

Geometric monodromy — semisimplicity and maximality

By ANNA CADORET, CHUN-YIN HUI, and AKIO TAMAGAWA

Abstract

Let X be a connected scheme, smooth and separated over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \geq 0$, let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth proper morphism and x a geometric point on X . We prove that the tensor invariants of bounded length $\leq d$ of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on the étale cohomology groups $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ are the reduction modulo- ℓ of those of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for ℓ greater than a constant depending only on $f : Y \rightarrow X, d$. We apply this result to show that the geometric variant with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients of the Grothendieck-Serre semisimplicity conjecture — namely, that $\pi_1(X, x)$ acts semisimply on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for $\ell \gg 0$ — is equivalent to the condition that the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is ‘almost maximal’ (in a precise sense; what we call ‘almost hyperspecial’) with respect to the group of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -points of its Zariski closure. Ultimately, we prove the geometric variant with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients of the Grothendieck-Serre semisimplicity conjecture.

1. Introduction

Let X be a connected scheme, smooth and separated over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth proper morphism. As all the objects are of finite type over the base, we may assume that $X = X_0 \times_{k_0} k$ for some smooth, separated and geometrically connected scheme X_0 over a finitely generated subfield $k_0 \subset k$ and that $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is the base-change over k of a smooth proper morphism of k_0 -schemes $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$. In the following, we always use the notation $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0/k_0$ for such a model. By the smooth-proper base-change theorem, for every prime $\ell \neq p$, the higher-direct image sheaves $R^* f_{0*} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n$ are locally constant constructible and hence, for every geometric point x on X , they give rise to continuous actions

Keywords: étale cohomology, étale fundamental group, positive characteristic, tensor invariants, semisimplicity, algebraic groups, big monodromy, Tate conjecture

AMS Classification: Primary: 14F20, 20G25; Secondary: 20E28.

© 2017 Department of Mathematics, Princeton University.

of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ on $(R^*f_{0*}\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)_x \simeq H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$, $(R^*f_{0*}\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_x \simeq H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $(R^*f_{0*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_x \simeq H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following two statements about the restriction of these representations to the geometric étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x)$. (Note that they are independent of the model $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0/k_0$.)

THEOREM 1.1. *The following holds:*

(1.1) *For $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on $f : Y \rightarrow X$), the action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is semisimple.*

Assertion (1.1) is the natural geometric variant with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients of the Grothendieck-Serre semisimplicity conjecture, stating that the action of $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is semisimple for $\ell \neq p$. (See, for instance, page 109 of the version of Tate's Woods Hole talk in [Tat65]; see also Section 11.) The geometric variant with \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -coefficients of this conjecture is a celebrated theorem of Deligne, proved in [Del80]; see below for details.

THEOREM 1.2. *Assertion (1.1) is equivalent to the following:*

(1.2) *After replacing X by a connected étale cover and for $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on $f : Y \rightarrow X$), the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ in the group of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -points of its Zariski closure in $\mathrm{GL}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ is almost hyperspecial.*

Given a connected semisimple group \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ , recall that a compact subgroup $\Pi \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is called hyperspecial if there exists a semisimple group scheme \mathfrak{G} over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ with generic fiber \mathcal{G} and such that $\Pi = \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. When they exist, hyperspecial subgroups are the compact subgroups of maximal volume in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. We say that a compact subgroup $\Pi \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is almost hyperspecial if $(p^{\mathrm{sc}})^{-1}(\Pi) \subset \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is hyperspecial, where $p^{\mathrm{sc}} : \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ denotes the simply connected cover. We refer to the beginning of Section 8 for further details.

A motivation for the reformulation (1.2) of (1.1) in terms of maximality is its potential applications to problems requiring large monodromy assumptions. (See the introduction of [Hal08] and references therein for a survey of applications of large monodromy results.)

Assertion (1.1) was previously known in the following cases:

- (1.1.1) when $p = 0$;
- (1.1.2) if one replaces \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients with \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -coefficients;
- (1.1.3) when $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is an abelian scheme (or more generally for $H^1(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$) and p is arbitrary [Zar77], [Zar14] (see also [MB85]) or a family of K3-surfaces and $p \neq 2$ [SZ15],

while (1.2) was previously known when $p = 0$. (See, for instance, [Cad15, Rem. 2.5].) Let us also point out that an arithmetic variant of (1.2) holds over a set of primes of density one and for arbitrary systems of compatible rational semisimple ℓ -adic representations [Lar95a].

Let us recall the proofs of (1.1.1) and (1.1.2). For (1.1.1), we may assume $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$. The topological fundamental group $\Pi := \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, x)$ acts on the singular cohomology $H := H^*(Y_x^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$. As H is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module, $H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \simeq H^*(Y_x^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for $\ell \gg 0$. Thus, by comparison of singular/étale cohomology and of topological/étale fundamental group, the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ identifies with the image of Π acting on $H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$ for $\ell \gg 0$. The fact that Π acts semisimply on $H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$ for $\ell \gg 0$ then follows formally [CT11, Lem. 2.5] from the Hodge-theoretical fact that Π acts semisimply on $H \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ [Del71, Cor. 4.2.9(a)]. For (1.1.2), by standard specialization arguments (see, for instance, Section 4.2) we may assume k_0 is a finite field and $k = \bar{k}_0$. Set $\mathcal{F} := R^w f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, and consider the largest maximal semisimple smooth subsheaf $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$. As $\mathcal{F}'_x \subset \mathcal{F}_x$ is stable under the action of $\pi_1(X_0, x)$, the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0$$

corresponds to a class in $H^1(X, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}'))^F$, where F denotes the geometric Frobenius on X . As \mathcal{F} is smooth, pure of weight w , $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}')$ is smooth, pure of weight 0, and hence $H^1(X, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}'))$ is mixed of weights ≥ 1 and $H^1(X, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}'))^F = 0$; see [Del80, (3.4)].

So, when $p = 0$, the essential ingredient is comparison between complex and étale topology, which provides an underlying \mathbb{Z} -structure for the action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and reduces (1.1.1) to a Hodge-theoretical statement by reduction modulo- ℓ for $\ell \gg 0$. When $p > 0$, such an underlying \mathbb{Z} -structure is no longer available. For \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -coefficients, we can resort to Deligne's theory of weights. But such a theory does not exist for \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients. Our basic strategy is to combine both aspects, namely try and deduce (1.1) from (1.1.2) by a reduction modulo- ℓ argument which involves Deligne's weight theory, in particular, the following two consequences of it:

FACT 3.1. *The $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ are torsion-free for $\ell \gg 0$.*

FACT 3.2. *The $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ (ℓ : prime $\neq p$) form a compatible system of \mathbb{Q} -rational representations.*

The combination of Facts 3.1 and 3.2 provides a weak replacement for the \mathbb{Z} -structure in characteristic 0, allowing us to 'glue together' the various representations with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients by means of the reduction modulo- ℓ of the characteristic polynomials of Frobenii.

These ideas have already been exploited to obtain structural results about the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. For instance,

FACT 3.4. *After possibly replacing X by a connected étale cover and for $\ell \gg 0$, the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is perfect and generated by its order- ℓ elements.*

This seemingly technical statement also plays a crucial part in our arguments.

However, to achieve the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, more information is required. The way to grasp the missing information is Tannakian: instead of considering only $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, we consider all possible tensor constructions of bounded length built from $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Behind this is the observation that the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is captured by its Zariski closure while the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is captured by its algebraic envelope in the sense of Nori. (This is one place where Fact 3.4 is crucial.) Both are algebraic groups hence should be reconstructible from their tensor invariants, whence the idea to compare the tensor invariants for the action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. This is the core result of our paper. To state it, we need some notation.

For every partition λ of an integer $d \geq 0$, let $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}_d]$ denote the associated Young symmetrizer and write $n_\lambda := \frac{d!}{d_\lambda}$, where d_λ is the dimension of the irreducible representation of the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_d defined by c_λ . Then $\frac{1}{n_\lambda}c_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n_\lambda}][\mathcal{S}_d]$ is an idempotent. Fix a prime ℓ such that $d < \ell$. Let Λ_ℓ denote \mathbb{Z}_ℓ or \mathbb{F}_ℓ , and let Π be a profinite group acting continuously on a finitely generated free Λ_ℓ -module M . Let \mathcal{S}_d act on $M^{\otimes d}$ on the right; this action commutes with the one of Π , thus

$$S_\lambda(M) := \frac{1}{n_\lambda}c_\lambda(M^{\otimes d}) \subset M^{\otimes d}$$

again gives a representation of Π . For λ, λ^\vee partitions of integers d, d^\vee respectively, write

$$S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(M) := S_\lambda(M) \otimes S_{\lambda^\vee}(M^\vee),$$

where $(-)^\vee$ denotes the Λ_ℓ -dual.

For a profinite group Π (in practice, Π will be $\pi_1(X, x)$) acting continuously on a finitely generated free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module M , consider the following equivalent properties

- (Inv, M) (i) $M^\Pi \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \simeq (M \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)^\Pi$;
- (ii) $H^1(\Pi, M)[\ell] = 0$;
- (iii) $H^1(\Pi, M)$ is torsion-free.

Eventually, for a group Π_0 acting on a module M and a morphism $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi_0$, let $M|_\Pi$ denote the Π -module obtained from M by restriction of the action from Π_0 to Π .

THEOREM 1.3. *For all integers $d, d^\vee \geq 0$, partitions λ, λ^\vee of d, d^\vee respectively and $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on f, d, d^\vee),*

(1.3.0) *the property $(\text{Inv}, S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)))$ holds.*

Furthermore, $(\text{Inv}, M|_{\pi_1(X, x)})$ holds for every $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ -module M which is of one of the following forms:

(1.3.1) a torsion-free quotient of $S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell))$;

(1.3.2) a submodule of $S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell))$ with torsion-free cokernel.

Theorem 1.3 applies, in particular, to $\lambda = (d)$ i.e., $c_\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d} \sigma$, for which $S_\lambda(M) = S^d(M)$ and to $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1)$, i.e., $c_\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d} \text{sign}(\sigma)\sigma$, for which $S_\lambda(M) = \Lambda^d(M)$.

To prove Theorem 1.3, we reduce to the case where X_0 is a curve and k_0 is finite. (This uses Bertini’s theorem, de Jong’s alterations and specialization of tame étale fundamental group.) This allows us to use Deligne’s theory of weights and the machinery of étale cohomology.

To obtain Theorem 1.2, we follow the above rough Tannakian strategy. Theorem 1.3 enables us to show that, for $\ell \gg 0$, the Nori envelope of the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ identifies with the reduction modulo- ℓ of the Zariski closure $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ of the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ (Theorem 7.3). This in turn enables us to show that there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ (depending only on f) such that the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has index $\leq C$ in $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ (what we call weak maximality – see (7.3.2)). Using this, we can give several equivalent formulations of (1.1), among which are that $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is semisimple (7.5.4) and (1.2) (see Corollary 8.2).

The reformulation (7.5.4) raises a general question: given a connected semisimple group G over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ together with a faithful finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -representation V and a lattice $H \subset V$, can one exploit tensor invariants data (as in Theorem 1.3) to deduce that the Zariski closure \mathfrak{G} of G in GL_H is a semisimple model of G over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ ? This question led to a first complete proof of Theorem 1.1 (Section 9), which is entirely due to the second author, Chun-Yin Hui. More precisely, the key-result is that, under mild assumptions, the semisimplicity of \mathfrak{G} is encoded by a finite explicit list of tensor invariants dimensions (Theorem 9.1). This criterion is then applied to $H := H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ using Theorem 1.3 and the tools developed for the proof of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 9.1 relies on Lie theory and is of independent interest.

After this first proof of Theorem 1.1 was obtained, we completed a second proof (Section 10), which is cohomological and reminiscent of the argument of Deligne. This second proof requires Theorem 1.3, Facts 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, but it involves no additional group-theoretical machinery.

We conclude by observing that Theorems 1.3 and 1.1 imply that the positive characteristic variant of the (arithmetic) Grothendieck-Serre-Tate conjectures with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients follow from the usual Grothendieck-Serre-Tate conjectures (arithmetic, with \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -coefficients) (Corollary 11.1).

The paper is divided into three parts. In Part I (Sections 1–6), we review the properties of étale cohomology involved in the proofs of our main results and establish Theorem 1.3; here, Deligne’s weight theory is ubiquitous. In Part II (Sections 7–8), we develop the group-theoretical machinery leading to

the proof of Theorem 1.2. Part III (Sections 9–11) is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and to the application to the (arithmetic) Grothendieck-Serre-Tate conjectures with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients. The second proof of Theorem 1.1 (Section 10) can be read just after Part I.

Acknowledgments. Anna Cadoret was partly supported by the ANR grant ANR-15-CE40-0002-01. Chun-Yin Hui was supported by the National Research Fund, Luxembourg and cofounder under the Marie Curie Actions of the European Commission (FP7-COFUND). Akio Tamagawa was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22340006, 15H03609. The authors thank the unknown referees for their comments, which helped improve the exposition of the paper. They also thank Brian Conrad, Philippe Gille, Tetsushi Ito, Wilberd van der Kallen, Tamas Szamuely and Jilong Tong for their interest and comments.

Part I: Étale cohomology

2. Notation, conventions

2.1. Given a field k_0 and an algebraically closed field k containing k_0 , write $\pi_1(k_0, k)$ (or simply $\pi_1(k_0)$) for $\text{Aut}(\bar{k}_0/k_0)$, where \bar{k}_0 denotes the separable closure of k_0 in k . From a scheme-theoretic point of view, writing x for the geometric point $\text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k_0)$, one has $\pi_1(k_0, k) = \pi_1(\text{Spec}(k_0), x)$, which justifies the notation. If k_0 is finite, let $F_{k_0} \in \pi_1(k_0, k)$ (or simply F if k_0 is clear from the context) denote the geometric Frobenius.

2.2. Given a prime ℓ and a profinite group Π , let $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(\Pi)$ denote the category of finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -modules endowed with a continuous action of Π . Let X_0 be a connected scheme and x a geometric point on X_0 . Then the fiber functor $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ induces an equivalence¹ from the category $\mathcal{S}(X_0, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ of smooth \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaves on X_0 to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(\pi_1(X_0, x))$. Thus, if P is a property of objects in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(\pi_1(X_0, x))$ (e.g., torsion-free, torsion, irreducible, semisimple, etc.), we will say that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(X_0, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has P if \mathcal{F}_x has P . The same considerations apply to the corresponding \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -categories. In the following, we will often implicitly identify smooth \mathbb{Z}_ℓ - (resp. \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -) sheaves on X_0 and finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_ℓ - (resp. \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -) modules endowed with a continuous action of $\pi_1(X_0, x)$.

For every $x_0 \in X_0$ and geometric point x over x_0 , consider the natural action of $\pi_1(x_0, x)$ on \mathcal{F}_x defined as the composition

$$\rho_{x_0} : \pi_1(x_0, x) \xrightarrow{x_0} \pi_1(X_0, x) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{F}_x) := \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(\mathcal{F}_x).$$

¹As X is connected, there always exists an étale path between two geometric points on X . So this equivalence of categories is independent of x in a canonical way up to fixing an étale path from x to any other geometric point.

Assume X_0 is geometrically connected and of finite type over a field k_0 . Let $x_0 \in X_0$, and fix a geometric point $x : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow X$ over x_0 ; write $X := X_0 \times_{k_0} k$. Then the sequence

$$\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_0, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(k_0, k) \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. The functor $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x^{\pi_1(X, x)}$ from $\mathcal{S}(X_0, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(\pi_1(k_0, k))$ coincides with the global section functor $H^0(X, -) : \mathcal{S}(X_0, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(\pi_1(k_0, k))$ and the action of $\pi_1(k_0, k)$ on $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ by ‘transport of structure’ identifies with the labelled arrow $(*)$ in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \pi_1(k_0, k) & & \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow \simeq & \searrow (*) & \\ \pi_1(x_0, x) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_0, x)) & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(\mathcal{F}_x^{\pi_1(X, x)}), \\ & \searrow \rho_{x_0} & & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

which also shows that the restriction to $\mathcal{F}_x^{\pi_1(X, x)}$ of the action of $\pi_1(x_0, x)$ on \mathcal{F}_x factors through the canonical morphism $\pi_1(x_0, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(k_0, k)$. In particular, if $x_0 \in X_0(k_0)$, the induced action of $\pi_1(x_0, x)$ on $\mathcal{F}_x^{\pi_1(X, x)}$ is independent of x_0 .

For $\sigma \in \pi_1(x_0, x)$, write

$$P_{\sigma, x_0}^{\mathcal{F}} := \det(T \text{Id} - \rho_{x_0}(\sigma), \mathcal{F}_x \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T].$$

If the residue field $k(x_0)$ at x_0 is a finite field, we will simply write $F_{x_0} := F_{k(x_0)}$ and $P_{x_0}^{\mathcal{F}} := P_{F_{x_0}, x_0}^{\mathcal{F}}$ (or even simply P_{x_0} if \mathcal{F} is clear from the context).

Let q be a power of a prime number and $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. A q -Weil number of weight w is an algebraic number α such that $|\iota(\alpha)| = q^{\frac{w}{2}}$ for every complex embedding $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If X_0 is of finite type over \mathbb{Z} , following [Del80, (1.2)], a smooth \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_0 is said to be pure of weight w (resp. mixed) if for every closed points $x_0 \in X_0[\frac{1}{\ell}]$, the roots of $P_{x_0}^{\mathcal{F}}$ are $|k(x_0)|$ -Weil numbers of weight w (resp. if \mathcal{F} admits a filtration whose successive quotients are pure; the weights of the nonzero quotients are then called the weights of \mathcal{F}). A smooth \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_0 is said to be \mathbb{Q} -rational if for every closed point $x_0 \in X_0[\frac{1}{\ell}]$, $P_{x_0}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$. Given a set L of primes, a system \mathcal{F}_ℓ , $\ell \in L$ of smooth \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaves on X_0 is said to be \mathbb{Q} -rational compatible if each of the \mathcal{F}_ℓ is \mathbb{Q} -rational and if for every closed point $x_0 \in X_0$, the polynomials $P_{x_0}^{\mathcal{F}_\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ are independent of ℓ (for ℓ not equal to the residue characteristic of x_0).

2.3. Given a prime ℓ and $0 \neq P = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, we define the reduction modulo- ℓ \overline{P}^ℓ of P to be the reduction modulo- ℓ of $a(P)P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$, where

$$a(P) = \prod_p p^{-\min\{v_p(a_n), n \geq 0\}}.$$

Here, the product is over all rational primes and $v_p : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the p -adic valuation. Given an $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}[T]$ -module M and $P \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, we say that M is killed by P if it is killed by \overline{P}^ℓ .

3. Some consequences of the Weil conjectures

From now on, we retain the notation and conventions of the introduction for $f : Y \rightarrow X/k$ and $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0/k_0$. By the smooth-proper base-change theorem, $R^*f_{0*}\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaf on X_0 and $(R^*f_{0*}\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_x = H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for every geometric point x on X . The following facts all rely on the Weil conjectures.

FACT 3.1 ([Gab83], [Suh12, Thm. 1.4] (see also [Org16, Thm. 6.2.2])). *The smooth \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaves $R^*f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ are torsion-free (of finite constant rank) for $\ell \gg 0$. In particular,*

$$H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

FACT 3.2. ([Del80, Cor. 3.3.9]) *Assume k_0 is finite (so that X_0 is of finite type over \mathbb{Z}). Then $R^*f_{0*}\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ (ℓ : prime $\neq p$) is a \mathbb{Q} -rational compatible system.*

FACT 3.3 ([Del80, Cors. 3.4.3, 1.3.9], [LP95, Prop. 1.1], [LP95, Prop. 2.2]). *After possibly replacing X_0 by a connected étale Galois cover, the Zariski closure of the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ (resp. $\pi_1(X_0, x)$) acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is connected semisimple (resp. connected) for all $\ell \neq p$.*

FACT 3.4 ([CT13, Thm. 1.1], [CT16, Fact 5.1]). *After possibly replacing X by a connected étale Galois cover and for $\ell \gg 0$ depending only on $f : Y \rightarrow X$, the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is perfect and generated by its order ℓ elements.*

Fact 3.1 is used several times in the proof of Theorem 1.3: to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.3 to the case where $Y \rightarrow X$ is the base change of a smooth proper morphism $Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ with X_0 a curve over a finite field k_0 (see Section 4.1) and then, combined with Fact 3.2, to compare the actions of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for $\ell \gg 0$ (see Section 5.1). Facts 3.3 and 3.4 are used in the proof of (1.3.2) (see Section 5.3), and they also play a crucial part in the proofs of Theorem 1.2 (Part II) and Theorem 1.1 (Part III).

4. Preliminary reductions

Consider the following assertions:

(Inv, f_0) For $\ell \gg 0$, (Inv, $M|_{\pi_1(X, x)}$) holds for every $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ -module M which is a torsion-free quotient of $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ or a submodule of $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ with torsion-free cokernel.

(GSS, f) For $\ell \gg 0$, the action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is semisimple.

Let (Inv) (resp. (GSS)) denote (Inv, f_0) (resp. (GSS, f)) for every smooth proper morphism $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$. The aim of this section is to prove the following.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Assume (Inv, f_0) (resp. (GSS, f)) holds when k_0 is a finite field, $k = \bar{k}_0$ and X_0 is a smooth, separated and geometrically connected curve over k_0 . Then Theorem 1.3 (resp. (1.1)) holds.*

4.1. *Localization.* We begin with a formal group-theoretic lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let Π be a profinite group and $U \subset \Pi$ a normal open subgroup. Fix a prime ℓ not dividing $[\Pi : U]$. The following hold:*

(4.2.1) *Let H be a finitely generated free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module endowed with a continuous action of Π . If the sequence $0 \rightarrow H^U \xrightarrow{\ell} H^U \rightarrow (H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)^U \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then the sequence $0 \rightarrow H^\Pi \xrightarrow{\ell} H^\Pi \rightarrow (H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)^\Pi \rightarrow 0$ is also exact.*

(4.2.2) *Let H be a finitely generated \mathbb{F}_ℓ -module endowed with a continuous action of Π . If U acts semisimply on H , then Π also acts semisimply on H .*

Proof. Assertion (4.2.1) follows from the fact that $H^1(\Pi/U, H^U)[\ell] = 0$ by applying the functor $(-)^{\Pi/U}$ to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H^U \xrightarrow{\ell} H^U \rightarrow (H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)^U \rightarrow 0$. Assertion (4.2.2) follows from the fact that for every Π -submodule $H' \subset H$, U -equivariant projector $p_U : H \rightarrow H'$ and system of representatives π_1, \dots, π_r of Π/U , the map $p_\Pi : H \rightarrow H'$ defined by

$$p_\Pi(h) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \pi_i p_U(\pi_i^{-1}h)$$

is a Π -equivariant projector. □

As a result, to prove Theorem 1.3 (resp. (1.1)) we may base-change $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ over $X'_0 \rightarrow X_0$ for any morphism $X'_0 \rightarrow X_0$ inducing a morphism $\pi_1(X'_0, x') \rightarrow \pi_1(X_0, x)$ with normal open image. This applies for instance to open immersions or connected étale Galois covers.

4.2. *Specialization.* Let Λ_ℓ denote \mathbb{Z}_ℓ or \mathbb{F}_ℓ .

LEMMA 4.3. *There exist a finite field \tilde{k}_0 , a smooth, separated and geometrically connected curve \tilde{X}_0 over \tilde{k}_0 , a smooth proper morphism $\tilde{f}_0 : \tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0$, and a normal open subgroup $U \subset \pi_1(X, x)$, such that the following holds. Write $\tilde{k} = \bar{\tilde{k}}_0$ and $\tilde{f} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ for the base-change of $\tilde{f}_0 : \tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0$ over \tilde{k} . Then, for every geometric point \tilde{x} on \tilde{X} and $\ell \gg 0$, there is an isomorphism $H^*(\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{x}}, \Lambda_\ell) \simeq H^*(Y_x, \Lambda_\ell)$ such that the image of $\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x})$ acting on $H^*(\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{x}}, \Lambda_\ell)$ identifies with the image of U acting on $H^*(Y_x, \Lambda_\ell)$. Furthermore, we may*

assume that the action of $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}_0, \widetilde{x})$ on $H^*(\widetilde{Y}_x, \Lambda_\ell)$ factors through the tame étale fundamental group $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}_0, \widetilde{x}) \rightarrow \pi_1^t(\widetilde{X}_0, \widetilde{x})$.

Proof. We proceed in two steps.

Step 1: Reduction to $\dim(X_0) = 1$. We may assume X_0 has dimension ≥ 1 . Using [Jou83, Thm. 6.10] (see [CT13, Ex. 3.1] for details), we can construct a finitely generated field extension K_0 of k_0 and a closed curve $C_0 \subset X_0 \times_{k_0} K_0$ smooth, separated, geometrically connected over K_0 , such that for every geometric point c on C mapping to x on X , the induced morphism $\pi_1(C, c) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$ is surjective. (Note that, if x is fixed, we cannot ensure that there exists a geometric point c on C mapping to x , but this is not a problem since, to prove Lemma 4.3, we may replace x by any other geometric point; see footnote 1). Here, we write $K := \overline{K_0}k$ and $C := C_0 \times_{K_0} K$. So the conclusion follows from the fact that the resulting representation

$$\pi_1(C_0, c) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_0, x) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^*(Y_x, \Lambda_\ell))$$

identifies (modulo the isomorphism $H^*((Y \times_X C)_c, \Lambda_\ell) \simeq H^*(Y_x, \Lambda_\ell)$ given by the smooth-proper base-change theorem) with the representation $\pi_1(C_0, c) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^*((Y \times_X C)_c, \Lambda_\ell))$ associated to the base-change $Y_0 \times_{X_0} C_0 \rightarrow C_0$.

Step 2: Reduction to $\dim(X_0) = 1$, $|k_0| < +\infty$ and $k = \overline{k_0}$. From the above, we may assume that X_0 is a smooth, separated, geometrically connected curve over k_0 . After enlarging k_0 , we may assume it has a smooth compactification X_0^{cpt} over k_0 . From de Jong's alteration theorem [Ber97, Prop. 6.3.2], for every $u \in X_0^{\mathrm{cpt}} \setminus X_0$, there exists an open subgroup U_u of the inertia group $I_u \subset \pi_1(X_0, x)$ at u such that the image of U_u in $\mathrm{GL}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ is unipotent for all $\ell \neq p$. The image of U_u in $\mathrm{GL}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell))$ is also a pro- ℓ group for $\ell \gg 0$ (Fact 3.1). Hence, after replacing X_0 by a connected étale Galois cover (Section 4.1), we may assume that $\pi_1(X_0, x) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell))$ factors through the tame étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X_0, x) \rightarrow \pi_1^t(X_0, x)$ and even that $\pi_1(X_0, x) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell))$ does (Fact 3.1). Then by the standard specialization arguments (specialization of tame étale fundamental group, smooth-proper base-change for étale cohomology), we may assume that k_0 is finite and $k = \overline{k_0}$. \square

4.3. *End of proof of Proposition 4.1.* Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 show that (Inv, f_0) (resp. (GSS, f)) holds when X_0 is a smooth, separated, geometrically connected curve over a finite field k_0 and $k = \overline{k_0}$, then (Inv) (resp. (GSS)) holds. We now explain why (Inv) implies Theorem 1.3.

Consider the d -fold fiber product

$$f_0^{[d]} : Y_0^{[d]} := Y_0 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} Y_0 \rightarrow X_0.$$

By construction $(Y^{[d]})_x = (Y_x)^{[d]}$ and, as $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is torsion-free for $\ell \gg 0$, the Künneth formula (for both \mathbb{Z}_ℓ - and \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients) shows that the horizontal arrows in the canonical commutative square of graded $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*((Y^{[d]})_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\otimes d} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*((Y^{[d]})_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)^{\otimes d} \end{array}$$

are isomorphisms. This induces a commutative square, whose horizontal arrows are still isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*((Y^{[d]})_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\pi_1(X, x)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\otimes d})^{\pi_1(X, x)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*((Y^{[d]})_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)^{\pi_1(X, x)} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)^{\otimes d})^{\pi_1(X, x)}. \end{array}$$

On the other hand, as $f_0^{[d]} : Y_0^{[d]} \rightarrow X_0$ is a smooth proper morphism, $(\text{Inv}, f_0^{[d]})$ implies that for $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on $f : Y \rightarrow X, d$), the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism as well.

We now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.3. For $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on $f : Y \rightarrow X, d, d^\vee$), $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is torsion-free so Lemma 4.4 below reduces the assertion of Theorem 1.3 to the statement that $(\text{Inv}, M|_{\pi_1(X, x)})$ holds for every $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ -module M which is a torsion-free quotient of

$$H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\otimes d} \otimes (H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^\vee)^{\otimes d^\vee}$$

or a submodule of

$$H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\otimes d} \otimes (H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^\vee)^{\otimes d^\vee}$$

with torsion-free cokernel. But by Poincaré duality and the Künneth formula, $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\otimes d} \otimes (H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^\vee)^{\otimes d^\vee}$ is isomorphic to $H^*(Y_x^{[d+d^\vee]}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ (after suitable Tate twists) as a $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ -module. So the conclusion follows from $(\text{Inv}, f_0^{[d+d^\vee]})$. \square

LEMMA 4.4. *Let Π be a profinite group acting continuously on a finitely generated torsion-free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module M , and let $0 \leq d, d^\vee < \ell$ be integers. Then, for every pair of partitions λ, λ^\vee of d, d^\vee respectively, $S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(M)$ is a direct factor of $M^{\otimes d} \otimes M^{\vee \otimes d^\vee}$ as a Π -module.*

Proof. Write $S'_\lambda(M) := (1 - \frac{1}{n_\lambda} c_\lambda)(M^{\otimes d}) \subset M^{\otimes d}$; this is again a Π -submodule, and we have a direct sum decomposition

$$M^{\otimes d} = S_\lambda(M) \oplus S'_\lambda(M).$$

Similarly, we have

$$M^{\vee \otimes d^\vee} = S_{\lambda^\vee}(M^\vee) \oplus S'_{\lambda^\vee}(M^\vee).$$

This implies that $S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(M)$ is a direct factor of $M^{\otimes d} \otimes M^{\vee \otimes d^\vee}$. \square

4.4. Proposition 4.1 thus reduces the proof of Theorem 1.3 to the following special case. Assume X_0 is a smooth, separated and geometrically connected curve over a finite field k_0 and that $k = \bar{k}_0$. Then

THEOREM 4.5. *For $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on $f : Y \rightarrow X$),*

(4.5.0) *(Inv, $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$) holds.*

Furthermore, (Inv, $M|_{\pi_1(X_0, x)}$) holds for every $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ -module M which is of one of the following forms:

(4.5.1) *a torsion-free quotient of $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$;*

(4.5.2) *a submodule of $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ with torsion-free cokernel.*

5. Proof of Theorem 4.5

5.1. *Proof of (4.5.0).* We may assume that x is a geometric point on X over $x_0 \in X_0(k_0)$ and that $R^*f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is torsion-free (Fact 3.1). In particular, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^*f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\ell} R^*f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow R^*f_*\mathbb{F}_\ell \rightarrow 0$$

and the assertion of Theorem 4.5 is equivalent to the fact that the canonical (injective) morphism

$$H^0(X, R^*f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \rightarrow H^0(X, R^*f_*\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

is an isomorphism. This, in turn, amounts to showing that $H^1(X, R^*f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell)[\ell] = 0$. To show this, we compute — in two ways — the characteristic polynomial of F ($:= F_{k_0}$) acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell)[\ell]$.

On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell)[\ell] &\leftarrow H^0(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{F}_\ell) \simeq H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)^{\pi_1(X, x)} \subset H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \\ &\leftarrow H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \hookrightarrow H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that F acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell)[\ell]$ is killed by

$$P_w := P_{x_0}^{R^w f_{0*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = \det(T \text{Id} - F, H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \in \mathbb{Q}[T],$$

which is independent of ℓ ($\neq p$) and whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weight w [Del80, Cor. 3.3.9]. Here we use that $x_0 \in X_0(k_0)$ and hence (Section 2.2) that the action of F on $H^0(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ identifies with the restriction of the action of F_{x_0} on $H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

On the other hand, from Lemma 5.1 below, the characteristic polynomial of F acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell)[\ell]$ divides the characteristic polynomial of F acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$. As we also have a canonical F -equivariant embedding $H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \subset H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, Lemma 5.3 below shows that there exists $P_{\geq w+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, which is independent of ℓ ($\neq p$), whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weights $\geq w+1$ and such that F acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell)[\ell]$ is killed by $P_{\geq w+1}$ for $\ell \gg 0$.

The conclusion thus follows from the fact that $P_w, P_{\geq w+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ are coprime and hence that $\overline{P}_w^\ell, \overline{P}_{\geq w+1}^\ell \in \mathbb{F}_\ell[T]$ are coprime as well for $\ell \gg 0$. \square

LEMMA 5.1. *Let H be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module equipped with a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -linear automorphism F . Then the characteristic polynomial of F acting on $H[\ell]$ always divides the characteristic polynomial of F acting on $H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$.*

Proof. As \mathbb{Z}_ℓ is a principal ideal domain, the short exact sequence of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -modules $0 \rightarrow H_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow H \rightarrow H/H_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow 0$ always splits. In particular, $H_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \subset H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$. So it is enough to show that the characteristic polynomial of F acting on $H[\ell]$ always divides the characteristic polynomial of F acting on $H_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$. Hence we may assume H is finite. Then the exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[F]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow H[\ell] \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\ell} H \rightarrow H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \rightarrow 0$$

shows that, in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[F]$ -modules of finite length, we have $[H[\ell]] = [H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell]$. In particular, $H[\ell]$ and $H \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$ have the same F -semi-simplification. \square

LEMMA 5.2. *Let Λ_ℓ denote \mathbb{Q}_ℓ (resp. \mathbb{F}_ℓ). Let Y_0 be a scheme, separated and of finite type over a finite field k_0 , and let Y denote the base-change of Y_0 over $k := \overline{k}_0$. Then for every integer $w \geq 0$, there exists $P_{\leq w, Y_0} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, which is independent of $\ell (\neq p)$ and whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weights $\leq w$ and such that F acting on $H_c^w(Y, \Lambda_\ell)$ is killed by $P_{\leq w, Y_0} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ (resp. for $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on Y)).*

Proof (see [Gei00, Lem. 4.1]). The assertion holds for smooth proper Y ([Del80, Cor. 3.3.9] for $\Lambda_\ell = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ plus Fact 3.1 for $\Lambda_\ell = \mathbb{F}_\ell$). Also, if the assertion holds over a finite extension $k_0 \hookrightarrow k'_0$, then it holds over k_0 . Indeed, if $d := [k'_0 : k_0]$ and $F_{k'_0} = F_{k_0}^d$ acting on $H_c^w(Y, \Lambda_\ell)$ is killed by $P_{\leq w, Y_0 \otimes_{k_0} k'_0} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ whose roots are $|k'_0|$ -Weil numbers of weights $\leq w$, then F_{k_0} is killed by $P_{\leq w, Y_0} := P_{\leq w, Y_0 \otimes_{k_0} k'_0}(T^d) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weights $\leq w$. Thus, in the following, we will implicitly allow finite field extensions of the base field. (For instance, the divisor D , alteration Y' , etc. introduced below may only be defined over a finite extension of k_0 , but this does not affect the argument.) Eventually, by topological invariance of étale cohomology, we may assume that Y is reduced.

We proceed by induction on the dimension of Y . The 0-dimensional case is straightforward. Assume the assertion of the lemma holds for $\leq r$ -dimensional reduced schemes, separated and of finite type over k_0 . Fix an $(r+1)$ -dimensional scheme Y_0 , separated and of finite type over k_0 . Write D for the union of the intersections of the pairs of distinct irreducible components of Y . Then the

localization exact sequence for cohomology with compact support

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_c^w(Y \setminus D, \Lambda_\ell) \rightarrow H_c^w(Y, \Lambda_\ell) \rightarrow H_c^w(D, \Lambda_\ell) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and the induction hypothesis for D show that, without loss of generality, Y may be assumed to be integral. From de Jong's alterations theorem [dJ96, Thm. 4.1], there exists a generically étale alteration $\phi : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and an open embedding $Y' \hookrightarrow Y'^{\text{cpt}}$ into a scheme Y'^{cpt} smooth and projective over k such that $Y'^{\text{cpt}} \setminus Y'$ is a strict normal crossing divisor. Fix a nonempty open subscheme $\emptyset \neq U \hookrightarrow Y$ such that $U' := Y' \times_Y U \rightarrow U$ is finite étale, and write $D := Y \setminus U$. Again, the localization exact sequence for cohomology with compact support and the induction hypothesis for D show that it is enough to prove the claim for U . As $U' \rightarrow U$ is a finite étale morphism of degree say δ , one has the trace morphism which induces the multiplication-by- δ morphism

$$\delta \cdot : H_c^w(U, \Lambda_\ell) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H_c^w(U', \Lambda_\ell) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H_c^w(U, \Lambda_\ell).$$

So, as soon as $\ell > \delta$, $H_c^w(U, \Lambda_\ell)$ is a direct factor of $H_c^w(U', \Lambda_\ell)$ as an F -module. Hence it is enough to prove the claim for U' . Write $D' := Y'^{\text{cpt}} \setminus U'$. Then the localization exact sequence for cohomology with compact support

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_c^{w-1}(D', \Lambda_\ell) \rightarrow H_c^w(U', \Lambda_\ell) \rightarrow H_c^w(Y'^{\text{cpt}}, \Lambda_\ell) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

the induction hypothesis for D' and the fact that the assertion of the lemma holds for the smooth projective scheme Y'^{cpt} yield the conclusion. \square

LEMMA 5.3. *Let Λ_ℓ denote \mathbb{Q}_ℓ (resp. \mathbb{F}_ℓ). With the notation of Theorem 4.5 there exists $P_{\geq w+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weights $\geq w+1$ and such that F acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_* \Lambda_\ell)$ is killed by $P_{\geq w+1}$ (resp. for $\ell \gg 0$).*

Proof. One may assume that Y_0 is connected, hence irreducible. Then Y is equidimensional, say, of dimension d_Y . From the Leray spectral sequence $E_2^{v,w} = R^v g_* R^w f_* \Lambda_\ell \Rightarrow R^{v+w}(gf)_* \Lambda_\ell$ for $Y_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} \text{Spec}(k_0)$, one sees that $H^1(X, R^w f_* \Lambda_\ell) = E_2^{1,w} = E_\infty^{1,w}$ (recall that X is a curve) is a subquotient of $R^{1+w}(gf)_* \Lambda_\ell = H^{1+w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell) \simeq H_c^{2d_Y-w-1}(Y, \Lambda_\ell)(d_Y)^\vee$ (the second isomorphism is Poincaré duality). Now, take $P_{\leq 2d_Y-w-1, Y_0} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ as in Lemma 5.2, and let δ denote its degree. Then, for $\Lambda_\ell = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ (resp. \mathbb{F}_ℓ), F acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_* \Lambda_\ell)$ is killed by $T^\delta P_{\leq 2d_Y-w-1, Y_0}(|k_0|^{d_Y} T^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weights $\geq w+1$, as desired. \square

5.2. *Proof of (4.5.1).* We may assume X_0 is affine. From (4.5.0) we have an F -equivariant injective morphism

$$H^1(\pi_1(X, x), H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)) \hookrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(X, x), H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H^1(X, R^w f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

From the $\Lambda_\ell = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ case of Lemma 5.3, F acting on $H^1(X, R^w f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, hence on $H^1(\pi_1(X, x), H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell))$, is killed by $P_{\geq w+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ independent of ℓ . On the other hand, as X has cohomological dimension ≤ 1 , the canonical F -equivariant morphism

$$H^1(\pi_1(X, x), H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(X, x), M)$$

is surjective. This shows that

(5.2.1) F acting on $H^1(\pi_1(X, x), M)$ is killed by a polynomial $P_{\geq w+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ independent of ℓ and M and whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weights $\geq w + 1$.

Assertion (5.2.1) implies that $H^1(\pi_1(X, x), M)[\ell]$ is also killed by $P_{\geq w+1}$. To conclude, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M^{\pi_1(X, x)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)^{\pi_1(X, x)} & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_1(X, x), M)[\ell] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell & & \end{array}$$

which shows that F acting on $(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)^{\pi_1(X, x)}$ is killed by a polynomial $P_w \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ independent of ℓ and M and whose roots are $|k_0|$ -Weil numbers of weight w .

5.3. *Proof of (4.5.2).* Let Π_{ℓ^∞} denote the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. From Fact 3.3, $\Pi_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{ab}}$ is finite (see, e.g., [CT12, Thm. 5.7]). In particular, Π_{ℓ^∞} acts on $\det(M)$ through a finite quotient, which has to be of order dividing $\ell - 1$. But, on the other hand, Π_{ℓ^∞} is generated by its ℓ -Sylow subgroups (Fact 3.4) so Π_{ℓ^∞} acts trivially on $\det(M)$. This shows that the canonical isomorphism

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\Lambda^{m-1} M, \det(M)) = (\Lambda^{m-1} M)^\vee \otimes \det(M), \quad v \mapsto v \wedge -$$

(where m denotes the \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -rank of M) induces a $\pi_1(X, x)$ -equivariant isomorphism $M \simeq (\Lambda^{m-1} M)^\vee$. As $M \hookrightarrow H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has torsion-free cokernel, M^\vee is a torsion-free $\pi_1(X, x)$ -quotient of $H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^\vee$ and hence $\Lambda^{m-1} M^\vee$ is a torsion-free $\pi_1(X, x)$ -quotient of $\Lambda^{m-1} H^w(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^\vee$, which is itself a $\pi_1(X, x)$ -quotient of $H^{(m-1)(2d_f-w)}(Y_x^{[m-1]}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)((m-1)d_f)$ for $\ell \gg 0$. So we are reduced to (4.5.1). \square

6. Summary

Our goal in the remaining parts of this paper is to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.1. We fix the notation and conventions which will be used from now on and review the information we have collected so far.

Let k_0 be a field finitely generated over \mathbb{F}_p and contained in an algebraically closed field k , and let $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be a smooth proper morphism of k_0 -schemes, with X_0 smooth separated, geometrically connected over k_0 . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ denote the base-change of $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ over k . Assume $\ell \gg 0$ so that $R^*f_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is torsion-free of constant rank r (Fact 3.1).

Let Π_{ℓ^∞} (resp. $\Pi_{0\ell^\infty}$) denote the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ (resp. $\pi_1(X_0, x)$) acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) =: H_{\ell^\infty}$, and let Π_ℓ denote the image of $\pi_1(X, x)$ acting on $H^*(Y_x, \mathbb{F}_\ell) =: H_\ell$. Write $V_{\ell^\infty} := H_{\ell^\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

Let $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty}}$ denote the Zariski closure of Π_{ℓ^∞} (endowed with the reduced subscheme structure), $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty} := \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}}$ its generic fiber, and $\mathcal{G}_\ell := \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{F}_\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{H_\ell}$ its special fiber. The scheme $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ coincides with the Zariski closure of Π_{ℓ^∞} in $\mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}}$. Let also $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}$ denote the Zariski closure of $\Pi_{0\ell^\infty}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}}$. By construction,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty}} \text{ is flat over } \mathbb{Z}_\ell. \text{ In particular, } \dim(\mathcal{G}_\ell) = \dim(\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}).$$

From Lemma 4.2, we may assume (Facts 3.3 and 3.4)

$$(6.2.1) \quad \Pi_\ell \text{ is perfect and generated by its order } \ell \text{ elements for } \ell \gg 0;$$

$$(6.2.2) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty} \text{ is connected semisimple and } \mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty} \text{ is connected for every } \ell \neq p.$$

Eventually, Theorem 1.3 reads

$$(6.3) \quad \text{For every integers } d, d^\vee \geq 0, \text{ partitions } \lambda, \lambda^\vee \text{ of } d, d^\vee \text{ and } \ell \gg 0 \text{ (depending on } d, d^\vee) \text{ the property (Inv, } M) \text{ holds for}$$

$$(6.3.1) \quad \text{Every } \Pi_{0\ell^\infty}\text{-module quotient } S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(H_{\ell^\infty}) \twoheadrightarrow M \text{ which is torsion-free;}$$

$$(6.3.2) \quad \text{Every } \Pi_{0\ell^\infty}\text{-submodule } M \hookrightarrow S_{\lambda, \lambda^\vee}(H_{\ell^\infty}) \text{ with torsion-free cokernel.}$$

Part II: Semisimplicity versus maximality

7. Structure of \mathcal{G}_ℓ ; first reformulations of (1.1)

7.1. *Group-theoretical preliminaries.* Let Λ_ℓ denote \mathbb{Z}_ℓ or \mathbb{F}_ℓ . Given a closed subgroup Π of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\Lambda_\ell)$, write $\Pi^+ \subset \Pi$ for the (normal closed) subgroup of Π generated by its ℓ -Sylow subgroups. Given a finitely generated Λ_ℓ -module H , write

$$H^\otimes := \bigoplus_{s, t \geq 0} H^{\otimes s} \otimes (H^\vee)^{\otimes t}.$$

For an integer $d \geq 1$, set

$$T^{\leq d}(H) := \bigoplus_{s, t \leq d} H^{\otimes s} \otimes (H^\vee)^{\otimes t} \subset H^\otimes.$$

Let H_ℓ be an r -dimensional \mathbb{F}_ℓ -vector space. Given a subgroup $\Pi_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}(H_\ell)$, let $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{H_\ell}$ denote its algebraic envelope, in the sense of Nori [Nor87], which is the algebraic subgroup generated by the one-parameter groups

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_g: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^1 &\rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{H_\ell}, \\ t &\mapsto \exp(t \log(g)) \end{aligned}$$

for $g \in \Pi_\ell$ of order ℓ . Here $\exp(n) := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell-1} \frac{n^i}{i!}$ for a nilpotent $n \in \text{End}(H_\ell)$ and $\log(u) := -\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell-1} \frac{(1-u)^i}{i}$ for a unipotent $u \in \text{GL}(H_\ell)$.

By construction, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell$ is a smooth algebraic subgroup of GL_{H_ℓ} , connected and generated by its unipotent subgroups. Furthermore, the following hold.

LEMMA 7.1.

(7.1.1) For $\ell \gg 0$ depending only on r , we have $\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+ = \Pi_\ell^+$. In particular, Π_ℓ^+ -submodules and $\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell$ -submodules of H_ℓ coincide.

(7.1.2) There exists $d \geq 1$ depending only on r such that $\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell$ is the stabilizer of $(T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell}$ in GL_{H_ℓ} for $\ell \gg 0$ depending only on r .

(7.1.3) For every $d \geq 1$ and $\ell \gg 0$ depending only on d and r , we have

$$(T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell} = (T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\Pi_\ell^+}.$$

Proof. The first part of (7.1.1) is [Nor87, Thm. B] and the second part follows from the first part by construction of $\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell$. Assertion (7.1.2) follows from [CT16, Lem. 4.1]. For (7.1.3), the inclusion $(T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell} \subset (T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\Pi_\ell^+}$ holds as soon as $\ell \geq r$. (Recall that for $\ell \geq r$ the only elements in $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ of order a power of ℓ are those of order ℓ .) For the opposite inclusion, fix an isomorphism $H_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_\ell^{\oplus r}$. Then for every $v \in (T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\Pi_\ell^+}$ and $g \in \Pi_\ell$ of order ℓ , each component of the vector equation

$$\exp(t \log(g))v - v = 0$$

is a polynomial in t with degree $\leq 2d(r-1)$ and has at least ℓ distinct roots. So for $\ell > 2d(r-1)$, the image of ϕ_g is contained in the stabilizer of v in GL_{H_ℓ} . This shows $(T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell} \supset (T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\Pi_\ell^+}$. \square

A semisimple group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ is a smooth affine group scheme whose geometric fibers are connected semisimple algebraic groups. Then all the geometric fibers have the same root data [DG70, XXII, Prop. 2.8]. We say that a semisimple group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ is simply connected if its fibers are. Furthermore, we have

LEMMA 7.2. Let \mathfrak{G} be a simply connected semisimple group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Then $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the kernel of the reduction-modulo- ℓ morphism $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is a pro- ℓ group and that, as $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ is simply connected, $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ [Ste68a, §12]. \square

7.2. *Structure of \mathcal{G}_ℓ and weak maximality.* We are now able to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 7.3. For $\ell \gg 0$, we have $\widetilde{\Pi}_\ell = \mathcal{G}_\ell$. In particular,

(7.3.1) for $\ell \gg 0$, $\mathcal{G}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ is perfect and $\mathcal{G}_\ell = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_\ell)}$;

(7.3.2) (*Weak maximality*) there exists an integer $C_r \geq 1$ depending only on r such that, for $\ell \gg 0$, $[\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \Pi_{\ell^\infty}] \leq C_r$ (hence $\Pi_{\ell^\infty} = \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$).

Proof. Assume that $\ell \gg 0$ so that (6.2.1), (6.2.2) and the conclusions of Lemma 7.1 hold. By construction, $(H_{\ell^\infty}^\otimes)^{\Pi_{\ell^\infty}} = (H_{\ell^\infty}^\otimes)^{\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is contained in the stabilizer of $(H_{\ell^\infty}^\otimes)^{\Pi_{\ell^\infty}}$. As stabilizers commute with arbitrary base-changes, \mathcal{G}_ℓ is contained in the stabilizer of $(H_{\ell^\infty}^\otimes)^{\Pi_{\ell^\infty}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$ hence, *a fortiori* in the stabilizer of $T^{\leq d}(H_{\ell^\infty})^{\Pi_{\ell^\infty}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$ for every integer $d \geq 1$. On the other hand, from (7.1.2), there exists an integer $d \geq 1$ depending only on r such that $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$ is the stabilizer of $(T^{\leq d}(H_\ell))^{\tilde{\Pi}_\ell}$ in GL_{H_ℓ} . Then, up to increasing ℓ , we have $T^{\leq d}(H_{\ell^\infty})^{\Pi_{\ell^\infty}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell = T^{\leq d}(H_\ell)^{\tilde{\Pi}_\ell}$ (Theorem 1.3). By (6.2.1) and (7.1.3) this shows that $\mathcal{G}_\ell \subset \tilde{\Pi}_\ell$. For the opposite inclusion, as $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$ is integral (being smooth and connected), it is enough to show that $\dim(\mathcal{G}_\ell) \geq \dim(\tilde{\Pi}_\ell)$. This follows from $\dim(\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}) \geq \dim(\tilde{\Pi}_\ell)$ [Lar10, Thm. 7] and (6.1). Then (7.3.1) follows from (6.2.1) and (7.1.1) while the first part of (7.3.2) follows from [Lar10, Thm. 7 (3)]. The assertion $\Pi_{\ell^\infty} = \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$ then follows from the fact that $\Pi_{\ell^\infty}^+ \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+ \subset \Pi_{\ell^\infty}$ for $\ell > C_r$ and (6.2.1). \square

COROLLARY 7.4. *The subgroup scheme $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty}}$ is connected, smooth over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ for $\ell \gg 0$.*

Proof. The key point is that $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell = \mathcal{G}_\ell$ for $\ell \gg 0$ (Theorem 7.3). Then, the assertion about smoothness follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is flat (6.1) and of finite type over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ and from the smoothness of $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$ (as observed in the paragraph before Lemma 7.1) while the assertion about connectedness follows from (6.2.2) and the connectedness of $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$. \square

7.3. First reformulations of (1.1). Using Corollary 7.4, we obtain the following reformulations of (1.1).

COROLLARY 7.5. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (7.5.1) *the action of Π_ℓ on H_ℓ is semisimple for $\ell \gg 0$;*
- (7.5.2) *the action of $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$ (equivalently \mathcal{G}_ℓ) on H_ℓ is semisimple for $\ell \gg 0$;*
- (7.5.3) *$\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$ (equivalently \mathcal{G}_ℓ) is semisimple for $\ell \gg 0$;*
- (7.5.4) *$\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is a semisimple group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ for $\ell \gg 0$.*

Proof. Assume $\ell \gg 0$ so that (6.2.1), (6.2.2), (6.3) and the conclusions of Lemma 7.1 and Corollary 7.4 hold. The equivalence (7.5.1) \Leftrightarrow (7.5.2) follows from (6.2.1) and (7.1.1). The fact that (7.5.2) implies that $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$ is reductive is standard. Then $\tilde{\Pi}_\ell$ is automatically semisimple since it is generated by its unipotent subgroups. This shows (7.5.2) \Rightarrow (7.5.3). The equivalence (7.5.3) \Leftrightarrow (7.5.4) is by definition; since (6.2.2), Corollary 7.4 holds. The implication (7.5.3) \Rightarrow (7.5.2) follows, for instance, from [Jan97, Prop. 3.2]; see also [Lar95b, Thm. 3.5]. \square

8. Semisimplicity versus maximality

Let \mathcal{G} be a connected semisimple group over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ . Write $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathcal{G}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $p^{\text{ad}} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\text{ad}}$ for the simply connected cover and adjoint quotient of \mathcal{G} respectively. Recall that the Bruhat-Tits building [Tit79] $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}^{\text{sc}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is equipped with a natural action of $\mathcal{G}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ acts on \mathcal{B} through its image in $\mathcal{G}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. There is a bijective correspondence between

- semisimple models \mathfrak{G} of \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ ;
- hyperspecial points $b \in \mathcal{B}$,

given by $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)}$ [Tit79, 3.8.1]. Also given an isogeny $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ and if $\mathfrak{G}_b, \mathfrak{G}'_b$ are respectively the semisimple models over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' corresponding to a hyperspecial point $b \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ extends uniquely to a morphism $\phi_b : \mathfrak{G}_b \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}'_b$ of group schemes over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ .

A compact subgroup $\Pi \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of the form $\Pi = \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for some semisimple model \mathfrak{G} of \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ (or, equivalently, such that $\Pi \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is the stabilizer of a hyperspecial point in \mathcal{B}) is called hyperspecial. Hyperspecial subgroups, when they exist, are the compact subgroups of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of maximal volume [Tit79, 3.8.2]. In particular, a $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ -conjugate of a hyperspecial subgroup is again hyperspecial.

A compact subgroup $\Pi \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is called almost hyperspecial if $(p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\Pi) \subset \mathcal{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is hyperspecial.

LEMMA 8.1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a connected semisimple group over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ . Let \mathfrak{G} (resp. \mathfrak{G}^{sc}) be a smooth, connected group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ with generic fiber \mathcal{G} (resp. \mathcal{G}^{sc}). Assume*

(8.1.1) \mathfrak{G}^{sc} is semisimple over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ ;

(8.1.2) $(p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ such that

$$\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) / (p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+)$$

is abelian.

Then for $\ell \gg 0$ depending only on the dimension of \mathcal{G} , \mathfrak{G} is semisimple over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ .

Proof. For a profinite group Π which is an extension of a finite group by a pro- ℓ group, let $N(\Pi)$ denote the product of the orders of the groups (counted with multiplicities) appearing in the non-abelian part of the composition series of Π . Note that if $\Pi' \subset \Pi$ are two such groups, then $N(\Pi') \leq N(\Pi)$.

Let \mathfrak{H} be a connected, smooth, affine group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ ; write $\mathcal{H}_\ell := \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ for its special fiber. The following hold:

- (1) The non-abelian parts of the composition series of $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$) coincide. This is because the reduction modulo- ℓ map

$$\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

is surjective with pro- ℓ kernel.

- (2) Write $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}} := \mathcal{H}_\ell / R(\mathcal{H}_\ell)$, where $R(\mathcal{H}_\ell)$ is the solvable radical of \mathcal{H}_ℓ . Then the non-abelian parts of the composition series of $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ coincide. Indeed, first, as $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}$ is a semisimple group, the quotient $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) / \mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ is abelian for $\ell \gg 0$. More precisely, let \mathcal{M}_ℓ denote the kernel of the simply connected cover of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}$. Since $H^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell, \mathcal{M}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}))$ is of order dividing $|\mathcal{M}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})|$ (e.g., [Ser68, XIII, §1, Prop. 1]), $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) / \mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ embeds into $H^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell, \mathcal{M}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}))$ for ℓ prime to the order of \mathcal{M}_ℓ . The assertion then follows from the fact that the rank of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}$ (hence the order of \mathcal{M}_ℓ) is bounded as ℓ varies. As a result, the non-abelian parts of the composition series of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ coincide. Next, Lang's theorem [Lan56] gives a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow R(\mathcal{H}_\ell)(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence the non-abelian parts of the composition series of $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ coincide. Furthermore, the above short exact sequence induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow R(\mathcal{H}_\ell)(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \cap \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+ \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore the non-abelian parts of the composition series of $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ and $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$ coincide.

- (3) Let H_i , $i \in I$ denote the almost simple factors of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}$. Then [Tit64, Main Theorem] the non-abelian part of the composition series of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is precisely the family of the

$$H_i(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+ / (Z(H_i(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) \cap H_i(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+), \quad i \in I$$

for $\ell \gg 0$. As the kernel and the cokernel of $\prod_{i \in I} H_i(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, the $Z(H_i(\mathbb{F}_\ell))$ and $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\ell) / H_i(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+$, $i \in I$ all have order bounded from above by a constant depending only on the rank of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on the rank of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}$ such that

$$N(\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) \leq |\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \leq cN(\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)).$$

If d denotes the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}$, this also implies [Nor87, Lem. 3.5]

$$\frac{(\ell - 1)^d}{c} \leq N(\mathcal{H}_\ell^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) \leq (\ell + 1)^d.$$

As $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) / (p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+)$ is abelian, the non-abelian parts of the composition series of $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $(p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+)$ coincide and as the kernel of $p^{\text{sc}} : (p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$ is abelian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) &= N(\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)) = N((p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+)) \leq N(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+) \\ &= N(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^+) = N(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) = N(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)). \end{aligned}$$

Let d denote the common dimension of $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\text{sc}}$, and let d^{ss} denote the dimension of $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\text{ss}}$. Then we have

$$\frac{(\ell - 1)^d}{c} \leq \frac{|\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)|}{c} \leq N(\mathfrak{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) \leq N(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) \leq (\ell + 1)^{d^{\text{ss}}}.$$

When $\ell \rightarrow +\infty$, this forces $d^{\text{ss}} = d$, as desired. \square

COROLLARY 8.2. *The assertions of of Corollary 7.5 are also equivalent to (8.2) $\Pi_{\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is an almost hyperspecial subgroup for $\ell \gg 0$.*

Proof. Assume $\ell \gg 0$ so that (6.2.1), (6.2.2), (6.3), (7.3.2) and the conclusion of Corollary 7.4 hold. Assume (7.5.4) holds. Then $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ corresponds to a hyperspecial point $b \in \mathcal{B}$, which also gives rise to a simply connected semisimple model $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}$ over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ of the simply connected cover $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ with the property that $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is the stabilizer of b in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and the isogeny $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ extends uniquely to a morphism $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ of group schemes over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Furthermore, $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ induces a morphism (Fact 7.2) $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$ with the following properties:

(i) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) & \xrightarrow{p^{\text{sc}}} & \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+ \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Indeed, since $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is open (even a local isomorphism), $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+ \cap p^{\text{sc}}(\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is open hence closed, hence compact. So $(p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+)$ is compact in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ as an extension of the compact group $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+ \cap p^{\text{sc}}(\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ by a finite group. Also, $(p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+)$ contains $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Thus, by maximality of $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, we have $(p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+) = \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

(ii) The homomorphism $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$ is surjective. Indeed, let $g \in \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+$. Since the image of $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is normal and its cokernel is of exponent bounded by a constant depending only on the rank of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$, g lies in the image of $p^{\text{sc}} : \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for $\ell \gg 0$ (compared with the rank of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$), that is, by (i), in the image of $(p^{\text{sc}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^+) = \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

(8.2) thus follows from (7.3.2).

The implication (8.2) \Rightarrow (7.5.4) follows from Lemma 8.1 and (7.3.2). \square

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Part III: Semisimplicity

9. Lie-theoretic proof of Theorem 1.1

The results explained here are entirely due to the second author, Chun-Yin Hui. They led to the first complete proof of Theorem 1.1.

9.1. *Semisimple models and good lattices.* Let $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ be a connected semisimple group over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ of dimension δ and rank s . Let V_{ℓ^∞} be a faithful, r -dimensional \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$. Fix a lattice $H_{\ell^\infty} \hookrightarrow V_{\ell^\infty}$; this defines a model $\mathrm{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}}$ over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Let $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ denote the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ inside $\mathrm{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty}}$ (endowed with the reduced subscheme structure). Then $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is a flat model of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Under mild assumptions, we give a criterion in terms of tensor-invariants data to ensure that $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is a semisimple group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Write $\mathcal{G}_\ell := \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{F}_\ell}$ and \mathcal{G}_ℓ° for its identity component.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^f(\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty})$ denote the category of finitely generated free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -modules M together with a morphism of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -group schemes $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_M$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}} : \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^f(\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ M &\mapsto \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(M_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^\circ}) - \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(M_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ be a maximal torus. We will say that $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty}$ admits a nice model with respect to H_{ℓ^∞} if $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty}$ splits over a finite extension E_ℓ of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ and if the closed embedding $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, E_\ell}^s \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell}}$ extends to a closed embedding $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_\ell}^s \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty, \mathcal{O}_\ell}}$, where \mathcal{O}_ℓ denotes the ring of integers of E_ℓ .

THEOREM 9.1. *Assume $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ contains a maximal torus which admits a nice model with respect to H_{ℓ^∞} . Then,*

- (9.1.1) $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is smooth over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ ;
- (9.1.2) The quotient $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{rd}}$ of \mathcal{G}_ℓ° by its unipotent radical is a reductive group of rank s (and the root system of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell, \mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\mathrm{rd}}$ is a subsystem of the root system of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$);
- (9.1.3) For $\ell \gg 0$ (depending only on r), the following holds. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty} \hookrightarrow H_{\ell^\infty} \otimes H_{\ell^\infty}^\vee$ denote the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$. Then $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is semisimple over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ if and only if $\Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}}(M) \leq 0$ for $M = \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty}^\vee$, $n = 1, \dots, \delta$.

Proof. As $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is flat, $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty, \mathcal{O}_\ell} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty, \mathcal{O}_\ell}}$ coincides with the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell}$ (endowed with its reduced subscheme structure) and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell} = \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell}$ [BT84, 1.2.6]. So to perform the proof, we may base-change to \mathcal{O}_ℓ, E_ℓ . For simplicity, we assume $\mathcal{O}_\ell = \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $E_\ell = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ below.

Fix a Borel subgroup $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$; write $\Phi := \Phi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}, \mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty})$ for the root system, and let $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ denote the set of positive roots defined by $\mathcal{B}_{\ell^\infty}$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_{\ell^\infty})$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ denote the corresponding root space and group respectively. Let $\mathfrak{T}_{\ell^\infty} \simeq$

$\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Z}_\ell}^s, \mathfrak{U}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ denote the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty, \mathfrak{U}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha}}$; by construction, $\mathfrak{T}_{\ell^\infty, \mathfrak{U}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha}}$ are flat over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . For $\alpha \in \Phi$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(H_{\ell^\infty})$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module of rank 1. Let $N_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(H_{\ell^\infty})$ be a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -basis (in particular, $N_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \neq 0$). Then for $\ell \geq r$, the closed embedding $x_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} : \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{Z}_\ell} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$, $t \mapsto \exp(tN_{\ell^\infty, \alpha})$ induces an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -group schemes onto a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ which coincides with $\mathfrak{U}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$.

By [BT84, 2.2.3(iii)] the $\mathfrak{T}_{\ell^\infty}$ -equivariant morphism induced by multiplication

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{U}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \times \mathfrak{T}_{\ell^\infty} \times \prod_{\alpha \in -\Phi^+} \mathfrak{U}_{\ell^\infty, \alpha} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$$

induces an isomorphism onto a dense open \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -subscheme of $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$. In particular $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is smooth over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}^\circ \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is an open subgroup scheme, smooth, affine over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ [BT84, 2.2.5] and \mathcal{G}_ℓ° contains a split torus of rank s . As the reductive rank is lower semicontinuous (e.g., [Gil13, Thm. 10.4.2]), \mathcal{G}_ℓ° has reductive rank s . Furthermore, reduction modulo- ℓ induces a canonical isomorphism of \mathbb{G}_m^s -modules $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_\ell)$. The latter implies that the root system of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell, \mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\text{rd}}$ is a subsystem of the root system of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ (since $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}})$ is a quotient of $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_\ell)$).

We now turn to the proof of (9.1.3). Let $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{u}}$ denote the unipotent radical of \mathcal{G}_ℓ , and write $\mathfrak{g}_\ell := \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_\ell)$, $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{u}} := \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{u}})$, $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}} := \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}})$. This gives rise to a decomposition of the adjoint representation

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{u}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\ell \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and dualizing,

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}})^\vee \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\ell^\vee \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{u}})^\vee \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{u}}$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}}$ (observe that for $g \in \mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{u}}$ the conjugation automorphism c_g on \mathcal{G}_ℓ° descends to the identity map on $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}} = \mathcal{G}_\ell^\circ / \mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{u}}$), the action of \mathcal{G}_ℓ° on $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}}$ factors through the adjoint representation of $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}$.

Suppose now the condition on $\Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}}$ is satisfied.

CLAIM 1. For $\ell \gg 0$ (depending only on r), $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}$ is semisimple.

Proof of Claim 1. Compute the dimension of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{z}_ℓ of the center of $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\mathfrak{z}_\ell) &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}})^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}}) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}\vee})^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}\vee})^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^\circ}) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\mathfrak{g}_\ell^\vee)^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^\circ}) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}((\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\vee)^{\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}}) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}}) \stackrel{(4)}{=} 0, \end{aligned}$$

where (1) is by the semisimplicity [Jan97, Prop. 3.2] (see also [Spr68, Cor. 4.3]) and self-duality of the adjoint representation of the reductive group $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}$

(resp. $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$) for $\ell \gg 0$ compared with the rank (resp. for all ℓ), (2) is because $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rdv}}$ is a submodule of \mathfrak{g}_ℓ^\vee , (3) is the assumption $\Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty}^\vee) \leq 0$ and (4) is because $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is semisimple. \square

CLAIM 2. *For every integer n and for $\ell \gg 0$ (depending only on n), the following holds. Consider a pair of rank n connected semisimple groups \mathcal{G} over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ and \mathcal{G}' over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Assume $\dim(\mathcal{G}) < \dim(\mathcal{G}')$. Then there exists $0 \leq m \leq \dim(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\dim((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g})^{\mathcal{G}}) > \dim((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}')^{\mathcal{G}'})$. Here \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}' denote the Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' respectively.*

Proof of Claim 2. The assertion will follow from the explicit computation of the invariant dimensions of the exterior algebra $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}$ for a rank n connected semisimple algebraic group \mathcal{G} over an algebraically closed field. Assume first that \mathcal{G} is almost simple. Over \mathbb{C} (and thus over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero), these are given by the coefficients (corresponding to the exterior powers) of the Poincaré polynomial $P_{\mathcal{G}}(T)$ of the cohomology of the Lie group \mathcal{G} (e.g., [Baz01, §0]):

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}(T) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + T^{2d_j+1}),$$

where d_1, \dots, d_n are the exponents of the Weyl group (For the explicit values of d_1, \dots, d_n for each simple type, see [Car72, Prop. 10.2.5].) These results also hold for a connected almost simple algebraic group \mathcal{G} over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ when $\ell \gg 0$ compared with the rank of \mathcal{G} as can be shown from the semisimplicity of the representations [Jan97, Prop. 3.2] (see also [Spr68, Cor. 4.3] and [Ser94]) and the classification of irreducible representations of the simply connected cover \mathcal{G}^{sc} [Ste68b, §12 Thm. 41]. For an arbitrary connected semisimple group \mathcal{G} over an algebraically closed field, the (graded) invariant dimensions of $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}$ are given by the coefficients of the product of Poincaré polynomials

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^t P_{\mathcal{G}_i}(T),$$

where $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_t$ are the almost simple factors of \mathcal{G} . This follows easily from the algebra isomorphism $\Lambda^*(V \oplus W) = \Lambda^*(V) \otimes \Lambda^*(W)$.

Now, we apply the above to \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' as in Claim 2. On the one hand, we have

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}(1) = 2^n = P_{\mathcal{G}'}(1)$$

while, on the other hand,

$$\deg(P_{\mathcal{G}}) = \dim(\mathcal{G}) < \dim(\mathcal{G}') = \deg(P_{\mathcal{G}'}).$$

This shows that the sum of coefficients in degrees $\leq \dim(\mathcal{G})$ of $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ is strictly larger than the sum of coefficients in degrees $\leq \dim(\mathcal{G})$ of $P_{\mathcal{G}'}$. In particular, there exists $m \leq \dim(\mathcal{G})$ such that the coefficient in degree m of $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ is strictly larger than the coefficient in degree m of $P_{\mathcal{G}'}$. \square

We can now conclude the proof of (9.1.3). Let us first show that the condition on $\Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}}$ is sufficient. As $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is flat over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , it is enough to show that (i) \mathcal{G}_ℓ is connected and (ii) $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ have the same dimension. Assertion (i) follows from (ii) and the fact that $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is connected [Con14, Prop. 3.1.3]. If (ii) does not hold, then there exists $0 \leq m \leq \delta$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}})^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}}) > \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell})^{\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}})$ by Claim 2 applied to $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\ell, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}}^{\text{rd}}$ (which is semisimple by Claim 1) and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$ and the rank assertion in (9.1.2). Since $\Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}}(\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_\ell^\vee) \leq 0$, this contradicts the following inequalities (for $\ell \gg 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}})^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}}) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}\vee})^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^{\text{rd}}}) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\text{rd}\vee})^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^\circ}) \\ &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_\ell^\vee)^{\mathcal{G}_\ell^\circ}) \\ &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\vee)^{\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}((\Lambda^m \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell})^{\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}}). \end{aligned}$$

The argument also shows that the condition on $\Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}}$ is necessary since the root system (hence, the Poincaré polynomial) of a semisimple group scheme is locally constant. \square

9.2. *Application to the Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We retain the notation of Section 6 and Part II. From Corollary 7.5, it is enough to prove that $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ is a semisimple group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . This can be checked by applying the criterion of Theorem 9.1.

9.2.1. The fact that the assumptions of Theorem 9.1 are satisfied for $\ell \gg 0$ follows from the first paragraph in the proof of [LP95, Prop. 1.3]. Indeed, one can always find a Γ -regular element² t for $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty} \subset \text{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}}$ with the property that the characteristic polynomial of t acting on V_{ℓ^∞} coincides with the characteristic polynomial P_{x_0} of $\rho_{\ell^\infty}(F_{x_0})$ for some $x_0 \in X_0$. More precisely, let $V_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{ss}}$ denote the $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ -semisimplification of V_{ℓ^∞} and $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}}$ the Zariski closure of the image of $\pi_1(X_0, x)$ acting on $V_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{ss}}$. Note that $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}}$ identifies with the quotient of $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}$ by its unipotent radical. In particular (6.2.2), $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}}$ is connected reductive. By [LP92, Prop. 7.2], there exists (a density 1 set of) $x_0 \in \pi_1(X_0, x)$ such that the image t_{x_0} of F_{x_0} by $\pi_1(X_0, x) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{ss}})$ is Γ -regular for $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}} \subset \text{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}^{\text{ss}}}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}} \subset \mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}}$ denote the corresponding

²Let Q be a field of characteristic 0, V a finite-dimensional Q -vector space and $G \subset \text{GL}_V$ a reductive subgroup. Then a regular semisimple element $g \in G(Q)$ is said to be Γ -regular for $G \subset \text{GL}_V$ if every automorphism of $T_g \times_Q \overline{Q}$ which fixes g and preserves the formal character of $T_g \subset \text{GL}_V$ is trivial, and if the only $\text{GL}_V(\overline{Q})$ -conjugate of $T_g \times_Q \overline{Q}$ containing g is $T_g \times_Q \overline{Q}$. Here $T_g \subset G$ denotes the (necessarily unique) maximal torus containing g . We refer to [LP95, §1] and [LP92, §4] for details.

maximal torus. Since the kernel of $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}$, there exists a maximal torus $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}$ lifting $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}}$ and mapping isomorphically onto $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty}^{\text{rd}}$. Then the unique element $t \in \mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ lifting t_{x_0} has the desired property.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}_{0\ell^\infty}$ denote the unique (necessarily maximal) torus containing t . Let $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ denote the maximal torus of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$ contained in $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty}$. By definition of Γ -regularity (see footnote 2), the splitting fields E_ℓ/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ of $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty}$ and P_{x_0} over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ coincide. In particular, for $\ell \gg 0$ (not dividing the discriminant of the product of the monic irreducible factors of P_{x_0}) the eigenspace decomposition $V_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell} = \bigoplus_\lambda V_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell}(\lambda)$ of t coincides with the one of $\mathcal{T}_{0\ell^\infty, E_\ell}$ and induces a decomposition $H_{\ell^\infty, \mathcal{O}_\ell} = \bigoplus_\lambda (H_{\ell^\infty, \mathcal{O}_\ell} \cap V_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell}(\lambda))$. This ensures that the closed embedding $\mathcal{T}_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, E_\ell}^s \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty, E_\ell}}$ extends to a closed embedding $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_\ell}^s \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_{H_{\ell^\infty, \mathcal{O}_\ell}}$.

9.2.2. Let δ denote the dimension of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}$. It only remains to show that, for $\ell \gg 0$, $\Delta_{H_{\ell^\infty}}(M) \leq 0$ for $M = \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty}^\vee$, $n = 1, \dots, \delta$. Note that, from Theorem 7.3, \mathcal{G}_ℓ is connected. Also, as Π_{ℓ^∞} is normal in $\Pi_{0\ell^\infty}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty}$ is a $\Pi_{0\ell^\infty}$ -module.

From (6.3.1) applied to the $\Pi_{0\ell^\infty}$ -module quotients $H_{\ell^\infty}^{\otimes n} \otimes (H_{\ell^\infty}^\vee)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty}^\vee$ it is enough to show that for $M = \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}_{\ell^\infty}^\vee$, $n = 1, \dots, \delta$, we have

$$(9.2.2.1) \quad \dim(M_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\mathcal{G}_\ell}) \leq \dim(M_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\Pi_\ell})$$

and

$$(9.2.2.2) \quad \dim(M_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty}}) = \dim(M_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\Pi_{\ell^\infty}}).$$

(9.2.2.1) always holds since $\Pi_\ell \subset \mathcal{G}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. As for (9.2.2.2), for every $v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\Pi_{\ell^\infty}}$, we have $\Pi_{\ell^\infty} \subset \text{Stab}_{\text{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}}}(v) =: \mathcal{S}_v$. But as $\mathcal{S}_v \subset \text{GL}_{V_{\ell^\infty}}$ is a closed algebraic subgroup, this implies $\mathcal{G}_{\ell^\infty} \subset \mathcal{S}_v$.

10. Cohomological proof of Theorem 1.1

10.1. Preliminary reductions.

LEMMA 10.1. *Let $\iota : A_\ell \hookrightarrow H_\ell$ be a Π_ℓ -submodule of \mathbb{F}_ℓ -dimension a . Consider the following conditions:*

(10.1.1) $\iota : A_\ell \hookrightarrow H_\ell$ splits as a morphism of Π_ℓ -modules;

(10.1.2) $\Lambda^a \iota : \Lambda^a A_\ell \hookrightarrow \Lambda^a H_\ell$ splits as a morphism of Π_ℓ -modules;

(10.1.3) $(\Lambda^a H_\ell)^{\Pi_\ell} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^a H_\ell$ splits as a morphism of Π_ℓ -modules.

Then, for $\ell \gg 0$, $(10.1.3) \Rightarrow (10.1.2) \Rightarrow (10.1.1)$.

Proof. (10.1.3) \Rightarrow (10.1.2): As $\Pi_\ell = \Pi_\ell^+$ (6.2.1), Π_ℓ acts trivially on $\Lambda^a A_\ell$. Thus, $\Lambda^a A_\ell \hookrightarrow (\Lambda^a H_\ell)^{\Pi_\ell}$ and this trivially splits as a morphism of Π_ℓ -modules.

Then $[H_{\ell^\infty}]$ maps to $[H_\ell]$ via

$$H^1(\Pi_{\ell^\infty}, A_{\ell^\infty} \otimes B_{\ell^\infty}^\vee) \rightarrow H^1(\Pi_{\ell^\infty}, A_\ell \otimes B_\ell^\vee)$$

(Fact 3.1, (6.3) and (10.2.1)) and by definition $[H_{\ell^\infty}]$ maps to $[H_{\ell^\infty \mathbb{Q}_\ell}]$ via

$$H^1(\Pi_{\ell^\infty}, A_{\ell^\infty} \otimes B_{\ell^\infty}^\vee) \rightarrow H^1(\Pi_{\ell^\infty}, A_{\ell^\infty \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes B_{\ell^\infty \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\vee).$$

By (6.2.2), $[H_{\ell^\infty \mathbb{Q}_\ell}] = 0$. So it is enough to show that

$$H^1(\Pi_{\ell^\infty}, A_{\ell^\infty} \otimes B_{\ell^\infty}^\vee) \rightarrow H^1(\Pi_{\ell^\infty}, A_{\ell^\infty \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes B_{\ell^\infty \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\vee)$$

is injective; that is, $H^1(\Pi_{\ell^\infty}, A_{\ell^\infty} \otimes B_{\ell^\infty}^\vee)[\ell] = 0$. But this follows from (6.3.2) applied to the $\Pi_{0\ell^\infty}$ -equivariant embedding with torsion-free cokernel $A_{\ell^\infty} \otimes B_{\ell^\infty}^\vee \hookrightarrow H_{\ell^\infty} \otimes H_{\ell^\infty}^\vee$.

11. The Grothendieck-Serre-Tate conjectures with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients

One may ask whether it is reasonable to expect the (arithmetic) positive characteristic variant of the Grothendieck-Serre-Tate conjectures with \mathbb{F}_ℓ -coefficients to hold for $\ell \gg 0$. We retain the notation and conventions of the introduction. Let Λ_ℓ denote \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , \mathbb{F}_ℓ or \mathbb{Q}_ℓ . Let K_0 be a field finitely generated over \mathbb{F}_p and Y_0 a smooth proper scheme of dimension d over K_0 . Let Y denote the base change of Y_0 to an algebraic closure K of K_0 . For every integer $w \geq 0$, consider the following statements:

- (11.1, Λ_ℓ, w) (semisimplicity) The action of $\pi_1(K_0)$ on $H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell)$ is semi-simple.
- (11.2, Λ_ℓ, w) (fullness) The map $Z^w(Y_0) \otimes \Lambda_\ell \rightarrow H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(K_0)}$ is surjective. Here $Z^w(Y_0)$ denotes the \mathbb{Z} -module of codimension w algebraic cycles.

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 show

COROLLARY 11.1. *Assertions (11.1, \mathbb{Q}_ℓ, w) and (11.2, \mathbb{Q}_ℓ, i), $i = w, d-w$ for Y_0 imply the assertions (11.1, \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) and (11.2, \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) for Y_0 provided $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on Y_0).*

Proof. Assume (11.1, \mathbb{Q}_ℓ, w), (11.2, \mathbb{Q}_ℓ, i), $i = w, d-w$ for Y_0 . From [MR04, Lem. 3.1], for $\ell \gg 0$ (depending on Y_0), the canonical morphism

$$Z^w(Y_0) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2w}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(K_0)}$$

is surjective hence, in particular, $Z^w(Y_0) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2w}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(w))$ has torsion-free cokernel. This, together with Fact 3.1, shows that the images of $Z^w(Y_0) \otimes \Lambda_\ell \rightarrow H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(K_0)}$ for $\Lambda_\ell = \mathbb{Z}_\ell, \mathbb{F}_\ell$ or \mathbb{Q}_ℓ have the same rank. Thus it is enough to show (11.1, \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) and

(11.2', \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) $H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(K_0)}$ for $\Lambda_\ell = \mathbb{F}_\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ have the same dimension for $\ell \gg 0$.

From Lemma 4.2, one may freely replace K_0 by a finite Galois extension. In particular, we may assume that the Zariski closure of the image of $\pi_1(K_0)$ acting on $H^{2w}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(w))$ is connected for every prime $\ell \neq p$ (see Fact 3.3). If K_0 is a finite field, (11.1, \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) follows from the fact that the minimal polynomial of the Frobenius acting on $H^{2w}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$, separable and independent of ℓ while (11.2', \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) follows from the fact that (under (11.1, Λ_ℓ, w)) the dimension of $H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(K_0)}$ is the multiplicity of 1 among the roots of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius, which is in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ and independent of ℓ .

If K_0 is finitely generated, Y_0 is the generic fiber of a smooth proper morphism $\mathcal{Y}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ with \mathcal{X}_0 a smooth, separated, geometrically connected scheme over a finite field k_0 . Let \mathcal{X} denote the base-change of \mathcal{X}_0 to the algebraic closure k of k_0 . Up to enlarging k_0 , we can find $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}_0(k_0)$ such that the Frobenius F_{x_0} acts semisimply on $H^{2w}((\mathcal{Y}_0)_x, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq H^{2w}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for every $\ell \neq p$. (See the second paragraph after Proposition 1.1 in [LP95].) Here x is any geometric point over x_0 . By the above argument, F_{x_0} acts semisimply on $H^{2w}(Y, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for $\ell \gg 0$. In particular, its image is of prime-to- ℓ order. Thus (11.1, \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) follows from Theorem 1.1 and [Ser94, Lem. 5(b)]. For (11.2', \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w), observe that

$$H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(K_0)} = (H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, x)})^{F_{x_0}}.$$

From Theorem 1.3, $H^{2w}(Y, \Lambda_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, x)}$ for $\Lambda_\ell = \mathbb{F}_\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ have the same dimension. Thus (11.2', \mathbb{F}_ℓ, w) follows (under (11.1, Λ_ℓ, w)) from the fact that the characteristic polynomial of F_{x_0} acting on $H^{2w}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(w))^{\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, x)}$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ and independent of ℓ . (See (the proof of) [LP95, Prop. 2.1].) \square

References

- [Baz01] Y. BAZLOV, Graded multiplicities in the exterior algebra, *Adv. Math.* **158** no. 2 (2001), 129–153. MR 1822681. Zbl 0979.17003. <https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.2000.1969>.
- [Ber97] P. BERTHELOT, Altérations de variétés algébriques (d'après A. J. de Jong), in *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 1995/96, *Astérisque* **241**, 1997, pp. Exp. No. 815, 5, 273–311. MR 1472543. Zbl 0924.14007.
- [BT84] F. BRUHAT and J. TITS, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local: II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée., *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **60** (1984), 197–376. MR 0756316. Zbl 0597.14041. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SB_1988-1989_31_7_0.
- [Cad15] A. CADORET, An open adelic image theorem for abelian schemes, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** no. 20 (2015), 10208–10242. MR 3455865. Zbl 1352.14030. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu259>.

- [CT11] A. CADORET and A. TAMAGAWA, On a weak variant of the geometric torsion conjecture, *J. Algebra* **346** (2011), 227–247. MR 2842079. Zbl 1273.14088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.09.002>.
- [CT12] A. CADORET and A. TAMAGAWA, A uniform open image theorem for ℓ -adic representations, I, *Duke Math. J.* **161** no. 13 (2012), 2605–2634. MR 2988904. Zbl 1305.14016. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-1812954>.
- [CT13] A. CADORET and A. TAMAGAWA, On the geometric image of \mathbb{F}_ℓ -linear representations of étale fundamental groups, 2013, preprint.
- [CT16] A. CADORET and A. TAMAGAWA, Genus of abstract modular curves with level- ℓ structures, *J. reine angew. Math.*, published online: 2016-11-08. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2016-0057>.
- [Car72] R. W. CARTER, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, *Pure Appl. Math.* **28**, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972. MR 0407163. Zbl 0248.20015.
- [Con14] B. CONRAD, Reductive group schemes, in *Autour des Schémas en Groupes. Vol. I, Panor. Synthèses 42-43*, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2014, pp. 93–444. MR 3362641. Zbl 1349.14151.
- [Del71] P. DELIGNE, Théorie de Hodge. II, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **40** (1971), 5–57. MR 0498551. Zbl 0219.14007. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1971__40__5_0.
- [Del80] P. DELIGNE, La conjecture de Weil. II, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **52** (1980), 137–252. MR 0601520. Zbl 0456.14014. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1980__52__137_0.
- [DG70] M. DEMAZURE and A. GROTHENDIECK (eds.), *Schémas en groupes. III: Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3), *Lecture Notes in Math.* **153**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970. MR 0274460. Zbl 0212.52810.
- [Gab83] O. GABBER, Sur la torsion dans la cohomologie ℓ -adique d'une variété, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **297** (1983), 179–182. MR 0725400. Zbl 0574.14019.
- [Gei00] T. GEISSER, Applications of de Jong's theorem on alterations, in *Resolution of Singularities* (Obergurgl, 1997), *Progr. Math.* **181**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000, pp. 299–314. MR 1748625. Zbl 1022.14007.
- [Gil13] PH. GILLE, Introduction to reductive group schemes over rings, 2013, Toronto (Fields Institute), preprint. Available at <https://www.fields.utoronto.ca/programs/scientific/12-13/torsors/lietheory/Notes/Gille-Notes.pdf>.
- [Hal08] C. HALL, Big symplectic or orthogonal monodromy modulo l , *Duke Math. J.* **141** no. 1 (2008), 179–203. MR 2372151. Zbl 1205.11062. <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-08-14115-8>.
- [Jan97] J. C. JANTZEN, Low-dimensional representations of reductive groups are semisimple, in *Algebraic Groups and Lie Groups, Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser.* **9**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 255–266. MR 1635685. Zbl 0877.20029.

- [dJ96] A. J. DE JONG, Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **83** (1996), 51–93. MR 1423020. Zbl 0916.14005. Available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1996__83__51_0.
- [Jou83] J.-P. JOUANOLOU, *Théorèmes de Bertini et Applications*, *Progr. Math.* **42**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983. MR 0725671. Zbl 0519.14002.
- [Lan56] S. LANG, Algebraic groups over finite fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **78** (1956), 555–563. MR 0086367. Zbl 0073.37901. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2372673>.
- [Lar95a] M. LARSEN, Maximality of Galois actions for compatible systems, *Duke Math. J.* **80** no. 3 (1995), 601–630. MR 1370110. Zbl 0912.11026. <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-95-08021-1>.
- [Lar95b] M. LARSEN, On the semisimplicity of low-dimensional representations of semisimple groups in characteristic p , *J. Algebra* **173** no. 2 (1995), 219–236. MR 1325773. Zbl 0834.20045. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1995.1085>.
- [Lar10] M. LARSEN, Exponential generation and largeness for compact p -adic Lie groups, *Algebra Number Theory* **4** no. 8 (2010), 1029–1038. MR 2832632. Zbl 1219.22011. <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2010.4.1029>.
- [LP92] M. LARSEN and R. PINK, On l -independence of algebraic monodromy groups in compatible systems of representations, *Invent. Math.* **107** no. 3 (1992), 603–636. MR 1150604. Zbl 0778.11036. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01231904>.
- [LP95] M. LARSEN and R. PINK, Abelian varieties, l -adic representations, and l -independence, *Math. Ann.* **302** no. 3 (1995), 561–579. MR 1339927. Zbl 0867.14019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01444508>.
- [MR04] J. S. MILNE and N. RAMACHANDRAN, Integral motives and special values of zeta functions, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** no. 3 (2004), 499–555. MR 2053950. Zbl 1077.11043. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-04-00458-8>.
- [MB85] L. MORET-BAILLY, *Pincesaux de Variétés Abéliennes*, *Astérisque* **129**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1985. MR 0797982. Zbl 0595.14032.
- [Nor87] M. V. NORI, On subgroups of $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$, *Invent. Math.* **88** no. 2 (1987), 257–275. MR 0880952. Zbl 0632.20030. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388909>.
- [Org16] F. ORGOGOZO, Constructibilité et modération uniformes en cohomologie étale, 2016, preprint. arXiv 1703.10850.
- [Ser68] J.-P. SERRE, *Corps Locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968. MR 0354618.
- [Ser94] J.-P. SERRE, Sur la semi-simplicité des produits tensoriels de représentations de groupes, *Invent. Math.* **116** no. 1-3 (1994), 513–530. MR 1253203. Zbl 0816.20014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01231571>.
- [SZ15] A. N. SKOROBOGATOV and YU. G. ZARHIN, A finiteness theorem for the Brauer group of K3 surfaces in odd characteristic, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** no. 21 (2015), 11404–11418. MR 3456048. Zbl 1347.14003. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnv030>.
- [Spr68] T. A. SPRINGER, Weyl’s character formula for algebraic groups, *Invent. Math.* **5** (1968), 85–105. MR 0227178. Zbl 0159.31802. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01425541>.

- [Ste68a] R. STEINBERG, *Endomorphisms of Linear Algebraic Groups*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968. MR 0230728. Zbl 0164.02902.
- [Ste68b] R. STEINBERG, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968. MR 0466335. Zbl 1196.22001.
- [Suh12] J. SUH, Symmetry and parity in Frobenius action on cohomology, *Compos. Math.* **148** no. 1 (2012), 295–303. MR 2881317. Zbl 1258.14023. <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X11007056>.
- [Tat65] J. T. TATE, Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta functions, in *Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry* (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963), Harper & Row, New York, 1965, pp. 93–110. MR 0225778. Zbl 0213.22804.
- [Tit64] J. TITS, Algebraic and abstract simple groups, *Ann. of Math.* **80** (1964), 313–329. MR 0164968. Zbl 0131.26501. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970394>.
- [Tit79] J. TITS, Reductive groups over local fields, in *Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-Functions* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977, Part 1), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **XXXIII**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, pp. 29–69. MR 0546588. Zbl 0415.20035.
- [Zar77] YU. G. ZARHIN, Endomorphisms of abelian varieties and points of finite order in characteristic P , *Mat. Zametki* **21** no. 6 (1977), 737–744. MR 0485893. Zbl 0358.14011.
- [Zar14] YU. G. ZARHIN, Abelian varieties over fields of finite characteristic, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **12** no. 5 (2014), 659–674. MR 3165576. Zbl 1296.11068. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-013-0370-1>.

(Received: May 3, 2016)

(Revised: January 30, 2017)

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES LAURENT SCHWARTZ (UMR 7640),
ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, 91128 PALAISEAU, FRANCE
E-mail: anna.cadoret@polytechnique.edu
<http://www.math.polytechnique.fr/perso/cadoret.anna/>

VU UNIVERSITY AMSTERDAM, DE BOELELAAN 1081A, 1081 HV AMSTERDAM,
THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail: pslnfq@gmail.com
<https://sites.google.com/site/pslnfq/home>

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,
KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail: tamagawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp
<http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/en/list/TAMAGAWA,%20Akio.html>