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#### Abstract

We show that for an integer $n \geq 1$, any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$ free of threeterm arithmetic progressions has size $|A| \leq 4^{\gamma n}$, with an absolute constant $\gamma \approx 0.926$.


## 1. Background and motivation

In his influential papers [Rot52], [Rot53], Roth has shown that if a set $A \subseteq$ $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ does not contain three elements in an arithmetic progression, then $|A|=o(N)$ and indeed, $|A|=O(N / \log \log N)$ as $N$ grows. Since then, estimating the largest possible size of such a set has become one of the central problems in additive combinatorics. Roth's original results were improved by HeathBrown [HB87], Szemerédi [Sze90], Bourgain [Bou99], Sanders [San12], [San11], and Bloom [Blo16], the current record being $|A|=O\left(N(\log \log N)^{4} / \log N\right)$, due to Bloom.

It is easily seen that Roth's problem is essentially equivalent to estimating the largest possible size of a subset of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$, free of threeterm arithmetic progressions. This makes it natural to investigate other finite abelian groups.

We say that a subset $A$ of an (additively written) abelian group $G$ is progression-free if there do not exist pairwise distinct $a, b, c \in A$ with $a+b=2 c$, and we denote by $r_{3}(G)$ the largest size of a progression-free subset $A \subseteq G$. For abelian groups $G$ of odd order, Brown and Buhler [BB82] and independently Frankl, Graham, and Rödl [FGR87] proved that $r_{3}(G)=o(|G|)$ as $|G|$ grows. Meshulam [Mes95], following the general lines of Roth's argument, has shown that if $G$ is an abelian group of odd order, then $r_{3}(G) \leq 2|G| / \mathrm{rk}(G)$ (where

[^0]we use the standard notation $\operatorname{rk}(G)$ for the rank of $G$ ); in particular, $r_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}\right) \leq$ $2 m^{n} / n$. Despite many efforts, no further progress was made for over 15 years, till Bateman and Katz in their ground-breaking paper [BK12] proved that $r_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{n}\right)=O\left(3^{n} / n^{1+\varepsilon}\right)$ with an absolute constant $\varepsilon>0$.

Abelian groups of even order were first considered in [Lev04] where, as a further elaboration on the Roth-Meshulam proof, it is shown that $r_{3}(G)<$ $2|G| / \operatorname{rk}(2 G)$ for any finite abelian group $G$; here $2 G=\{2 g: g \in G\}$. For the homocyclic groups of exponent 4, this result was improved by Sanders [San09], who proved that $r_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}\right)=O\left(4^{n} / n(\log n)^{\varepsilon}\right)$ with an absolute constant $\varepsilon>0$. The goal of this paper is to further improve Sanders's result, as follows.

Let $H$ denote the binary entropy function; that is,

$$
H(x)=-x \log _{2} x-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x), \quad x \in(0,1)
$$

where $\log _{2} x$ is the base- 2 logarithm of $x$. For the rest of the paper, we set

$$
\gamma:=\max \left\{\frac{1}{2}(H(0.5-\varepsilon)+H(2 \varepsilon)): 0<\varepsilon<0.25\right\} \approx 0.926
$$

Theorem 1. If $n \geq 1$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$ is progression-free, then $|A| \leq 4^{\gamma n}$.
The proof of Theorem 1 is presented in the next section. We note that the exponential reduction in Theorem 1 is the first of its kind for problems of this sort.

Starting from Roth, the standard way to obtain quantitative estimates for $r_{3}(G)$ involves a combination of the Fourier analysis and the density increment technique; the only exception is [Lev12], where for the groups $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$ with a prime power $q$, the above-mentioned Meshulam's result is recovered using a completely elementary argument. In contrast, in the present paper we use the polynomial method, without resorting to the familiar Fourier analysis density increment strategy.

For a finite abelian group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_{k}}$ with positive integer $m_{1}|\cdots| m_{k}$, denote by $\mathrm{rk}_{4}(G)$ the number of indices $i \in[1, k]$ with $4 \mid m_{i}$. Since, writing $n:=\operatorname{rk}_{4}(G)$, the group $G$ is a union of $4^{-n}|G|$ cosets of a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$, as a direct consequence of Theorem 1 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1. If $A$ is a progression-free subset of a finite abelian group $G$ then, writing $n:=\mathrm{rk}_{4}(G)$, we have $|A| \leq 4^{-(1-\gamma) n}|G|$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We recall that the degree of a multivariate polynomial is the largest sum of the exponents of all of its monomials. The polynomial is multilinear if it is linear in every individual variable.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 0$ are integers, $P$ is a multilinear polynomial in $n$ variables of total degree at most d over a field $\mathbb{F}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{n}$ is a set with $|A|>2 \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d / 2}\binom{n}{i}$. If $P(a-b)=0$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$, then also $P(0)=0$.

Proof. Let $m:=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq d / 2}\binom{n}{i}$, and let $\mathcal{K}=\left\{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{m}\right\}$ be the collection of all sets $K \subseteq[n]$ with $|K| \leq d / 2$. Writing for brevity

$$
x^{I}:=\prod_{i \in I} x_{i}, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{n}, I \subseteq[n],
$$

there exist coefficients $C_{I, J} \in \mathbb{F}(I, J \subseteq[n])$ depending only on the polynomial $P$, such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x-y)= & \sum_{\substack{I, J \subseteq[n] \\
I \cap J=\varnothing \\
|I|+|J| \leq d}} C_{I, J} x^{I} y^{J} \\
& =\sum_{I \in \mathcal{K}} x^{I} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq[n] \backslash I \\
|J| \leq d-|I|}} C_{I, J} y^{J}+\sum_{J \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \backslash J \\
d / 2<|I| \leq d-|J|}} C_{I, J} x^{I}\right) y^{J} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The right-hand side can be interpreted as the scalar product of the vectors $u(x), v(y) \in \mathbb{F}^{2 m}$ defined by

$$
u_{i}(x)=x^{K_{i}}, \quad u_{m+i}(x)=\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \mid n] \backslash K_{i} \\ d / 2<|I| \leq d-\left|K_{i}\right|}} C_{I, K_{i}} x^{I}
$$

and

$$
v_{i}(y)=\sum_{\substack{J \subseteq\lceil n] \backslash K_{i} \\|J| \leq d-\left|K_{i}\right|}} C_{K_{i}, J} y^{J}, \quad v_{m+i}(y)=y^{K_{i}}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Consequently, if we had $P(a-b)=0$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$, while $P(0) \neq 0$, this would imply that the vectors $u(a)$ and $v(b)$ are orthogonal if and only if $a \neq b$. As a result, the vectors $u(a)$ would be linearly independent. (An equality of the sort $\sum_{a \in A} \lambda_{a} u(a)=0$ with the coefficients $\lambda_{a} \in \mathbb{F}$ after a scalar multiplication by $v(b)$ yields $\lambda_{b}=0$ for any $b \in A$.) Finally, the linear independence of $\{u(a): a \in A\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{2 m}$ implies $|A| \leq 2 m$, contrary to the assumptions of the lemma.

Remark. It is easy to extend the lemma relaxing the multilinearity assumption to the assumption that $P$ has bounded degree in each individual variable. Specifically, denoting by $f_{\delta}(n, d)$ the number of monomials $x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$ with $0 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \leq \delta$ and $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n} \leq d$, if $P$ has all individual degrees not exceeding $\delta$, and the total degree not exceeding $d$, then $|A|>2 f_{\delta}(n,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor)$ along with $P(a-b)=0(a, b \in A, a \neq b)$ imply $P(0)=0$. Moreover, taking
$\delta=d$, or $\delta=|\mathbb{F}|-1$ for $\mathbb{F}$ finite, one can drop the individual degree assumption altogether.

We will use the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq z}\binom{n}{i}<2^{n H(z / n)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for all integer $n \geq 1$ and real $0<z \leq n / 2$; see, for instance, [MS77, Ch. 10, §11, Lemma 8].

Recall that for integers $n \geq d \geq 0$, the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{n}{i}$ is the dimension of the vector space of all multilinear polynomials in $n$ variables of total degree at most $d$ over the two-element field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. In particular, the dimension of the vector space of all multilinear polynomials in $n$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is equal to the dimension of the vector space of all $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-valued functions on $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, and it follows that any nonzero multilinear polynomial represents a nonzero function. These basic facts are used in the proof of Proposition 1 below.

For an integer $n \geq 1$, denote by $F_{n}$ the subgroup of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$ generated by its involutions; thus, $F_{n}$ is both the image and the kernel of the doubling endomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$ defined by $g \mapsto 2 g\left(g \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}\right)$, and we have $F_{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$.

Proposition 1. Suppose that $n \geq 1$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$ is progression-free. Then for every $0<\varepsilon<0.25$, the number of $F_{n}$-cosets containing at least $2^{n H(0.5-\varepsilon)+1}$ elements of $A$ is less than $2^{n H(2 \varepsilon)}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of those $F_{n}$-cosets containing at least $2^{n H(0.5-\varepsilon)+1}$ elements of $A$, and for each coset $R \in \mathcal{R}$, let $A_{R}:=A \cap R$; thus, $\cup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} A_{R} \subseteq A$ (where the union is disjoint), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{R}\right| \geq 2^{n H(0.5-\varepsilon)+1}, \quad R \in \mathcal{R} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$, write

$$
2 \cdot S:=\left\{s^{\prime}+s^{\prime \prime}:\left(s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right) \in S \times S, s^{\prime} \neq s^{\prime \prime}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad 2 * S:=\{2 s: s \in S\} .
$$

The assumption that $A$ is progression-free implies that the sets

$$
B:=\cup_{R \in \mathcal{R}}\left(2 \cdot A_{R}\right) \subseteq F_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad C:=\cup_{R \in \mathcal{R}}(2 * R) \subseteq F_{n}
$$

are disjoint: this follows by observing that if $2 r \in 2 \cdot A$ with some $r \in R$, then for each $a \in r+F_{n}$, we have $2 a=2 r \in 2 \cdot A$. Furthermore, the sets $2 * R$ are in fact pairwise distinct singletons (for $2 r_{1}=2 r_{2}$ is equivalent to $r_{1}-r_{2} \in F_{n}$ and thus to $\left.r_{1}+F_{n}=r_{2}+F_{n}\right)$, whence $|C|=|\mathcal{R}|$.

Let $d=n-\lceil 2 \varepsilon n\rceil$ so that, in view of (2) and (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d / 2}\binom{n}{i}<2^{n H(0.5-\varepsilon)+1} \leq\left|A_{R}\right|, \quad R \in \mathcal{R} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $\bar{C}$ the complement of $C$ in $F_{n}$, and assuming, contrary to what we want to prove, that $|\mathcal{R}| \geq 2^{n H(2 \varepsilon)}$, from (1) we get

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{n}{i}=2^{n}-\sum_{i=0}^{\lceil 2 \varepsilon n\rceil-1}\binom{n}{i}>2^{n}-2^{n H(2 \varepsilon)} \geq 2^{n}-|\mathcal{R}|=2^{n}-|C|=|\bar{C}|
$$

(This is the computation where the assumption $\varepsilon<0.25$ is used.) Consequently, identifying $F_{n}$ with the additive group of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, and accordingly considering $B$ and $C$ as subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, we conclude that the dimension of the vector space of all multilinear $n$-variate polynomials over the field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ exceeds the dimension of the vector space of all $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-valued functions on $\bar{C}$. Thus, the evaluation map, associating with every polynomial the corresponding function is degenerate. As a result, there exists a nonzero multilinear polynomial $P \in \mathbb{F}_{2}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of total degree $\operatorname{deg} P \leq d$ such that $P$ vanishes on $\bar{C}$. In particular, $P$ vanishes on $B \subseteq \bar{C}$, and therefore on each set $2 \cdot A_{R}$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}$. Fixing arbitrarily an element $r \in R$, the polynomial $P(2 r+x)$ thus vanishes whenever $x \in 2 \cdot\left(A_{R}-r\right)$. Hence, also $P(2 r)=0$ by Lemma 1 (which is applicable in view of (3)); that is, $P$ also vanishes on each singleton set $2 * A_{R}$, for all $R \in \mathcal{R}$. It follows that $P$ vanishes on $C$. However, $P$ was chosen to vanish on $\bar{C}$. Therefore, $P$ vanishes on all of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, and it follows that $P$ is the zero polynomial. This is a contradiction showing that $|\mathcal{R}|<2^{n H(2 \varepsilon)}$, thus completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $x \geq 0$, let $N(x)$ denote the number of $F_{n}$-cosets containing at least $x$ elements of $A$; thus $N(x)=0$ for $x>2^{n}$, and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|=\int_{0}^{2^{n+1}} N(x) d x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Trivially, we have $N(x) \leq 2^{n}$ for all $x \geq 0$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2^{n H(1 / 4)+1}} N(x) d x \leq 2^{(H(1 / 4)+1) n+1}<2 \cdot 4^{\gamma n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the substitution $x=2^{n H(0.5-\varepsilon)+1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{2^{n H(1 / 4)+1}}^{2^{n+1}} N(x) d x=n \int_{0}^{1 / 4} 2^{n H(0.5-\varepsilon)+1} N\left(2^{n H(0.5-\varepsilon)+1}\right) \log \frac{0.5+\varepsilon}{0.5-\varepsilon} d \varepsilon, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying Proposition 1, the integral in the right-hand side can be estimated as
$2 n \int_{0}^{1 / 4} 2^{n(H(0.5-\varepsilon)+H(2 \varepsilon))} \log \frac{0.5+\varepsilon}{0.5-\varepsilon} d \varepsilon<3 n \int_{0}^{1 / 4} 2^{n(H(0.5-\varepsilon)+H(2 \varepsilon))} d \varepsilon<n \cdot 4^{\gamma n}$.
From (4)-(7) we get $|A|<(n+2) \cdot 4^{\gamma n}$, and to conclude the proof we use the tensor power trick: for an integer $k \geq 1$, the set $A \times \cdots \times A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{k n}$ is
progression-free, and therefore

$$
|A|^{k}<(k n+2) \cdot 4^{\gamma k n}
$$

by what we have just shown. This readily implies the result.
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