pullback C^* -algebra $Q = \{(b_1, b_2) \in B_1 \oplus B_2 : \beta_1(b_1) = \beta_2(b_2)\}$, and define $\pi : P \rightarrow Q$ by $\pi(p) = (\alpha_1(p), \alpha_2(p))$. A diagram chase shows π is an isomorphism. For existence, the $*$ -homomorphism φ is given by $\varphi(a) = \pi^{-1}(\varphi_1(a), \varphi_2(a))$, and uniqueness reduces to the statement $\ker(\alpha_1) \cap \ker(\alpha_2) = \ker(\pi) = 0$.

If φ is nuclear, then $\varphi_i = \alpha_i\varphi$ is nuclear for $i = 1, 2$. Conversely, suppose φ_1 and φ_2 are nuclear. Fix a C^* -algebra C , and let $\rho : A \otimes_{\max} C \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} C$ be the canonical $*$ -homomorphism. As maximal tensor products preserve exact sequences, there is a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I \otimes_{\max} C & \xrightarrow{j_1 \otimes \text{id}} & P \otimes_{\max} C & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1 \otimes \text{id}} & B_1 \otimes_{\max} C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \beta_1 \otimes \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I \otimes_{\max} C & \xrightarrow{j_2 \otimes \text{id}} & B_2 \otimes_{\max} C & \xrightarrow{\beta_2 \otimes \text{id}} & D \otimes_{\max} C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. As φ_i is nuclear, there is a *-homomorphism $\psi_i : A \otimes_{\min} C \rightarrow B_i \otimes_{\max} C$ such that $\varphi_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C = \psi_i \rho$ for each $i = 1, 2$ by Corollary 3.8.8 of [10].

Applying the first part of the lemma to the maps ψ_1 and ψ_2 , there is a *-homomorphism $\psi : A \otimes_{\min} C \rightarrow P \otimes_{\max} C$ such that $(\alpha_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C)\psi = \psi_i$. Since

$$(\alpha_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C)\psi\rho = \varphi_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C = (\alpha_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C)(\varphi \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C),$$

the uniqueness portion of the first part of the lemma implies $\psi\rho = \varphi \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C$. So $\varphi \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_C$ factors through $A \otimes_{\min} C$. As C was arbitrary, φ is nuclear by Corollary 3.8.8 of [10]. \square

The next two propositions provide a first approximation to the existence and uniqueness results in Theorem D and its technical refinement given in [Theorem 5.3](#).

For any C^* -algebra C , $\iota_2 : C \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(C)$ denotes the inclusion into the $(1, 1)$ -corner, and for any *-homomorphism $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ between C^* -algebras C_1 and C_2 , the induced *-homomorphism $\mathbb{M}_2(C_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(C_2)$ is still denoted by f .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and B is a simple, unital, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ_B such that every quasitrace on B is a trace and $K_1(B) = 0$.*

*If τ_A is a faithful, amenable trace on A and $\sigma : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B_\omega)$ is a group homomorphism such that $\hat{\tau}_{B_\omega}\sigma = \hat{\tau}_A$ and $\sigma([1_A]) = [1_{B_\omega}]$, then there is a unital, full, nuclear *-homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ such that $K_0(\varphi) = \sigma$ and $\tau_{B_\omega}\varphi = \tau_A$.*

Proof. As B is \mathcal{Q} -stable, there is a unital embedding $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B$ which is necessarily trace-preserving by the uniqueness of the trace on \mathcal{Q} . This induces a unital, trace-preserving embedding $\mathcal{Q}_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$. Composing this embedding with the *-homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\omega$ given by [Theorem 1.2](#) yields a unital, full, nuclear *-homomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ such that $\tau_{B_\omega}\psi = \tau_A$.

Note that $\hat{\tau}_{B_\omega}K_0(q_B\psi) = \hat{\tau}_{B_\omega}K_0(q_B)\sigma$. As B^ω is a II_1 -factor by [Proposition 3.3](#), $\hat{\tau}_{B_\omega}$ is an isomorphism, and hence $K_0(q_B\psi) = K_0(q_B)\sigma$. So the image of $\sigma - K_0(\psi)$ is contained in $\ker(K_0(q_B)) = \text{im}(K_0(j_B))$. Using again that B^ω is a II_1 -factor, $K_1(B^\omega) = 0$, and hence $K_0(j_B)$ is injective. So there is a group homomorphism $\kappa : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(J_B)$ such that $K_0(j_B)\kappa = \sigma - K_0(\psi)$.

By [Proposition 1.9](#), there is a separable C^* -subalgebra E_0 of B_ω containing $\psi(A)$ such that the corestriction of ψ to E_0 is full and nuclear. By [Proposition 1.10](#), there is a separable C^* -subalgebra I_0 of J_B such that κ factors as the composition of a group homomorphism $\kappa_0 : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(I_0)$ and the group homomorphism $K_0(I_0) \rightarrow K_0(J_B)$ induced by the inclusion $I_0 \hookrightarrow J_B$. As J_B

is an admissible kernel by [Proposition 3.2](#) and being an admissible kernel is separably inheritable by [Proposition 3.3](#), [Proposition 1.6](#) implies there are a separable admissible kernel $I \subseteq J_B$ containing I_0 , a separable C^* -subalgebra $E \subseteq B_\omega$ containing E_0 , and a separable C^* -subalgebra $D \subseteq B^\omega$ such that there is a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} & E & \xrightarrow{\hat{q}} & D \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota_I & & \downarrow \iota_E & & \downarrow \iota_D \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J_B & \xrightarrow{j_B} & B_\omega & \xrightarrow{q_B} & B^\omega \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of extensions where the vertical maps are the inclusions. Let $\hat{\psi} : A \rightarrow E$ denote the corestriction of ψ to E and let $\hat{\kappa} : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(I)$ be the composition of κ_0 with the group homomorphism $K_0(I_0) \rightarrow K_0(I)$ induced by the inclusion $I_0 \hookrightarrow I$.

As $\hat{\psi} : A \rightarrow E$ is full and nuclear, $\iota_2 \hat{\psi} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(E)$ is unitizably full and nuclear. Let $\lambda : \mathbb{M}_2(E) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{M}_2(I))$ be the canonical $*$ -homomorphism. By [Proposition 3.3](#), $\mathbb{M}_2(I)$ is a separable admissible kernel, and hence it is stable and has the corona factorization property. Note that $\lambda \iota_2 \hat{\psi}$ is unitizably full since λ is unital and $\iota_2 \hat{\psi}$ is unitizably full. Now by [Theorem 2.3](#), $\lambda \iota_2 \hat{\psi}$ is nuclearly absorbing.

As $\mathbb{M}_2(I)$ is a separable admissible kernel and A satisfies the UCT, the canonical group homomorphism

$$KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, \mathbb{M}_2(I)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(A), K_0(\mathbb{M}_2(I)))$$

is an isomorphism by [Proposition 3.3](#). Let $x \in KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, \mathbb{M}_2(I))$ be a lift of $K_0(\iota_2) \hat{\kappa}$. By [Proposition 2.6](#), there is a weakly nuclear representation $\theta : A \rightarrow M(\mathbb{M}_2(I))$ such that $(\theta, \lambda \iota_2 \hat{\psi})$ is a Cuntz pair in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, \mathbb{M}_2(I))$ and $[\theta, \lambda \iota_2 \hat{\psi}] = x$.

As A is exact and θ is weakly nuclear, [Proposition 3.2](#) of [31] implies that θ is nuclear. [Lemma 4.1](#) applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}_2(I) & \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} & \mathbb{M}_2(E) & \xrightarrow{\hat{q}} & \mathbb{M}_2(D) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}_2(I) & \longrightarrow & M(\mathbb{M}_2(I)) & \longrightarrow & M(\mathbb{M}_2(I)) / \mathbb{M}_2(I) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

implies there is a nuclear $*$ -homomorphism $\hat{\varphi}_2 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(E)$ such that $\lambda \hat{\varphi}_2 = \theta$ and $\hat{q} \hat{\varphi}_2 = \hat{q} \iota_2 \hat{\psi}$.

Now in $KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, \mathbb{M}_2(E))$, we have

$$\hat{j}_*(x) = \hat{j}_*[\lambda \hat{\varphi}_2, \lambda \iota_2 \hat{\psi}] = [\hat{\varphi}_2] - [\iota_2 \hat{\psi}]$$

by [Proposition 2.1](#). In particular, as x induces the group homomorphism $K_0(\iota_2)\hat{\kappa}$,

$$K_0(\hat{\varphi}_2) - K_0(\iota_2\hat{\psi}) = K_0(\iota_2\hat{j})\hat{\kappa}.$$

Let $\varphi_2 = \iota_E\hat{\varphi}_2 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(B_\omega)$. Then

$$K_0(\varphi_2) - K_0(\iota_2\psi) = K_0(\iota_2j_B)\kappa = K_0(\iota_2)\sigma - K_0(\iota_2\psi)$$

by the choice of κ , and hence $K_0(\varphi_2) = K_0(\iota_2)\sigma$. In particular,

$$[\varphi_2(1_A)] = K_0(\iota_2)(\sigma([1_A])) = [1_{B_\omega}] \in K_0(B_\omega).$$

As B_ω has stable rank one by [Proposition 3.2](#), B_ω has cancellation of projections by Proposition 6.5.1 of [2], and there is a unitary $u \in \mathbb{M}_2(B_\omega)$ with $u\varphi_2(1_A)u^* = 1_{B_\omega} \oplus 0_{B_\omega}$. Now, there is a unital *-homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ such that $\iota_2\varphi = \text{ad}(u)\varphi_2$.

We claim φ is the desired *-homomorphism. Note that

$$K_0(\iota_2\varphi) = K_0(\varphi_2) = K_0(\iota_2)\sigma$$

by the unitary invariance of K_0 . By the stability of K_0 , the map $K_0(\iota_2)$ is an isomorphism, and hence $K_0(\varphi) = \sigma$. By construction, $q_B\varphi_2 = q_B\iota_2\psi$, so if $\tau_{\mathbb{M}_2(B_\omega)}$ is the trace on $\mathbb{M}_2(B_\omega)$ induced by τ_{B_ω} , then

$$\tau_A = \tau_{B_\omega}\psi = 2\tau_{\mathbb{M}_2(B_\omega)}\varphi_2 = 2\tau_{\mathbb{M}_2(B_\omega)}\iota_2\varphi = \tau_{B_\omega}\varphi.$$

As φ is a compression of φ_2 and φ_2 is nuclear, φ is also nuclear. For each $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, $\tau_{B_\omega}(\varphi(a)) = \tau_A(a) > 0$ since τ_A is faithful. Since B_ω has strict comparison by [Proposition 3.2](#), it follows from Lemma 2.2 in [81] that φ is full. \square

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Suppose A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and B is a simple, unital, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ_B such that every quasitrace on B is a trace and $K_1(B) = 0$.*

*If $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ are unital, full, nuclear *-homomorphisms such that $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$ and $\tau_{B_\omega}\varphi = \tau_{B_\omega}\psi$, then there is a unitary $u \in B_\omega$ such that $\varphi = \text{ad}(u)\psi$.*

Proof. Note that $\tau_{B_\omega}q_B\varphi = \tau_{B_\omega}q_B\psi$. As B^ω is a II_1 -factor by [Proposition 3.2](#) and $q_B\varphi$ and $q_B\psi$ are nuclear, $q_B\varphi$ and $q_B\psi$ are unitarily equivalent by [Proposition 1.1](#) and a reindexing argument. Let \bar{v} be a unitary in B^ω with $q_B\varphi = \text{ad}(\bar{v})q_B\psi$. Again as B^ω is a II_1 -factor, the unitary group of B^ω is path connected. Hence there is a unitary $v \in B_\omega$ such that $q_B(v) = \bar{v}$. Replacing ψ with $\text{ad}(v)\psi$, we may assume $q_B\varphi = q_B\psi$.

By [Propositions 1.9](#) and [1.10](#), there is a separable C^* -subalgebra E_0 of B_ω containing $\varphi(A)$ and $\psi(A)$ such that the corestrictions of φ and ψ to E_0 are full and nuclear and agree on K_0 . By [Proposition 3.2](#), J_B is an admissible kernel, B_ω is separably \mathcal{Q} -stable, and B^ω is a II_1 -factor and, therefore,

has trivial K_1 -group. As having trivial K_1 -group and being an admissible kernel are separably inheritable properties, [Proposition 1.6](#) implies there are separable C^* -subalgebras $I \subseteq J_B$, $E \subseteq B_\omega$, and $D \subseteq B^\omega$ such that I is an admissible kernel, E contains E_0 and is \mathcal{Q} -stable, $K_1(D) = 0$, and there is a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} & E & \xrightarrow{\hat{q}} & D \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota_I & & \downarrow \iota_E & & \downarrow \iota_D \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J_B & \xrightarrow{j_B} & B_\omega & \xrightarrow{q_B} & B^\omega \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of extensions where the vertical arrows are the inclusion maps. Let $\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\psi} : A \rightarrow E$ be the corestrictions of φ and ψ to E , respectively. Then $\hat{q}\hat{\varphi} = \hat{q}\hat{\psi}$, $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\psi}$ are unital, full, and nuclear, and $K_0(\hat{\varphi}) = K_0(\hat{\psi})$.

Let $\lambda : \mathbb{M}_2(E) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{M}_2(I))$ be the canonical $*$ -homomorphism. Note that the image of $\lambda\iota_2\varphi - \lambda\iota_2\psi$ is contained in $\mathbb{M}_2(I)$, so $(\lambda\iota_2\varphi, \lambda\iota_2\psi)$ is a Cuntz pair in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{nuc}}(A, \mathbb{M}_2(I))$. If $\kappa : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{M}_2(I))$ is the group homomorphism induced by this Cuntz pair, then $K_0(j)\kappa = K_0(\iota_2\hat{\varphi}) - K_0(\iota_2\hat{\psi}) = 0$ by [Proposition 2.1](#). As $K_1(D) = 0$, we have that $K_0(j)$ is injective, and hence $\kappa = 0$. [Proposition 3.3](#) implies $\mathbb{M}_2(I)$ is an admissible kernel and the canonical group homomorphism

$$KK_{\text{nuc}}(A, \mathbb{M}_2(I)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(A), K_0(\mathbb{M}_2(I)))$$

is an isomorphism, so $[\lambda\iota_2\hat{\varphi}, \lambda\iota_2\hat{\psi}] = 0$.

As $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\psi}$ are full and nuclear and λ is unital, we have $\lambda\iota_2\hat{\varphi}$ and $\lambda\iota_2\hat{\psi}$ are unitarily full and nuclear. As $\mathbb{M}_2(I)$ is a separable admissible kernel, [Proposition 3.3](#) implies $\mathbb{M}_2(I)$ is stable and satisfies the corona factorization property. It follows that $\lambda\iota_2\hat{\varphi}$ and $\lambda\iota_2\hat{\psi}$ are nuclearly absorbing by [Theorem 2.3](#), and [Proposition 2.7](#) implies $\iota_2\hat{\varphi}$ and $\iota_2\hat{\psi}$ are approximately unitarily equivalent.

Now, $\iota_2\varphi$ and $\iota_2\psi$ are approximately unitarily equivalent, and hence, by a reindexing argument, there is a unitary $w \in \mathbb{M}_2(B_\omega)$ with $\iota_2\varphi = \text{ad}(w)\iota_2\psi$. As φ and ψ are unital, w commutes with $\iota_2\varphi(1_A) = \iota_2\psi(1_A) = 1_{B_\omega} \oplus 0_{B_\omega}$. Therefore, $w = u \oplus u'$ for unitaries u and u' in B_ω , and $\varphi = \text{ad}(u)\psi$. \square

5. The classification theorem

The goal of this section is to show the classification results for $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B_ω given in [Section 4](#) imply the analogous results for $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B under the same hypothesis. The ideas used here go back at least as far as the work of Lin in [\[50\]](#) and Dadarlat and Eilers in [\[21\]](#) and have been used heavily since then. The uniqueness result for $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B follows immediately from the uniqueness result for $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B_ω . The difficulty lies in the existence result

where only approximately multiplicative maps from A to B can be produced directly from a *-homomorphism from A to B_ω . To make up for this, a technical uniqueness result for approximately multiplicative maps is needed.

Let A and B be C^* -algebras, let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq A$ be a finite set, and let $\delta > 0$ be given. A linear, self-adjoint function $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is called (\mathcal{G}, δ) -multiplicative if

$$\|\varphi(aa') - \varphi(a)\varphi(a')\| < \delta$$

for all $a, a' \in \mathcal{G}$. Following Section 3.3 of [21], a K_0 -triple for a unital C^* -algebra A is a triple $(\mathcal{G}, \delta, \mathcal{P})$, where $\mathcal{G} \subseteq A$ is a finite set, $\delta > 0$, and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ is a finite set of projections in matrices over A such that whenever B is a unital C^* -algebra and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a linear, self-adjoint, (\mathcal{G}, δ) -multiplicative map, $\|\varphi(p^2) - \varphi(p)^2\| < 1/4$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Note that if $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ is any finite set, then for all sufficiently large finite sets $\mathcal{G} \subseteq A$ and sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, the triple $(\mathcal{G}, \delta, \mathcal{P})$ is a K_0 -triple for A .

Let χ denote the characteristic function of $[1/2, \infty)$ defined on the real numbers. Note that if $(\mathcal{G}, \delta, \mathcal{P})$ is a K_0 -triple for A and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a linear, self-adjoint, (\mathcal{G}, δ) -multiplicative map, then $1/2$ is not in the spectrum of $\varphi(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we may define $\varphi_\#(p) := [\chi(\varphi(p))] \in K_0(B)$. In this way, every linear, self-adjoint, (\mathcal{G}, δ) -multiplicative map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ defines a function $\varphi_\# : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow K_0(B)$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and B is a simple, unital, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ_B such that every quasitrace on B is a trace and $K_1(B) = 0$.*

If τ_A is a faithful, amenable trace on A and $\sigma : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is a group homomorphism such that $\hat{\tau}_B \sigma = \hat{\tau}_A$ and $\sigma([1_A]) = [1_B]$, then for any K_0 -triple $(\mathcal{G}, \delta, \mathcal{P})$ for A , there is a unital, completely positive, nuclear, (\mathcal{G}, δ) -multiplicative map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\varphi_\#(p) = \sigma([p])$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $|\tau_B(\varphi(a)) - \tau_A(a)| < \delta$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Suppose σ and τ_A are given as in the statement, and let $(\mathcal{G}, \delta, \mathcal{P})$ be a K_0 -triple for A . Let $\iota_B : B \rightarrow B_\omega$ denote the diagonal embedding. By Proposition 4.2, there is a unital, nuclear *-homomorphism $\varphi_\omega : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ such that $K_0(\varphi_\omega) = K_0(\iota_B)\sigma$ and $\tau_{B_\omega}\varphi_\omega = \tau_A$. By the Choi-Effros lifting theorem, there is a sequence of unital, completely positive, nuclear maps $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow B$ representing φ_ω . Let

$$S_1 = \bigcap_{a, a' \in \mathcal{G}} \{n \geq 1 : \|\varphi_n(aa') - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(a')\| < \delta\},$$

and note that $S_1 \in \omega$ and, for each $n \in S_1$, φ_n is (\mathcal{G}, δ) -multiplicative.

Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and let $d, k \geq 1$ be integers such that $p \in \mathbb{M}_d(A)$ and there are projections $e, f \in \mathbb{M}_k(B)$ with $\sigma([p]) = [e] - [f]$. Then $[\varphi_\omega(p)] = [\iota_B(e)] - [\iota_B(f)]$. Now, there are an integer $\ell \geq 1$ and a partial isometry $v \in \mathbb{M}_{d+k+\ell}(B_\omega)$

such that

$$v^*v = \varphi_\omega(p) \oplus \iota_B(f) \oplus 1_{B_\omega}^{\oplus \ell} \quad \text{and} \quad vv^* = 0_{B_\omega}^{\oplus d} \oplus \iota_B(e) \oplus 1_{B_\omega}^{\oplus \ell}.$$

Let $(v_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{M}_{d+k+\ell}(B)$ lifting v , and note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|v_n^*v_n - \chi(\varphi_n(p)) \oplus f \oplus 1_B^{\oplus \ell}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|v_n v_n^* - 0_B^{\oplus d} \oplus e \oplus 1_B^{\oplus \ell}\| = 0.$$

As $p \in \mathcal{P}$ was arbitrary and \mathcal{P} is finite,

$$S := \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \{n \in S_1 : (\varphi_n)_\#(p) = [\sigma(p)]\} \in \omega.$$

Let $T = \bigcap_{a \in \mathcal{G}} \{n \geq 1 : |\tau_B(\varphi(a)) - \tau_A(a)| < \delta\}$, and note that $T \in \omega$ since $\tau_{B_\omega} \varphi = \tau_A$. Now, $S \cap T \in \omega$ and, in particular, $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Fix $n \in S \cap T$ and define $\varphi = \varphi_n$. \square

LEMMA 5.2. *Suppose A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and B is a simple, unital, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ_B such that every quasitrace on B is a trace and $K_1(B) = 0$.*

For any faithful trace τ_A on A , finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq A$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a K_0 -triple $(\mathcal{G}, \delta, \mathcal{P})$ for A such that if $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are unital, completely positive, nuclear, (\mathcal{G}, δ) -multiplicative maps with $\varphi_\#(p) = \psi_\#(p)$, $|\tau_B(\varphi(a)) - \tau_A(a)| < \delta$, and $|\tau_B(\psi(a)) - \tau_A(a)| < \delta$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a) - u\psi(a)u^*\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Assume the result is false, and fix a faithful trace τ_A on A , a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq A$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ where the result fails. Let $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of A with dense union, let $(\delta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero, and let $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ with dense⁴ union such that $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{P}_n)$ is a K_0 -triple for each $n \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, there are unital, completely positive, nuclear, $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n)$ -multiplicative maps $\varphi_n, \psi_n : A \rightarrow B$ such that $(\varphi_n)_\#(p) = (\psi_n)_\#(p)$, $|\tau_B(\varphi_n(a)) - \tau_A(a)| < \delta_n$, and $|\tau_B(\psi_n(a)) - \tau_A(a)| < \delta_n$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ but such that for each unitary $u_n \in B$, there is an $a \in \mathcal{F}$ with

$$\|\varphi_n(a) - u_n \psi_n(a) u_n^*\| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Let $\varphi_\omega, \psi_\omega : A \rightarrow B_\omega$ denote the functions induced by the sequences $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(\psi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, respectively, and note that φ_ω and ψ_ω are unital $*$ -homomorphisms. Since A is exact, φ_ω and ψ_ω are nuclear by Proposition 3.3 in [15]. Also, $\tau_{B_\omega} \varphi_\omega = \tau_{B_\omega} \psi_\omega = \tau_A$. Since τ_A is faithful and B_ω has strict comparison

⁴Here $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ is equipped with the metric induced by the norm on $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

with respect to τ_{B_ω} by [Proposition 3.2](#), φ_ω and ψ_ω are full by Lemma 2.2 in [\[81\]](#).

Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and let $d \geq 1$ be an integer with $p \in \mathbb{M}_d(A)$. As $(\varphi_k)_\#(p) = (\psi_k)_\#(p)$ for all $k \geq n$, we have $[\chi(\varphi_k(p))] = [\chi(\psi_k(p))]$ in $K_0(B)$ for all $k \geq n$. Note that B has stable rank one by Corollary 6.6 in [\[69\]](#), and hence B has cancellation of projections by Proposition 6.5.1 of [\[2\]](#). Now, there is a partial isometry $v_k \in \mathbb{M}_d(B)$ with $v_k^*v_k = \chi(\varphi_k(p))$ and $v_kv_k^* = \chi(\psi_k(p))$ for all $k \geq n$. The sequence $(v_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ defines a partial isometry v in $\mathbb{M}_d(B_\omega)$ with $v^*v = \chi(\varphi_\omega(p)) = \varphi_\omega(p)$ and $vv^* = \chi(\psi_\omega(p)) = \psi_\omega(p)$. Hence $[\varphi_\omega(p)] = [\psi_\omega(p)]$ in $K_0(B_\omega)$. This shows $K_0(\varphi_\omega) = K_0(\psi_\omega)$.

[Proposition 4.3](#) now shows there is a unitary $u \in B_\omega$ with $\varphi_\omega(a) = u\psi_\omega(a)u^*$ for all $a \in A$. If $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq B$ is a sequence of unitaries lifting u , then for each $a \in A$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi_n(a) - u_n\psi_n(a)u_n^*\| = 0$$

and, in particular, for some integer $n \geq 1$,

$$\|\varphi_n(a) - u_n\psi_n(a)u_n^*\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, which is a contradiction. \square

Intertwining the previous two lemmas produces the following classification theorem, which is the main technical result of the paper.

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and B is a simple, unital, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ_B such that every quasitrace on B is a trace and $K_1(B) = 0$.*

- (1) *If τ_A is a faithful, amenable trace on A and $\sigma : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is a group homomorphism such that $\hat{\tau}_B\sigma = \hat{\tau}_A$ and $\sigma([1_A]) = [1_B]$, then there is a unital, faithful, nuclear * -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $K_0(\varphi) = \sigma$ and $\tau_B\varphi = \tau_A$.*
- (2) *If $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are unital, faithful, nuclear * -homomorphisms with $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$ and $\tau_B\varphi = \tau_B\psi$, then there is a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq B$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(a) - u_n\psi(a)u_n^*\| = 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Note that (2) follows immediately from [Lemma 5.2](#) with $\tau_A := \tau_B\varphi$. For (1), fix an increasing sequence of finite sets $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq A$ with dense union and a sequence $\varepsilon_n > 0$ with $\sum_n \varepsilon_n < \infty$. Let $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{P}_n)$ be K_0 -triples for A satisfying [Lemma 5.2](#) for the trace τ_A and the pair $(\mathcal{F}_n, \varepsilon_n)$. Enlarging \mathcal{G}_n and \mathcal{P}_n and decreasing δ_n , we may assume the \mathcal{G}_n are increasing with union dense

in A , the δ_n are decreasing and converging to zero, and the \mathcal{P}_n are increasing with union dense in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$.

By [Lemma 5.1](#), for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unital, completely positive, nuclear, $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n)$ -multiplicative map $\psi_n : A \rightarrow B$ with $(\psi_n)_\#(p) = \sigma([p])$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $|\tau_B(\psi_n(a)) - \tau_A(a)| < \delta_n$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_n$. By [Lemma 5.2](#), for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unitary $u_{n+1} \in B$ such that

$$\|\psi_n(a) - u_{n+1}\psi_{n+1}(a)u_{n+1}^*\| < \varepsilon_n$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Define $\varphi_1 = \psi_1$, and define $\varphi_n = \text{ad}(u_2u_3 \cdots u_n)\psi_n$ for $n \geq 2$. Then for all $n \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$$\|\varphi_n(a) - \varphi_{n+1}(a)\| = \|\psi_n(a) - u_{n+1}\psi_{n+1}(a)u_{n+1}^*\| < \varepsilon_n.$$

This implies $(\varphi_n(a))_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy for all $a \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n$, and hence by an $\varepsilon/3$ argument, it is Cauchy for all $a \in A$. The desired map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is given by $\varphi(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(a)$. \square

The following result provides the rigorous statement of Theorem D.

COROLLARY 5.4 (Theorem D). *Suppose A is a separable, unital, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and B is a simple, unital AF-algebra with a unique trace τ_B and divisible K_0 -group.*

- (1) *If τ_A is a faithful, amenable trace on A and $\sigma : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is a group homomorphism such that $\hat{\tau}_B\sigma = \hat{\tau}_A$ and $\sigma([1_A]) = [1_B]$, then there is a unital, faithful $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $K_0(\varphi) = \sigma$ and $\tau_B\varphi = \tau_A$.*
- (2) *If $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are unital, faithful $*$ -homomorphisms with $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$ and $\tau_B\varphi = \tau_B\psi$, then there is a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq B$ such that for all $a \in A$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(a) - u_n\psi(a)u_n^*\| = 0.$$

Proof. As B is an AF-algebra, we have that $K_0(B)$ is torsion-free, $K_1(B) = 0$, and every quasitrace on B is a trace. As $K_0(B)$ is divisible and torsion-free, the homomorphism $K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ given by $[p] \mapsto [p] \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all projections $p \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an isomorphism. Also, viewing $B \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ as the inductive limit of the diagonal embeddings $\mathbb{M}_k(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\ell(B)$ for integers $k, \ell \geq 1$ with k dividing ℓ , the group homomorphisms

$$K_0(\mathbb{M}_k(B)) \rightarrow K_0(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} : [p] \mapsto [p] \otimes \frac{1}{k}$$

induce an isomorphism $K_0(B \otimes \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K_0(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. These isomorphisms induce an isomorphism $K_0(B) \cong K_0(B \otimes \mathcal{Q})$ of ordered abelian groups, and it follows from Elliott's classification of AF-algebras that $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. As B is nuclear, all

$*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$ are nuclear, so the result follows from [Theorem 5.3](#). \square

6. Applications

Proof of Theorem A. We may assume A is unital. Let τ_A be a faithful, amenable trace on A , and let

$$G_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{(\tau_A \otimes \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}})(p) : p \in A \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ is a projection}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

By [27], if G_0 is equipped with the order and unit inherited from \mathbb{R} , then G_0 is a simple dimension group with a unique state given by the inclusion $G_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let B be a unital AF-algebra with $K_0(B) \cong G_0$ and note that B is simple and has a unique trace. Composing the map $K_0(A) \rightarrow G_0$ induced by the trace with an isomorphism $G_0 \rightarrow K_0(B)$ produces a group homomorphism $\sigma : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ compatible with the unit and the trace. [Corollary 5.4](#) now implies the existence of a unital, trace-preserving embedding $A \rightarrow B$. \square

Proof of Theorem B. The implications $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftarrow (3)$ are well known. To show (1) implies (3) , let G be a countable, discrete, amenable group. A result of Higson and Kasparov in [39] shows that G satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture, and hence a result of Lück in [53] shows that if $\tau_{C_r^*(G)}$ denote the canonical trace on $C_r^*(G)$, then for all projections $p \in C_r^*(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, we have $(\tau_{C_r^*(G)} \otimes \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}})(p) \in \mathbb{Q}$. As $K_0(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, the trace on $C_r^*(G)$ produces a group homomorphism $K_0(C_r^*(G)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{Q})$ compatible with the unit and trace. A result of Tu in [84] shows $C_r^*(G)$ satisfies the UCT, and the result follows from [Corollary 5.4](#). \square

Proof of Theorem C. If $C_0(X) \rtimes_r G$ embeds into a simple, unital AF-algebra B , then any trace on B induces a faithful, G -invariant, Borel measure on X with mass at most 1, and hence after rescaling, X admits a probability measure of the desired form.

Conversely, suppose G is amenable and suppose μ is a faithful, G -invariant, Borel, probability measure on X . If $E : C_0(X) \rtimes G \rightarrow C_0(X)$ is the canonical conditional expectation, then the map $C_0(X) \rtimes G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$a \mapsto \int_X E(a) d\mu$$

is a faithful trace on $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ which is amenable as $C_0(X) \rtimes_r G$ is nuclear. A result of Tu in [84] shows $C_0(X) \rtimes_r G$ satisfies the UCT, so the result follows from [Theorem A](#). \square

In [52], Lin has shown that if A is an AH-algebra and α is an action of \mathbb{Z}^k on A such that A admits a faithful, α -invariant trace, then the crossed

product $A \rtimes_r \mathbb{Z}^k$ embeds into an AF-algebra. Theorem A implies the following generalization of this result.

COROLLARY 6.1. *Suppose A is a separable, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT, G is a countable, discrete, torsion-free, abelian group, and α is an action of G on A . If A admits a faithful, α -invariant, amenable trace, then $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ embeds into an AF-algebra.*

Proof. Let G_n be an increasing sequence of finitely generated subgroups of G with union G . If α_n is the restriction of α to an action of G_n on A , then the canonical *-homomorphism

$$\varinjlim A \rtimes_{\alpha_n} G_n \longrightarrow A \rtimes_\alpha G$$

is an isomorphism. As each G_n is finitely generated, torsion-free, and abelian, $G_n \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d(n)}$ for some integer $d(n) \geq 1$. It follows that $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ satisfies the UCT. Also, A is separable and exact, and if $E : A \rtimes_\alpha G \rightarrow A$ is the canonical conditional expectation and τ_A is a faithful, α -invariant, amenable trace on A , then $\tau_A E$ is a faithful trace on $A \rtimes_\alpha G$. As τ_A is amenable and A is exact, $\pi_{\tau_A}(A)''$ is injective. Therefore,

$$\pi_{\tau_A E}(A \rtimes_\alpha G)'' \cong \pi_{\tau_A}(A)'' \bar{\rtimes} G$$

is injective by Proposition 6.8 of [13], and hence $\tau_A E$ is amenable. The result now follows from Theorem A. \square

The AF-embedding problem for C^* -algebras of countable 1-graphs was solved in [76]. For countable, cofinal, row-finite 2-graphs with no sources, a similar result was obtained by Clark, an Huef, and Sims in [12]. Using their techniques together with Theorem A, the main result of [12] extends to k -graphs.

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, let Λ be a countable, cofinal, row-finite k -graph with no sources, and let A_1, \dots, A_k denote the coordinate matrices of Λ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $C^*(\Lambda)$ embeds into an AF-algebra;
- (2) $C^*(\Lambda)$ is quasidiagonal;
- (3) $C^*(\Lambda)$ is stably finite;
- (4) $\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \text{im}(1 - A_i^t) \right) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+ \Lambda^0 = \{0\}$;
- (5) Λ admits a faithful graph trace.

Proof. The equivalence of (2) through (5) is Theorem 1.1 in [12], and it is well known that (1) implies (2). The same proof given in Lemma 3.7 of [12] shows (5) implies (1) by appealing to Theorem A above in place of Corollary B of [81]. \square

A C^* -algebra A is *matricial field (MF)* if there is a net $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n(i)}$ of linear, self-adjoint functions such that

$$\|\varphi_i(aa') - \varphi_i(a)\varphi_i(a')\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi_i(a)\| \rightarrow \|a\|$$

for all $a, a' \in A$. Similarly, a trace τ_A on a C^* -algebra A is called *matricial field (MF)* if there is a net $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n(i)}$ of linear, self-adjoint functions such that

$$\|\varphi_i(aa') - \varphi_i(a)\varphi_i(a')\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{\mathbb{M}_{n(i)}}(\varphi_i(a)) \rightarrow \tau_A(a)$$

for all $a, a' \in A$.

The class of MF algebras was introduced by Blackadar and Kirchberg in [4]. Haagerup and Thorbjørnsen have shown in [37] that $C_r^*(F_2)$ is MF where F_2 is the free group on two generators. From here, it follows as well that $C_r^*(F)$ is MF for all free groups F . (One first reduces to the case where F is countable and then considers an embedding $F \hookrightarrow F_2$.) Using this result, many reduced crossed products of C^* -algebras by free groups have been shown to be MF in [43], [66], [67], [77]. The results above allow for substantial generalizations of these results.

COROLLARY 6.3. *Suppose A is a separable, exact C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and α is an action of a free group F on A . If τ is a trace on $A \rtimes_r F$ such that $\tau|_A$ is faithful and amenable, then τ is MF.*

Proof. Adding a unit to A if necessary, we may assume A is unital. By Theorem 4.8 in [67], there is a group homomorphism $\sigma : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{Q}_\omega)$ such that $\sigma([1_A]) = [1_{\mathcal{Q}_\omega}]$, $\hat{\tau}_{\mathcal{Q}_\omega}\sigma = \hat{\tau}|_A$, and $\sigma K_0(\alpha_s) = \sigma$ for each $s \in F$. By Proposition 4.2, there is a unital, full, nuclear $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\omega$ such that $K_0(\varphi) = \sigma$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{Q}_\omega}\varphi = \tau|_A$.

For each s in a free generating set for F , we have $K_0(\varphi\alpha_s) = K_0(\varphi)$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{Q}_\omega}\varphi\alpha_s = \tau_{\mathcal{Q}_\omega}\varphi$, and hence, by Proposition 4.3, there is a unitary $u_s \in \mathcal{Q}_\omega$ such that $\varphi\alpha_s = \text{ad}(u_s)\varphi$. As F is free, the function $s \mapsto u_s$ extends to a unitary representation of F on \mathcal{Q}_ω with $\varphi\alpha_s = \text{ad}(u_s)\varphi$ for all $s \in F$.

This shows that the trace $\tau|_A$ is α -MF in the sense of [67], and hence, if $E : A \rtimes_r F \rightarrow A$ denotes the conditional expectation, then the trace $(\tau|_A)E$ is MF. When $F \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\tau = (\tau|_A)E$ by [38], and therefore, τ is MF.

Now assume $F = \mathbb{Z}$. Since A is exact and $\tau|_A$ is amenable, $\pi_{\tau|_A}(A)''$ is injective. Since

$$\pi_{(\tau|_A)E}(A \rtimes \mathbb{Z})'' \cong \pi_{\tau|_A}(A)'' \bar{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z}$$

is injective by Proposition 6.8 of [13], $(\tau|_A)E$ is amenable. Now, $A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is separable and exact, satisfies the UCT, and admits a faithful, amenable trace $(\tau|_A)E$. By Theorem 3.8 in [33], $(\tau|_A)E$ is quasidiagonal, and hence $A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is quasidiagonal. By Theorem 4.1 in [33], every amenable trace on $A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is quasidiagonal and, in particular, is MF. So it suffices to show τ is amenable.

Let $T(A)$ denote the set of traces on A . Since A is unital, $T(A)$ is a Choquet simplex by Theorem 3.1.18 in [73]. It was shown by Kirchberg in Lemma 3.4 of [44] that the set of amenable traces on A is a weak*-closed face in $T(A)$, so by Corollary II.5.20 of [1], there is a continuous, affine function $f : T(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for $\rho \in T(A)$, $f(\rho) = 0$ if, and only if, ρ is amenable. Let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the action of \mathbb{T} on $A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ dual to α . Then

$$(\tau|_A)E = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \tau \hat{\alpha}_z dz.$$

As $f((\tau|_A)E) = 0$ and the map $z \mapsto f(\tau \hat{\alpha}_z)$ is positive and continuous on \mathbb{T} , we have $f(\tau \hat{\alpha}_z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ by the faithfulness of the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} . Therefore, $\tau \hat{\alpha}_z$ is an amenable trace for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and, in particular, $\tau = \tau \hat{\alpha}_1$ is an amenable trace. \square

COROLLARY 6.4. *If A is a locally type I C^* -algebra and α is an action of a free group F on A , then every trace on $A \rtimes_r F$ is MF.*

Proof. We may assume A is separable. Suppose τ is a trace on $A \rtimes_r F$. Then $J := \{a \in A : \tau(a^*a) = 0\}$ is an α -invariant ideal of A and τ vanishes on the ideal $J \rtimes_r F$ of $A \rtimes_r F$. Hence, as F is exact, τ factors through $(A/J) \rtimes_r F$. As A is locally type I, so is A/J . After replacing A with A/J , we may assume $\tau|_A$ is faithful. By Theorem 1.1 in [16], locally type I algebras satisfy the UCT. Since locally type I algebras are nuclear, the result follows from [Corollary 6.3](#). \square

The following is a substantial generalization of a result of Kerr and Nowak (Theorem 5.2 in [43]) which gives the same statement in the case when A is commutative. An action of a group G on a C^* -algebra A is called *minimal* if A admits no non-trivial G -invariant ideals.

COROLLARY 6.5. *Suppose A is a separable, unital, nuclear C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and F is a free group acting minimally on A . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A \rtimes_r F$ is MF;
- (2) $A \rtimes_r F$ is stably finite;
- (3) A admits an invariant trace.

Proof. All MF C^* -algebras are stably finite, so (1) implies (2). For (2) implies (3), if $A \rtimes_r F$ is stably finite, then since $A \rtimes_r F$ is unital and exact, $A \rtimes_r F$ admits a trace τ by Corollary 5.12 in [36], and then $\tau|_A$ is an invariant trace on A . Finally, for (3) implies (1), suppose A admits an invariant trace τ_A . Since $\{a \in A : \tau_A(a^*a) = 0\}$ is an invariant ideal in A and α is minimal, τ_A is faithful. As A is nuclear, τ_A is amenable, and hence $\tau_A E$ is MF by

Corollary 6.3. Since τ_A and E are faithful, $\tau_A E$ is faithful. So $A \rtimes_r F$ has a faithful, MF trace, hence it is MF. \square

Theorem D also allows for a description of closed unitary orbits of normal operators in simple, unital AF-algebras with a unique trace and divisible K_0 -group in terms of spectral data.

COROLLARY 6.6. *Suppose B is a simple, unital AF-algebra with a unique trace and divisible K_0 -group. Two normal operators a and b in B are approximately unitarily equivalent if, and only if, $\sigma(a) = \sigma(b)$, $\tau_B(f(a)) = \tau_B(f(b))$ for all $f \in C(\sigma(a))$, and for every compact, open set $U \subseteq \sigma(a)$, the projections $\chi_U(a)$ and $\chi_U(b)$ are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let $X = \sigma(a) = \sigma(b)$, and let \hat{a} and \hat{b} be the *-homomorphisms $C(X) \rightarrow B$ given by the functional calculus. If $X = \sigma(a) = \sigma(b)$, then $K_0(C(X))$ is canonically isomorphic to $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and is generated as a group by the elements $[\chi_U]$ for compact, open sets $U \subseteq X$. Hence $K_0(\hat{a}) = K_0(\hat{b})$ if, and only if, $\chi_U(a)$ and $\chi_U(b)$ are unitarily equivalent for each compact, open set $U \subseteq X$. Now apply the uniqueness portion of [Theorem 5.3](#) to the *-homomorphisms \hat{a} and \hat{b} . \square

We end with an abstract characterization of AF-algebras among the class of simple, unital C^* -algebras with a unique trace and divisible K_0 -group. The following result is known and can be deduced from the classification of separable, simple, unital, nuclear, \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras which satisfy the UCT and have a unique trace. The minimal route through the literature seems to be the quasidiagonality theorem of [\[81\]](#), the results of Matui-Sato to show A is tracially AF [\[55\]](#), and the classification of separable, simple, unital, nuclear, tracially AF-algebras satisfying the UCT due to Lin in [\[51\]](#). The latter result makes heavy use of deep classification and structural results for approximately homogeneous C^* -algebras. It is worth emphasizing that the proof given here does not depend on tracial approximations of any kind and does not depend on any inductive limit structure beyond that for AF-algebras.

COROLLARY 6.7. *Suppose A is a simple, unital, C^* -algebra with a unique trace and divisible K_0 -group. Then A is an AF-algebra if, and only if, A is separable, nuclear, and \mathcal{Q} -stable, A satisfies the UCT, and $K_1(A) = 0$.*

Proof. It is well known that AF-algebras are separable, nuclear, and satisfy the UCT. As $K_0(A)$ is divisible, $K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Q}) \cong K_0(A)$ and hence A is \mathcal{Q} -stable by the classification of AF-algebras.

Conversely, suppose A is a separable, simple, unital, nuclear, \mathcal{Q} -stable C^* -algebra with a unique trace, A satisfies the UCT, and $K_1(A) = 0$. As A

is simple, the trace on A is necessarily faithful, so A is stably finite. Hence $(K_0(A), K_0^+(A), [1_A])$ is an ordered group by Proposition 6.3.3 in [2].

As A is \mathcal{Q} -stable and has a unique trace, A has real rank zero by Theorem 7.2 of [70]. Also, A has stable rank one by Corollary 6.6 in [69] and, therefore, has cancellation of projections by Proposition 6.5.1 of [2]. Therefore, $K_0(A)$ has Riesz interpolation by Corollary 1.6 in [85]. Also, as A is \mathcal{Q} -stable, $K_0(A)$ is unperforated. By the Effros-Handelman-Shen Theorem [25], there is a unital AF-algebra B with $K_0(A) \cong K_0(B)$ as ordered groups. Necessarily, B is simple and has a unique trace. Let $\sigma : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ be a unital order isomorphism. As $K_0(B)$ has unique state, we have $\hat{\tau}_B \sigma = \hat{\tau}_A$. Applying [Theorem 5.3](#), there is a unital, nuclear *-homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ with $K_0(\varphi) = \sigma$ and $\tau_B \varphi = \tau_A$.

As B is an AF-algebra and A has stable rank one, there is a *-homomorphism $\psi : B \rightarrow A$ such that $K_0(\psi) = \sigma^{-1}$ and $\tau_A \psi = \tau_B$ by the classification of *-homomorphisms out of AF-algebras (or by [Theorem 5.3](#)). Using [Theorem 5.3](#), $\psi \varphi$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to the identity on A and $\varphi \psi$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to the identity on B . By Elliott's intertwining argument (see Corollary 2.3.4 of [71]), $A \cong B$. \square

References

- [1] E. M. ALFSEN, *Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. **57**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971. [MR 0445271](#). [Zbl 0209.42601](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65009-3>.
- [2] B. BLACKADAR, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, second ed., Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **5**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998. [MR 1656031](#). [Zbl 0913.46054](#).
- [3] B. BLACKADAR, *Operator Algebras: Theory of C*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* **122**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. [MR 2188261](#). [Zbl 1092.46003](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-28517-2>.
- [4] B. BLACKADAR and E. KIRCHBERG, Generalized inductive limits of finite-dimensional C*-algebras, *Math. Ann.* **307** no. 3 (1997), 343–380. [MR 1437044](#). [Zbl 0874.46036](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002080050039>.
- [5] J. BOSA, N. P. BROWN, Y. SATO, A. TIKUISIS, S. WHITE, and W. WINTER, Covering dimension of C*-algebras and 2-coloured classification, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **257** no. 1233 (2019), vii+97. [MR 3908669](#). [Zbl 07069168](#). <https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1233>.
- [6] L. G. BROWN and G. K. PEDERSEN, C*-algebras of real rank zero, *J. Funct. Anal.* **99** no. 1 (1991), 131–149. [MR 1120918](#). [Zbl 0776.46026](#). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(91\)90056-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(91)90056-B).
- [7] N. P. BROWN, AF embeddability of crossed products of AF algebras by the integers, *J. Funct. Anal.* **160** no. 1 (1998), 150–175. [MR 1658700](#). [Zbl 0931.46043](#). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1998.3339>.

- [8] N. P. BROWN, Crossed products of UHF algebras by some amenable groups, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **29** no. 1 (2000), 201–211. [MR 1745510](#). [Zbl 0962.46047](#). <https://doi.org/10.14492/hokmj/1350912964>.
- [9] N. P. BROWN, Invariant means and finite representation theory of C^* -algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** no. 865 (2006), viii+105. [MR 2263412](#). [Zbl 1111.46030](#). <https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/0865>.
- [10] N. P. BROWN and N. OZAWA, *C^* -Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*, *Grad. Stud. in Math.* **88**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. [MR 2391387](#). [Zbl 1160.46001](#). <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/088>.
- [11] A. CIUPERCA, T. GIORDANO, P. W. NG, and Z. NIU, Amenability and uniqueness, *Adv. Math.* **240** (2013), 325–345. [MR 3046312](#). [Zbl 1292.46036](#). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2013.02.018>.
- [12] L. O. CLARK, A. AN HUEF, and A. SIMS, AF-embeddability of 2-graph algebras and quasidiagonality of k -graph algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **271** no. 4 (2016), 958–991. [MR 3507995](#). [Zbl 1358.46051](#). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2016.04.024>.
- [13] A. CONNES, Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **104** no. 1 (1976), 73–115. [MR 0454659](#). [Zbl 0343.46042](#). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1971057>.
- [14] J. CUNTZ and N. HIGSON, Kuiper’s theorem for Hilbert modules, in *Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics* (Iowa City, Iowa, 1985), *Contemp. Math.* **62**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 429–435. [MR 0878392](#). [Zbl 0616.46066](#). <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/062/878392>.
- [15] M. DADARLAT, Quasidiagonal morphisms and homotopy, *J. Funct. Anal.* **151** no. 1 (1997), 213–233. [MR 1487776](#). [Zbl 0992.46042](#). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1997.3145>.
- [16] M. DADARLAT, Some remarks on the universal coefficient theorem in KK -theory, in *Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics* (Constanța, 2001), Theta, Bucharest, 2003, pp. 65–74. [MR 2018224](#). [Zbl 1284.19008](#).
- [17] M. DADARLAT, Morphisms of simple tracially AF algebras, *Internat. J. Math.* **15** no. 9 (2004), 919–957. [MR 2106154](#). [Zbl 1071.46036](#). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X04002636>.
- [18] M. DADARLAT, On the topology of the Kasparov groups and its applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **228** no. 2 (2005), 394–418. [MR 2175412](#). [Zbl 1088.46042](#). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2005.02.015>.
- [19] M. DADARLAT, On AF embeddability of continuous fields, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **31** no. 1 (2009), 16–19. [MR 2519017](#). [Zbl 1183.46053](#). Available at <https://mr.math.ca/article/on-af-embeddability-of-continuous-fields/>.
- [20] M. DADARLAT and S. EILERS, Asymptotic unitary equivalence in KK -theory, *K-Theory* **23** no. 4 (2001), 305–322. [MR 1860859](#). [Zbl 0991.19002](#). Available at <https://access.portico.org/stable?au=pgh2h1dc9zw>.
- [21] M. DADARLAT and S. EILERS, On the classification of nuclear C^* -algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **85** no. 1 (2002), 168–210. [MR 1901373](#). [Zbl 1031.46070](#). <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024611502013679>.

- [22] K. R. DAVIDSON, *C*-Algebras by Example*, *Fields Inst. Monogr.* **6**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996. [MR 1402012](#). [Zbl 0958.46029](#). <https://doi.org/10.1090/fim/006>.
- [23] J. DIXMIER, *C*-Algebras*, *North-Holland Math. Library* **15**, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, 1977, translated from the French by Francis Jellett. [MR 0458185](#). [Zbl 0372.46058](#).
- [24] E. G. EFFROS, On the structure theory of C^* -algebras: some old and new problems, in *Operator Algebras and Applications, Part I* (Kingston, Ont., 1980), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **38**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 19–34. [MR 0679693](#). [Zbl 0506.46044](#). <https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/038.1/679693>.
- [25] E. G. EFFROS, D. E. HANDELMAN, and C. L. SHEN, Dimension groups and their affine representations, *Amer. J. Math.* **102** no. 2 (1980), 385–407. [MR 0564479](#). [Zbl 0457.46047](#). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2374244>.
- [26] G. A. ELLIOTT, On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras, *J. Algebra* **38** no. 1 (1976), 29–44. [MR 0397420](#). [Zbl 0323.46063](#). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(76\)90242-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(76)90242-8).
- [27] G. A. ELLIOTT, On totally ordered groups, and K_0 , in *Ring Theory* (Proc. Conf., Univ. Waterloo, Waterloo, 1978), *Lecture Notes in Math.* **734**, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 1–49. [MR 0548122](#). [Zbl 0418.06010](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0103152>.
- [28] G. A. ELLIOTT, On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **443** (1993), 179–219. [MR 1241132](#). [Zbl 0809.46067](#). Available at <https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/crll/1993/443/article-p179.xml>.
- [29] G. A. ELLIOTT, G. GONG, H. LIN, and Z. NIU, On the classification of simple amenable C^* -algebras with finite decomposition rank, II, 2015. [arXiv 1507.03437](#).
- [30] G. A. ELLIOTT and D. KUCEROVSKY, An abstract Voiculescu-Brown-Douglas-Fillmore absorption theorem, *Pacific J. Math.* **198** no. 2 (2001), 385–409. [MR 1835515](#). [Zbl 1058.46041](#). <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2001.198.385>.
- [31] J. GABE, Lifting theorems for completely positive maps, 2016. [arXiv 1508.00389v3](#).
- [32] J. GABE, A note on nonunital absorbing extensions, *Pacific J. Math.* **284** no. 2 (2016), 383–393. [MR 3544306](#). [Zbl 1357.46045](#). <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2016.284.383>.
- [33] J. GABE, Quasidiagonal traces on exact C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **272** no. 3 (2017), 1104–1120. [MR 3579134](#). [Zbl 1369.46046](#). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2016.10.022>.
- [34] J. GABE and E. RUIZ, Absorbing representations with respect to closed operator convex cones, 2015. [arXiv 1503.07799](#).
- [35] G. GONG, H. LIN, and Z. NIU, Classification of simple amenable \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras, 2015. [arXiv 1501.00135](#).
- [36] U. HAAGERUP, Quasitraces on exact C^* -algebras are traces, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **36** no. 2-3 (2014), 67–92. [MR 3241179](#). [Zbl 1325.46055](#). Available at <https://mr.math.ca/volume-issue/vol-36-2014/vol-36-2-2014/>.

- [37] U. HAAGERUP and S. THORBJØRNSEN, A new application of random matrices: $\text{Ext}(\text{C}_\text{red}^*(F_2))$ is not a group, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **162** no. 2 (2005), 711–775. [MR 2183281](#). [Zbl 1103.46032](#). <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2005.162.711>.
- [38] P. DE LA HARPE and G. SKANDALIS, Powers’ property and simple C^* -algebras, *Math. Ann.* **273** no. 2 (1986), 241–250. [MR 0817879](#). [Zbl 0563.46033](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01451404>.
- [39] N. HIGSON and G. KASPAROV, E -theory and KK -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space, *Invent. Math.* **144** no. 1 (2001), 23–74. [MR 1821144](#). [Zbl 0988.19003](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220000118>.
- [40] M. HIROKI, AF embeddability of crossed products of AT algebras by the integers and its application, *J. Funct. Anal.* **192** no. 2 (2002), 562–580. [MR 1923414](#). [Zbl 1021.46048](#). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.2001.3911>.
- [41] V. F. R. JONES, Index for subfactors, *Invent. Math.* **72** no. 1 (1983), 1–25. [MR 0696688](#). [Zbl 0508.46040](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389127>.
- [42] T. KATSURA, AF-embeddability of crossed products of Cuntz algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **196** no. 2 (2002), 427–442. [MR 1943097](#). [Zbl 1024.46019](#). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236\(02\)00003-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236(02)00003-4).
- [43] D. KERR and P. W. NOWAK, Residually finite actions and crossed products, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **32** no. 5 (2012), 1585–1614. [MR 2974211](#). [Zbl 1280.37009](#). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385711000575>.
- [44] E. KIRCHBERG, Discrete groups with Kazhdan’s property T and factorization property are residually finite, *Math. Ann.* **299** no. 3 (1994), 551–563. [MR 1282231](#). [Zbl 0805.46066](#). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01459798>.
- [45] E. KIRCHBERG and M. RØRDAM, Central sequence C^* -algebras and tensorial absorption of the Jiang-Su algebra, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **695** (2014), 175–214. [MR 3276157](#). [Zbl 1307.46046](#). <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0118>.
- [46] E. KIRCHBERG and M. RØRDAM, When central sequence C^* -algebras have characters, *Internat. J. Math.* **26** no. 7 (2015), 1550049, 32. [MR 3357038](#). [Zbl 1339.46055](#). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X15500494>.
- [47] D. KUCEROVSKY and P. W. NG, The corona factorization property and approximate unitary equivalence, *Houston J. Math.* **32** no. 2 (2006), 531–550. [MR 2219330](#). [Zbl 1111.46050](#).
- [48] H. X. LIN, Exponential rank of C^* -algebras with real rank zero and the Brown-Pedersen conjecture, *J. Funct. Anal.* **114** no. 1 (1993), 1–11. [MR 1220980](#). [Zbl 0812.46054](#). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1993.1060>.
- [49] H. LIN, Tracially AF C^* -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** no. 2 (2001), 693–722. [MR 1804513](#). [Zbl 0964.46044](#). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02680-5>.
- [50] H. LIN, Stable approximate unitary equivalence of homomorphisms, *J. Operator Theory* **47** no. 2 (2002), 343–378. [MR 1911851](#). [Zbl 1029.46097](#). Available at <http://www.mathjournals.org/jot/2002-047-002/2002-047-002-006.html>.
- [51] H. LIN, Classification of simple C^* -algebras of tracial topological rank zero, *Duke Math. J.* **125** no. 1 (2004), 91–119. [MR 2097358](#). [Zbl 1068.46032](#). <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12514-X>.

- [52] H. LIN, AF-embeddings of the crossed products of AH-algebras by finitely generated abelian groups, *International Mathematics Research Papers* **2008** no. 3 (2008), rpn007. MR 2457848. Zbl 1166.46040. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrp/rpn007>.
- [53] W. LÜCK, The relation between the Baum-Connes conjecture and the trace conjecture, *Invent. Math.* **149** no. 1 (2002), 123–152. MR 1914619. Zbl 1035.19003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220200215>.
- [54] H. MATUI and Y. SATO, Strict comparison and \mathcal{Z} -absorption of nuclear C^* -algebras, *Acta Math.* **209** no. 1 (2012), 179–196. MR 2979512. Zbl 1277.46028. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11511-012-0084-4>.
- [55] H. MATUI and Y. SATO, Decomposition rank of UHF-absorbing C^* -algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **163** no. 14 (2014), 2687–2708. MR 3273581. Zbl 1317.46041. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2826908>.
- [56] J. A. MINGO, K -theory and multipliers of stable C^* -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **299** no. 1 (1987), 397–411. MR 0869419. Zbl 0616.46067. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2000501>.
- [57] F. J. MURRAY and J. VON NEUMANN, On rings of operators. IV, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **44** (1943), 716–808. MR 0009096. Zbl 0060.26903. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1969107>.
- [58] E. ORTEGA, F. PERERA, and M. RØRDAM, The Corona Factorization Property and refinement monoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** no. 9 (2011), 4505–4525. MR 2806681. Zbl 1235.46057. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05480-2>.
- [59] E. ORTEGA, F. PERERA, and M. RØRDAM, The Corona Factorization Property, stability, and the Cuntz semigroup of a C^* -algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* no. 1 (2012), 34–66. MR 2874927. Zbl 1245.46049. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnr013>.
- [60] N. OZAWA, Homotopy invariance of AF-embeddability, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** no. 1 (2003), 216–222. MR 1978495. Zbl 1028.46090. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s000390300005>.
- [61] N. OZAWA, Dixmier approximation and symmetric amenability for C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **20** no. 3 (2013), 349–374. MR 3156986. Zbl 1300.46049. Available at <https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/journal/abstract/jms200303.html>.
- [62] N. OZAWA, M. RØRDAM, and Y. SATO, Elementary amenable groups are quasidiagonal, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **25** no. 1 (2015), 307–316. MR 3320894. Zbl 1335.46053. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-015-0315-x>.
- [63] M. V. PIMSNER, Embedding some transformation group C^* -algebras into AF-algebras, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **3** no. 4 (1983), 613–626. MR 0753927. Zbl 0582.46063. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385700002182>.
- [64] M. V. PIMSNER and D. VOICULESCU, Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* -algebra into an AF-algebra, *J. Operator Theory* **4** no. 2 (1980), 201–210. MR 0595412. Zbl 0525.46031. Available at <http://www.mathjournals.org/jot/1980-004-002/1980-004-002-003.html>.

- [65] S. POPA, On local finite-dimensional approximation of C^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **181** no. 1 (1997), 141–158. MR 1491038. Zbl 0907.46046. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1997.181.141>.
- [66] T. RAINONE, MF actions and K -theoretic dynamics, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** no. 2 (2014), 542–578. MR 3210039. Zbl 1304.37027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.04.005>.
- [67] T. RAINONE and C. SCHAFHAUSER, Crossed products of nuclear C^* -algebras and their traces, *Adv. Math.* **347** (2019), 105–149. MR 3915315. Zbl 07044289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2019.01.045>.
- [68] M. A. RIEFFEL, Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **46** no. 2 (1983), 301–333. MR 0693043. Zbl 0533.46046. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-46.2.301>.
- [69] M. RØRDAM, On the structure of simple C^* -algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra, *J. Funct. Anal.* **100** no. 1 (1991), 1–17. MR 1124289. Zbl 0773.46028. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(91\)90098-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(91)90098-P).
- [70] M. RØRDAM, On the structure of simple C^* -algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II, *J. Funct. Anal.* **107** no. 2 (1992), 255–269. MR 1172023. Zbl 0810.46067. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(92\)90106-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(92)90106-S).
- [71] M. RØRDAM, Classification of nuclear, simple C^* -algebras, in *Classification of Nuclear C^* -Algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* **126**, Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 1–145. MR 1878882. Zbl 1016.46037. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-04825-2_1.
- [72] J. ROSENBERG and C. SCHOCHE, The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov’s generalized K -functor, *Duke Math. J.* **55** no. 2 (1987), 431–474. MR 0894590. Zbl 0644.46051. <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05524-4>.
- [73] S. SAKAI, *C^* -Algebras and W^* -Algebras, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* **60**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971. MR 0442701. Zbl 0219.46042.
- [74] Y. SATO, Trace spaces of simple nuclear C^* -algebras with finite-dimensional extreme boundary, 2012. [arXiv 1209.3000v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.3000v1).
- [75] Y. SATO, S. WHITE, and W. WINTER, Nuclear dimension and \mathcal{Z} -stability, *Invent. Math.* **202** no. 2 (2015), 893–921. MR 3418247. Zbl 1350.46040. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-015-0580-1>.
- [76] C. SCHAFHAUSER, AF-embeddings of graph C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **74** no. 1 (2015), 177–182. MR 3383619. Zbl 1389.46059. <https://doi.org/10.7900/jot.2014may23.2017>.
- [77] C. SCHAFHAUSER, MF traces and the Cuntz semigroup, 2017. [arXiv 1705.06555](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.06555).
- [78] C. SCHAFHAUSER, A new proof of the Tikuisis–White–Winter theorem, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **759** (2020), 291–304. MR 4058182. Zbl 07167735. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2017-0056>.
- [79] G. SKANDALIS, Une notion de nucléarité en K -théorie (d’après J. Cuntz), *K -Theory* **1** no. 6 (1988), 549–573. MR 0953916. Zbl 0653.46065. Available at <https://access.portico.org/stable?au=pgg197fsmh5>.

- [80] J. S. SPIELBERG, Embedding C^* -algebra extensions into AF algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **81** no. 2 (1988), 325–344. MR 0971884. Zbl 0678.46047. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(88\)90104-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(88)90104-8).
- [81] A. TIKUISIS, S. WHITE, and W. WINTER, Quasidiagonality of nuclear C^* -algebras, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **185** no. 1 (2017), 229–284. MR 3583354. Zbl 1367.46044. <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2017.185.1.4>.
- [82] A. S. TOMS, S. WHITE, and W. WINTER, \mathcal{Z} -stability and finite-dimensional tracial boundaries, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* no. 10 (2015), 2702–2727. MR 3352253. Zbl 1335.46054. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu001>.
- [83] A. S. TOMS and W. WINTER, Strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** no. 8 (2007), 3999–4029. MR 2302521. Zbl 1120.46046. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-07-04173-6>.
- [84] J.-L. TU, La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables, *K-Theory* **17** no. 3 (1999), 215–264. MR 1703305. Zbl 0939.19001. Available at <https://access.portico.org/stable?au=pgh2h1dc844>.
- [85] S. ZHANG, A Riesz decomposition property and ideal structure of multiplier algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **24** no. 2 (1990), 209–225. MR 1150618. Zbl 0747.46043. Available at <http://www.mathjournals.org/jot/1990-024-002/1990-024-002-001.html>.

(Received: July 20, 2018)

(Revised: August 27, 2019)

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA – LINCOLN, LINCOLN, NE

E-mail: cschafhauser2@unl.edu