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#### Abstract

The spin moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}$ is the parameter space of theta characteristics (spin structures) on stable curves of genus $g$. It has two connected components, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{+}$, depending on the parity of the spin structure. We establish a complete birational classification by Kodaira dimension of the odd component $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$of the spin moduli space. We show that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is uniruled for $g<12$ and even unirational for $g \leq 8$. In this range, introducing the concept of cluster for the Mukai variety whose one-dimensional linear sections are general canonical curves of genus $g$, we construct new birational models of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. These we then use to explicitly describe the birational structure of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. For instance, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{8}^{-}$is birational to a locally trivial $\mathbf{P}^{7}$-bundle over the moduli space of elliptic curves with seven pairs of marked points. For $g \geq 12$, we prove that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is a variety of general type. In genus 12 , this requires the construction of a counterexample to the Slope Conjecture on effective divisors on the moduli space of stable curves of genus 12 .


The set of odd theta characteristics on a general curve $C$ of genus $g$ is in bijection with the set $\theta(C)$ of theta hyperplanes $H \in\left(\mathbf{P}^{g-1}\right)^{\vee}$ everywhere tangent to the canonically embedded curve $C \stackrel{\left|K_{C}\right|}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$. Even though the geometry and the intricate combinatorics of $\theta(C)$ have been studied classically, see [Dol12], [DK93] for a modern account, it has only been recently proved in [CS03] that one can reconstruct a general curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$ from the hyperplane configuration $\theta(C)$.

Odd theta characteristics form a moduli space $\pi: \mathcal{S}_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$. At the level of stacks, $\pi$ is an étale cover of degree $2^{g-1}\left(2^{g}-1\right)$. The normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ in the function field of $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}$gives rise to a finite covering $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$. Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$has a modular meaning being isomorphic to the coarse moduli space of the Deligne-Mumford stack of odd stable spin curves; cf. [Cor89], [CCC07], [AJ03]. The map $\pi$ is branched along the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$, and one expects $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}$to enjoy better positivity properties than $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}}$.

[^0]The aim of this paper is to describe the birational geometry of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$for all $g$. Our goals are (1) to understand the transition from rationality to maximal Kodaira dimension for $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$as $g$ increases, and (2) to use the existence of Mukai models of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ in order to construct explicit unirational parametrizations of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$ for small genus. Remarkably, we end up having no gaps in the classification of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. First, we show that in the range where the general curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$ lies on a $K 3$ surface, the existence of special theta pencils on $K 3$ surfaces provides an explicit uniruled parametrization of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$:

Theorem 0.1. The odd spin moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is uniruled for $g \leq 11$.
We fix a general spin curve $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}$; therefore $h^{0}(C, \eta)=1$. When $g \leq 9$ or $g=11$, the underlying curve $C$ is the hyperplane section of a $K 3$ surface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{g}$ such that if $d \in C_{g-1}$ is the (unique) effective divisor with $\eta=\mathcal{O}_{C}(d)$, then the linear span $\langle d\rangle \subset \mathbf{P}^{g}$ is a codimension 2 linear subspace. A rational curve $P \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is induced by the pencil $\mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{I}_{d / X}(C)\right)$ of hyperplanes containing $\langle d\rangle$. We show in Section 3 that $P \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is a covering rational curve, satisfying

$$
P \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}=2 g-24<0 .
$$

Thus $P \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}<0$ precisely when $g \leq 11$, which highlights the fact that the nature of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is expected to change exactly when $g \geq 12$. This is something we shall achieve in the course of proving Theorem 0.4.

The previous argument no longer works for $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{10}^{-}$, when the condition that a curve $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{10}$ lie on a $K 3$ surface is divisorial in moduli [FP05]. This case is a specialization of the genus 11 case. A general one-nodal irreducible curve $[C] \in \Delta_{0} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$ of arithmetic genus 11 lies on a $K 3$ surface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{11}$. By a degeneration argument, we show that this construction can also be carried out in such a way that if $\nu: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ denotes the normalization of $C$, then the points $x, y \in C^{\prime}$ with $\nu(x)=\nu(y)$ (that is, mapping to the node of $C$ ) lie in the support of the zero locus of one of the odd theta characteristics of $\left[C^{\prime}\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{10}$. Ultimately, this produces a rational curve $P \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{10}^{-}$through a general point, which shows that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{10}^{-}$is uniruled as well.

In the range in which a Mukai model of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ exists, our results are more precise:

Theorem 0.2. $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is unirational for $g \leq 8$.
The proof relies on the existence of Mukai varieties $V_{g} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n_{g}+g-2}$, where $n_{g}=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{g}\right)$, which have the property that general 1-dimensional linear sections of $V_{g}$ are canonical curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$ with general moduli. We fix an integer $1 \leq \delta \leq g-1$ and consider the correspondence

$$
\mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}^{o}:=\left\{(C, \Gamma, Z): Z \subset C \cap \Gamma \subset V_{g}, \quad|\operatorname{sing}(\Gamma)|=\delta, \operatorname{sing}(\Gamma) \subset Z\right\},
$$

where $Z \subset V_{g}$ is a cluster, that is, a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $V_{g}$ of length $2 g-2$, supported at $g-1$ points and such that $\operatorname{dim}\langle Z\rangle=g-2$ (see Section 3 for a precise definition), $\Gamma \subset V_{g}$ is an irreducible $\delta$-nodal curve section of $V_{g}$ whose nodes are among the points in the support of $Z$, and $C \subset V_{g}$ is an arbitrary curve linear section of $V_{g}$ containing $Z$ as a subscheme. Thus if $C$ is smooth, then $Z \subset C$ is a divisor of even degree at each point in its support, and $\mathcal{O}_{C}(Z / 2)$ can be viewed as an odd theta characteristic. The quotient variety $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{g, \delta}:=\mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}^{o} / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$ comes equipped with two projections,

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-} \stackrel{\bar{\alpha}}{\longleftrightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{g, \delta} \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}} B_{g, \delta}^{-},
$$

where $B_{g, \delta}^{-} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$denotes the moduli space of irreducible $\delta$-nodal curves of arithmetic genus $g$ together with an odd theta characteristic on the normalization. It is easy to see that $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{g, \delta}$ is birational to a projective bundle over the irreducible variety $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$. Thus the unirationality of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$follows once we prove that (i) $\alpha$ is dominant, and (ii) $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$itself is unirational. We carry out this program when $g \leq 8$. When $\delta=n_{g}-1$, we show in Section 3 that the map $\bar{\alpha}$ is birational; hence in this case $\bar{\beta}$ realizes a birational isomorphism between $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$ and a (Zariski trivial) projective bundle over $B_{g, n_{g}-1}^{-}$. Very interesting is the case $g=8$, when $n_{g}=8$ (see [Muk93]) and $B_{8,7}^{-}$is isomorphic to the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,14} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\oplus 7}$ of elliptic curves with seven pairs of points; here each copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ identifies a pair of points.

Theorem 0.3. $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{8}^{-}$is birational to $\boldsymbol{P}^{7} \times\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,14} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\oplus \top}\right)$.
In the process of proving Theorem 0.2 , we establish some facts of independent interest concerning the Mukai models

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{g}:=\mathbf{G}\left(g-1, n_{g}+g-2\right)^{\mathrm{ss}} / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right) .
$$

These are birational models of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ having $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{g}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ and appearing as GIT quotients of Grassmannians; they can be viewed as log-minimal models of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ emerging from the constructions carried out in [Muk93], [Muk95], [Muk10].

Theorem 0.1 is sharp and the remaining moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$are of general type:

Theorem 0.4. The space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is a variety of general type for $g>11$.
The border case of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{12}^{-}$is particularly challenging and takes up the entire Section 6. We remark that in the range $11<g<17$, of the two moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$, one is of general type whereas the other has negative Kodaira dimension. More strikingly, Theorems 0.4 and 0.1 coupled with results from [Far10] show that for $9 \leq g \leq 11$, the space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is uniruled while $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{+}$is of general
type! Finally, we note that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{8}^{-}$is unirational whereas $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{8}^{+}$is of Calabi-Yau type [FV12].

We describe the main steps in the proof of Theorem 0.4. First, we use that for all $g \geq 4$ and $\ell \geq 0$, if $\varepsilon: \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$denotes a resolution of singularities, then there is an induced isomorphism at the level of global sections

$$
\varepsilon^{*}: H^{0}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g, \mathrm{reg}}^{-}, K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}^{\otimes \ell}\right) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^{0}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{g}, K_{\widehat{S}_{g}}^{\otimes \ell}\right)
$$

see [Lud10]. Thus to conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is of general type, it suffices to exhibit an effective divisor $D$ on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$such that for appropriately chosen rational constants $\alpha, \beta>0$, a relation of the type $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}} \equiv \alpha \lambda+\beta D+E \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$holds, where $\lambda \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$is the pullback to $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$of the Hodge class and $E$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-class that is typically a combination of boundary divisors. It is essential to pick $D$ so that (i) its class can be explicitly computed, that is, points in $D$ have good geometric characterization, and (ii) $[D] \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$is in some way an extremal point of the effective cone of divisors so that the coefficients $\alpha, \beta$ stand a chance of being positive. In the case of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{+}$, the role of $D$ is played by the divisor $\bar{\Theta}_{\text {null }}$ of vanishing theta nulls; see [Far10]. In the case of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$we compute the class of degenerate theta characteristics, that is, curves carrying a nonreduced odd theta characteristic.

ThEOREM 0.5. We fix $g \geq 3$. The locus consisting of odd spin curves

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_{g}:=\left\{[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}: \eta=\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(2 x_{1}+\right.\right. & \left.x_{2}+\cdots+x_{g-2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad \text { where } x_{i} \in C \text { for } i=1, \ldots, g-2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a divisor on $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}$. The class of its compactification inside $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$equals

$$
\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g} \equiv(g+8) \lambda-\frac{g+2}{4} \alpha_{0}-2 \beta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{[g / 2]} 2(g-i) \alpha_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{[g / 2]} 2 i \beta_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)
$$

where $\lambda, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{[g / 2]}, \beta_{[g / 2]}$ are the standard generators of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$.
For low genus, $\mathcal{Z}_{g}$ specializes to well-known geometric loci. For instance, $\mathcal{Z}_{3}$ is the divisor of hyperflexes on plane quartics. In particular, Theorem 0.5 yields the formula

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{3}\right) \equiv 308 \lambda-32 \delta_{0}-76 \delta_{1} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3}\right)
$$

for the class of quartic curves having a hyperflex. This matches [Cuk89, eq. (5.5)]. Moreover, one has the following relation in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\left[\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{3}: \exists x \in C \text { with } 4 x \equiv K_{C}\right\}\right]^{-} \equiv 8 \cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,2}^{1}+\pi_{*}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{3}\right)
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,2}^{1} \equiv 9 \lambda-\delta_{0}-3 \delta_{1}$ is the hyperelliptic class and the multiplicity 8 accounts for the number of Weierstrass points.

We briefly explain how Theorem 0.5 implies that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is of general type for $g>11$. We choose an effective divisor $D \in \operatorname{Eff}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right)$ of small slope; for composite $g+1$, one can take $D=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r}$ the closure of the Brill-Noether divisor of curves with a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$, where $\rho(g, r, d)=-1$. There exists a constant $c_{g, d, r}>0$ such that [EH87]

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r} \equiv c_{g, d, r}\left((g+3) \lambda-\frac{g+1}{6} \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{[g / 2]} i(g-i) \delta_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right) .
$$

We form the linear combination of divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{g-2} & \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}+\frac{3(3 g-10)}{c_{g, d, r}(g-2)(g+1)} \pi^{*}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r}\right) \\
& \equiv \frac{11 g+37}{g+1} \lambda-2 \alpha_{0}-3 \beta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{[g / 2]}\left(a_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}+b_{i} \cdot \beta_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 2$ for $i \neq 1$ and $a_{1}, b_{1}>3$ are explicitly known rational constants. The canonical class of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is given by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$
K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}} \equiv \pi^{*}\left(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}}\right)+\beta_{0} \equiv 13 \lambda-2 \alpha_{0}-3 \beta_{0}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{[g / 2]}\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}\right)
$$

and by comparison it follows that for $g>12$, one can find a constant $\mu_{g} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that

$$
K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}-\mu_{g} \cdot \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0\left\langle\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}\right], \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{[g / 2]}, \beta_{[g / 2]}\right\rangle,
$$

which shows that $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}$is big and thus proves Theorem 0.4.
For $g=12$, there is no Brill-Noether divisor, and the reasoning above shows that in order to conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{12}^{-}$is of general type, one needs an effective divisor $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}$ of slope $s\left(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}\right)<6+\frac{12}{13}$, that is, a counterexample to the Slope Conjecture on effective divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$; see [FP05]. We define the locus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_{12}:=\{ & {[C] \in } \\
& \mathcal{M}_{12}: \exists L \in W_{14}^{4}(C) \\
& \text { with } \left.\operatorname{Sym}^{2} H^{0}(C, L) \xrightarrow{\mu_{0}(L)} H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2}\right) \text { not injective }\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, points in $\mathfrak{D}_{12}$ correspond to curves that admit an embedding $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{4}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(C)=14$ such that $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}, \mathcal{I}_{C / \mathbf{P}^{4}}(2)\right) \neq 0$. The computation of the class of the closure $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ is carried out in Section 6, and it turns out that $s\left(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}\right)=\frac{4415}{642}<6+\frac{12}{13}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{D}_{12}$ violates the Slope Conjecture on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$, and as such, it contains the locus

$$
\mathcal{K}_{12}:=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}: C \text { lies on a } K 3 \text { surface }\right\} .
$$

We discuss the structure of the paper. Section 1 is of preliminary nature and establishes basic facts about the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$that will be used both in Section 3 in the course of proving Theorem 0.2 as well as in Section 5, when calculating the class $\left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}\right]$. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 0.1, whereas Section 3 is devoted to the construction of Mukai models for $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$and to establishing Theorem 0.2 . The proof of Theorems 0.4 for $g>12$ is completed in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we construct two counterexamples to the Slope Conjecture on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$, which implies that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{12}^{-}$is of general type.

## 1. Families of stable spin curves

We briefly review some relevant facts about the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$that will be used throughout the paper; see also [Cor89], [Far10], [Lud10] for details. As a matter of notation, we follow the convention set in [FL10]; if $\mathbf{M}$ is a DeligneMumford stack, then we denote by $\mathcal{M}$ its associated coarse moduli space. Slightly abusing notation, if $C$ is a smooth curve of genus $g$ and $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ an isolated odd theta characteristic, that is, satisfying $h^{0}(C, \eta)=1$, we define the support $\operatorname{supp}(\eta):=\operatorname{supp}(D)$, where $D \in C_{g-1}$ is the unique effective divisor with $\eta=\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)$. An isolated theta characteristic $\eta$ is said to be nonreduced if $\operatorname{supp}(\eta)$ is a nonreduced divisor on $C$.

A connected, nodal curve $X$ is called quasi-stable if for any component $E \subset X$ that is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, one has that (i) $k_{E}:=|E \cap \overline{(X-E)}| \geq 2$, and (ii) any two rational components $E, E^{\prime} \subset X$ with $k_{E}=k_{E^{\prime}} \geq 2$ are disjoint. Such irreducible components are called exceptional. We recall the following definition from [Cor89]:

Definition 1.1. A stable spin curve of genus $g$ consists of a triple $(X, \eta, \beta)$, where $X$ is a genus $g$ quasi-stable curve, $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$ is a line bundle of total degree $g-1$ with $\eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)$ for all exceptional components $E \subset X$, and $\beta: \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_{X}$ is a homomorphism of sheaves that is generically nonzero along each nonexceptional component of $X$.

Sometimes the morphism $\beta \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(X, \omega_{X} \otimes \eta^{\otimes(-2)}\right)$ appearing in Definition 1.1 is uniquely determined by $X$ and $\eta$ and is accordingly dropped from the notation. In such a case, to ease notation, we view spin curves as pairs $[X, \eta] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}$. It follows from the definition that if $(X, \eta, \beta)$ is a spin curve with exceptional components $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{r}$ and $\left\{p_{i}, q_{i}\right\}=E_{i} \cap \overline{\left(X-E_{i}\right)}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$, then $\beta_{E_{i}}=0$. Moreover, if $\widetilde{X}:=\overline{X-\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} E_{i}}$ (viewed as a subcurve of $X$ ), then we have an isomorphism of sheaves $\eta_{\widetilde{X}}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\tilde{X}}$.

We denote by $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}$ the nonsingular Deligne-Mumford stack of spin curves of genus $g$. Because the parity $h^{0}(X, \eta) \bmod 2$ of a spin curve is invariant under deformations [Mum71], the stack $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}$ splits into two connected components $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{+}$
and $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$of relative degree $2^{g-1}\left(2^{g}+1\right)$ and $2^{g-1}\left(2^{g}-1\right)$ respectively. It is proved in [Cor89] that the coarse moduli space of $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}$ is isomorphic to the normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ in the function field of $\mathcal{S}_{g}$. There is a proper morphism $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ given by $\pi([X, \eta, \beta]):=[\operatorname{st}(X)]$, where $\operatorname{st}(X)$ denotes the stable model of $X$ obtained by contracting all exceptional components.
1.1. Spin curves of compact type. We recall the description of the pullback boundary divisors $\pi^{*}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$. We choose a stable spin curve $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \pi^{-1}\left(\left[C \cup_{y} D\right]\right)$, where $[C, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{i, 1}$ and $[D, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i, 1}$. Then necessarily $X:=C \cup_{y_{1}} E \cup_{y_{2}} D$, where $E$ is an exceptional component such that $C \cap E=\left\{y_{1}\right\}$ and $D \cap E=\left\{y_{2}\right\}$. Moreover, $\eta=\left(\eta_{C}, \eta_{D}, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$. Since $\beta_{E}=0$, it follows that $\eta_{C}^{\otimes 2}=K_{C}$ and $\eta_{D}^{\otimes 2}=K_{D}$; that is, $\eta_{C}$ and $\eta_{D}$ are "honest" theta characteristics on $C$ and $D$ respectively. The condition $h^{0}(X, \eta) \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ implies that $\eta_{C}$ and $\eta_{D}$ must have opposite parities. We denote by $A_{i} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$of the locus corresponding to pairs

$$
\left(\left[C, \eta_{C}, y\right],\left[D, \eta_{D}, y\right]\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{i, 1}^{-} \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i, 1}^{+}
$$

and by $B_{i} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$of the locus corresponding to pairs

$$
\left(\left[C, \eta_{C}, y\right],\left[D, \eta_{D}, y\right]\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{i, 1}^{+} \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i, 1}^{-}
$$

One has the relation $\pi^{*}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)=A_{i}+B_{i}$, and clearly

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(A_{i} / \Delta_{i}\right)=2^{g-2}\left(2^{i}-1\right)\left(2^{g-i}+1\right), \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(B_{i} / \Delta_{i}\right)=2^{g-2}\left(2^{i}+1\right)\left(2^{g-i}-1\right)
$$

One denotes $\alpha_{i}:=\left[A_{i}\right], \beta_{i}:=\left[B_{i}\right] \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$.
1.2. Spin curves with an irreducible stable model. In order to describe $\pi^{*}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)$ we pick a point $[X, \eta, \beta]$ such that $\operatorname{st}(X)=C_{y q}:=C / y \sim q$, where $[C, y, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$ is a general point of $\Delta_{0}$. Unlike the case of curves of compact type, here there are two possibilities depending on whether $X$ possesses an exceptional component or not. If $X=C_{y q}$ and $\eta_{C}:=\nu^{*}(\eta)$ where $\nu: C \rightarrow X$ denotes the normalization map, then $\eta_{C}^{\otimes^{2}}=K_{C}(y+q)$. For each choice of $\eta_{C} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ as above, there is precisely one choice of gluing the fibres $\eta_{C}(y)$ and $\eta_{C}(q)$ such that $h^{0}(X, \eta) \equiv 1 \bmod 2$. We denote by $A_{0}$ the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$of the locus of those points $\left[C_{y q}, \eta_{C} \in \sqrt{K_{C}(y+q)}\right]$ with $\eta_{C}(y)$ and $\eta_{C}(q)$ glued as above. One has that $\operatorname{deg}\left(A_{0} / \Delta_{0}\right)=2^{2 g-2}$.

If $X=C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E$ where $E$ is an exceptional component, then since $\beta_{E}=0$, it follows that $\beta_{C} \in H^{0}\left(C, \omega_{X \mid C} \otimes \eta_{C}^{\otimes(-2)}\right)$ must vanish at both $y$ and $q$ and then for degree reasons $\eta_{C}:=\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}$ is a theta characteristic on $C$. The condition $H^{0}(X, \omega) \cong H^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C}\right) \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ implies that $\left[C, \eta_{C}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1}^{-}$. In an étale neighborhood of a point $[X, \eta, \beta]$, the covering $\pi$ is given by

$$
\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{3 g-3}\right) \mapsto\left(\tau_{1}^{2}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{3 g-3}\right)
$$

where one identifies $\mathbb{C}_{\tau}^{3 g-3}$ with the versal deformation space of $(X, \eta, \beta)$ and the hyperplane $\left(\tau_{1}=0\right) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\tau}^{3 g-3}$ denotes the locus of spin curves where the exceptional component $E$ persists. This discussion shows that $\pi$ is simply branched over $\Delta_{0}$, and we denote the ramification divisor by $B_{0} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$, that is, the closure of the locus of spin curves $\left[C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E,\left(C, \eta_{C}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1}^{-}, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right]$. If $\alpha_{0}=\left[A_{0}\right] \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$and $\beta_{0}=\left[B_{0}\right] \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$, we then have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\alpha_{0}+2 \beta_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define several test curves in the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$that will be later used to compute divisor classes on the moduli space.
1.3. The family $F_{i}$. We fix $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$ and construct a covering family for the boundary divisor $A_{i}$. We fix general curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{i}$ and $[D, q] \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{g-i, 1}$ as well as an odd theta characteristic $\eta_{C}^{-}$on $C$ and an even theta characteristic $\eta_{D}^{+}$on $D$. If $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is a fixed exceptional component, we define the family of spin curves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{i}:=\left\{\left[C \cup_{y} \cup E \cup_{q} D, \eta\right]: \eta_{C}=\eta_{C}^{-}, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1), \eta_{D}=\eta_{D}^{+}\right. \\
&E \cap C=\{y\}, E \cap D=\{q\}\}_{y \in C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One has that $F_{i} \cdot \beta_{i}=0$ and then $F_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}=-2 i+2$; furthermore, $F_{i}$ has intersection number zero with the remaining generators of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$.
1.4. The family $G_{i}$. As above, we fix an integer $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$ and curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{i}$ and $[D, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i, 1}$. This time we choose an even theta characteristic $\eta_{C}^{+}$on $C$ and an odd theta characteristic $\eta_{D}^{-}$on $D$. The following family covers the divisor $B_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{i}:=\left\{\left[C \cup_{y} \cup E \cup_{q} D, \eta\right]: \eta_{C}\right. & =\eta_{C}^{+}, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1), \\
\eta_{D} & \left.=\eta_{D}^{-}, E \cap C=\{y\}, E \cap D=\{q\}\right\}_{y \in C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $G_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}=0, G_{i} \cdot \beta_{i}=2-2 i$ and $G_{i} \cdot \lambda=G_{i} \cdot \alpha_{j}=G_{i} \cdot \beta_{j}=0$ for $j \neq i$.
1.5. Two elliptic pencils. The boundary divisor $\Delta_{1} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is covered by a standard elliptic pencil $R$ obtained by attaching to a fixed general pointed curve $[C, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$ a pencil of plane cubic curves $\left\{E_{\lambda}=f^{-1}(\lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^{1}}$ where $f: \mathrm{Bl}_{9}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. The points of attachment on the elliptic pencil are given by a section $\sigma: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{9}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ given by one of the base points of the pencil of cubics. We lift this pencil in two possible ways to the space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$, depending on the parity of the theta characteristic on the varying elliptic tail. We fix an even theta characteristic $\eta_{C}^{+} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-2}(C)$, and $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ will again denote an
exceptional component. We define the family

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}:=\left\{\left[C \cup_{q} E \cup_{\sigma(\lambda)} f^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \eta_{C}=\eta_{C}^{+}, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1),\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\eta_{f^{-1}(\lambda)}=\mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(\lambda)}\right]: \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^{1}\right\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F_{0} \cap B_{1}=\emptyset$, we find that $F_{0} \cdot \alpha_{1}=\pi_{*}\left(F_{0}\right) \cdot \delta_{1}=-1$. Similarly, $F_{0} \cdot \lambda=$ $\pi_{*}\left(F_{0}\right) \cdot \lambda=1$ and obviously $F_{0} \cdot \alpha_{i}=F_{0} \cdot \beta_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$. For each of the 12 points $\lambda_{\infty} \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$ corresponding to singular fibres of $R$, the associated $\eta_{\lambda_{\infty}} \in \overline{\operatorname{Pic}}^{g-1}\left(C \cup E \cup f^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\infty}\right)\right)$ are actual line bundles on $C \cup E \cup f^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\infty}\right)$; that is, we do not have to blow up the extra node. Thus we obtain that $F_{0} \cdot \beta_{0}=0$ and then $F_{0} \cdot \alpha_{0}=\pi_{*}\left(F_{0}\right) \cdot \delta_{0}=12$.

A second lift of the elliptic pencil to $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is obtained by choosing an odd theta characteristic $\eta_{C}^{-} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-2}(C)$, whereas on $E_{\lambda}$ one takes each of the three possible even theta characteristics; that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{0}:=\left\{\left[C \cup_{q} E \cup_{\sigma(\lambda)} f^{-1}(\lambda), \eta_{C}=\eta_{C}^{-}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\qquad \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1), \eta_{f^{-1}(\lambda)} \in \gamma^{-1}\left[f^{-1}(\lambda)\right]\right]: \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma: \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{1,1}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ is the projection of degree 3. Since $\pi_{*}\left(G_{0}\right)=3 R \subset \Delta_{1}$, we obtain that $G_{0} \cdot \lambda=3$. Obviously $G_{0} \cdot \alpha_{1}=0$, and hence $G_{0} \cdot \beta_{1}=\pi_{*}\left(G_{0}\right) \cdot \delta_{1}$ $=-3$. The map $\gamma: \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{1,1}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ is simply ramified over the point corresponding to $j$-invariant $\infty$. Hence, $G_{0} \cdot \alpha_{0}=12$ and $G_{0} \cdot \beta_{0}=12$.
1.6. A covering family in $B_{0}$. We fix a general pointed spin curve $\left[C, q, \eta_{C}^{-}\right]$ $\in \mathcal{S}_{g-1,1}^{-}$, and as usual $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ denotes an exceptional component. We construct a family of spin curves $H_{0} \subset B_{0}$ with general member

$$
\left[C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E, \eta_{C}=\eta_{C}^{-}, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right]_{y \in C} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}
$$

and with special fibre corresponding to $y=q$ being the odd spin curve with support

$$
C \cup_{q} E^{\prime} \cup_{q^{\prime}} E_{2} \cup_{\left\{y_{2}, q_{2}\right\}} E
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ and $E_{2}$ are both smooth rational curves and $y_{2}, q_{2} \in E, E_{2} \cap E=$ $\left\{y_{2}, q_{2}\right\}$, while $E_{2} \cap E^{\prime}=\left\{q^{\prime}\right\}$. The stable model of this curve is $C \cup_{q}\left(\frac{E_{2}}{y_{2} \sim q_{2}}\right)$, having an elliptic tail of $j$-invariant $\infty$. The underlying line bundle $\eta \in$ $\mathrm{Pic}^{g-1}\left(C \cup E^{\prime} \cup E_{2} \cup E\right)$ satisfies $\eta_{C}=\eta_{C}^{-}, \eta_{E^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}(1), \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)$ and, for degree reasons, $\eta_{E_{2}}=\mathcal{O}_{E_{2}}(-1)$. We have the following relations for the numerical parameters of $H_{0}$ :

$$
H_{0} \cdot \lambda=0, H_{0} \cdot \beta_{0}=1-g, H_{0} \cdot \alpha_{0}=0, H_{0} \cdot \beta_{1}=1, H_{0} \cdot \alpha_{1}=0 .
$$

(The only nontrivial calculation here uses that $H_{0} \cdot \beta_{0}=\pi_{*}\left(H_{0}\right) \cdot \delta_{0} / 2=1-g$; cf. [HM82].)

## 2. Theta pencils on $K 3$ surfaces

In this section we prove Theorem 0.1. As usual, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{g}$ the moduli space of polarized $K 3$ surfaces $[X, H]$, where $X$ is a $K 3$ surface and $H \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is a (primitive) polarization of degree $H^{2}=2 g-2$; see [Muk96]. For an integer $0 \leq \delta \leq g$, we introduce the universal Severi variety consisting of pairs

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{g, \delta}:=\{([X, H], C): & {[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{g} \text { and } } \\
& \left.C \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{X}(H)\right| \text { is an integral } \delta-\text { nodal curve }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\sigma: \mathcal{V}_{g, \delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{g}$ is the obvious projection, we set $V_{g, \delta}(|H|):=\sigma^{-1}([X, H])$. It is known that every irreducible component of $\mathcal{V}_{g, \delta}$ has dimension $19+g-\delta$ and maps dominantly onto $\mathcal{F}_{g}$. It is conjectured that $\mathcal{V}_{g, \delta}$ is irreducible. This is established in [CD12] in the range $g \leq 9$ and $g=11$.

For a point $[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{g}$, we consider a pencil of curves $P \subset|H|$, and we denote by $Z$ the base locus of $P$. We assume that a general member $C \in P$ is a nodal integral curve. It follows that $C-Z$ is smooth and that $S:=\operatorname{sing}(C)$ is a, possibly empty, subset of $Z$. Let $\varepsilon: X^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Bl}_{S}(X) \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of $X$ along the locus $S$ of nodes, and denote by $E$ the exceptional divisor of $\varepsilon$. Let

$$
P^{\prime} \subset\left|\varepsilon^{*} H \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(-2 E)\right|
$$

be the strict transform of $P$ by $\varepsilon$, and let $Z^{\prime}$ be its base locus. Since a general member $C \in P$ is nodal precisely along $S$, a general curve $C^{\prime} \in P^{\prime}$ is smooth. We view $h^{\prime}:=Z^{\prime}+E \cdot C^{\prime}$ as a divisor on the smooth curve $C^{\prime}$. By the adjunction formula, $h^{\prime} \in\left|\omega_{C^{\prime}}\right|$.

Definition 2.1. We say that $P$ is a theta pencil if $h^{\prime}$ has even multiplicity at each of its points; that is, $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime}\right)$ is an odd theta characteristic for every smooth curve $C^{\prime} \in P^{\prime}$.

The definition implies that the intersection multiplicity of two curves in $P$ is even at each point $p \in \operatorname{supp}(Z)$. For every pair $[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{g}$, we have that

Proposition 2.2. Every smooth curve $C \in|H|$ belongs to a theta pencil.
Proof. Let $\eta$ be an odd theta characteristic with $h^{0}(C, \eta)=1$, and write $\eta=\mathcal{O}_{C}(d)$, with $d \in C_{g-1}$. Then $\mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{I}_{d / X}(H)\right)$ is a theta pencil.

We can reverse the construction of a theta pencil, starting instead with the normalization of a nodal section of a $K 3$ surface. Suppose

$$
t:=\left[C^{\prime}, x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{\delta}, y_{\delta}, \eta\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-\delta, 2 \delta} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{g-\delta}} \mathcal{S}_{g-\delta}^{-}
$$

is a $2 \delta$-pointed curve $C^{\prime}$ together with an isolated odd theta characteristic $\eta$ such that
(i) $h^{0}\left(C^{\prime}, \eta\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\delta}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right)\right)\right) \geq 1$; we write $\eta=\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\delta}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right)+d\right)$, where $d \in C_{g-3 \delta-1}^{\prime}$ is the residual divisor.
(ii) There exists a polarized $K 3$ surface $[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{g}$ and a map $f: C^{\prime} \rightarrow X$, such that $f\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(y_{i}\right)=p_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, \delta, \quad f_{*}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \in|H|$ and, moreover, $f: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ is the normalization map of the $\delta$-nodal curve $C:=f\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.
If $\varepsilon: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up of $X$ at the points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\delta}$ and $E \subset X^{\prime}$ denotes the exceptional divisor, we may view $C^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime}$ as a smooth curve in the linear system $\left|\varepsilon^{*} H \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(-2 E)\right|$. Note that

$$
\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=K_{C^{\prime}}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\delta}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right)\right)=\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}(d)
$$

We pass to cohomology in the following short exact sequences:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{d / X^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)(-d) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2 d+\sum_{i=1}^{\delta}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right) / X^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

respectively, in order to obtain that

$$
\left|\mathcal{I}_{d / X^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\mathcal{I}_{2 d / X^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\mathcal{I}_{2 d+\sum_{i=1}^{\delta}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right) / X^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=\mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

is a theta pencil of $\delta$-nodal curves on $X$. The link between this description of a theta pencil and the one provided by Definition 2.1 is given by the relation $h^{\prime}=2 E \cdot C^{\prime}+2 d$.

If $\mathcal{K}_{g-\delta, \delta}^{-} \subset \mathcal{M}_{g-\delta, 2 \delta} \times \mathcal{M}_{g-\delta} \mathcal{S}_{g-\delta}^{-}$is the locus of elements [ $\left.C,\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, \delta}, \eta\right]$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii), the previous discussion proves the following:

Proposition 2.3. Every irreducible component of $\mathcal{K}_{g-\delta, \delta}^{-}$is uniruled.
This implies the following consequence of Proposition 3.4 to be established in the next section:

Theorem 2.4. We set $g \leq 9$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq(g+1) / 3$. Then the variety $\mathcal{K}_{g-\delta, \delta}^{-}$is nonempty, uniruled, and dominates the spin moduli space $\mathcal{S}_{g-\delta}^{-}$.

Definition 2.5. We say that a theta pencil $P$ is $\delta$-nodal if its general member is a $\delta$-nodal curve; that is, $|S|=\delta$. We say that $P$ is regular if the support $\operatorname{supp}(Z)$ of its base locus consists of $g-1$ distinct points.

A $\delta$-nodal theta pencil $P$ on a $K 3$ surface $X$ induces a map

$$
m^{\prime}: P^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g-\delta}^{-}
$$

obtained by sending a general $C^{\prime} \in P^{\prime}$ to the moduli point $\left[C^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime}\right)\right] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g-\delta}^{-}$. We note in passing that a theta pencil also induces a map $m: P^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$defined as follows. Consider the pencil $E+P^{\prime}$ having fixed component $E$. The general member is a quasi-stable curve $D \in\left(E+P^{\prime}\right)$ of arithmetic genus $g$, with exceptional components $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, \delta}$ corresponding to the exceptional divisors of the blow-up $\varepsilon: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$. Then

$$
m(C):=\left[C \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\delta} E_{i}\right), \eta_{E_{i}}=\mathcal{O}_{E_{i}}(1), \eta_{C^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime}\right)\right] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}
$$

These pencils will be used extensively in the proof of Theorem 0.2.
Assume that $[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{g}$ is a general point; in particular, $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\mathbb{Z} \cdot H$. Then every smooth curve $C \in|H|$ is Brill-Noether general (see [Laz86]), which implies that $h^{0}(C, \eta)=1$ for every odd theta characteristic $\eta$ on $C$. Theta pencils with smooth general member define a locally closed subset in the Grassmannian $\mathrm{G}\left(2, H^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(H)\right)\right.$ of lines in $|H|$. Let $\Theta^{-}(X, H)$ be its Zariski closure in $\mathrm{G}\left(2, H^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(H)\right)\right.$.

Proposition 2.6. $\Theta^{-}(X, H)$ is pure of dimension $g-1$.
Proof. Let $f: P^{-}(X, H) \rightarrow|H|$ be the projection map from the projectivized universal bundle over $\Theta^{-}(X, H)$, and let $V_{g, 0}(|H|) \subset|H|$ be the open locus of smooth curves. Under our assumptions $f$ has finite fibres over $V_{g, 0}(|H|)$. Thus $P^{-}(X, H)$ has pure dimension $g$, and $\Theta^{-}(X, H)$ has pure dimension $g-1$.

For a general (thus necessarily regular) theta pencil $P \in \Theta^{-}(X, H)$, we study in more detail the map $m: P^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. Let $\Delta(X, H) \subset|H|$ be the discriminant locus. Since $[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{g}$ is general, $\Delta(X, H)$ is an integral hypersurface parametrizing the singular elements of $|H|$. It is well known that $\operatorname{deg} \Delta(X, H)=6 g+18$.

Proposition 2.7. Let $P \in \Theta^{-}(X, H)$ be a general theta pencil with base locus $Z$. Then every singular curve $C \in P$ is nodal. Furthermore,

$$
P \cdot \Delta(X, H)=2\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{g-1}\right)+b_{1}+\cdots+b_{4 g+20}
$$

where $a_{i}$ is the parameter point of a curve $A_{i} \in P$ having a point of $Z$ as its only singularity and $b_{j}$ is the parameter point of a curve $B_{j} \in P$ such that $\operatorname{sing}\left(B_{j}\right) \subset X-Z$. Accordingly,

$$
P \cdot \alpha_{0}=4 g+20 \text { and } P \cdot \beta_{0}=g-1 .
$$

Proof. We set $\operatorname{supp}(Z)=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{g-1}\right\}$. Since $P$ is regular, for $i=$ $1, \ldots, g-1$, there exists a unique curve $A_{i} \in P$ singular at $p_{i}$. Moreover, for degree reasons, $p_{i}$ is the unique double point of $A_{i}$. Each pencil $T \subset|H|$ having $p_{i}$ in its base locus is a tangent line to $\Delta(X, H)$ at $A_{i}$. Hence the intersection
multiplicity $(P \cdot \Delta(X, H))_{A_{i}}$ is at least 2. It follows that the assertion to prove is open on any family of pairs $(P,[X, H])$ such that $P \in \Theta^{-}(X, H)$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{g}$ is irreducible, it suffices to produce one polarized $K 3$ surface $(X, H)$ satisfying this condition.

For this purpose, we use hyperelliptic polarized $K 3$ surfaces $(X, H)$. Consider a rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}:=\mathbb{F}_{a} \subset \mathbf{P}^{g}$, where $a \in\{0,1\}$ and $g=2 n+1-a$. A general section $R \in\left|O_{\mathbb{F}}(1)\right|$ is a rational normal curve of degree $g-1$. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}\left(-2 K_{\mathbb{F}}-R\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}\left(-2 K_{\mathbb{F}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{R}\left(-2 K_{\mathbb{F}}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

one finds that there exist a smooth curve $B \in\left|-2 K_{\mathbb{F}}\right|$ and distinct points $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{g-1} \in B$ such that the pencil $Q \subset\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(R)\right|$ of hyperplane sections through $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{g-1}$ cuts out a pencil with simple ramification on $B$.

Let $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the double covering of $\mathbb{F}$ branched along $B$. Then $X$ is a $K 3$ surface and $|H|:=\left|\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\rho^{*} R\right)\right|$ is a hyperelliptic linear system on $X$ of genus $g$. Then $\rho^{*}(Q)$ is a regular theta pencil on $X$ with the required properties.

Since theta pencils cover $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$when $g \leq 11$ and $g \neq 10$, the following consequence of Proposition 2.7 is very suggestive concerning the variation of $\kappa\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$as $g$ increases; in particular, in highlighting the significance of the case $g=12$.

Corollary 2.8. With the same notation as above, we have that $P \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}$ $=2 g-24$. In particular, general theta pencils of genus $g<12$ are $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}$negative.

Proof. Use that $(P \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}}=\left(\pi_{*}(P) \cdot \lambda\right)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}}=g+1, P \cdot \alpha_{0}=4 g+20$ and $P \cdot \beta_{0}=g-1$.

Proposition 2.9. The locally closed set of nodal theta pencils in $\Theta^{-}(X, H)$ is nonempty. If $P$ is a general nodal theta pencil, then a general curve $C \in P$ has one node as its only singularity.

Proof. We keep the notation from the previous proof and construct a smooth curve $B \in\left|-2 K_{\mathbb{F}}\right|$. We choose general points $o, o_{1}, \ldots, o_{g-3} \in B$ such that the pencil $Q \subset\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(R)\right|$ consisting of hyperplane sections passing through $o_{1}+\cdots+o_{g-3}+2 o$ cuts out a pencil with simple ramification on $B$. Then $\rho^{*}(Q)$ is a nodal theta pencil with the required properties.

Theorem 2.10. $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is uniruled for $g \leq 11$.
Proof. By [M1-4], a general curve $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is embedded in a $K 3$ surface $X$ precisely when $g \leq 9$ or $g=11$. By Proposition 2.7, $C$ belongs to a theta
pencil $P \subset\left|\mathcal{O}_{X}(C)\right|$ (which, moreover, is $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{--}}$negative). Thus the statement follows for $g \leq 9$ and $g=11$. To settle the case of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{10}^{-}$, we show that $\mathcal{K}_{10,1}^{-}$ is nonempty and irreducible. Indeed, then by Proposition 2.3 it follows that $\mathcal{K}_{10,1}^{-}$is uniruled, and since the projection map $\mathcal{K}_{10,1}^{-} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{10}^{-}$is finite, $\mathcal{K}_{10,1}^{-}$ dominates $\mathcal{S}_{10}^{-}$. This implies that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{10}^{-}$is uniruled.

The variety $\mathcal{K}_{10,1}^{-}$is an open subvariety of the irreducible locus

$$
\mathcal{U}:=\left\{([C, x, y], \eta) \in \mathcal{M}_{10,2} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{10}} \mathcal{S}_{10}^{-}: h^{0}\left(C, \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-x-y)\right) \geq 1\right\}
$$

and hence it is irreducible as well. To establish its nonemptiness, it suffices to produce an example of an element $([C, x, y], \eta]) \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the curve $C_{x y}$ can be embedded in a $K 3$ surface. We specialize to the case when $C$ is hyperelliptic and $x, y \in C$ are distinct Weierstrass points, in which case one can choose $\eta=\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(x+y+w_{1}+\cdots+w_{7}\right)$, where $w_{i}$ are distinct Weierstrass points in $C-\{x, y\}$. Again we let $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \subset \mathbf{P}^{11}$ be a hyperelliptic $K 3$ surface branched along $B \in\left|-2 K_{\mathbb{F}}\right|$, with polarization $H:=\rho^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)$, so that $[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{11}$. We set $C:=\rho^{*}(R)$, where $R \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)\right|$ is a rational normal curve of degree 10 . We need to ensure that $C$ is 1 -nodal, with its node $p \in C$ such that if $f: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ denotes the normalization map, then both points in $f^{-1}(p)$ are Weierstrass points. This is satisfied once we choose $R$ in such a way that $B \cdot R \geq 2 \rho(p)$.

## 3. Unirationality of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$for $g \leq 8$

To prove the claimed unirationality results, we use that a general curve $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ has a sextic plane model when $g \leq 6$, or is a linear section of a Mukai variety when $7 \leq g \leq 9$. We start with the easy case of small genus before moving on to the more substantial study of Mukai models.

Theorem 3.1. $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is unirational for $g \leq 6$.
Proof. We fix $3 \leq g \leq 6$ and a general odd spin curve $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}$. Write $\eta=\mathcal{O}_{C}(d)$, where $d \in C_{g-1}$. Then choose a general linear system $A \in G_{6}^{2}(C)$. The induced morphism $\phi_{A}: C \rightarrow \Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ realizes $C$ as a sextic with $\delta=10-g$ nodes. By choosing $[C, \eta]$ and $A$ generically, we may assume that $\operatorname{supp}(d)$ consists of $g-1$ points and is disjoint from $\phi_{A}^{-1}(\operatorname{sing}(\Gamma))$. Accordingly, we identify $d$ with its image $\phi_{A}(d)$ on $\Gamma$. By adjunction,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{C}(2 d)=\omega_{C}=\mathcal{O}_{C}(3)\left(-\phi_{A}^{-1}(\operatorname{sing}(\Gamma))\right),
$$

therefore the unique plane cubic $E \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(3)\right|$ passing through the $10-g$ nodes of $\Gamma$ as well as through the $g-1$ points of $\operatorname{supp}(d)$ is actually tangent to $\Gamma$ along $\operatorname{supp}(d)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{U} \subset\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)^{9}$ the open set parametrizing general 9-tuples of points $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}):=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\delta}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{g-1}\right)$, where $g=10-\delta$. Over $\mathcal{U}$ lies a projective bundle $\mathcal{P}$ whose fibre at $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is the linear system of plane sextics $\Gamma$ that are singular along $\bar{x}$ and totally tangent to $E_{\bar{x}, \bar{y}}$ along $\bar{y}$. Here $E_{\bar{x}, \bar{y}} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(3)\right|$ denotes the unique plane cubic through the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\delta}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{g-1}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a rational variety, and by the previous remark, it dominates $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is unirational.

We assume now that $7 \leq g \leq 10$ and denote by $V_{g} \subset \mathbf{P}^{N_{g}}$ the rational homogeneous space defined as follows (see [Muk93], [Muk95], [Muk10]):

- $V_{10}$ : the 5 -dimensional variety $G_{2} / P \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ corresponding to the Lie group $G_{2}$;
- $V_{9}$ : the Plücker embedding of the symplectic Grassmannian $\operatorname{SG}(3,6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$;
$-V_{8}$ : the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $\mathrm{G}(2,6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$;
$-V_{7}$ : the Plücker embedding of the orthogonal Grassmannian $\mathrm{OG}(5,10) \subset \mathbf{P}^{15}$.
Note that $N_{g}=g+\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{g}\right)-2$. Inside the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(V_{g}\right)$ of curvilinear sections of $V_{g}$, we consider the open set $\mathcal{U}_{g}$ classifying curves $C \subset V_{g}$ such that
- $C$ is a nodal integral section of $V_{g}$ by a linear space of dimension $g-1$;
- the residue map $\rho: H^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{sing}(C)}\right)$ is surjective.

A general point $\left[C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{g-1}\right] \in \mathcal{U}_{g}$ is a smooth, canonical curve of genus $g$. Mukai's results [Muk93], [Muk95], [Muk10] imply that $C$ has general moduli if $g \leq 9$. For each $0 \leq \delta \leq g-1$, we define the locally closed sets of $\delta$-nodal curvilinear sections of $V_{g}$,

$$
\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}:=\left\{\left[C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{g-1}\right] \in \mathcal{U}_{g}:|\operatorname{sing}(C)|=\delta\right\} .
$$

Proposition 3.2. For $g \leq 9$, the variety $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}$ is smooth of pure codimension $\delta$ in $\mathcal{U}_{g}$.

Proof. A general 2-dimensional linear section of $V_{g}$ is a polarized $K 3$ surface $[X, H] \in \mathcal{F}_{g}$ with general moduli. It is known [Tan82] that $\delta$-nodal hyperplane sections of $S$ form a pure $(g-\delta)$-dimensional family $V_{g, \delta}(|H|) \subset|H|$. Thus, $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta} \neq \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}, \mathcal{U}_{g}\right) \leq \delta$. We fix a curve $[C] \in \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}$ and then consider the normal bundle $N_{C}$ of $C$ in $V_{g}$ and the map $r: H^{0}\left(C, N_{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{sing}(C)}$ induced by the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow T_{C} \rightarrow T_{V_{g}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow N_{C} \xrightarrow{r} T_{C}^{1} \rightarrow 0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{C}^{1}=\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{sing}(C)}$ is the Lichtenbaum-Schlessinger sheaf of $C$ classifying the deformations of $\operatorname{sing}(C)$. Using the identification $T_{[C]}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g}\right)=H^{0}\left(C, N_{C}\right)$, it is known that $\operatorname{Ker}(r)$ is isomorphic to $T_{[C]}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}\right)$; see, e.g., [HH85]. Furthermore, $N_{C} \cong \omega_{C}^{\oplus\left(N_{g}-g+1\right)}$ and $r=\rho^{\oplus\left(N_{g}-g+1\right)}$, where $\rho: H^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{sing}(C)}\right)$ is the map given by the residues at the nodes. Since $\rho$ is surjective, $\operatorname{Ker}(r)$ has codimension $\delta$ inside $T_{[C]}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g}\right)$ and the statement follows.

The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$ acts in the natural way on $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(V_{g}\right)$. The locus of singular curvilinear sections $[C] \in \mathcal{U}_{g}$ is an Aut $\left(V_{g}\right)$-invariant divisor that misses a general point of $\mathcal{U}_{g}$; therefore, $\mathcal{U}_{g}^{\text {ss }}:=\mathcal{U}_{g} \cap \operatorname{Hilb}\left(V_{g}\right)^{\text {ss }} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\rho\left(V_{g}\right)=1$, the notion of stability is independent of the polarization. The (quasi-projective) GIT-quotient

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{g}:=\mathcal{U}_{g}^{\mathrm{ss}} / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)
$$

is said to be the Mukai model of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

where $u_{g}: \mathcal{U}_{g}^{\mathrm{ss}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is the quotient map and $m_{g}: \mathcal{U}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is the moduli map. The general fibre of $m_{g}$ is an $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$-orbit. Summarizing results from [Muk93], [Muk95], [Muk10], we state the following:

Theorem 3.3. For $7 \leq g \leq 9$, the map $\phi_{g}: \mathfrak{M}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is a birational isomorphism. The inverse map $\phi_{g}^{-1}$ contracts the (unique) Brill-Noether divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ of curves with a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ when $\rho(g, r, d)=-1$, as well as the boundary divisors $\Delta_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$.

Next, let $\Delta_{g}^{\delta} \subset \Delta_{0} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ be the locus of integral stable curves of arithmetic genus $g$ with $\delta$ nodes. Then $\Delta_{g}^{\delta}$ is irreducible of codimension $\delta$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$.

Lemma 3.4. Set $7 \leq g \leq 9$, and let $D$ be any irreducible component of $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}$. Then the restriction morphism $m_{g \mid D}: D \rightarrow \Delta_{g}^{\delta}$ is dominant. In particular, a general $\delta$-nodal curve $[C] \in \Delta_{g}^{\delta}$ lies on a smooth $K 3$ surface.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}$ is smooth, $D$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}$; that is, for $[C] \in D$, the tangent spaces to $D$ and to $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}$ coincide. We consider again the sequence (2):

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{C} \rightarrow T_{V_{g}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow N_{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $N_{C}^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Im}\left\{T_{V_{g}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow N_{C}\right\}$ is the equisingular sheaf of $C$. We have that $H^{0}\left(C, N_{C}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(r)$. As remarked in the proof of Proposition 3.2, $H^{0}\left(C, N_{C}^{\prime}\right)$ is the tangent space $T_{[C]}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}\right)$ and its codimension in $H^{0}\left(C, N_{C}\right)$ equals $\delta$. Consider the coboundary map $\partial: H^{0}\left(C, N_{C}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(C, T_{C}\right)$. Since $H^{1}\left(C, T_{C}\right)$ classifies topologically trivial deformations of the nodal curve $C$, the image $\operatorname{Im}(\partial)$ is isomorphic to the image of the tangent map $d m_{g \mid \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}}$ at $[C]$. On the other hand, $H^{0}\left(C, T_{V_{g}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)$ is the tangent space to the orbit of $C$ under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$. This is reduced and the stabilizer of $C$, being a subgroup
of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$, is finite. Hence we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}(\partial)=h^{0}\left(C, N_{C}\right)-\delta-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)=3 g-3-\delta
$$

Since $\Delta_{g}^{\delta}$ has codimension $\delta$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$, it follows that $m_{g \mid D}$ is dominant.
Proposition 3.5. Fix $0 \leq \delta \leq g-1$ and $D$ an irreducible component of $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}$. Then $D^{\mathrm{ss}} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. It suffices to construct an $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$-invariant divisor that does not contain $D$. We carry out the construction when $g=8$, the remaining cases being largely similar.

We fix a complex vector space $V \cong \mathbb{C}^{6}$, and then $V_{8}:=\mathrm{G}(2, V) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\wedge^{2} V\right)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{8} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(8, \wedge^{2} V\right)$. For a projective 7 -plane $\Lambda \in \mathrm{G}\left(8, \wedge^{2} V\right)$, we denote the set of containing hyperplanes $F_{\Lambda}:=\left\{H \in \mathbf{P}\left(\wedge^{2} V\right)^{\vee}: H \supset \Lambda\right\}$ and define the $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{8}\right)$-invariant divisor

$$
Z:=\left\{\Lambda \in \mathcal{U}_{8}: F_{\Lambda} \cap \mathrm{G}\left(2, V^{\vee}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\wedge^{2} V\right)^{\vee} \text { is not a transverse intersection }\right\} .
$$

We claim that $D \nsubseteq Z$. Indeed, let us fix a general point $[C \hookrightarrow \Lambda] \in D$, where $\Lambda=\langle C\rangle$, corresponding to a general curve $[C] \in \Delta_{g}^{\delta}$. In particular, we may assume that $C$ lies outside the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ of curves violating the Petri theorem. Thus $C$ possesses no generalized $\mathfrak{g}_{7}^{2}$ 's, that is, $\bar{W}_{7}^{2}(C)=\emptyset$, whereas $\bar{W}_{5}^{1}(C) \subset \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ consists of locally free pencils satisfying the Petri condition. We recall from [Muk95] the construction of $\phi_{g}^{-1}[C]$, which generalizes to irreducible Petri general nodal curves: There exists a unique rank two vector bundle $E$ on $C$ with $\operatorname{det}(E)=\omega_{C}$ and $h^{0}(C, E)=6$. This appears as an extension

$$
0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow \omega_{C} \otimes A^{\vee} \rightarrow 0
$$

for every $A \in \bar{W}_{5}^{1}(C)$. Then one sets $\phi_{g}^{-1}([C]):=\left[C \hookrightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(2, H^{0}(C, E)^{\vee}\right)\right]$. Moreover,

$$
F_{\Lambda}=\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left\{\wedge^{2} H^{0}(C, E) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C}\right)\right\}\right) .
$$

In particular, the intersection $F_{\Lambda} \cap \mathrm{G}\left(2, H^{0}(C, E)\right)$ corresponds to the pencils $A \in \bar{W}_{5}^{1}(C)$. Since $C$ is Petri general, $\bar{W}_{5}^{1}(C)$ is a smooth scheme, and thus $[C \hookrightarrow \Lambda] \notin Z$.

We consider the quotient $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \delta}:=\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{\text {ss }} / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$ and the induced map

$$
\phi_{g, \delta}: \mathfrak{M}_{g, \delta} \rightarrow \Delta_{g}^{\delta}
$$

Theorem 3.6. The variety $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \delta}$ is irreducible, and $\phi_{g, \delta}$ is a birational isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, any irreducible component $Y$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \delta}$ dominates $\Delta_{g}^{\delta}$. On the other hand, $\phi_{g}: \mathfrak{M}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is a birational morphism and $\phi_{g, \delta}=$ $\phi_{g \mid \mathfrak{M}_{g, \delta}}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is normal, each fibre of $\phi_{g}$ is connected. Thus $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \delta}$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{g, \delta}\right)=1$.

We lift our construction to the space of odd spin curves. Keeping $7 \leq g \leq 9$, we consider the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{2 g-2}\left(V_{g}\right)$ of 0 -dimensional subschemes of $V_{g}$ having length $2 g-2$.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathfrak{Z}_{g-1} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_{2 g-2}\left(V_{g}\right)$ be the parameter space of those 0 -dimensional schemes $Z \subset V_{g}$ such that
(1) $Z$ is a hyperplane section of a smooth curve section $[C] \in \mathcal{U}_{g}$,
(2) $Z$ has multiplicity 2 at each point of its support,
(3) $\operatorname{supp}(Z)$ consists of $g-1$ linearly independent points.

The space $\mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}$ classifies clusters of length $2 g-2$ on $V_{g}$. The cycle associated under the Hilbert-Chow morphism to a general point of $\mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}$ corresponds to a 0 -cycle of the form $2 p_{1}+\cdots+2 p_{g-1} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2 g-2}\left(V_{g}\right)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{g-1}\right\rangle \cap \mathbb{T}_{p_{i}}\left(V_{g}\right) \geq 1, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, g-1
$$

Clearly $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{G}\left(g-1, N_{g}\right)-\left(N_{g}-g+1\right)=(g-1)\left(N_{g}-g+1\right)$. We consider the incidence correspondence between clusters and curvilinear sections of $V_{g}$,

$$
\mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}:=\left\{(C, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}: Z \subset C\right\}
$$

The first projection map $\pi_{1}: \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{g}$ is finite of degree $2^{g-1}\left(2^{g}-1\right)$; its fibre at a general point $[C] \in \mathcal{U}_{g}$ is in bijective correspondence with the set of odd theta characteristics of $C$. In particular, both $\mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}$and $\mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}$ are irreducible varieties. The spin moduli map

$$
m_{g}^{-}: \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}
$$

is defined by $m_{g}^{-}(C, Z):=\left[C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(Z / 2)\right]$ for each point $(C, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}$corresponding to a smooth curve $C$. Later we shall extend the rational map $m_{g}^{-}$to a regular map over $\mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}$. It is clear that $m_{g}^{-}$induces a map $\phi_{g}^{-}: Q_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$ from the quotient

$$
Q_{g}^{-}:=\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g}^{\mathrm{ss}}\right) / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right) .
$$

We may think of $Q_{g}^{-}$as being the Mukai model of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. If $\pi^{-}: Q_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is the map induced by $\pi$ at the level of Mukai models, we have a commutative diagram


Proposition 3.8. The spin Mukai model $Q_{g}^{-}$is irreducible and $\phi_{g}^{-}$: $Q_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is a birational isomorphism.

One extends the rational map $m_{g}^{-}$(therefore $\phi_{g}^{-}$as well) to a regular morphism over the locus of points with nodal underlying curve section of $V_{g}$ as follows. Let $(C, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}$be an arbitrary point, and set $\operatorname{supp}(Z):=$ $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{g-1}\right\}$. Assume that $\operatorname{sing}(C) \cap \operatorname{supp}(Z)=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\delta}\right\}$, where $\delta \leq g-1$. Consider the partial normalization $\nu: N \rightarrow C$ at the points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\delta}$. In particular, there exists an effective Cartier divisor $e$ on $C$ of degree $g-\delta-1$ such that $2 e=Z \cap(C-\operatorname{sing}(C))$. Set $\varepsilon:=\mathcal{O}_{N}\left(\nu^{*} e\right)$. Then $m_{g}^{-}(C, Z)$ is the spin curve $[X, \eta] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$defined as follows.

Definition 3.9. We describe the following stable spin curve:
(1) $X:=N \cup E_{1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{\delta}$, where $E_{i}=\mathbf{P}^{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \delta$.
(2) $E_{i} \cap N=\nu^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)$, for every node $p_{i} \in \operatorname{sing}(C) \cap \operatorname{supp}(Z)$.
(3) $\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N} \cong \varepsilon$ and $\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_{i}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1)$.

We note that $N$ is smooth of genus $g-\delta$ precisely when $\operatorname{sing}(C) \subset \operatorname{supp}(Z)$. In this case $\varepsilon \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1-\delta}(N)$ is a theta characteristic and $h^{0}(N, \varepsilon)=1$. Observe also that there is an isomorphism

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \omega_{X} \otimes \eta^{\otimes(-2)}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(N, \omega_{N} \otimes \varepsilon^{\otimes(-2)}\right)=\mathbb{C}
$$

so the spin curve in Definition 3.9 is uniquely determined by specifying $X$ and $\eta$.

For $1 \leq \delta \leq g-1$, we refine our incidence correspondence and consider

$$
\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}:=\left\{(C, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}: \operatorname{sing}(C) \subset \operatorname{supp}(Z), \quad|\operatorname{sing}(C)|=\delta\right\}
$$

We denote by $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$the closure of $m_{g}^{-}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}\right)$inside $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$; this is the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$ of the locus of $\delta$-nodal spin curves having $\delta$ exceptional components. Clearly $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$is an irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}\left(\Delta_{g}^{\delta}\right)$ and it is birationally isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g-\delta, 2 \delta} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\delta}$. We set

$$
Q_{g, \delta}^{-}:=\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-} \cap \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g}^{\mathrm{ss}}\right) / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)
$$

and we let $u_{g}^{-}: \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-} \rightarrow Q_{g, \delta}^{-}$denote the quotient map. Keeping all previous notation, we have a further commutative diagram

where $\phi_{g, \delta}^{-}$is the morphism induced on $Q_{g, \delta}^{-}$by $m_{g}^{-}$.
Theorem 3.10. We fix $7 \leq g \leq 9$ and $1 \leq \delta \leq g-1$. Then the map $\phi_{g, \delta}^{-}: Q_{g, \delta}^{-} \rightarrow B_{g, \delta}^{-}$is a birational isomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to note that $\phi_{g, \delta}$ is birational, and the vertical arrows of the diagram are finite morphisms of the same degree, namely the number of odd theta characteristics on a curve of genus $g-\delta$.

We construct a projective bundle over $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$and then show that for certain values $\delta \leq g-1$, the locus $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$itself is unirational, whereas the above mentioned bundle dominates $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{g, \delta} \subset \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-} \times V_{g}$ be the universal curve, endowed with its two projection maps

$$
\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-} \stackrel{p}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_{g, \delta} \xrightarrow{q} V_{g} .
$$

We fix a point $(\Gamma, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}$and let $\nu: N \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the normalization map. Recall that $\operatorname{sing}(\Gamma)$ consists of $\delta$ linearly independent points and $h^{0}\left(N, \mathcal{O}_{N}\left(\nu^{*} e\right)\right)$ $=1$, where $e$ is the effective divisor on $\Gamma$ characterized by $Z_{\mid \Gamma_{\mathrm{reg}}}=2 e$. Thus the restriction map $H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \omega_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\omega_{\Gamma} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)$ has a 1-dimensional kernel. In particular, the relative cotangent sheaf $\omega_{p}$ admits a global section $s$ inducing an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{g, \delta}} \rightarrow \omega_{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W} \otimes \omega_{p} \rightarrow 0
$$

which defines a subscheme $W \subset \mathcal{C}_{g, \delta}$, whose fibre at the point $(\Gamma, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}$is $Z$ itself. We set

$$
\mathcal{A}:=p_{*}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W / \mathcal{C}_{g, \delta}} \otimes q^{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{g}}(1)\right),
$$

which is a vector bundle on $\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}$of rank $N_{g}-g+2$. The fibre of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, Z)$ is identified with $H^{0}\left(V_{g}, \mathcal{I}_{Z / V_{g}}(1)\right)$. One has a natural identification
$\mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z / V_{g}}(1)\right)^{\vee}=\left\{1\right.$-dimensional linear sections of $V_{g}$ containing $\left.Z\right\}$.
Definition 3.11. $\mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}$ is the projectivized dual of $\mathcal{A}$.
From the definitions and the previous remark, it follows that
Proposition 3.12. $\mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}$ is the Zariski closure of the incidence correspondence

$$
\mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}^{o}:=\left\{(C,(\Gamma, Z)) \in \mathcal{U}_{g} \times \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}: Z \subset C\right\} .
$$

Consider the projection maps

$$
\mathcal{U}_{g}^{-} \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}^{o} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-} .
$$

We wish to know when is $\alpha$ a dominant map. For $1 \leq \delta<g \leq 9$, we have the following:

Proposition 3.13. The morphism $\alpha$ is dominant if and only if

$$
\delta \leq N_{g}+1-g=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{g}\right)-1
$$

Proof. By definition, the morphism $\beta$ is surjective. Let $(\Gamma, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}$be an arbitrary point, and set $\operatorname{sing}(\Gamma):=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\delta}\right\} \subset Z$. We define $\mathbf{P}_{Z}$ to be the locus of 1-dimensional linear sections of $V_{g}$ containing $Z$. Inside $\mathbf{P}_{Z}$ we consider the space

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma, Z}:=\left\{\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{Z}: \operatorname{sing}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \cap Z \supseteq \operatorname{sing}(\Gamma) \cap Z\right\} .
$$

For $p \in \operatorname{sing}(\Gamma)$, the locus $H_{p}:=\left\{\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{Z}: p \in \operatorname{sing}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}_{Z}$. Indeed, we identify $\mathbf{P}_{Z}$ with the family of linear spaces $L \in \mathbf{G}\left(g-1, N_{g}\right)$ such that $\langle Z\rangle \subset L$. By the definition of the cluster $Z$, it follows that $\mathbb{T}_{p}\left(V_{g}\right)$ $\cap\langle Z\rangle$ is a line. For $L \in \mathbf{P}_{Z}$, the intersection $L \cap V_{g}$ is singular at $p$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} L \cap \mathbb{T}_{p}\left(V_{g}\right) \geq 2$. This is obviously a codimension 1 condition in $\mathbf{P}_{Z}$. Therefore, if for $1 \leq i \leq \delta$ we define the hyperplane

$$
H_{i}:=\left\{L=\left\langle\Gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle \in \mathbf{P}_{Z}: \operatorname{dim} L \cap \mathbb{T}_{p_{i}}\left(V_{g}\right) \geq 2\right\},
$$

then

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma, Z}=H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\delta} .
$$

This shows that the general point in $\beta^{-1}(C, Z)$ corresponds to a smooth curve $C \supset Z$. We now fix a general point $(\Gamma, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}$corresponding to a general cluster $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}$.

Claim. $\boldsymbol{P}_{\Gamma, Z}$ has codimension $\delta$ in $\boldsymbol{P}_{Z}$; its general element is a nodal curve with $\delta$ nodes.

Proof of the claim. Indeed $\mathbf{P}_{Z}$ is a general fibre of the projective bundle $\mathcal{U}_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}$. The claim follows since $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}, \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}\right)=\delta$.

The fibre $\alpha^{-1}((C, Z))$ over a general point $(C, Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}$is the union of $\binom{g-1}{\delta}$ linear spaces $H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\delta} \subset \mathbf{P}_{Z}$ as above. By the claim above, when $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}_{g-1}$ is a general cluster, this is a union of linear spaces $\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma, Z}$ as before, having codimension $\delta$ in $\mathbf{P}_{Z}$. Hence $\alpha^{-1}((C, Z))$ is not empty if and only if $\delta \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{P}_{Z}$; that is, $\delta \leq N_{g}-g+1$.

Let us fix the following notation:
Definition 3.14. (1) $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{g, \delta}:=\left(\mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}^{o}\right)^{\text {ss }} / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$.
(2) $\bar{\alpha}: \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{g, \delta} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is the morphism induced by $\alpha$ at the level of quotients.

Note that $\beta: \mathcal{P}_{g, \delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{g, \delta}^{-}$is a projective bundle and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{g}\right)$ acts linearly on its fibres; therefore $\beta$ descends to a projective bundle on $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$. Then it follows from the previous remark that $\mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}$ is birationally isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{N_{g}-g+1} \times B_{g, \delta}^{-}$. To finish the proof of the unirationality of $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}$, we proceed as follows.

Theorem 3.15. Let $7 \leq g \leq 9$ and assume that (i) $B_{g, \delta}^{-}$is unirational and (ii) $\delta \leq N_{g}-g+1$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is unirational.

Proof. By assumption (ii), the map $\alpha: \mathcal{P}_{g, \delta}^{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{g}^{-}$is dominant. Hence the same is true for the induced morphism $\bar{\alpha}: \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{g, \delta} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. By (i) and the above remark, $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{g, \delta}$ is unirational. Therefore $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$is unirational as well.

Theorem 3.15 has some straightforward applications. The case $\delta=g-1$ is particularly convenient, since $B_{g, g-1}^{-}$is isomorphic to the moduli space of integral curves of geometric genus 1 with $g-1$ nodes. For $\delta=g-1$, the assumptions of Theorem 3.15 hold when $g \leq 8$. In this range, the unirationality of $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}$follows from that of $B_{g, g-1}^{-}$.

Theorem 3.16. $B_{g, g-1}^{-}$is unirational for $g \leq 10$.
Proof. Let $I \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)^{\vee}$ be the natural incidence correspondence consisting of pairs $(x, \ell)$ such that $x$ is a point on the line $\ell$. For $\delta \leq 9$, we define

$$
\Pi_{\delta}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, x_{\delta}, \ell_{\delta}, E\right) \in I^{\delta} \times \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(3)\right): x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\delta} \in E\right\} .
$$

Then there exists a rational map $f_{\delta}: \Pi_{\delta} \rightarrow B_{\delta+1, \delta}^{-}$sending $\left(x_{1}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, x_{\delta}, \ell_{\delta}, E\right)$ to the moduli point of the $\delta$-nodal, integral curve $C$ obtained from the elliptic curve $E$ by identifying the pairs of points in $E \cap \ell_{i}-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \delta$. It is easy to see that $\Pi_{\delta}$ is rational if $\delta \leq 9$. Clearly $f_{\delta}$ is dominant, just because every elliptic curve can be realized as a plane cubic. It follows that $B_{\delta+1, \delta}^{-}$is unirational when $\delta \leq 9$.

Unfortunately one cannot apply Theorem 3.16 to the case $g=9$, since the assumptions of Theorem 3.15 are satisfied only if $\delta \leq 5$.

## 4. The Scorza curve

This section serves as a preparation for the proof of Theorem 0.5 , and we discuss in detail a correspondence $T_{\eta} \subset C \times C$ associated to each (nonvanishing) theta characteristic $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{g}^{+}-\Theta_{\text {null }}$. This correspondence was used by G. Scorza $\left[\right.$ Sco00] to provide a birational isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{3}^{+}$ (see also [DK93]) and recently in [TZ11], where several conditional statements of Scorza's have been rigourously established.

For a fixed theta characteristic $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{g}^{+}-\Theta_{\text {null }}$, we consider the curve

$$
T_{\eta}:=\left\{(x, y) \in C \times C: H^{0}\left(C, \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(x-y)\right) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

By Riemann-Roch, it follows that $T_{\eta}$ is a symmetric correspondence that misses the diagonal $\Delta \subset C \times C$. The curve $T_{\eta}$ has a natural fixed point free involution and we denote by $f: T_{\eta} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\eta}$ the associated étale double covering. Under the assumption that $T_{\eta}$ is a reduced curve, its class is computed in [DK93, Prop. 7.1.5]:

$$
T_{\eta} \equiv(g-1) F_{1}+(g-1) F_{2}+\Delta .
$$

Here $F_{i} \in H^{2}(C \times C, \mathbb{Q})$ denotes the class of the fibre of the $i$-th projection $C \times C \rightarrow C$.

Theorem 4.1. For a general theta characteristic $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{g}^{+}$, the Scorza curve $T_{\eta}$ is a smooth curve of genus $g\left(T_{\eta}\right)=3 g(g-1)+1$.

Proof. It is straightforward to show that a point $(x, y) \in T_{\eta}$ is singular if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(C, \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(x-2 y)\right) \neq 0 \text { and } H^{0}\left(C, \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(y-2 x)\right) \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction on $g$, we show that for a general even spin curve, such a pair $(x, y)$ cannot exist. We assume the result holds for a general $\left[C, \eta_{C}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1}^{+}$. We fix a general point $q \in C$, an elliptic curve $D$ together with $\eta_{D} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(D)-\left\{\mathcal{O}_{D}\right\}$ with $\eta_{D}^{\otimes 2}=\mathcal{O}_{D}$ and consider the spin curve $t:=\left[C \cup E \cup D, \eta_{\mid C}=\eta_{C}, \eta_{\mid E}=\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{O}_{E}(1), \eta_{\mid D}=\eta_{D}\right] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{+}$, obtained from $C \cup_{q} D$ by inserting an exceptional component $E$. Since the exceptional component plays no further role in the proof, we are going to suppress it.

We assume by contradiction that $t \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{+}$lies in the closure of the locus of spin curves with singular Scorza curve. Then there exists a nodal curve $C \cup_{q} D^{\prime}$ semistably equivalent to $C \cup_{q} D$ obtained by inserting a possibly empty chain on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$,s at the node $q$ (therefore, $p_{a}\left(D^{\prime}\right)=1$ and we may regard $D$ as a subcurve of $D^{\prime}$ ), as well as smooth points $x, y \in C \cup D^{\prime}$ together with two limit linear series $\sigma=\left\{\sigma_{C}, \sigma_{D^{\prime}}\right\}$ and $\tau=\left\{\tau_{C}, \tau_{D^{\prime}}\right\}$ of type $\mathfrak{g}_{g-2}^{0}$ on $C \cup D^{\prime}$ such that the underlying line bundles corresponding to $\sigma$ (resp. $\tau$ ) are uniquely determined twists at the nodes of the line bundle $\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C \cup D^{\prime}}(x-2 y)$ (resp. $\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C \cup D^{\prime}}(y-2 x)$ ). The precise twists are determined by the limit linear series condition that each aspect of a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{g-2}^{0}$ have degree $g-2$. We distinguish three cases depending on which components of $C \cup D^{\prime}$ the points $x$ and $y$ specialize.
(i) $x, y \in C$. Then $\sigma_{C} \in H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(x-2 y+q)\right), \tau_{C} \in H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{O}_{C}(y-2 x+q)\right)$, while $\sigma_{D}, \tau_{D} \in H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}((g-2) q)\right)$. Denoting by $\left\{q^{\prime}\right\} \in D \cap \overline{\left(C \cup D^{\prime}\right)-D}$ the point where $D$ meets the rest of the curve, one has the compatibility conditions

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right)+\operatorname{ord}_{q^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{D}\right) \geq g-2 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\tau_{C}\right)+\operatorname{ord}_{q^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{D}\right) \geq g-2,
$$

which leads to $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right) \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\tau_{C}\right) \geq 1$; that is, we have found two points $x, y \in C$ such that $H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}(x-2 y)\right) \neq 0$ and $H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}(y-2 x)\right) \neq 0$, which contradicts the inductive assumption on $C$.
(ii) $x, y \in D^{\prime}$. This case does not appear if we choose $\eta_{C}$ such that $H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}\right)=0$. Indeed, for degree reason, both nonzero sections $\sigma_{C}, \tau_{C}$ must lie in the space $H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}\right)$.
(iii) $x \in C, y \in D^{\prime}$. For simplicity, we assume first that $y \in D$. We find that

$$
\sigma_{C} \in H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(x-q)\right), \sigma_{D} \in H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}\left(g \cdot q^{\prime}-2 y\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\tau_{C} \in H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(2 q-2 x)\right), \tau_{D} \in H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(y+(g-3) \cdot q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

We claim that $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\tau_{C}\right)=0$, which can be achieved by a generic choice of $q \in C$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_{q^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{D}\right) \geq g-2$, which implies that $\eta_{D}=\mathcal{O}_{D}(2 y-2 q)$. Similarly, $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\tau_{D}\right) \geq g-2$, which yields that $\eta_{D}=\mathcal{O}_{D}(q-y)$; that is, $\eta_{D}^{\otimes 3}=$ $\mathcal{O}_{D}$. Since $\eta_{D}$ was assumed to be a nontrivial point of order 2 , this leads to a contradiction. Finally, the case $y \in D^{\prime}-D$, that is, when $y$ lies on an exceptional subcurve $E^{\prime} \subset D^{\prime}$, is dealt with similarly: Since $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right)=$ $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\tau_{C}\right)=0$, by compatibility, after passing through the component $E^{\prime}$, one obtains that $\operatorname{ord}_{q^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{D}\right) \geq g-2$. Since $\sigma_{D} \in H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}\left((g-2) q^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\eta_{D} \neq \mathcal{O}_{D}$, we obtain a contradiction

## 5. The stack of degenerate odd theta characteristics

In this section we define a Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathbf{X}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$parametrizing limit linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^{0}$ that appear as limits of degenerate theta characteristics on smooth curves. The push-forward of $\left[\mathbf{X}_{g}\right]$ is going to be precisely our divisor $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}$. Having a good description of $\mathbf{X}_{g}$ over the boundary will enable us to determine all the coefficients in the expression of $\left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}\right]$ in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right)$and thus prove Theorem 0.5. Throughout, we will use the test curves in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$constructed in Section 1.

We first define a partial compactification $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g}:=\mathbf{M}_{g} \cup \widetilde{\Delta}_{0} \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{\Delta}_{[g / 2]}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{g}$, obtaining by adding to $\mathbf{M}_{g}$ the open sub-stack $\widetilde{\Delta}_{0} \subset \Delta_{0}$ of one-nodal irreducible curves $\left[C_{y q}:=C / y \sim q\right]$, where $[C, y, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$ is a Brill-Noether general curve together with their degenerations $\left[C \cup D_{\infty}\right]$ where $D_{\infty}$ is an elliptic curve with $j\left(D_{\infty}\right)=\infty$, as well as the open substacks $\widetilde{\Delta}_{j} \subset \Delta_{j}$ for $1 \leq$ $j \leq[g / 2]$ classifying curves $\left[C \cup_{y} D\right]$, where $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{j}$ and $[D] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-j}$ are BrillNoether general curves in the respective moduli spaces. Let $p: \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g}$ be the universal curve. We denote $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}:=\pi^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g}\right) \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$and note that for all $0 \leq j \leq[g / 2]$, the boundary divisors $A_{j}^{\prime}:=A_{j} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}, B_{j}^{\prime}:=B_{j} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$are mutually disjoint inside $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. Finally, we consider $\mathcal{Z}:=\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g, 1}$ and denote by $p_{1}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$the projection.

Following the local description of the projection $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{g}$ carried out in [Cor89], in order to obtain the universal spin curve over $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$one has first to
blow up the codimension 2 locus $V \subset \mathcal{Z}$ corresponding to points

$$
\begin{aligned}
v=\left(\left[C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E, \eta_{C}^{\otimes 2}=K_{C}, l h^{0}\left(\eta_{C}\right) \equiv\right.\right. & \left.1 \bmod 2, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right] \\
& \nu(y)=\nu(q)) \in B_{0}^{\prime} \times_{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g}} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g, 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Recall that $\nu: C \rightarrow C_{y q}$ denotes the normalization map, so $v$ corresponds to the marked point specializing to the node of the curve $C_{y q}$.)

Suppose that $\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{3 g-3}\right)$ are local coordinates in an étale neighbourhood of $\left[C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E, \eta_{C}, \eta_{E}\right] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$such that the local equation of the divisor $B_{0}^{\prime}$ is $\left(\tau_{1}=0\right)$. Then $\mathcal{Z}$ around $v$ admits local coordinates $\left(x, y, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{3 g-3}\right)$ verifying the equation $x y=\tau_{1}^{2}$; in particular, $\mathcal{Z}$ is singular along $V$. Next, for $1 \leq j \leq[g / 2]$, one blows up the codimension 2 loci $V_{j} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ consisting of points

$$
\left(\left[C \cup_{q} D, \eta_{C}, \eta_{D}\right], q \in C \cap D\right) \in\left(A_{j}^{\prime} \cup B_{j}^{\prime}\right) \times_{\mathbf{M}_{g}} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{g, 1}
$$

This corresponds to inserting an exceptional component in each spin curve in $\pi^{*}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{j}\right)$. We denote by

$$
\mathcal{C}:=\mathrm{Bl}_{V \cup V_{1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{[g / 2]}}(\mathcal{Z})
$$

and by $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$the induced family of spin curves. Then for every $[X, \eta, \beta] \in$ $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$, we have an isomorphism between $f^{-1}([X, \eta, \beta])$ and the quasi-stable curve $X$.

There exists a spin line bundle $\mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ of relative degree $g-1$ as well as a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules $B: \mathcal{P}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_{f}$ having the property that $\mathcal{P}_{\mid f^{-1}([X, \eta, \beta])}=\eta$ and $B_{\mid f^{-1}([X, \eta, \beta])}=\beta: \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_{X}$ for all spin curves $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. We note that for the even moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{+}$, one has an analogous construction of the universal spin curve.

Next we define the stack $\tau: \mathbf{X}_{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$classifying limit $\mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^{0}$ that are twists of degenerate odd-spin curves. For a tree-like curve $X$, we denote by $\bar{G}_{d}^{r}(X)$ the scheme of limit linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$. The fibres of the morphism $\tau$ have the following description:

- $\tau^{-1}\left(\mathbf{S}_{g}^{-}\right)$parametrizes triples $([C, \eta], \sigma, x)$, where $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{g}^{-}, x \in C$ is a point and $\sigma \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}(C, \eta)$ is a section such that $\operatorname{div}(\sigma) \geq 2 x$.
- For $1 \leq j \leq[g / 2]$, the inverse image $\tau^{-1}\left(A_{j}^{\prime} \cup B_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ parametrizes elements of the form

$$
\left(X, \sigma \in \bar{G}_{g-1}^{0}(X), x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}\right)
$$

where $(X, x)$ is a 1-pointed quasi-stable curve semistably equivalent to the underlying curve of a spin curve $\left[C \cup_{q} E \cup_{q^{\prime}} D, \eta_{C}, \eta_{E}, \eta_{D}\right] \in A_{j}^{\prime} \cup B_{j}^{\prime}$, with $E$ denoting the exceptional component, $g(C)=j, g(D)=g-j,\{q\}=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \cap E,\left\{q^{\prime}\right\}=E \cap D \text { and } \\
& \qquad \sigma_{C} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}((g-j) q)\right), \sigma_{D} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}\left(j q^{\prime}\right)\right), \\
& \quad \sigma_{E} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}(g-1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are aspects of the limit linear series $\sigma$ on $X$. Moreover, we require that $\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\sigma) \geq 2$.

- $\tau^{-1}\left(B_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ parametrizes elements $\left(X, \eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(X), \sigma \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}(X, \eta), x \in X_{\text {reg }}\right)$, where ( $X, x$ ) is a 1 -pointed quasi-stable curve equivalent to the curve underlying a point $\left[C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E, \eta_{C}, \eta_{E}\right] \in B_{0}^{\prime}$, the line bundle $\eta$ on $X$ satisfies $\eta_{\mid C}=\eta_{C}$ and $\eta_{\mid E}=\eta_{E}$ and $\eta_{\mid Z}=\mathcal{O}_{Z}$ for the remaining components of $X$. Finally, we require $\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\sigma) \geq 2$.
- $\tau^{-1}\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponds to points $\left(X, \eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(X), \sigma \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}(X, \eta), x \in X_{\text {reg }}\right)$, where $(X, x)$ is a 1-pointed quasi-stable curve equivalent to the curve underlying a point $\left[C_{y q}, \eta_{C_{y q}}\right] \in A_{0}^{\prime}$, and if $\mu: X \rightarrow C_{y q}$ is the map contracting all exceptional components, then $\mu^{*}\left(\eta_{C_{y q}}\right)=\eta$ (in particular, $\eta$ is trivial along exceptional components), and finally $\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\sigma) \geq 2$.
Using general constructions of stacks of limit linear series (cf. [EH86], [Far09]), it is clear that $\mathbf{X}_{g}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack. There exists a proper morphism

$$
\tau: \mathbf{X}_{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}
$$

that factors through the universal curve, and we denote by $\chi: \mathbf{X}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the induced morphism; hence $\tau=f \circ \chi$. The push-forward of the coarse moduli space $\tau_{*}\left(\left[\mathcal{X}_{g}\right]\right)$ equals scheme-theoretically $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$. It appears possible to extend $\mathbf{X}_{g}$ over the entire $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$, but this is not necessary in order to prove Theorem 0.4, and so we skip the details.

We are now in a position to calculate the class of the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}$, and we expand its class in the Picard group of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g} \equiv \bar{\lambda} \cdot \lambda-\overline{\alpha_{0}} \cdot \alpha_{0}-\bar{\beta}_{0} \cdot \beta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{[g / 2]} \bar{\alpha}_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{[g / 2]} \bar{\beta}_{i} \cdot \beta_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha}_{i}, \bar{\beta}_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $i=0, \ldots,[g / 2]$. We start by determining the coefficients of the divisors $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$.

Proposition 5.1. For $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$, we have that $F_{i} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=4(g-i)(i-1)$ and the intersection is everywhere transverse. It follows that $\overline{\alpha_{i}}=2(g-i)$.

Proof. We recall from the definition of $F_{i}$ that we have fixed theta characteristics of opposite parity $\eta_{C}^{-} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{i-1}(C)$ and $\eta_{D}^{+} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-i-1}(D)$. Choose a point $t=(X, \eta, \sigma, x) \in \tau^{-1}\left(F_{i}\right)$. It is a simple exercise to show that the "double" point $x$ of $\sigma \in \bar{G}_{g-1}^{0}(X)$ cannot specialize to the exceptional component; therefore one has only two cases to consider depending on whether $x$ lies on $C$
or on $D$. Assume first that $x \in C$ and then $\sigma_{C} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{-} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}((g-i) q)\right)$ and $\sigma_{D} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}(i q)\right)$, where $\{q\}=C \cap D$ is a point that moves on $C$ but is fixed on $D$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{D}\right) \leq i-1$; therefore $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right) \geq g-i$ and then $\sigma_{C}(-(g-i) q) \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{-}\right)$. In particular, if we choose $\left[C, \eta_{C}^{-}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{i}-\mathcal{Z}_{i}$, then the section $\sigma_{C}(-(g-i) q)$ has only simple zeros, which shows that $x$ cannot lie on $C$, so this case does not occur.

We are left with the possibility $x \in D-\{q\}$. One observes that $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right)=$ $g-i+1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{D}\right)=i-2$. In particular, $q \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{C}^{-}\right)$, which gives $i-1$ choices for the moving point $q \in C$. Furthermore, $\sigma_{D}(-(i-2) q) \in$ $H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}(2 q-2 x)\right)$; that is, $x$ specializes to one of the ramification points of the pencil $\eta_{D}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}(2 q) \in W_{g-i+1}^{1}(D)$. We note that because of the generality of $\left[D, \eta_{D}^{+}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-i}^{+}$as well as that of $q \in D$, the pencil is base point free and complete. From the Hurwitz-Zeuthen formula one finds $4(g-i)$ ramification points of $\left|\eta_{D}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}(2 q)\right|$, which leads to the formula $F_{i} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=4(g-i)(i-1)$. The fact that $\tau_{*}\left(\mathbf{X}_{g}\right)$ is transverse to $F_{i}$ follows because the formation of $\mathbf{X}_{g}$ commutes with restriction to $B_{0}^{\prime}$. Then one can easily show in a way similar to [EH87, Lemma 3.4], or by direct calculation, that $\mathbf{X}_{g} \times_{\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_{g}^{-}} B_{0}^{\prime}$ is smooth at any of the points in $\tau^{-1}\left(F_{i}\right)$.

Proposition 5.2. For $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$, we have that $G_{i} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=4 i(i-1)$ and the intersection is transversal. In particular, $\bar{\beta}_{i}=2 i$.

Proof. This time we fix general points $\left[C, \eta_{C}^{+}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{i}^{+}$and $\left[D, \eta_{D}^{-}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-i}^{-}$ and $q \in C \cap D$, which is a fixed general point on $D$ but an arbitrary point on $C$. Again, it is easy to see that if $t=(X, \sigma, x) \in \tau^{-1}\left(G_{i}\right)$, then $x$ must lie either on $C$ or on $D$. Assume first that $x \in C-\{q\}$. Then the aspects of $\sigma$ are described as follows:

$$
\sigma_{C} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}((g-i) q)\right), \quad \sigma_{D} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D}^{-} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}(i q)\right)
$$

and, moreover, $\operatorname{ord}_{x}\left(\sigma_{C}\right) \geq 2$. The point $q \in D$ can be chosen so that it does not lie in $\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{D}^{-}\right)$; hence $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{D}\right) \leq i$, and then $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right) \geq g-i-1$. This leads to the conclusion $H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(y-2 x)\right) \neq 0$, or equivalently $(x, y) \in C \times C$ is a ramification point of the degree $i$ covering $p_{1}: T_{\eta_{C}^{+}} \rightarrow C$ from the associated Scorza curve. We have shown that $T_{\eta_{C}^{+}}$is smooth of genus $1+3 i(i-1)$ (cf. Theorem 4.1) and, moreover, all the ramification points of $p_{1}$ are ordinary; therefore we find

$$
\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{Ram}\left(p_{1}\right)=2 g\left(T_{C_{\eta_{C}^{+}}}\right)-2-\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{1}\right)(2 i-2)=4 i(i-1)
$$

choices when $x \in C$. The next possibility is $x \in D-\{q\}$. The same reasoning as above shows that $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right) \leq g-i-1$, and therefore $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{D}\right) \geq i$ as well as $\operatorname{ord}_{x}\left(\sigma_{D}\right) \geq 2$. Since $\sigma_{D}(-i q) \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(D, \eta_{D}^{-}\right)$, this case does not occur if $\left[D, \eta_{D}^{-}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-i}^{-}-\mathcal{Z}_{g-i}$.

Next we prove that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}$ is disjoint from both elliptic pencils $F_{0}$ and $G_{0}$.
Proposition 5.3. We have that $F_{0} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=0$ and $G_{0} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=0$. The equalities $\bar{\alpha}-12 \overline{\alpha_{0}}+\overline{\alpha_{1}}=0$ and $3 \bar{\alpha}-12 \bar{\alpha}_{0}-12 \bar{\beta}_{0}+3 \bar{\beta}_{1}=0$ follow.

Proof. We first show that $F_{0} \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=\emptyset$, and we assume by contradiction that there exists $t=(X, \sigma, x) \in \tau^{-1}\left(F_{0}\right)$. Let us deal first with the case when $\operatorname{st}(X)=C \cap E_{\lambda}$, with $E_{\lambda}$ being a smooth curve of genus 1 . The key point is that the point of attachment $q \in C \cap E_{\lambda}$ being general, we can assume that $(x, q) \notin$ $\operatorname{Ram}\left\{p_{1}: T_{\eta_{C}^{+}} \rightarrow C\right\}$ for all $x \in C$. This implies that $H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(q-2 x)\right)=0$ for all $x \in C$, and therefore a section $\sigma_{C} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(q)\right)$ cannot vanish twice anywhere. Thus either $x \in E_{\lambda}-\{q\}$, or $x$ lies on some exceptional component of $X$. In the former case, since $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right)=0$, it follows that $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{E_{\lambda}}\right) \geq g-1$; that is, $\sigma_{E_{\lambda}}$ has no zeroes other than $q$ (simple or otherwise). In the latter case, when $x \in E$, with $E$ being an exceptional component, we denote by $q^{\prime} \in E$ the point of intersection of $E$ with the connected subcurve of $X$ containing $C$ as a subcomponent. Since, as above, $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\sigma_{C}\right)=0$, by compatibility it follows that $\operatorname{ord}_{q^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{E}\right)=g-1$. But $\sigma_{E} \in \mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}(g-1)\right)$; that is, $\sigma_{E}$ does not vanish at $x$, a contradiction. The proof that $G_{0} \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=\emptyset$ is similar, and we omit the details.

The trickiest part in the calculation of $\left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{f}\right]$ is the computation of the following intersection number:

Proposition 5.4. If $H_{0} \subset B_{0}$ is the covering family lying in the ramification divisor of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}^{-}$, then one has that $H_{0} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=2(g-2)$ and the intersection consists of $g-2$ points each counted with multiplicity 2 . Therefore the relation $(g-1) \bar{\beta}_{0}-\bar{\beta}_{1}=2(g-2)$ holds.

Proof. We first describe the set-theoretic intersection $\tau_{*}\left(\mathcal{X}_{g}\right) \cap H_{0}$. We recall that we have fixed $\left[C, q, \eta_{C}^{-}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1,1}^{-}$and start by choosing a point $t=(X, \eta, \sigma, x) \in \tau^{-1}\left(H_{0}\right)$. Assume first that $X=C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E$, where $y \in C$; that is, $x$ does not specialize to one of the nodes of $C \cup E$. Suppose first that $x \in C-\{y, q\}$. From the Mayer-Vietoris sequence on $X$, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \neq \sigma \in H^{0}\left(X, \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-2 x)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Ker}\left\{H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{-} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2 x)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{y, q}} \mathbb{C}_{\{y, q\}}^{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain that $H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{-} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2 x)\right) \neq 0$. This case can be avoided by choosing $\left[C, \eta_{C}^{-}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1}^{-}-\mathcal{Z}_{g-1}$.

Next we consider the possibility $x \in E-\{y, q\}$. In this case he same MayerVietoris argument reads $0 \neq \operatorname{Ker}\left\{H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{-}\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}(-1)\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}_{y, q}} \mathbb{C}_{\{y, q\}}^{2}\right\}$; that is, $y+q \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{C}^{-}\right)$. This case can be avoided as well by starting with a
general point $q \in C-\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{C}^{-}\right)$. Thus the only possibility is that $x$ specializes to one of the nodes $y$ or $q$.

We deal first with the case when $x$ and $q$ coalesce, and there is no loss of generality in assuming that $X=C \cup E \cup E^{\prime}$, where both components $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are copies of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ and $C \cap E=\{y\}, C \cap E^{\prime}=\{q\}, E \cap E^{\prime}=\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$ and, moreover, $x \in E^{\prime}-\left\{y^{\prime}, q\right\}$. The restrictions of the line bundle $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$ are such that $\eta_{\mid C}=\eta_{C}^{-}, \eta_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)$ and $\eta_{E^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}$. We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \neq \sigma & =\left(\sigma_{C}, \sigma_{E}, \sigma_{E^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \in \operatorname{Ker}\left\{H^{0}\left(C, \eta_{C}^{-}\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(E^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{y, y^{\prime}, q}} \mathbb{C}_{y, y^{\prime}, q}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}=0$, and then by compatibility $\sigma_{C}(q)=0$; that is, $q \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{C}^{-}\right)$, and again this case can be ruled out by a suitable choice of $q$. The last possible situation is when $x$ and the moving point $y \in C$ coalesce, in which case $X=C \cup E \cup E^{\prime}$, where this time $C \cap E=\{q\}, C \cap E^{\prime}=\{y\}, E \cap E^{\prime}=\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$ and again $x \in E^{\prime}-\left\{y^{\prime}, q\right\}$. Writing one last time the Mayer-Vietoris sequence we find that $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}=0$ and then $\sigma_{E}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\sigma_{C}(y)=0$, that is, $y \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{C}^{-}\right)$, and then the section $\sigma_{C}$ is uniquely determined up to a constant. Finally $\sigma_{E} \in H^{0}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\left(-y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is uniquely specified by the gluing condition $\sigma_{E}(q)=\sigma_{C}(q)$. All in all, $H_{0} \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}=\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{C}^{-}\right)\right|=g-2$.

This discussion singles out an irreducible component $\Xi \subset \chi_{*}\left(\mathcal{X}_{g}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}$ of the intersection $\chi\left(\mathcal{X}_{g}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(B_{0}^{\prime}\right)$; namely,

$$
\Xi=\left\{\left(\left[C \cup_{\{y, q\}} E, \eta_{C}, \eta_{E}\right], x\right): y \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{C}^{-}\right) \text {and } \quad x=y \in X_{\operatorname{sing}}\right\}
$$

where we recall that $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{g}^{-}$is the universal spin curve. Since $\Xi \subset$ $\operatorname{Sing}\left(\chi_{*}\left(\mathcal{X}_{g}\right)\right)$, after a simple local analysis, it follows that each point in $\tau^{-1}\left(H_{0}\right)$ occurs counted with multiplicity 2 .

Remark 5.5. A partial independent check of Theorem 0.5 is obtained by using the Porteous formula to determine the coefficient $\bar{\lambda}$ in the expression of $\left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g}\right]$. By abuse of notation we still denote by $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{g}^{-}$the restriction of the universal spin curve to the locus of smooth curves and $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ the spin bundle of relative degree $g-1$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_{g}$ is the push-forward via $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{g}^{-}$ of the degeneration locus of the sheaf morphism $\phi: f_{*}(\eta) \rightarrow J_{1}(\eta)$. (Both these sheaves are locally free away from a subset of codimension 3 in $\mathbf{S}_{g}^{-}$, and throwing away this locus has no influence on divisor class calculations.) Since $\operatorname{det}\left(f_{*} \eta\right)=\left(f_{*} \eta\right)^{\otimes 2}$, it follows that $c_{1}\left(f_{*}(\eta)\right)=-\lambda / 4$, whereas the Chern classes of the first jet bundle $J_{1}(\eta)$ are calculated using the standard exact sequence on $\mathcal{C}$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \eta \otimes \omega_{f} \longrightarrow J_{1}(\eta) \longrightarrow \eta \longrightarrow 0
$$

Remembering Mumford's formula $f_{*}\left(c_{1}^{2}\left(\omega_{f}\right)\right)=12 \lambda$, one finally writes that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathcal{Z}_{g}\right]=f_{*} c_{2}\left(J_{1}(\eta)-f_{*}(\eta)\right) } & =f_{*}\left(\frac{3}{4} c_{1}\left(\omega_{f}\right)^{2}-2 c_{1}\left(\omega_{f}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(f_{*}(\eta)\right)\right) \\
& =(g+8) \lambda \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbf{S}_{g}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. A divisor of small slope on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$

The aim of this section is to construct an effective divisor $D \in \operatorname{Eff}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}\right)$ of slope $s(D)<6+12 / 13$, that is, violating the Slope Conjecture. As pointed out in the proof of Theorem 0.4, this is precisely what is required in order to show that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{12}^{-}$is a variety of general type.

Theorem 6.1. The following locus consisting of curves of genus 12,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{D}_{12}:=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}: \exists L \in W_{14}^{4}(C)\right. \\
&\text { with } \left.\operatorname{Sym}^{2} H^{0}(C, L) \xrightarrow{\mu_{0}(L)} H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2}\right) \text { not injective }\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

is a divisor on $\mathcal{M}_{12}$. The class of its compactification inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ equals

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12} \equiv 13245 \lambda-1926 \delta_{0}-9867 \delta_{1}-\sum_{j=2}^{6} b_{j} \delta_{j} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}\right),
$$

where $b_{j} \geq b_{1}$ for $j \geq 2$. In particular, $s\left(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}\right)=\frac{4415}{642}<6+\frac{12}{13}$.
This implies the following upper bound for the slope $s\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}\right)$ of the moduli space:

Corollary 6.2.

$$
6+\frac{10}{12} \leq s\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}\right):=\inf _{D \in \operatorname{Eff}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}\right)} s(D) \leq \frac{4415}{642}\left(=6+\frac{10}{12}+\frac{14}{321}\right)
$$

Another immediate application, via [Log03], [Far06], concerns the birational type of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ of $n$-pointed stable curves of genus $g$ :

Theorem 6.3. The moduli space of $n$-pointed curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12, n}$ is of general type for $n \geq 11$.

The divisor $\mathfrak{D}_{12}$ is constructed as the push-forward of a codimension 3 cycle in the stack $\mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{12}$ classifying linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{14}^{4}$. We describe the construction of this cycle, and then extend this determinantal structure over a partial compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{12}$. This will be essential to understand the intersection of $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}$ with the boundary divisors $\Delta_{0}$ and $\Delta_{1}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$. We denote by $\mathbf{M}_{12}^{p}$ the open substack of $\mathbf{M}_{12}$ consisting of curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$ such that $W_{13}^{4}(C)=\emptyset$ and $W_{14}^{5}(C)=\emptyset$. Results in Brill-Noether theory guarantee that $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}-\mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}, \mathcal{M}_{12}\right) \geq 3$. If $\mathfrak{P i c}_{12}^{14}$ denotes the Picard stack of degree 14 over $\mathbf{M}_{12}^{p}$, then we consider the smooth Deligne-Mumford substack $\mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4} \subset \mathfrak{P i c}_{12}^{14}$
parametrizing pairs $[C, L]$, where $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}$ and $L \in W_{14}^{4}(C)$ is a (necessarily complete and base point free) linear series. We denote by $\sigma: \mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{12}^{p}$ the forgetful morphism. For a general $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}$, the fibre $\sigma^{-1}([C])=W_{14}^{4}(C)$ is a smooth surface.

Let $\pi: \mathbf{M}_{12,1}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{12}^{p}$ be the universal curve. Then the natural projection is denoted by $p_{2}: \mathbf{M}_{12,1}^{p} \times{ }_{\mathbf{M}_{12}^{p}} \mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a Poincaré bundle over $\mathbf{M}_{12,1}^{p} \times{ }_{\mathbf{M}_{12}^{p}} \mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$ (or over an étale cover of it), then by Grauert's Theorem, both

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\left(p_{2}\right)_{*}(\mathcal{L}) \text { and } \mathcal{F}:=\left(p_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

are vector bundles over $\mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$, with $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})=5 \operatorname{and} \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{F})=h^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2}\right)=17$ respectively. There is a natural vector bundle morphism over $\mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$ given by multiplication of sections,

$$
\phi: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F},
$$

and we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{12} \subset \mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$ its first degeneracy locus. We set $\mathfrak{D}_{12}:=\sigma_{*}\left(\mathcal{U}_{12}\right)$. Since the degeneracy locus $\mathcal{U}_{12}$ has expected codimension 3 inside $\mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$, the locus $\mathfrak{D}_{12}$ is a virtual divisor on $\mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}$.

We extend the vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ over a partial compactification of $\mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$ given by limit $\mathfrak{g}_{14}^{4}$. We denote by $\Delta_{1}^{p} \subset \Delta_{1} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ the locus of curves $\left[C \cup_{y} E\right.$ ], where $E$ is an arbitrary elliptic curve, $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{11}$ is a BrillNoether general curve and $y \in C$ is an arbitrary point. We then denote by $\Delta_{0}^{p} \subset \Delta_{0} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ the locus consisting of curves $\left[C_{y q}\right] \in \Delta_{0}$, where $[C, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{11,1}$ is Brill-Noether general and $y \in C$ is arbitrary, as well as their degenerations $\left[C \cup_{q} E_{\infty}\right]$, where $E_{\infty}$ is a rational nodal curve. Once we set

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{12}^{p}:=\mathbf{M}_{12}^{p} \cup \Delta_{0}^{p} \cup \Delta_{1}^{p} \subset \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{12},
$$

we can extend the morphism $\sigma$ to a proper morphism

$$
\sigma: \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{14}^{4} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{12}^{p},
$$

from the stack $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{14}^{4}$ of limit linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{14}^{4}$ over the partial compactification $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{12}^{p}$ of $\mathbf{M}_{12}$.

We extend the vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ over the stack $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{14}^{4}$. The proof of the following result proceeds along the lines of the proof of Proposition 3.9 in [Far06]:

Proposition 6.4. There exist two vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ defined over $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{14}^{4}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})=5$ and $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{F})=17$, together with a vector bundle morphism $\phi: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, such that the following statements hold:

- For $[C, L] \in \mathfrak{G}_{14}^{4}$, with $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}$, we have that

$$
\mathcal{E}(C, L)=H^{0}(C, L) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{F}(C, L)=H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

- Fort $=\left(C \cup_{y} E, l_{C}, l_{E}\right) \in \sigma^{-1}\left(\Delta_{1}^{p}\right)$, where $g(C)=11, g(E)=1$ and $l_{C}=\left|L_{C}\right|$ is such that $L_{C} \in W_{14}^{4}(C)$ has a cusp at $y \in C$, then $\mathcal{E}(t)=H^{0}\left(C, L_{C}\right)$ and

$$
\mathcal{F}(t)=H^{0}\left(C, L_{C}^{\otimes 2}(-2 y)\right) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot u^{2}
$$

where $u \in H^{0}\left(C, L_{C}\right)$ is any section such that $\operatorname{ord}_{y}(u)=0$. If $L_{C}$ has a base point at $y$, then $\mathcal{E}(t)=H^{0}\left(C, L_{C}\right)=H^{0}\left(C, L_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y)\right)$ and the image of a natural map $\mathcal{F}(t) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, L_{C}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is the subspace $H^{0}\left(C, L_{C}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2 y)\right)$.

- Fix $t=\left[C_{y q}:=C / y \sim q, L\right] \in \sigma^{-1}\left(\Delta_{0}^{p}\right)$, with $q, y \in C$ and $L \in \bar{W}_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right)$ such that $h^{0}\left(C, \nu^{*} L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right)=4$, where $\nu: C \rightarrow C_{y q}$ is the normalization map. In the case when $L$ is locally free, we have that

$$
\mathcal{E}(t)=H^{0}\left(C, \nu^{*} L\right) \text { and } \mathcal{F}(t)=H^{0}\left(C, \nu^{*} L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot u^{2}
$$

where $u \in H^{0}\left(C, \nu^{*} L\right)$ is any section not vanishing at $y$ and $q$. In the case when $L$ is not locally free, that is, $L \in \bar{W}_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right)-W_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right)$, then $L=\nu_{*}(A)$, where $A \in W_{13}^{4}(C)$ and the image of the natural map $\mathcal{F}(t) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \nu^{*} L^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is the subspace $H^{0}\left(C, A^{\otimes 2}\right)$.

To determine the push-forward $\left[\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}\right]^{\text {virt }}=\sigma_{*}\left(c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E})\right) \in A^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}\right)\right.$, we study the restriction of the morphism $\phi$ along the pull-backs of two curves sitting in the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$, which are defined as follows. We fix a general pointed curve $[C, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{11,1}$ and a general elliptic curve $[E, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$. Then we consider the families

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{0}:=\{C / y \sim q: y \in C\} \subset \Delta_{0}^{p} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}, \\
& C_{1}:=\left\{C \cup_{y} E: y \in C\right\} \subset \Delta_{1}^{p} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These curves intersect the generators of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}\right)$ as follows:
$C_{0} \cdot \lambda=0, C_{0} \cdot \delta_{0}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{C_{y q}}\right)=-22, C_{0} \cdot \delta_{1}=1$ and $C_{0} \cdot \delta_{j}=0$ for $2 \leq j \leq 6$,
and
$C_{1} \cdot \lambda=0, C_{1} \cdot \delta_{0}=0, C_{1} \cdot \delta_{1}=-\operatorname{deg}\left(K_{C}\right)=-20$ and $C_{1} \cdot \delta_{j}=0$ for $2 \leq j \leq 6$.
Next, we fix a general pointed curve $[C, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{11,1}$ and describe the geometry of the pull-back $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{14}^{4}$. We consider the determinantal 3-fold

$$
Y:=\left\{(y, L) \in C \times W_{14}^{4}(C): h^{0}\left(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right)=4\right\}
$$

together with the projection $\pi_{1}: Y \rightarrow C$. Inside $Y$ we consider the following divisors:

$$
\Gamma_{1}:=\left\{\left(y, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(y)\right): y \in C, A \in W_{13}^{4}(C)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{2}:=\left\{\left(y, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(q)\right): y \in C, A \in W_{13}^{4}(C)\right\}
$$

intersecting transversally along the curve

$$
\Gamma:=\left\{\left(q, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(q)\right): A \in W_{13}^{4}(C)\right\} \cong W_{13}^{4}(C)
$$

We introduce the blow-up $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ of $Y$ along $\Gamma$ and denote by $E_{\Gamma} \subset Y^{\prime}$ the exceptional divisor and by $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{2} \subset Y^{\prime}$ the strict transforms of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ respectively. We then define $\widetilde{Y}:=Y^{\prime} / \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1} \cong \widetilde{\Gamma}_{2}$ to be the variety obtained from $Y^{\prime}$ by identifying the divisors $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{2}$ over each $(y, A) \in C \times W_{13}^{4}(C)$. Let $\varepsilon: \widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be the projection map.

Proposition 6.5. With notation as above, one has a birational morphism of 3-folds

$$
f: \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{Y}
$$

which is an isomorphism outside a curve contained in $\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\pi_{1}^{-1}(q)\right)$. The map $f_{\mid\left(\pi_{1} \varepsilon f\right)^{-1}(q)}$ corresponds to forgetting the $E_{\infty}$-aspect of each limit linear series. Accordingly, the vector bundles $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}$ are pull-backs under $\varepsilon \circ f$ of vector bundles on $Y$.

Proof. We fix a point $y \in C-\{q\}$. We denote by $\nu: C \rightarrow C_{y q}$ the normalization map, with $\nu(y)=\nu(q)$. We investigate the variety $\bar{W}_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right) \subset$ $\overline{\operatorname{Pic}}^{14}\left(C_{y q}\right)$ of torsion-free sheaves $L$ on $C_{y q}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(L)=14$ and $h^{0}\left(C_{y q}, L\right) \geq 5$. A locally free $L \in \bar{W}_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right)$ is determined by $\nu^{*}(L) \in W_{14}^{4}(C)$, which has the property $h^{0}\left(C, \nu^{*} L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right)=4$. (Since $W_{12}^{4}(C)=\emptyset$, there exists a section of $L$ that does not vanish simultaneously at both $y$ and $q$.) However, the bundles of type $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(y)$ or $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(q)$ with $A \in W_{13}^{4}(C)$ do not appear in this association, though $\left(y, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(y)\right),\left(y, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(q)\right) \in Y$. In fact, they correspond to the situation when $L \in \bar{W}_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right)$ is not locally free, in which case necessarily $L=\nu_{*}(A)$ for some $A \in W_{13}^{4}(C)$. Thus, for a point $y \in C-\{q\}$, there is a birational morphism $\pi_{1}^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \bar{W}_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right)$ that is an isomorphism over the locus of locally free sheaves. More precisely, $\bar{W}_{14}^{4}\left(C_{y q}\right)$ is obtained from $\pi_{1}^{-1}(y)$ by identifying the disjoint divisors $\Gamma_{1} \cap \pi_{1}^{-1}(y)$ and $\Gamma_{2} \cap \pi_{1}^{-1}(y)$.

A special analysis is required when $y=q$, when $C_{y q}$ degenerates to $C \cup_{q}$ $E_{\infty}$, where $E_{\infty}$ is a rational nodal cubic. If $\left\{l_{C}, l_{E_{\infty}}\right\} \in \sigma^{-1}\left(\left[C \cup_{q} E_{\infty}\right]\right)$, then the corresponding Brill-Noether numbers with respect to $q$ satisfy $\rho\left(l_{C}, q\right) \geq 0$ and $\rho\left(l_{E_{\infty}}, q\right) \leq 2$. The statement about the restrictions $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}$ follows because both restrictions are defined by dropping the information coming from the elliptic tail.

To describe $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{14}^{4}$, where $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{11}$, we define the determinantal 3 -fold

$$
X:=\left\{(y, L) \in C \times W_{14}^{4}(C): h^{0}\left(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2 y)\right)=4\right\}
$$

In what follows we use notation from [EH86] to denote vanishing sequences of limit linear series.

Proposition 6.6. With notation as above, the 3 -fold $X$ is an irreducible component of $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right)$. Moreover, one has that

$$
c_{3}\left(\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right)}\right)=c_{3}\left(\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)_{\mid X}\right)
$$

Proof. By the additivity of the Brill-Noether number, if

$$
\left\{l_{C}, l_{E}\right\} \in \sigma^{-1}\left(\left[C \cup_{y} E\right]\right),
$$

we have that $2=\rho(12,4,14) \geq \rho\left(l_{C}, y\right)+\rho\left(l_{E}, y\right)$. Since $\rho\left(l_{E}, y\right) \geq 0$, we obtain that $\rho\left(l_{C}, y\right) \leq 2$. If $\rho\left(l_{E}, y\right)=0$, then $l_{E}=9 y+\left|\mathcal{O}_{E}(5 y)\right|$; that is, $l_{E}$ is uniquely determined, while the aspect $l_{C} \in G_{14}^{4}(C)$ is a complete $\mathfrak{g}_{14}^{4}$ with a cusp at the variable point $y \in C$. This gives rise to an element from $X$. The remaining components of $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right)$ are indexed by Schubert indices

$$
\bar{\alpha}:=\left(0 \leq \alpha_{0} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{4} \leq 10\right)
$$

such that $\bar{\alpha}>(0,1,1,1,1)$ and $5 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{4} \alpha_{j} \leq 7$. For such $\bar{\alpha}$, we set

$$
\bar{\alpha}^{c}:=\left(10-\alpha_{4}, \ldots, 10-\alpha_{0}\right)
$$

to be the complementary Schubert index. We then define

$$
X_{\bar{\alpha}}:=\left\{\left(y, l_{C}\right) \in C \times G_{14}^{4}(C): \alpha^{l_{C}}(y) \geq \bar{\alpha}\right\}
$$

and

$$
Z_{\bar{\alpha}}:=\left\{l_{E} \in G_{14}^{4}(E): \alpha^{l_{E}}(y) \geq \bar{\alpha}^{c}\right\} .
$$

Then $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right)=X+\sum_{\bar{\alpha}} X_{\bar{\alpha}} \times Z_{\bar{\alpha}}$. The last claim follows by dimension reasons. Since $\operatorname{dim} X_{\bar{\alpha}}=1+\rho(11,4,14)-\sum_{j=0}^{4} \alpha_{j}<3$ for every $\bar{\alpha}>(0,1,1,1,1)$ and the restrictions of both $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are pulled back from $X_{\bar{\alpha}}$, one obtains that $c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)_{\mid X_{\bar{\alpha}} \times Z_{\bar{\alpha}}}=0$.

We also recall standard facts about intersection theory on Jacobians. For a Brill-Noether general curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}$ a Poincaré bundle on $C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)$. The projections are denoted by $\pi_{1}: C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C) \rightarrow C$ and $\pi_{2}: C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)$. We define the cohomology class $\eta=\pi_{1}^{*}([$ point $]) \in$ $H^{2}\left(C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)\right)$, and if $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{2 g} \in H^{1}(C, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is a symplectic basis, then we set

$$
\gamma:=-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{g}\left(\pi_{1}^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha}\right) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(\delta_{g+\alpha}\right)-\pi_{1}^{*}\left(\delta_{g+\alpha}\right) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha}\right)\right) \in H^{2}\left(C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)\right) .
$$

One has the formula $c_{1}(\mathcal{P})=d \eta+\gamma$, corresponding to the Hodge decomposition of $c_{1}(\mathcal{P})$, as well as the relations $\gamma^{3}=0, \gamma \eta=0, \eta^{2}=0$ and $\gamma^{2}=-2 \eta \pi_{2}^{*}(\theta)$. On $W_{d}^{r}(C)$ there is a tautological rank $r+1$ vector bundle $\mathcal{M}:=\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mid C \times W_{d}^{r}(C)}\right)$. To compute the Chern numbers of $\mathcal{M}$ we employ the Harris-Tu formula [HT84]. We write

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_{i}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\vee}\right)=\left(1+x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1+x_{r+1}\right)
$$

and then for every class $\zeta \in H^{*}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C), \mathbb{Z}\right)$, one has the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{r+1}^{i_{r+1}} \zeta=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\theta^{g+r-d+i_{j}-j+l}}{\left(g+r-d+i_{j}-j+l\right)!}\right)_{1 \leq j, l \leq r+1} \zeta . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute the classes of the 3 -folds that appear in Propositions 6.5 and 6.6:

Proposition 6.7. Let $[C, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{11,1}$ be a Brill-Noether general pointed curve. If $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the tautological rank 5 vector bundle over $W_{14}^{4}(C)$ and $c_{i}:=c_{i}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\vee}\right) \in H^{2 i}\left(W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$, then one has the following relations:
(i) $[X]=\pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{4}\right)-6 \eta \theta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{2}\right)+(48 \eta+2 \gamma) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{3}\right) \in H^{8}\left(C \times W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$;
(ii) $[Y]=\pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{4}\right)-2 \eta \theta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{2}\right)+(13 \eta+\gamma) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{3}\right) \in H^{8}\left(C \times W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$.

Proof. We start by noting that $W_{14}^{4}(C)$ is a smooth 6 -fold isomorphic to the symmetric product $C_{6}$. We realize $X$ as the degeneracy locus of a vector bundle morphism defined over $C \times W_{14}^{4}(C)$. For each pair $(y, L) \in C \times W_{14}^{4}(C)$, there is a natural map

$$
H^{0}\left(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{2 y}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow H^{0}(C, L)^{\vee}
$$

that globalizes to a vector bundle morphism $\zeta: J_{1}(\mathcal{P})^{\vee} \rightarrow \pi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$ over $C \times$ $W_{14}^{4}(C)$. Then we have the identification $X=Z_{1}(\zeta)$, and the Thom-Porteous formula gives that $[X]=c_{4}\left(\pi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{M})-J_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\vee}\right)\right)$. From the usual exact sequence over $C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(C)$,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{*}\left(K_{C}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow J_{1}(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow 0
$$

we can compute the total Chern class of the jet bundle

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{t}\left(J_{1}(\mathcal{P})^{\vee}\right)^{-1} & =\left(\sum_{j \geq 0}(d(L) \eta+\gamma)^{j}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j \geq 0}((2 g(C)-2+d(L)) \eta+\gamma)^{j}\right) \\
& =1-6 \eta \theta+48 \eta+2 \gamma,
\end{aligned}
$$

which quickly leads to the formula for $[X]$. To compute $[Y]$ we proceed in a similar way. We denote by $\mu, \nu: C \times C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(C) \rightarrow C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(C)$ the two projections, and we denote by $\Delta \subset C \times C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(C)$ the diagonal. We set $\Gamma_{q}:=\{q\} \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(C)$. We introduce the rank 2 vector bundle

$$
\mathcal{B}:=(\mu)_{*}\left(\nu^{*}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta+\nu^{*}\left(\Gamma_{q}\right)}\right)
$$

defined over $C \times W_{14}^{4}(C)$.
We note that there is a bundle morphism $\chi: \mathcal{B}^{\vee} \rightarrow\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{*}(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$ such that $Y=Z_{1}(\chi)$. Since we also have that

$$
c_{t}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\vee}\right)^{-1}=\left(1+(d(L) \eta+\gamma)+(d(L) \eta+\gamma)^{2}+\cdots\right)(1-\eta),
$$

we immediately obtained the stated expression for $[Y]$.

Proposition 6.8. For a smooth curve $C$ of genus 11, the natural projections are denoted by $\mu, \nu: C \times C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(C) \rightarrow C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(C)$. We define the vector bundles $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ on $C \times \operatorname{Pic}^{14}(\mathrm{C})$ having fibres
$\mathcal{A}_{2}(y, L)=H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2 y)\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}(y, L)=H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right)$, respectively. One has the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)=-4 \theta 4 \gamma-76 \eta \quad c_{1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)=-4 \theta 2 \gamma-27 \eta, \\
& c_{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)=8 \theta^{2}+280 \eta \theta+16 \gamma \theta, \quad c_{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)=8 \theta^{2}+100 \eta \theta+8 \theta \gamma, \\
& c_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)=-\frac{32}{3} \theta^{3}-512 \eta \theta^{2}-32 \theta^{2} \gamma \quad \text { and } \quad c_{3}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)=-\frac{32}{3} \theta^{3}-184 \eta \theta^{2}-16 \theta^{2} \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Immediate application of Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch with respect to $\nu$.

Before our next result, we recall that if $\mathcal{V}$ is a vector bundle of rank $r+1$ on a variety $X$, we have the following formulas:
(i) $c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{V})\right)=(r+2) c_{1}(\mathcal{V})$;
(ii) $c_{2}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{V})\right)=\frac{r(r+3)}{2} c_{1}^{2}(\mathcal{V})+(r+3) c_{2}(\mathcal{V})$;
(iii) $c_{3}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{V})\right)=\frac{r(r+4)(r-1)}{6} c_{1}^{3}(\mathcal{V})+(r+5) c_{3}(\mathcal{V})+\left(r^{2}+4 r-1\right) c_{1}(\mathcal{V}) c_{2}(\mathcal{V})$.

We expand $\sigma_{*}\left(c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)\right) \equiv a \lambda-b_{0} \delta_{0}-b_{1} \delta_{1} \in A^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}\right)$ and determine the coefficients $a, b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$. This will suffice in order to compute $s\left(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}\right)$.

Theorem 6.9. Let $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{11}$ be a Brill-Noether general curve, and denote by $C_{1} \subset \Delta_{1} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ the associated test curve. Then the coefficient of $\delta_{1}$ in the expansion of $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{22}$ is equal to

$$
b_{1}=\frac{1}{2 g(C)-2} \sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)=9867
$$

Proof. We intersect the degeneracy locus of the map $\phi: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with the 3 -fold $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right)=X+\sum_{\bar{\alpha}} X_{\bar{\alpha}} \times Z_{\bar{\alpha}}$. As already explained in Proposition 6.6, it is enough to estimate the contribution coming from $X$, and we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)= & c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}\right)-c_{3}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mid X}\right)-c_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}\right) c_{2}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mid X}\right) \\
& +2 c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mid X}\right) c_{2}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mid X}\right)-c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mid X}\right) c_{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}\right) \\
& +c_{1}^{2}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mid X}\right) c_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}\right)-c_{1}^{3}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mid X}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are going to compute each term in the right-hand side of this expression.
Recall that we have constructed in Proposition 6.7 a vector bundle mor$\operatorname{phism} \zeta: J_{1}(\mathcal{P})^{\vee} \rightarrow \pi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$. We consider the kernel line bundle $\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)$. If $U$ is the line bundle on $X$ with fibre

$$
U(y, L)=\frac{H^{0}(C, L)}{H^{0}\left(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2 y)\right)} \hookrightarrow H^{0}\left(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{2 y}\right)
$$

over a point $(y, L) \in X$, then one has an exact sequence over $X$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow J_{1}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)^{\vee} \rightarrow 0
$$

In particular, $c_{1}(U)=2 \gamma+48 \eta-c_{1}(\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta))^{\vee}$. The products of the Chern class of $\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)^{\vee}$ with other classes on $C \times W_{14}^{4}(C)$ can be computed from the Harris-Tu formula [HT84]:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)^{\vee}\right) \cdot \xi_{\mid X} & =-c_{5}\left(\pi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}-J_{1}(\mathcal{P})^{\vee}\right) \cdot \xi_{\mid X}  \tag{6}\\
& =-\left(\pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{5}\right)-6 \eta \theta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{3}\right)+(48 \eta+2 \gamma) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{4}\right)\right) \cdot \xi_{\mid X}
\end{align*}
$$

for any class $\xi \in H^{2}\left(C \times W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$.
If $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ denotes the rank 18 vector bundle on $X$ having fibres $\mathcal{A}_{3}(y, L)=$ $H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2}\right)$, then there is an injective morphism $U^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{3} / \mathcal{A}_{2}$, and we consider the quotient sheaf

$$
\mathcal{G}:=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{3} / \mathcal{A}_{2}}{U^{\otimes 2}} .
$$

Since the morphism $U^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{3} / \mathcal{A}_{2}$ vanishes along the locus of pairs $(y, L)$ where $L$ has a base point, $\mathcal{G}$ has torsion along $\Gamma \subset X$. A straightforward local analysis now shows that $\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}$ can be identified as a subsheaf of $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ with the kernel of the map $\mathcal{A}_{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, there is an exact sequence of vector bundles on $X$,

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mid X} \rightarrow U^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow 0
$$

which over a general point of $X$ corresponds to the decomposition

$$
\mathcal{F}(y, L)=H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2 y)\right) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot u^{2}
$$

where $u \in H^{0}(C, L)$ is such that $\operatorname{ord}_{y}(u)=1$. The analysis above shows that the sequence stays exact over the curve $\Gamma$ as well. Hence

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}\right)=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right)+2 c_{1}(U), c_{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}\right)=c_{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right)+2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right) c_{1}(U)
$$

and

$$
c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid X}\right)=c_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)+2 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right) c_{1}(U)
$$

Furthermore, since $\mathcal{E}_{\mid X}=\pi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{M})_{\mid X}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right) \\
& \quad=c_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right)+c_{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right) c_{1}\left(U^{\otimes 2}\right)-c_{3}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\frac{r(r+3)}{2} c_{1}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right)+(r+3) c_{2}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right)+c_{1}\left(U^{\otimes 2}\right)-2(r+2) c_{1}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-(r+2) c_{1}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right) c_{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right)-(r+2) c_{1}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right) c_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right) c_{1}\left(U^{\otimes 2}\right) \\
& \quad+(r+2)^{2} c_{1}^{2}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right) c_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 \mid X}\right) \\
& \quad+(r+2)^{2} c_{1}^{2}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right) c_{1}\left(U^{\otimes 2}\right)-(r+2)^{3} c_{1}^{3}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, $c_{i}\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mid X}^{\vee}\right)=\pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{i}\right) \in H^{2 i}(X, \mathbb{C})$. The coefficient of $c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)^{\vee}\right)$ in the product $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)$ is evaluated via (6). The part of this product that does not contain $c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)^{\vee}\right)$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
28 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{2}\right) \theta & -88 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right) \theta+440 \eta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right)-53 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{32}{3} \theta^{3}+128 \eta \theta^{2}-432 \eta \theta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}\right)+64 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{3}\right) \\
& -140 \eta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{2}\right)+48 \theta^{2} \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}\right)+9 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{3}\right) \in H^{6}\left(C \times W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying this quantity by the class $[X]$ obtained in Proposition 6.7 and then adding to it the contribution coming from $c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)^{\vee}\right)$, one obtains a homogeneous polynomial of degree 7 in $\eta, \theta$ and $\pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. The only nonzero monomials are those containing $\eta$. After retaining only these monomials, the resulting degree 6 polynomial in $\theta, c_{i} \in H^{*}\left(W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ can be brought to a manageable form by noting that, since $h^{1}(C, L)=1$, the classes $c_{i}$ are not independent. Precisely, if one fixes a divisor $D \in C_{e}$ of large degree, there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)\right) & \rightarrow\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\mid \pi_{1}^{*} D}\right) \\
& \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mid C \times W_{14}^{4}(C)}\right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, via the well-known fact $c_{t}\left(\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} D\right)\right)\right)=e^{-\theta}$, it follows that

$$
c_{t} R^{1} \pi_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mid C \times W_{14}^{4}(C)}\right) \cdot e^{-\theta}=\sum_{i=0}^{4}(-1)^{i} c_{i} .
$$

Hence $c_{i+1}=\theta^{i} c_{i} / i$ ! $-i \theta^{i+1} /(i+1)$ ! for all $i \geq 2$. After routine manipulations, one finds that $b_{1}=\sigma^{*}\left(C_{1}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E})\right) / 20=9867$.

Theorem 6.10. Let $[C, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{11,1}$ be a general pointed curve, and denote by $C_{0} \subset \Delta_{0} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ the associated test curve. Then $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)=$ $22 b_{0}-b_{1}=32505$. It follows that $b_{0}=1926$.

Proof. As already noted in Proposition 6.5, the vector bundles $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}$ are both pull-backs of vector bundles on $Y$, and we denote these vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ as well; that is, $\mathcal{E}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C^{0}\right)}=(\varepsilon \circ f)^{*}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid Y}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mid \sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}=$ $(\varepsilon \circ f)^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid Y}\right)$. Like in the proof of Theorem 6.9, we evaluate each term appearing in $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E})\right)$.

Let $V$ be the line bundle on $Y$ with fibre

$$
V(y, L)=\frac{H^{0}(C, L)}{H^{0}\left(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right)} \hookrightarrow H^{0}\left(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{y+q}\right)
$$

over a point $(y, L) \in Y$. There is an exact sequence of vector bundles over $Y$

$$
0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\chi: \mathcal{B}^{\vee} \rightarrow \pi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$ is the bundle morphism defined in the second part of Proposition 6.7. In particular, $c_{1}(V)=13 \eta+\gamma-c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\chi^{\vee}\right)\right.$. Again by using [HT84], we find the following formulas for the Chern numbers of $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi)^{\vee}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}(\chi)^{\vee}\right) \cdot \xi_{\mid Y} & =-c_{5}\left(\pi_{2}^{*}(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}-\mathcal{B}^{\vee}\right) \cdot \xi_{\mid Y} \\
& =-\left(\pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{5}\right)+\pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{4}\right)(13 \eta+\gamma)-2 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{3}\right) \eta \theta\right) \cdot \xi_{\mid Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any class $\xi \in H^{2}\left(C \times W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$. Recall that we introduced the vector bundle $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ over $C \times W_{14}^{4}(C)$ with fibre $\mathcal{B}_{2}(y, L)=H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right)$. We claim that one has an exact sequence of bundles over $Y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mid Y} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathcal{B}_{3}$ is the vector bundle on $Y$ with fibres $\mathcal{B}_{3}(y, L)=H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2}\right)$, we have an injective morphism of sheaves $V^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{3} / \mathcal{B}_{2}$ locally given by

$$
v^{\otimes 2} \mapsto v^{2} \bmod H^{0}\left(C, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-y-q)\right),
$$

where $v \in H^{0}(C, L)$ is any section not vanishing at $q$ and $y$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mid Y}$ is canonically identified with the kernel of the projection morphism

$$
\mathcal{B}_{3} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{B}_{3} / \mathcal{B}_{2}}{V^{\otimes 2}}
$$

and the exact sequence (7) now becomes clear. Therefore

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid Y}\right)=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y}\right)+2 c_{1}(V), c_{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid Y}\right)=c_{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y}\right)+2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y}\right) c_{1}(V)
$$

and

$$
c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mid Y}\right)=c_{3}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y}\right)+2 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y}\right) c_{1}(V) .
$$

The part of the total intersection number $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ that does not contain $c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\chi^{\vee}\right)\right)$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
28 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{2}\right) \theta & -88 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right) \theta 22 \eta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right)-53 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)-\frac{32}{3} \theta^{3} \\
& -8 \eta \theta^{2}+24 \eta \theta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}\right)+64 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{3}\right)+7 \eta \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{2}\right) \\
& +48 \theta^{2} \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}\right)+9 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{3}\right) \in H^{6}\left(C \times W_{14}^{4}(C), \mathbb{C}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and this gets multiplied with the class $[Y]$ from Proposition 6.7. The coefficient of $c_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta)^{\vee}\right)$ in $\sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y}\right) & -2(r+2)^{2} \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right)-2(r+2) c_{1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \mid Y}\right) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}\right) \\
& +r(r+3) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right)+2(r+3) \pi_{2}^{*}\left(c_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

All in all, $22 b_{0}-b_{1}=\sigma^{*}\left(C_{0}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)$, and we evaluate this using (6).

The following result follows from the definition of the vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ given in Proposition 6.4:

Theorem 6.11. Let $[C, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{11,1}$ be a Brill-Noether general pointed curve and $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ the pencil obtained by attaching at the fixed point $q \in C$ a pencil of plane cubics. Then

$$
a-12 b_{0}+b_{1}=\sigma_{*} c_{3}\left(\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right) \cdot R=0 .
$$

End of the proof of Theorem 6.1. First we note that the virtual divisor $\mathfrak{D}_{12}$ is a genuine divisor on $\mathcal{M}_{12}$. Assuming by contradiction that for every curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$ there exists $L \in W_{14}^{4}(C)$ such that $\mu_{0}(L)$ is not-injective, one can construct a stable vector bundle $E$ of rank 2 sitting in an extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{C} \otimes L^{\vee} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0
$$

such that $h^{0}(C, E)=h^{0}(C, L)+h^{1}(C, L)=7$ and for which the Mukai-Petri map $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} H^{0}(C, E) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \operatorname{Sym}^{2} E\right)$ is not injective. This contradicts the main result from [Tei08]. To determine the slope of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{12}$, we write

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12} \equiv a \lambda-\sum_{j=0}^{6} b_{j} \delta_{j} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}\right) .
$$

Since $\frac{a}{b_{0}}=\frac{4415}{642} \leq \frac{71}{10}$, we can apply Corollary 1.2 from [FP05], which gives the inequalities $b_{j} \geq b_{0}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$. Therefore $s\left(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{12}\right)=\frac{a}{b_{0}}<6+\frac{12}{13}$.

We close by discussing a second counterexample to the Slope Conjecture on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$.

Definition 6.12. Let $V$ be a vector space. We say that a pencil of quadrics $\ell \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(V)\right)$ is degenerate if the intersection of $\ell$ with the discriminant divisor $\mathbb{D}(V) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(V)\right)$ is nonreduced.

A general curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$ has finitely many linear systems $A \in W_{15}^{5}(C)$. As a consequence of the maximal rank conjecture [Voi92], the multiplication map

$$
\mu_{0}(A): \operatorname{Sym}^{2} H^{0}(C, A) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, A^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

is surjective for each $A \in W_{15}^{5}(C)$; in particular, $\mathbf{P}_{C, A}:=\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(A)\right)$ is a pencil of quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^{5}$ containing the image of the map $C \xrightarrow{|A|} \mathbf{P}^{5}$. One expects the pencil $\mathbf{P}_{C, A}$ to be nondegenerate. By imposing the condition that it be degenerate, we produce a divisor on $\mathcal{M}_{12}$, whose class we compute.

We shall make essential use of the following result [FR]. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ vector bundles on $X$ with $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})=e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F})=\binom{e+1}{2}-2$, and $\varphi: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ a surjective vector bundle morphism. Then the class of the locus

$$
\mathcal{H}:=\left\{x \in X: \mathbf{P}(\operatorname{Ker} \varphi(x)) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E}(x)\right) \text { is a degenerate pencil }\right\},
$$

assuming it is of codimension 1 in $X$, is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathcal{H}]=(e-1)\left(e c_{1}(\mathcal{F})-\left(e^{2}+e-4\right) c_{1}(\mathcal{E})\right) \in A^{1}(X) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 6.13. The locus consisting of smooth curves of genus 12 ,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{12}:=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}: \boldsymbol{P}_{C, A} \quad \text { is degenerate for a } A \in W_{15}^{5}(C)\right\}
$$

is an effective divisor. The slope of its closure $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{12}$ inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}$ equals

$$
s\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{12}\right)=\frac{373}{54}<6+\frac{12}{13}
$$

Proof. We only sketch the main steps. We retain the notation in the proof of Theorem 6.1 and consider the stack $\sigma: \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{15}^{5} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{12}^{p}$ of limit linear series of type $\mathfrak{g}_{15}^{5}$. Using [Far09, Prop. 2.8], there exist two vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ over $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{15}^{5}$ together with a morphism $\varphi: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that over a point $[C, A] \in \sigma^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}\right)$ corresponding to a smooth underlying curve, one has the description of its fibres $\mathcal{E}(C, A)=H^{0}(C, A)$ and $\mathcal{F}(C, A)=H^{0}\left(C, A^{\otimes 2}\right)$. Moreover, $\varphi(C, A)$ is the multiplication map $\mu_{0}(A)$. The extension of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ over the boundary of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{15}^{5}$ is identical to the one appearing in Proposition 6.4. Applying (8), the class of the restriction $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{12}:=\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{12} \cap \mathcal{M}_{12}^{p}$ is equal to

$$
\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{12}\right]^{\mathrm{virt}}=10 \sigma_{*}\left(6 c_{1}(\mathcal{F})-38 c_{1}(\mathcal{E})\right) \in A^{1}\left(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{12}^{p}\right)
$$

The push-forward classes $\sigma_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ and $\sigma_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ can be determined following [Far09, Props. 2.12, 2.13], which after manipulations leads to the claimed slope.

To prove that $\mathcal{H}_{12}$ is indeed a divisor, note first that $\mathfrak{G}_{15}^{5}$ being isomorphic to the Hurwitz space $\mathfrak{G}_{7}^{1}$ is irreducible. To establish that for a general curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$, the pencil $\mathbf{P}_{C, A}$ is nondegenerate for all linear systems $A \in W_{15}^{5}(C)$, it suffices to produce one example of a smooth curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{5}$ with $g(C)=12$ and $\operatorname{deg}(C)=15$, with $\mathbf{P}_{C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)}$ nondegenerate. This is carried out via the use of Macaulay in a way similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [Far06] for a curve $C$ lying on a particular rational surface in $\mathbf{P}^{5}$.
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