# Quadratic Julia sets with positive area 
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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of quadratic polynomials having a Julia set with positive Lebesgue measure. We find such examples with a Cremer fixed point, with a Siegel disk, or with infinitely many satellite renormalizations.
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## Introduction

Assume $P: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial of degree 2. Its Julia set $J(P)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ with empty interior. Fatou suggested that one should apply to $J(P)$ the methods of Borel-Lebesgue for the measure of sets.

It is known that the area (Lebesgue measure) of $J(P)$ is zero in several cases including

- if $P$ is hyperbolic; ${ }^{1}$

[^0]- if $P$ has a parabolic cycle ([DH84], [DH85a] or [Lyu83]),
- if $P$ is not infinitely renormalizable ([Lyu] or [Shi95]),
- if $P$ has a (linearizable) indifferent cycle with multiplier $e^{2 i \pi \alpha}$ such that

$$
\alpha=\mathrm{a}_{0}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}_{1}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}_{2}+\ddots}} \text { with } \log \mathrm{a}_{n}=\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})([\mathrm{PZ} 04]) .^{2}
$$

In [Lyu83], Lyubich showed that the postcritical set is a measure-theoretic attractor, which implies that the Julia sets of Misiurewicz and parabolic maps have area zero. In the same note, he also observed that the filled-in Julia set depends upper semi-continuously on the map and concluded that generic (in the Baire sense) quadratic maps in the boundary of the Mandelbrot set have Julia set of zero area (see also [Lyu84]). Of course, the later result of [Lyu] and [Shi95] implies this since nonrenormalizable maps are generic in the boundary of the Mandelbrot set.

In late 2005, we completed a program initiated by Douady with major advances by the second author in [Ché00]: there exist quadratic polynomials with a Cremer fixed point and a Julia set of positive area. For a presentation of Douady's initial program, the reader is invited to consult [Ché09]. In this article, we present a slightly different approach. (The general ideas are essentially the same.)

Theorem 1. There exist quadratic polynomials that have a Cremer fixed point and a Julia set of positive area.

We also have the following two results.
Theorem 2. There exist quadratic polynomials that have a Siegel disk and a Julia set of positive area.

Theorem 3. There exist infinitely satellite renormalizable quadratic polynomials with a Julia set of positive area.

We will give a detailed proof of Theorems 1 and 2 . We will only sketch the proof of Theorem 3.

The proofs are based on

- McMullen's results [McM98] regarding the measurable density of the filledin Julia set near the boundary of a Siegel disk with bounded type rotation number,
- Chéritat's techniques of parabolic explosion [Ché00] and Yoccoz's renormalization techniques [Yoc95] to control the shape of Siegel disks,

[^1]- Inou and Shishikura's results [IS] to control the post-critical sets of perturbations of polynomials having an indifferent fixed point.
In [Yam08], Yampolsky outlines an alternative to deal with the final piece of the argument by means of the Renormalization Theorem for Siegel disks (also using the Inou-Shishikura's result).

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Adrien Douady, John H. Hubbard, Hiroyuki Inou, Curtis T. McMullen, Mitsuhiro Shishikura, Misha Yampolsky and Jean-Christophe Yoccoz whose contributions were decisive in proving these results. We would like to thank Misha Lyubich and the referees for carefully reading our manuscript and suggesting several improvements in the presentation.

## 1. The Cremer case

Let us introduce some notations.
Definition 1. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $P_{\alpha}$ the quadratic polynomial

$$
P_{\alpha}: z \mapsto e^{2 i \pi \alpha} z+z^{2} .
$$

We denote by $K_{\alpha}$ the filled-in Julia set of $P_{\alpha}$ and by $J_{\alpha}$ its Julia set.
1.1. Strategy of the proof. The main gear is the following

Proposition 1. There exists a nonempty set $\mathcal{S}$ of bounded type irrationals such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$ with

- $\left|\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha\right|<\varepsilon$,
- $P_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ has a cycle in $D(0, \varepsilon) \backslash\{0\}$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha}\right)$.

The proof of Proposition 1 will occupy Sections 1.2 to 1.7 .
Remark. Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ has bounded type, $K_{\alpha}$ contains a Siegel disk [Sie42] and thus has positive area.

Remark. We do not know what is the largest set $\mathcal{S}$ for which Proposition 1 holds. It might be the set of all bounded type irrationals.

Proposition 2. The function $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha}\right) \in[0,+\infty[$ is upper semi-continuous.

Proof. Assume $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$. By [Dou94], for any neighborhood $V$ of $K_{\alpha}$, we have $K_{\alpha_{n}} \subset V$ for $n$ large enough. According to the theory of Lebsegue measure, area $\left(K_{\alpha}\right)$ is the infimum of the area of the open sets containing $K_{\alpha}$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha}\right) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha_{n}}\right) .
$$



Figure 1. Two filled-in Julia sets $K_{\alpha}$ and $K_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, with $\alpha^{\prime}$ a wellchosen perturbation of $\alpha$ as in Proposition 1. This proposition asserts that if $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are chosen carefully enough, the loss of measure from $K_{\alpha}$ to $K_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ is small.


Figure 2. A zoom on $K_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ near its linearizable fixed point. The small cycle is highlighted.

Proof of Theorem 1 assuming Proposition 1. We choose a sequence of real numbers $\varepsilon_{n}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $\Pi\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right)>0$. We construct inductively a sequence $\theta_{n} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,

- $P_{\theta_{n}}$ has a cycle in $D(0,1 / n) \backslash\{0\}$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{\theta_{n}}\right) \geq\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right) \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\theta_{n-1}}\right)$.

Every polynomial $P_{\theta}$ with $\theta$ sufficiently close to $\theta_{n}$ has a cycle in $D(0,1 / n) \backslash\{0\}$. By choosing $\theta_{n}$ sufficiently close to $\theta_{n-1}$ at each step, we guarantee that

- the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence that converges to a limit $\theta$;
- for all $n \geq 1, P_{\theta}$ has a cycle in $D(0,1 / n) \backslash\{0\}$.

So, the polynomial $P_{\theta}$ has small cycles and thus is a Cremer polynomial. In that case, $J_{\theta}=K_{\theta}$. By Proposition 2,

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(J_{\theta}\right)=\operatorname{area}\left(K_{\theta}\right) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\theta_{n}}\right) \geq \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\theta_{0}}\right) \cdot \prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right)>0
$$

1.2. A stronger version of Proposition 1. For a finite or infinite sequence of integers, we will use the following continued fraction notation:

$$
\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]:=a_{0}+\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\ddots}}
$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we will denote by $\lfloor\alpha\rfloor$ the integral part of $\alpha$.
Definition 2. If $N \geq 1$ is an integer, we set
$\mathcal{S}_{N}:=\left\{\alpha=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \ldots\right] \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q} \mid\left(\mathrm{a}_{k}\right)\right.$ is bounded and $\mathrm{a}_{k} \geq N$ for all $\left.k \geq 1\right\}$.
Note that $\mathcal{S}_{N+1} \subset \mathcal{S}_{N} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{S}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ is the set of bounded type irrationals. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, the polynomial $P_{\alpha}$ has a Siegel disk bounded by a quasicircle containing the critical point (see [Dou87], [Her86], [Swi98]). In particular, the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha}$ is contained in the boundary of the Siegel disk.

Proposition 1 is an immediate consequence of the following proposition.
Proposition 3. If $N$ is sufficiently large, then the following holds. ${ }^{3}$ Assume $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ and choose a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\sqrt[q_{n}]{A_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow}+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \sqrt[q_{n}]{\log A_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1 .^{4}
$$

Set

$$
\alpha_{n}:=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{n}, A_{n}, N, N, N, \ldots\right] .
$$

Then, for all $\varepsilon>0$, if $n$ is sufficiently large,

- $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ has a cycle in $D(0, \varepsilon) \backslash\{0\}$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha_{n}}\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha}\right)$.

The rest of Section 1 is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3. In the sequel, unless otherwise specified,

- $\alpha$ is an irrational number of bounded type;

[^2]- $p_{k} / q_{k}$ are the approximants to $\alpha$ given by the continued fraction algorithm;
- $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is a sequence converging to $\alpha$, defined as in Proposition 3 .

Note that for $k \leq n$, the approximants $p_{k} / q_{k}$ are the same for $\alpha$ and for $\alpha_{n}$. The polynomial $P_{\alpha}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.P_{\alpha_{n}}\right)$ has a Siegel disk $\Delta\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Delta_{n}\right)$. We let $r$ (resp. $r_{n}$ ) be the conformal radius of $\Delta$ (resp. $\Delta_{n}$ ) at 0 and we let $\phi: D(0, r) \rightarrow \Delta$ (resp. $\left.\phi_{n}: D\left(0, r_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Delta_{n}\right)$ be the conformal isomorphism that maps 0 to 0 with derivative 1 .
1.3. The control of the cycle. We first recall results of [Ché00] (see also [BC04, Props. 1 and 2]), which we reformulate as follows.

The first proposition asserts that as $\theta$ varies in the disk $D\left(p / q, 1 / q^{3}\right)$, the polynomial $P_{\theta}$ has a cycle of period $q$ that depends holomorphically on $\sqrt[q]{\theta-p / q}$ and coalesces at $z=0$ when $\theta=p / q$.

Proposition 4. For each rational number $p / q$ (with $p$ and $q$ coprime), there exists a holomorphic function

$$
\chi: D\left(0,1 / q^{3 / q}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

with the following properties:
(1) $\chi(0)=0$.
(2) $\chi^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$.
(3) If $\delta \in D\left(0,1 / q^{3 / q}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, then $\chi(\delta) \neq 0$.
(4) If $\delta \in D\left(0,1 / q^{3 / q}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ and if we set $\zeta:=e^{2 i \pi p / q}$ and $\theta:=\frac{p}{q}+\delta^{q}$, then $\left\langle\chi(\delta), \chi(\zeta \delta), \ldots, \chi\left(\zeta^{q-1} \delta\right)\right\rangle$ forms a cycle of period $q$ of $P_{\theta}$. In particular,

$$
\forall \delta \in D\left(0,1 / q^{3 / q}\right), \quad \chi(\zeta \delta)=P_{\theta}(\chi(\delta))
$$

A function $\chi: D\left(0,1 / q^{3 / q}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as in Proposition 4 is called an explosion function at $p / q$. Such a function is not unique. However, if $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ are two explosions functions at $p / q$, they are related by $\chi_{1}(\delta)=\chi_{2}\left(e^{2 i \pi k p / q} \delta\right)$ for some integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The second proposition studies how the explosion functions behave as $p / q$ ranges in the set of approximants of an irrational number $\alpha$ such that $P_{\alpha}$ has a Siegel disk.

Proposition 5. Assume $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ is an irrational number such that $P_{\alpha}$ has a Siegel disk $\Delta$. Let $p_{k} / q_{k}$ be the approximants to $\alpha$. Let $r$ be the conformal radius of $\Delta$ at 0 and let $\phi: D(0, r) \rightarrow \Delta$ be the isomorphism that sends 0 to 0 with derivative 1 . For $k \geq 1$, let $\chi_{k}$ be an explosion function at $p_{k} / q_{k}$ and set $\lambda_{k}:=\chi_{k}^{\prime}(0)$. Then
(1) $\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \underset{k \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} r$,
(2) the sequence of maps $\psi_{k}: \delta \mapsto \chi_{k}\left(\delta / \lambda_{k}\right)$ converges uniformly on every compact subset of $D(0, r)$ to $\phi: D(0, r) \rightarrow \Delta$.

Corollary 1. Let $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ be the sequence defined in Proposition 3. Then, for all $\varepsilon>0$, if $n$ is sufficiently large, $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ has a cycle in $D(0, \varepsilon) \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\chi_{n}$ be an explosion at $p_{n} / q_{n}$ and let $C_{n}$ be the set of $q_{n}$-th roots of

$$
\alpha_{n}-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{q_{n}\left(q_{n} A_{n}^{\prime}+q_{n-1}\right)} \quad \text { with } \quad A_{n}^{\prime}:=\left[A_{n}, N, N, N, \ldots\right] .
$$

Since $\sqrt[q_{n}]{A_{n}^{\prime}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow+\infty]{\longrightarrow}+\infty$, for $n$ large enough, the set $C_{n}$ is contained in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of 0 and $\chi_{n}\left(C_{n}\right)$ is a cycle of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ contained in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of 0 .

### 1.4. Perturbed Siegel disks.

Definition 3. If $U$ and $X$ are measurable subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, with $0<\operatorname{area}(U)<$ $+\infty$, we use the notation

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{U}(X):=\frac{\operatorname{area}(U \cap X)}{\operatorname{area}(U)}
$$

In the whole section, $\alpha$ is a Bruno number, $p_{n} / q_{n}$ are its approximants, and $\chi_{n}: D_{n}:=D\left(0,1 / q_{n}^{3 / q_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are explosion functions at $p_{n} / q_{n}$.

Proposition 6 (see Figure 3). Assume $\alpha:=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots\right]$ and $\theta:=\left[0, \mathrm{t}_{1}, \ldots\right]$ are Brjuno numbers and let $p_{n} / q_{n}$ be the approximants to $\alpha$. Assume

$$
\alpha_{n}:=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{n}, A_{n}, \mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}, \ldots\right]
$$

with $\left(A_{n}\right)$ a sequence of positive integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt[q_{n}]{\log A_{n}} \leq 1 . .^{5} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta$ be the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha}$ and let $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ be the Siegel disk of the restriction of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ to $\Delta .{ }^{6}$ For any nonempty open set $U \subset \Delta$,

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{U}\left(\Delta_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

[^3]

Figure 3. Illustration of Proposition 6 for $\alpha=\theta=[0,1,1, \ldots]$, $n=7$ and $A_{n}=10^{10}$. We see the Siegel disk $\Delta$ of $P_{\alpha}$ (light grey), the Siegel disk $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ of the restriction of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ to $\Delta$ (dark grey), and the boundary of the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$.

Proof. Set

$$
\varepsilon_{n}:=\alpha_{n}-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{q_{n}^{2}\left(A_{n}+\theta\right)+q_{n} q_{n-1}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{q_{n}^{2} A_{n}} .
$$

Note that

$$
\sqrt[q_{n}]{\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt[q_{n}]{A_{n}}}
$$

(where the notation $u_{n} \sim v_{n}$ means $u_{n}=v_{n} \cdot\left(1+\delta_{n}\right)$ with $\left.\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0\right)$. For $\rho<1$, define

$$
X_{n}(\rho):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \frac{z^{q_{n}}}{z^{q_{n}}-\varepsilon_{n}} \in D\left(0, s_{n}\right)\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad s_{n}:=\frac{\rho^{q_{n}}}{\rho^{q_{n}}+\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|}
$$

This domain is star-like with respect to 0 and avoids the $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n} .{ }^{7}$ It is contained but not relatively compact in $D(0, \rho)$. For all nonempty open set $U$ contained in $D(0, \rho)$,

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{U}\left(X_{n}(\rho)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Since the limit values of the sequence $\left(\chi_{n}: D_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right)$ are isomorphisms $\chi$ : $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Delta$, Proposition 6 is a corollary of Proposition 7 .

[^4]

Figure 4. The boundary of a set $X_{n}(\rho)$.
Proposition 7. Under the same assumptions as in Proposition 6, for all $\rho<1$, if $n$ is large enough, the Siegel disk $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ contains $\chi_{n}\left(X_{n}(\rho)\right)$.

Proof. We will proceed by contradiction. Assume there exist $\rho<1$ and an increasing sequence of integers $n_{k}$ such that $\chi_{n_{k}}\left(X_{n_{k}}(\rho)\right)$ is not contained in $\Delta_{n_{k}}^{\prime}$. Extracting a subsequence, we may assume

$$
A_{n_{k}}^{1 / q_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow A \in[1,+\infty]
$$

To simplify notations, we will drop the index $k$.

- Assume $A=1$. Then, any compact $K \subset \Delta$ is contained in $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ for $n$ large enough. (For a proof, see for example in [ABC04, Prop. 2, the remark following Prop. 2, and Th. 3].) Note that $X_{n}(\rho) \subset D(0, \rho)$ and the limit values of the sequence $\left(\chi_{n}: D_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right)$ are isomorphisms $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Delta$. It follows that for $n$ large enough,

$$
\chi_{n}\left(X_{n}(\rho)\right) \subset \chi_{n}(D(0, \rho)) \subset \chi(D(0, \sqrt{\rho})) \subset \Delta_{n}^{\prime} .
$$

This contradicts our assumption.

- Assume $A>1$. Without loss of generality, increasing $\rho$ if necessary, we may assume that $\rho>1 / A$. We will show that for $\rho<\rho^{\prime}<1$, if $n$ is large enough, the orbit under iteration of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ of any point $z \in \chi_{n}\left(X_{n}(\rho)\right)$ remains in $\chi_{n}\left(D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset \Delta$. This will show that $\chi_{n}\left(X_{n}(\rho)\right) \subset \Delta_{n}^{\prime}$, completing the proof of Proposition 7.

Since the limit values of the sequence $\chi_{n}: D_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are isomorphisms $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Delta$, there is a sequence $r_{n}^{\prime}$ tending to 1 such that $\chi_{n}$ is univalent on $D_{n}^{\prime}:=D\left(0, r_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and the domain of the map

$$
f_{n}:=\left.\left(\left.\chi_{n}\right|_{D_{n}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} \circ P_{\alpha_{n}} \circ \chi_{n}\right|_{D_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

eventually contains any compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$. So, Proposition 7 is a corollary of Proposition $7^{\prime}$ below.

Proposition 7'. Assume

$$
0 \leq \frac{1}{A}<\rho<\rho^{\prime}<1
$$

If $n$ is large enough, the orbit under iteration of $f_{n}$ of any point $z \in X_{n}(\rho)$ remains in $D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$.

The rest of Section 1.4 is devoted to the proof of Proposition $7^{\prime}$. There will be several changes of coordinates, which are summarized on Figure 5 in order to help the reader. (We would like to thank Misha Lyubich for suggesting this picture.)
1.4.1. A vector field. Let $\varepsilon_{n}$ and $f_{n}$ be defined as previously. To prove Proposition $7^{\prime}$, it is not enough to compare the dynamics of $f_{n}$ with the dynamics of a rotation. Instead, we will compare it with the (real) dynamics of the polynomial vector field $\xi_{n}$ that has simple roots exactly at 0 and the $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$ and that has derivative $2 \pi i q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}$ at 0 . Then, the time- 1 map of $\xi_{n}$ fixes 0 and the $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$ (which are also fixed points of $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ ) with multiplier $e^{2 \pi i q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}}$ at 0 (which is also the multiplier of $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ at 0 ). Thanks to those properties, there is a good hope that the time- 1 map of $\xi_{n}$ very well approximates $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$. This vector field is

$$
\xi_{n}=\xi_{n}(z) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z}:=2 \pi i q_{n} z\left(\varepsilon_{n}-z^{q_{n}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z} .
$$

The vector field $\xi_{n}$ is invariant by the rotation $z \mapsto e^{2 \pi i / q_{n}} z$. It is semiconjugate by $z \mapsto v=z^{q_{n}}$ to the vector field

$$
2 \pi i q_{n}^{2} v\left(\varepsilon_{n}-v\right) \frac{d}{\mathrm{~d} v}
$$

which vanishes at 0 and $\varepsilon_{n}$. Let us now consider the further change of coordinates $v \mapsto w=v /\left(v-\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ in which the vector field becomes

$$
2 \pi i q_{n}^{2} w \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} w}
$$

This vector field is tangent to Euclidean circles centered at 0 . The boundary of $X_{n}(\rho)$ is mapped to such a Euclidean circle by the map $z \mapsto w=z^{q_{n}} /\left(z^{q_{n}}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)$. It follows that the vector field $\xi_{n}$ is tangent to the boundary of $X_{n}(\rho)$ which is therefore invariant be the real dynamics of $\xi_{n}$.

In addition, the unit disk is invariant by its real flow, and the open set

$$
\Omega_{n}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, w=\frac{z^{q_{n}}}{z^{q_{n}}-\varepsilon_{n}} \in \mathbb{D}\right.\right\}
$$

is invariant by the real flow of the vector field $\xi_{n}$.


Figure 5. Several changes of coordinates involved in the proof.


Figure 6. Some real trajectories for the vector field $\xi_{n}$; zeroes of the vector field are shown.


Figure 7. An example of open set $\Omega_{n}$ for $q_{n}=3$ is shown in gray. It extends to infinity, and is bounded by the black curves. Some trajectories of the vector field $\xi_{n}$ are shown.

The map

$$
z \mapsto w=\frac{z^{q_{n}}}{z^{q_{n}}-\varepsilon_{n}}: \Omega_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}
$$

is a ramified covering of degree $q_{n}$, ramified at 0 . Thus, there is an isomorphism $\psi_{n}: \Omega_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\left(\psi_{n}(z)\right)^{q_{n}}=\frac{z^{q_{n}}}{z^{q_{n}}-\varepsilon_{n}}
$$

The change of coordinates $\Omega_{n} \ni z \mapsto \theta=\psi_{n}(z) \in \mathbb{D}$ conjugates the vector field $\xi_{n}$ to

$$
2 \pi i q_{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} .
$$

Finally, let $\pi_{n}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Omega_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ ( $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half-plane) be the universal covering given by

$$
\pi_{n}(Z):=\psi_{n}^{-1}\left(e^{2 i \pi q_{n} \varepsilon_{n} Z}\right)
$$

Then,

$$
\pi_{n}^{*} \xi_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} Z}
$$

1.4.2. Working in the coordinate straightening the vector field. For simplicity, we assume from now on that $n$ is even in which case $\varepsilon_{n}>0$. In the sequel, $r \in[\rho, 1)$. Then, $X_{n}(\rho) \subset X_{n}(r) \subset \Omega_{n}$ and the preimage of $X_{n}(r)$ is the half-plane

$$
\mathbb{H}_{n}(r):=\left\{Z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Im}(Z)>\tau_{n}(r)\right\}
$$

with

$$
\tau_{n}(r):=\frac{1}{2 \pi q_{n}^{2} \varepsilon_{n}} \log \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{r^{q_{n}}}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{2 \pi q_{n}^{2} r^{q_{n}}} .
$$

The map $\pi_{n}: \mathbb{H}_{n}(r) \rightarrow X_{n}(r) \backslash\{0\}$ is a universal covering.
Remark. Note that $\tau_{n}(r)$ increases exponentially fast with respect to $q_{n}$. More precisely,

$$
\sqrt[q n]{\tau_{n}(r)} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{r}
$$

Definition 4. We say that a sequence $\left(B_{n}\right)$ is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$ if

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt[q n]{\left|B_{n}\right|} \leq 1
$$

Proposition 8. Assume $r<1$. If $n$ is large enough, there exist holomorphic maps $F_{n}: \mathbb{H}_{n}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $G_{n}: \mathbb{H}_{n}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ such that

- $\pi_{n}$ semi-conjugates $F_{n}$ to $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ and $G_{n}$ to $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}$ :

$$
\pi_{n} \circ F_{n}=f_{n}^{q_{n}} \circ \pi_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{n} \circ G_{n}=f_{n}^{q_{n-1}} \circ \pi_{n}
$$

- $F_{n}-\operatorname{Id}$ and $G_{n}-\mathrm{Id}$ are periodic of period $1 /\left(q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}\right)$.
- As $\operatorname{Im}(Z) \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
F_{n}(Z)=Z+1+o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad G_{n}(Z)=Z-\left(A_{n}+\theta\right)+o(1) .
$$

In addition, the sequences

$$
\sup _{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)}\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \quad \text { and } \sup _{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)}\left|G_{n}(Z)-Z+A_{n}+\theta\right|
$$

are sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$.

Proof. We will use the following theorem of Jellouli (see [Jel94] or [Jel00, Th. 1]) to show that the domains of $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ and $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}$ eventually contain any compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem (Jellouli). Assume $P_{\alpha}$ has a Siegel disk $\Delta$ and let $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a linearizing isomorphism. For $r<1$, set $\Delta(r):=\chi(D(0, r))$. Assume $\alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $b_{n} \cdot\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right|=o(1) .{ }^{8}$ For all $r_{1}^{\prime}<r_{2}^{\prime}<1$, if $n$ is sufficiently large,

$$
\Delta\left(r_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset\left\{z \in \Delta\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right) ; \forall j \leq b_{n}, P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ j}(z) \in \Delta\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

Corollary 2. For all $r_{1}<r_{2}<1$, if $n$ is sufficiently large, then for all $z \in D\left(0, r_{1}\right)$ and for all $j \leq q_{n}$, we have $f_{n}^{\circ j}(z) \in D\left(0, r_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Choose $r_{1}^{\prime}$ and $r_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $r_{1}<r_{1}^{\prime}<r_{2}^{\prime}<r_{2}$. Let $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a linearizing isomorphism of $P_{\alpha}$. Set

$$
\Delta\left(r_{1}^{\prime}\right):=\chi\left(D\left(0, r_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right):=\chi\left(D\left(0, r_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Since limit values of the sequence $\chi_{n}: D_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are linearizing isomorphisms $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Delta$, for $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\chi_{n}\left(D\left(0, r_{1}\right)\right) \subset \Delta\left(r_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset \Delta\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset \chi_{n}\left(D\left(0, r_{2}\right)\right)
$$

It is therefore enough to show that for $n$ large enough,

$$
\Delta\left(r_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset\left\{z \in \Delta\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right) ; \forall j \leq q_{n}, P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ j}(z) \in \Delta\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

This is Jellouli's theorem with $b_{n}=q_{n}$ since

$$
q_{n}\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right| \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} q_{n}\left|\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}-\alpha\right|_{n \rightarrow+\infty}^{=} o(1) .
$$

In particular, for $r<1$, if $n$ is large enough, then $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ and $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}$ are defined on $X_{n}(r)$. We will show that if $n$ is large enough, then

$$
\forall z \in X_{n}(r) \backslash\{0\}, \quad f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}(z) \in \Omega_{n} \backslash\{0\} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}(z) \in \Omega_{n} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

We can then lift them via $\pi_{n}$ so that the following diagrams commute:


[^5]The periodicity of $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$ then follows from

$$
\pi_{n}\left(Z+\frac{1}{q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}}\right)=\pi_{n}(Z) .
$$

The lifts $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$ are determined uniquely up to addition of a integer multiple of $1 /\left(q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}\right)$. We have

$$
q_{n} \alpha_{n}-p_{n}=q_{n} \varepsilon_{n} \quad q_{n-1} \alpha_{n}-p_{n-1}=-\frac{1}{q_{n}}+q_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n} .
$$

So, the lift $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$ are uniquely determined if we require that $F_{n}(Z)-Z \underset{\operatorname{Im}(Z) \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1 \quad$ and $\quad G_{n}(Z)-Z \underset{\operatorname{Im}(Z) \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow}-\frac{1}{q_{n}^{2} \varepsilon_{n}}+\frac{q_{n-1}}{q_{n}}=-A_{n}-\theta$.

Lemma 1 below asserts that $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ is very close to the identity and bounds the difference.

Lemma 1. There exists a holomorphic function $g_{n}$, defined on the same set as $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$, such that

$$
f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}(z)=z+\xi_{n}(z) \cdot g_{n}(z) .
$$

For all $r<1$, the sequence $\sup _{D(0, r)}\left|g_{n}\right|$ is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$.
Proof. According to the definition of the map $\chi_{n}$, the map $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ fixes 0 and the $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$. This shows that $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ can be written as prescribed. To prove the estimate on the modulus of $g_{n}$, note that $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ takes its values in $\mathbb{D}$, and thus $\left|\xi_{n}(z) \cdot g_{n}(z)\right| \leq 2$. Choose a sequence $\left.r_{n} \in\right] 0,1[$ tending to 1 so that $g_{n}$ is defined on $D\left(0, r_{n}\right)$. By the maximum modulus principle, if $n$ is large enough so that $r_{n}>\max (r, 1 / A)$, we have

$$
\sup _{|z| \leq r}\left|g_{n}(z)\right| \leq \sup _{|z| \leq r_{n}}\left|g_{n}(z)\right| \leq B_{n}:=\sup _{|z|=r_{n}} \frac{2}{\left|\xi_{n}(z)\right|}
$$

As $n \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\inf _{|z|=r_{n}}\left|\xi_{n}(z)\right| \sim 2 \pi q_{n} r_{n}^{1+q_{n}} \quad \text { and thus } \sqrt[q_{n}]{B_{n}} \sim r_{n} \rightarrow 1
$$

Recall that we assume $n$ even, in which case

$$
\varepsilon_{n}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad q_{n-1} \cdot \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}=-\frac{1}{q_{n}} \bmod (1) .
$$

Lemma 2 asserts that $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}$ is very close to the rotation of angle $-1 / q_{n}$ and bounds the difference.

Lemma 2. There exists a holomorphic function $h_{n}$, defined on the same set as $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}$, such that

$$
e^{2 i \pi / q_{n}} f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}(z)=z+\xi_{n}(z) \cdot h_{n}(z)
$$

For all $r<1$, the sequence $\sup _{D(0, r)}\left|h_{n}\right|$ is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$.

Proof. According to the definition of the map $\chi_{n}$, the map $f_{n}$ coincides with the rotation of angle $p_{n} / q_{n}$ on the set of $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$ and $q_{n-1}$. $\left(p_{n} / q_{n}\right)=-1 / q_{n} \bmod (1)$. Thus, $e^{2 i \pi / q_{n}} f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}(z)$ fixes 0 and the $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$. This shows that $e^{2 i \pi / q_{n}} f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}$ can be written as prescribed. The same method as in Lemma 1 yields the bound on $h_{n}$.

Proof of Proposition 8, continued. Now, given $r<1$, set

$$
R_{n}:=\min \left(\frac{1}{q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}}, \tau_{n}(r)\right) .
$$

Note that

$$
\sqrt[q_{n}]{R_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \min \left(A, \frac{1}{r}\right) .
$$

Hence, $R_{n}$ increases exponentially fast with respect to $q_{n}$.
For all $n$ and all $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)$, the map $\pi_{n}$ is univalent on $D\left(Z, R_{n}\right)$ and takes its values in $\Omega_{n} \backslash\{0\}$. By Koebe $1 / 4$-theorem, its image contains a disk centered at $z:=\pi_{n}(Z)$ with radius

$$
\pi_{n}^{\prime}(Z) \cdot \frac{R_{n}}{4}=\xi_{n}(z) \cdot \frac{R_{n}}{4} .
$$

In particular, if the sequence $\left(B_{n}\right)$ is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$ and if $n$ is large enough so that $B_{n} \leq R_{n} / 4$, we have

$$
\forall z \in X_{n}(r), \quad D\left(z, \xi_{n}(z) \cdot B_{n}\right) \subset \Omega_{n} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that for all $r<1$, if $n$ is large enough, then

$$
\forall z \in X_{n}(r) \backslash\{0\}, \quad f_{n}^{q_{n}}(z) \in \Omega_{n} \backslash\{0\} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{n}^{q_{n-1}}(z) \in \Omega_{n} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Lemmas 1 and 2 and Koebe distortion theorem applied to $\pi_{n}: D\left(Z, R_{n}\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ imply that the sequences

$$
\sup _{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)}\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \quad \text { and } \sup _{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)}\left|G_{n}(Z)-Z+A_{n}+\theta\right|
$$

are sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$.
This completes the proof of Proposition 8.
We will need the following improved estimate for $F_{n}$.
Proposition 9. Assume $r<1$. There exists a sequence $\left(B_{n}\right)$, subexponential with respect to $q_{n}$, such that for all $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)$,

$$
\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \leq B_{n} \cdot\left(\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|+\left|\varepsilon_{n}-\pi_{n}(Z)^{q_{n}}\right|\right)
$$

Proof. Lemma 3 gives a similar estimate for $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ on $X_{n}(r)$. This estimate transfers to the required one by Koebe distortion theorem as in the previous proof.

Lemma 3. There exist a complex number $\eta_{n}$ and a holomorphic function $k_{n}$, defined on the same set as $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$, such that

$$
f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}(z)=z+\xi_{n}(z) \cdot\left(1+\eta_{n}+\left(\varepsilon_{n}-z^{q_{n}}\right) k_{n}(z)\right) .
$$

For all $r<1$, there exists a sequence $\left(B_{n}\right)$, sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$, such that

$$
\left|\eta_{n}\right| \leq B_{n} \cdot\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \forall z \in D(0, r) \quad\left|k_{n}(z)\right| \leq B_{n} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, we know that

$$
f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}(z)=z+\xi_{n}(z) \cdot g_{n}(z),
$$

with $B_{n}:=\sup _{D(0, r)}\left|g_{n}\right|$ a sub-exponential sequence with respect to $q_{n}$. The map $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ has the same multiplier at each $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$. If $\omega$ is a $q_{n}$-th root of $\varepsilon_{n}$, then

$$
\left(f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}\right)^{\prime}(\omega)=1-2 \pi i q_{n}^{2} \varepsilon_{n} g_{n}(\omega) .
$$

Thus, $g_{n}(\omega)$ is independent of the choice of $q_{n}$-th root and we set

$$
\eta_{n}:=g_{n}(\omega)-1 .
$$

It follows that

$$
g_{n}(z)=1+\eta_{n}+\left(\varepsilon_{n}-z^{q_{n}}\right) k_{n}(z)
$$

as prescribed. Since $\sqrt[q_{n}]{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow 1 / A<r<1$, the $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$ belong to $D(0, r)$ for $n$ large enough. In that case, the bound on $g_{n}$, taken at any of the $q_{n}$-th roots of $\varepsilon_{n}$, shows that

$$
\left|1+\eta_{n}\right| \leq B_{n},
$$

and thus

$$
\forall z \in D(0, r), \quad\left|\left(\varepsilon_{n}-z^{q_{n}}\right) k_{n}(z)\right| \leq 2 B_{n}
$$

As in Lemma 1, we have for any sequence $r_{n} \rightarrow 1$ and for $n$ large enough

$$
\sup _{|z| \leq r}\left|k_{n}(z)\right| \leq B_{n}^{\prime}:=\frac{2 B_{n}}{r_{n}^{q_{n}}-\varepsilon_{n}}
$$

and $\left(B_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$. Looking at $z=0$ gives

$$
1+\eta_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} k_{n}(0)=g_{n}(0)=\frac{\left(f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}\right)^{\prime}(0)-1}{\xi_{n}^{\prime}(0)}=\frac{e^{2 \pi i q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}}-1}{2 \pi i q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}} .
$$

As $n \rightarrow+\infty$, the left hand of this equality expands to $1+i \pi q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}+o\left(q_{n} \varepsilon_{n}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\left|\eta_{n}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}\left(\left|k_{n}(0)\right|+\pi q_{n}+o\left(q_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $\left|k_{n}(0)\right| \leq B_{n}^{\prime}$, we get the desired bound on $\eta_{n}$.

Corollary 3. Assume $r<1$. Then,

$$
\sup _{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)}\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad \text { and } \sup _{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)}\left|F_{n}^{\prime}(Z)-1\right|_{n \rightarrow+\infty}^{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

Proof. The first is an immediate consequence of Proposition 9. For the second, use the first on $\mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ with $r<r^{\prime}<1$.
1.4.3. Iterating the commuting pair $\left(F_{n}, G_{n}\right)$.

Proposition 10. Assume $1 / A<r_{1}<r_{2}<1$. If $n$ is sufficiently large, the following holds. Given any point $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{1}\right)$, there exists a sequence of integers $\left(j_{\ell}\right)_{\ell \geq 0}$ such that for any integer $\ell \geq 0$ and any integer $j \in\left[0, j_{\ell}\right]$, the point

$$
F_{n}^{\circ j} \circ G_{n} \circ F_{n}^{\circ j_{\ell-1}} \circ G_{n} \circ \cdots \circ F_{n}^{\circ j_{1}} \circ G_{n} \circ F_{n}^{\circ j_{0}}(Z)
$$

is well defined and belongs to $\mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)$.
Proof. We will need to control iterates of $F_{n}$ for a large number of iterates. We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 4. Assume $F: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$
|F(Z)-Z-1|<u(\operatorname{Re}(Z)),
$$

with $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow] 0,1 / 10[$ a function such that $\log u$ is $1 / 2$-Lipschitz. Let $\Gamma$ be the graph of an antiderivative of $-2 u$. Then, every $Z \in \mathbb{H}$ that is above $\Gamma$ has an image above $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $U$ be the antiderivative whose graph is $\Gamma$. Let $Z=X+i Y \in \mathbb{H}$. The point $Z^{\prime}=X^{\prime}+i Y^{\prime}=F(Z)$ satisfies $X^{\prime} \in\left[X+\frac{9}{10}, X+\frac{11}{10}\right]$. Since $\log u$ is $1 / 2$-Lipschitz,

$$
\forall x \in\left[X, X+\frac{11}{10}\right], \quad \log u(x) \geq \log u(X)-\frac{11}{20}
$$

Therefore, from $X$ to $X^{\prime}, U$ decreases of at least

$$
2 \int_{X}^{X^{\prime}} u(x) \mathrm{d} x \geq 2\left(X^{\prime}-X\right) e^{-11 / 20} u(X) \geq \frac{18}{10} e^{-11 / 20} u(X)>u(X)>Y-Y^{\prime}
$$



Lemma 5. Assume $1 / A<r<r^{\prime}<1$. If $n$ is sufficiently large, then for all $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)$, there exists an integer $j(Z)$ such that

- for all $j \leq j(Z)$, we have $F_{n}^{\circ j} \circ G_{n}(Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$;
- $\operatorname{Re}\left(F_{n}^{\circ j(Z)} \circ G_{n}(Z)\right)>\operatorname{Re}(Z)$.

Proof. Let us first recall that there exists a sequence $\left(B_{n}\right)$, sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$, such that for $n$ large enough, for all $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)$,

$$
\left|G_{n}(Z)-Z+A_{n}+\theta\right| \leq B_{n} .
$$

In particular, if $n$ is sufficiently large,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(G_{n}(Z)\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}(Z)-A_{n}-\theta-B_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(G_{n}(Z)\right) \geq \tau_{n}(r)-B_{n}
$$

We will apply Lemma 4 to control the orbit of $G_{n}(Z)$ under iteration of $F_{n}$. More precisely, we will prove the existence of a function $u_{n}$ such that
(a) $\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \leq u_{n}(\operatorname{Re}(Z))$;
(b) for $n$ large enough $\left.u_{n} \in\right] 0,1 / 10[$;
(c) for $n$ large enough, $\log u_{n}$ is $1 / 2$-Lipschitz;
(d) the sequence $C_{n}:=\int_{\operatorname{Re}\left(G_{n}(Z)\right)}^{\operatorname{Re}(Z)} 2 u_{n}(X) \mathrm{d} X$ is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$.
Since $\tau_{n}(r) / \tau_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ grow exponentially with respect to $q_{n}$, if $n$ is taken sufficiently large, we have

$$
\tau_{n}(r) \geq \tau_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)+B_{n}+C_{n}+\frac{1}{10}
$$

It then follows from Lemma 4 that there is an integer $j(Z)$ such that

- for all $j \leq j(Z)$, we have $F_{n}^{\circ j} \circ G_{n}(Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$;
- $\operatorname{Re}\left(F_{n}^{\circ j(Z)} \circ G_{n}(Z)\right)>\operatorname{Re}(Z)$.

(a) By Proposition 9, there is a sequence $\left(B_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$, such that for all $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \leq B_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{n}+\left|\varepsilon_{n}-\pi_{n}(Z)^{q_{n}}\right|\right) .
$$

Set $T_{n}:=1 /\left(2 \pi q_{n}^{2} \varepsilon_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$. We have (see Figure 5)

$$
\left(\pi_{n}(Z)\right)^{q_{n}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{1-e^{-i Z / T_{n}}} .
$$

Using

$$
B_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{n}+\left|\varepsilon_{n}-\pi_{n}(Z)^{q_{n}}\right|\right) \leq B_{n}^{\prime}\left(2 \varepsilon_{n}+\left|\pi_{n}(Z)^{q_{n}}\right|\right)
$$

we see that for all $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| & \leq B_{n}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{n}\left(2+\frac{1}{\left|1-e^{-i Z / T_{n}}\right|}\right) \\
& \leq B_{n}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{n}\left(2+\frac{1}{\left|s_{n} e^{-i \operatorname{Re}(Z) / T_{n}}-1\right|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
e^{\operatorname{Im}(Z) / T_{n}} \geq s_{n}:=e^{\tau_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right) / T_{n}}=1+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{q_{n}}} .
$$

Since $1 / A<r^{\prime}$, we have $\varepsilon_{n} /\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{q_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ and thus $s_{n} \rightarrow 1$. Thus, for $n$ large enough,

$$
\frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{\left|s_{n} e^{-i \operatorname{Re}(Z) / T_{n}}-1\right|},
$$

and for all $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \leq u_{n}(\operatorname{Re}(Z)) \quad \text { with } \quad u_{n}(X):=\frac{7 B_{n}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{n}}{\left|s_{n} e^{i X / T_{n}}-1\right|} .
$$

(b) Let us show that for $n$ large enough, $\left.u_{n} \in\right] 0,1 / 10[$. Note that

$$
\forall X \in \mathbb{R}, \quad\left|u_{n}(X)\right| \leq \frac{7 B_{n}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{n}}{s_{n}-1}=7 B_{n}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{q_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 .
$$

Thus, $u_{n}$ tends uniformly to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.
(c) Let us now check that for $n$ large enough, $\log u_{n}$ is $1 / 2$-Lipschitz. Letting $s_{n}=\operatorname{cotan}(\omega / 2)$, we have

$$
\log \left|s_{n} e^{i X / T_{n}}-1\right|^{2}=\log (1-\sin \omega \cos \beta)+\text { const }
$$

where $\beta=X / T_{n}$ and the constant stands for something independent of it. The $\beta$-derivative of this expression is equal to

$$
\tan \omega \frac{\cos \omega \sin \beta}{1-\sin \omega \cos \beta}=\tan \omega\left(1-\frac{1-\sin (\beta+\omega)}{1-\sin \omega \cos \beta}\right) \leq \tan \omega=\frac{2 s_{n}}{s_{n}^{2}-1} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} X} \log u_{n}(X)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} X} \log \left|s_{n} e^{i X / T_{n}}-1\right|^{2} \leq \frac{s_{n}}{T_{n}\left(s_{n}^{2}-1\right)} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \pi q_{n}^{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{q_{n}}
$$

Thus, $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} X} \log u_{n}(X)$ converges uniformly to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, and for $n$ large enough, $\log u_{n}$ is $1 / 2$-Lipschitz.
(d) Let us finally show that the sequence

$$
C_{n}:=\int_{\operatorname{Re}\left(G_{n}(Z)\right)}^{\operatorname{Re}(Z)} 2 u_{n}(X) \mathrm{d} X
$$

is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$. Let us recall that $2 \pi T_{n} \sim 1 /\left(q_{n}^{2} \varepsilon_{n}\right) \sim A_{n}$. If $n$ is large enough,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(G_{n}(Z)\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}(Z)-A_{n}-\theta_{n}-B_{n} \geq \operatorname{Re}(Z)-4 \pi T_{n}
$$

Since $u_{n}$ is $2 \pi T_{n}$-periodic,

$$
C_{n} \leq B_{n}^{\prime \prime}:=\int_{\operatorname{Re}(Z)-4 \pi T_{n}}^{\operatorname{Re}(Z)} 2 u_{n}(X) \mathrm{d} X=4 \int_{-\pi T_{n}}^{\pi T_{n}} u_{n}(X) \mathrm{d} X .
$$

The change of variable $\theta=X / T_{n}$, which yields

$$
B_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{14 B_{n}^{\prime}}{\pi q_{n}^{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\sqrt{s_{n}^{2}+1-2 s_{n} \cos \theta}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
B_{n}^{\prime \prime} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \frac{28 B_{n}^{\prime}}{\pi q_{n}^{2}} \log \frac{1}{s_{n}-1}=\frac{28 B_{n}^{\prime}}{\pi q_{n}^{2}} \log \frac{r^{\prime q_{n}}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \frac{28 B_{n}^{\prime}}{\pi q_{n}^{2}} \log \left(r^{\prime q_{n}} A_{n}\right) .
$$

By assumption (condition (1) in the statement of Proposition 6; this is the only place where it is used), the sequence $\log A_{n}$ is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$. As a consequence, $\left(B_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and thus $\left(C_{n}\right)$, is sub-exponential with respect to $q_{n}$.

Proof of Proposition 10, continued. Remember that we are given $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ with $1 / A<r_{1}<r_{2}<1$ and we want to prove that for $n$ sufficiently large, any point of $\mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{1}\right)$ has an infinite orbit remaining in $\mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)$ along a wellchosen composition of $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$. It is enough to show that this is true for any sequence of points

$$
Z_{n}=X_{n}+i Y_{n} \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{1}\right)
$$

We will use Douady-Ghys-Yoccoz's renormalization techniques and follow the presentation in [ABC04, §3.2].

Step 1. Construction of a Riemann surface: $\mathcal{V}_{n}$. Choose $n$ sufficiently large so that $F_{n}$ is defined in the upper half-plane $\left\{Z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Im}(Z) \geq \tau_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)-\right.$ $1 / 10\}$ with

$$
\left|F_{n}(Z)-Z-1\right| \leq \frac{1}{10} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|F_{n}^{\prime}(Z)-1\right| \leq \frac{1}{10} .9
$$

Set

$$
P_{n}:=X_{n}+i\left(\tau_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{10}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
L_{n}:=\left\{X_{n}+i t ; t>\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

be the vertical half-line starting at $P_{n}$ and passing through $Z_{n}$ (see Figure 8). The union

$$
L_{n} \cup\left[P_{n}, F_{n}\left(P_{n}\right)\right] \cup F_{n}\left(L_{n}\right) \cup\{\infty\}
$$

forms a Jordan curve in the Riemann sphere bounding a region $U_{n}$ such that for $Y>\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{n}\right)$, the segment $\left[X_{n}+i Y, F_{n}\left(X_{n}+i Y\right)\right]$ is contained in $\bar{U}_{n}$ (see [ABC04, §3.2]). We set $\mathcal{U}_{n}:=U_{n} \cup L_{n}$. If we glue the sides $L_{n}$ and $F_{n}\left(L_{n}\right)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{n}$ via $F_{n}$, we obtain a topological surface $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{n}$. We denote by $\iota_{n}$ : $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{n}$ the canonical projection. The space $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{n}$ is a topological surface with boundary, whose boundary $\iota_{n}\left(\left[P_{n}, F_{n}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]\right)$ is denoted $\partial \mathcal{V}_{n}$. We set $\mathcal{V}_{n}=$ $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{n} \backslash \partial \mathcal{V}_{n}$. Since the gluing map $F_{n}$ is analytic, the surface $\mathcal{V}_{n}$ has a canonical analytic structure induced by the one of $\mathcal{U}_{n}$. It is possible to show that $\mathcal{V}_{n}$ is quasiconformally homeomorphic, thus isomorphic to $\mathbb{H} / \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{D}^{*}$. (See [ABC04, $\S 3.2]$ for details.) Let $\phi_{n}: \mathcal{V}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{*}$ be an isomorphism. Hence, we have the following composition:

$$
\phi_{n} \circ \iota_{n}: \mathcal{U}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{*} .
$$

We set

$$
\zeta_{n}:=\phi_{n} \circ \iota_{n}\left(Z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Step 2. The renormalized map $g_{n}$. Choose $\left.r_{3} \in\right] r_{1}, r_{2}[$. Set

$$
P_{n}^{\prime}:=X_{n}+i\left(\tau_{n}\left(r_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{10}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_{n}^{\prime}$ be the set of points of $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ that are above the segment $\left[P_{n}^{\prime}, F_{n}\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and let $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime}$ be the image of $\mathcal{U}_{n}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{n}$. Choose $n$ sufficiently large so that Lemma 5 can be applied with $r=r_{3}$ and $r^{\prime}=r_{2}$. Then, for all $Z \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{3}\right)$, there exists an integer $j(Z)$ such that

$$
W:=F_{n}^{\circ j(Z)} \circ G_{n}(Z) \in \mathcal{U}_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \forall j \in[0, j(Z)], \quad F_{n}^{\circ j} \circ G_{n}(Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) .
$$
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Figure 8. Construction of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{V}_{n}$ and the renormalized $\operatorname{map} g_{n}$.

The map $Z \mapsto W$ induces a univalent map $g_{n}: \phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{*} .{ }^{10}$ By the removable singularity theorem, this map extends holomorphically to the origin by $g_{n}(0)=0$. Since

$$
F_{n}(Z)=Z+1+o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad G_{n}(Z)=Z-A_{n}-\theta+o(1)
$$

as $\operatorname{Im}(Z) \rightarrow+\infty$, we have that

$$
g_{n}^{\prime}(0)=e^{-2 i \pi\left(A_{n}+\theta\right)}=e^{-2 i \pi \theta}
$$

(See the proposition on page 33 in [Yoc95] for details.)
Step 3. The orbit of $\zeta_{n}$. We will show that the orbit of $\zeta_{n}$ under iteration of $g_{n}$ is infinite. For this, let $\rho_{n}$ be the radius of the largest disk centered at 0 and contained in $\phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. We will show that
(a) there exists $c>0$ such that $g_{n}$ has a Siegel disk which contains $D\left(0, c \rho_{n}\right)$,
(b) $\left|\zeta_{n}\right|=o\left(\rho_{n}\right)$.
(a) The restriction of $g_{n}$ to $D\left(0, \rho_{n}\right)$ is univalent. It fixes 0 with derivative $e^{-2 i \pi \theta}$. Remember that $\theta$ is a Brjuno number. It follows (see [Brj71], [Brj72] or [Yoc95], for example) that there is a constant $c_{\theta}>0$ depending only on $\theta$ such that $g_{n}$ has a Siegel disk containing $D\left(0, c_{\theta} \rho_{n}\right)$. Indeed, according to the theorem on page 21 in [Yoc95], there is a constant $c>0$ such that for

[^7]all Brjuno number $\theta$, any univalent map $f: D(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that fixes 0 with derivative $e^{2 \pi i \theta}$ has a Siegel disk containing $D\left(0, c e^{-B(\theta)}\right)$, where $B(\theta)$ is the Brjuno function.
(b) Denote by $B_{n}$ the half-strip
$$
B_{n}=\left\{Z \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}(Z)<1 \text { and } \operatorname{Im}(Z)>\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{n}\right)\right\},
$$
and consider the map $H_{n}: \bar{B}_{n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{n}$ defined by
$$
H_{n}(Z)=(1-X) \cdot\left(X_{n}+i Y\right)+X \cdot F_{n}\left(X_{n}+i Y\right)
$$
where $Z=X+i Y,(X, Y) \in[0,1] \times\left[\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{n}\right),+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. The map $H_{n}$ sends each segment $[i Y, i Y+1]$ to the segment $\left[X_{n}+i Y, F_{n}\left(X_{n}+i Y\right)\right]$. An elementary computation shows that $H_{n}$ is a $5 / 4$-quasiconformal homeomorphism between $\bar{B}_{n}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{n} .{ }^{11}$ Since $H_{n}(i Y+1)=F_{n}\left(H_{n}(i Y)\right)$, the quasiconformal homeomorphism $H_{n}: \bar{B}_{n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{n}$ induces a homeomorphism between the half cylinder $\mathbb{H} / \mathbb{Z}$ and the Riemann surface $\mathcal{V}_{n}$. This homeomorphism is clearly quasiconformal on the image of $B_{n}$ in $\mathbb{H} / \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., outside a straight line. It is therefore quasiconformal in the whole half cylinder. ( $\mathbb{R}$-analytic curves are removable for quasiconformal homeomorphisms.)

Let $R_{n}$ be the rectangle

$$
R_{n}:=\left\{Z \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(Z)<1 \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{Im}(Z)<\operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{n}\right)\right\} .
$$

Note that $H_{n}\left(R_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\prime}$ and observe that

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}:=\phi_{n} \circ \iota_{n} \circ H_{n}\left(R_{n}\right)
$$

is an annulus contained in $\phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ that surrounds 0 and $\zeta_{n}$.
The image of $R_{n}$ in $\mathbb{H} / \mathbb{Z}$ is an annulus of modulus

$$
M_{n}:=\operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{n}\right)-\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq \tau_{n}\left(r_{1}\right)-\tau_{n}\left(r_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{10} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow}+\infty
$$

Note that $H_{n}$ induces a 5/4-quasiconformal homeomorphism between this annulus and $\mathcal{A}_{n}$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{modulus}\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}\right) \geq \frac{4}{5} M_{n} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow}+\infty
$$

Since $A_{n}$ separates 0 and $\zeta_{n}$ from $\infty$ and a point of modulus $\rho_{n}$ in $\partial \phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, the claim follows: as $n \rightarrow+\infty,\left|\zeta_{n}\right|=o\left(\rho_{n}\right)$.
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Step 4. Controlling the orbit of $Z_{n}$. We know that the orbit of $\zeta_{n}$ under iteration of $g_{n}$ is infinite. Thus, we have a sequence

$$
\zeta_{n} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g_{n}} \zeta_{n}^{1} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g_{n}} \zeta_{n}^{2} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g_{n}} \cdots
$$

Now, for each $\ell \geq 0$, we have

$$
\zeta_{n}^{\ell}=\phi_{n} \circ \iota_{n}\left(Z_{n}^{\ell}\right) \text { for some } Z_{n}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\prime} .
$$

Moreover, by definition of $g_{n}$, there exists an integer $j_{\ell}$ such that

$$
Z_{n}^{\ell+1}=F_{n}^{\circ j_{\ell}} \circ G_{n}\left(Z_{n}^{\ell}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \forall j \in\left[0, j_{\ell}\right], \quad F_{n}^{\circ j} \circ G_{n}\left(Z_{n}^{\ell}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) .
$$

In other words, $\zeta_{n}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g_{n}} \zeta_{n}^{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to

$$
Z_{n}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{G_{n}} \cdot \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{F_{n}} \cdot \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{F_{n}} \cdots \xrightarrow{F_{n}} Z_{n}^{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\prime} .
$$

Thus, for $n$ sufficiently large, any point $Z_{n} \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{1}\right)$ has an infinite orbit remaining in $\mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)$ along a well-chosen composition of $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 10.

Proof of Proposition 7', continued. Remember that $0<1 / A<\rho<\rho^{\prime}<1$. Choose $r_{1}=\rho<r_{2}<\rho^{\prime}$. By Proposition 10, for $n$ sufficiently large, any point $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{n}(\rho)$ has an infinite orbit remaining in $\mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)$ under a well-chosen composition of $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$. This means that any point $z \in X_{n}(\rho)$ has an infinite orbit remaining in $X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)$ under a well chosen composition of $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}$ and $f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}$. By Corollary 2 , if $n$ is sufficiently large, we know that any point in
$X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \subset D\left(0, r_{2}\right)$ has its first $q_{n}$ iterates in $D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$. This shows that any point $z \in X_{n}(\rho)$ has an infinite orbit remaining in $D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ under iteration of $f_{n}$, as required.

In other words,

$$
\cdot \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{G_{n}} \cdot \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \quad \text { corresponds to } \quad \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}} \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\cdot \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{F_{n}} \cdot \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \quad \text { corresponds to } \quad \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}} \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover, for $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{n}^{\circ q_{n-1}}} \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{n}^{\circ q_{n}}} \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)
$$

decompose as

$$
\cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \subset D\left(0, r_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{n}} \cdot \in D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{n}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n}} \cdot \in D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{n}} \cdot \in X_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition $7^{\prime}$.

### 1.5. The control of the post-critical set.

Definition 5 . We denote by $\partial$ the Hausdorff semi-distance:

$$
\partial(X, Y)=\sup _{x \in X} d(x, Y)
$$

Definition 6. We denote by $\mathcal{P C}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha}$ :

$$
\mathcal{P C}\left(P_{\alpha}\right):=\bigcup_{k \geq 1} P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \omega_{\alpha}:=-\frac{e^{2 i \pi \alpha}}{2}
$$

This section is devoted to the proof of the following proposition. Remember that $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ is the set of irrational numbers of bounded type whose continued fractions have all entries greater than or equal to $N$.

Proposition 11. There exists $N$ such that as $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{N} \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$, we have

$$
\partial\left(\mathcal{P C}\left(P_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right), \bar{\Delta}_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\Delta_{\alpha}$ being the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha}$.
The corollary we will use later is the following.
Corollary 4. Let $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ be the sequence defined in Proposition 3. For all $\delta$, if $n$ is large enough, the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ is contained in the $\delta$-neighborhood of the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha}$.

The proof of Proposition 11 will rely on some (almost) classical results on Fatou coordinates and perturbed Fatou coordinates. We refer the reader to

Appendix A and to [Shi00] for more details. The proof will also rely on results of Inou and Shishikura [IS] that we will now recall.
1.5.1. The class of Inou and Shishikura. Consider the cubic polynomial

$$
P(z)=z(1+z)^{2} .
$$

This polynomial has a multiple fixed point at 0 , a critical point at $-1 / 3$ which is mapped to the critical value at $-4 / 27$, and a second critical point at -1 which is mapped to 0 . We set

$$
R:=e^{4 \pi} \quad \text { and } \quad v:=-4 / 27 .
$$

Let $U$ be the open set defined by

$$
\left.\left.U:=P^{-1}(D(0,|v| R)) \backslash(]-\infty,-1\right] \cup B\right),
$$

where $B$ is the connected component of $P^{-1}(D(0,|v| / R))$ that contains -1 .
Consider the following class of maps (Inou and Shishikura use the notation $\mathcal{F}_{2}^{P}$ in [IS]):
$\mathcal{I} S_{0}:=\left\{f=P \circ \varphi^{-1}: U_{f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right.$ with $\left.\begin{array}{l}\varphi: U \rightarrow U_{f} \text { isomorphism such that } \\ \varphi(0)=0 \text { and } \varphi^{\prime}(0)=1\end{array}\right\}$.
Remark. The set $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$ is identified with the space of univalent maps in $U$ fixing 0 with derivative 1 , which is compact. A sequence of univalent maps $\left(\varphi_{n}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right.$ ) satisfying $\varphi_{n}(0)=0$ and $\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=1$ converges uniformly to $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on every compact subset of $U$, if and only if the sequence $\left(f_{n}=\right.$ $P \circ \varphi_{n}^{-1}$ ) converges to $f=P \circ \varphi^{-1}$ on every compact subset of $U_{f}=\varphi(U)$.


Figure 9. A schematic representation of the set $U$. We colored gray the set of points in $U$ whose image by $P$ is contained in the lower half-plane.

A map $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ fixes 0 with multiplier 1. The map $f: U_{f} \rightarrow D(0,|v| R)$ is surjective. It is not a proper map. Inou and Shishikura call it a partial covering. The map $f$ has a critical point $\omega_{f}:=\varphi_{f}(-1 / 3)$ which depends on $f$ and a critical value $v:=-4 / 27$ which does not depend on $f$.
1.5.2. Fatou coordinates. Near $z=0$, elements $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ have an expansion of the form

$$
f(z)=z+c_{f} z^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{3}\right) .
$$

The following result of Inou and Shishikura is an immediate consequence of the Koebe Distortion Theorem.

Result of Inou-Shishikura (Main Theorem 1, part a). The set $\left\{c_{f} ; f \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{I} S_{0}\right\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$.

In particular, for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}, c_{f} \neq 0$ and $f$ has a multiple fixed point of multiplicity 2 at 0 . If we make the change of variables

$$
z=\tau_{f}(w):=-\frac{1}{c_{f} w},
$$

we find $F(w)=w+1+o(1)$ near infinity. To lighten notation, we will write $f$ and $F$ for pairs of functions related as above; $\omega_{f}:=\phi_{f}(-1 / 3)$ and $\omega_{F}:=$ $\tau_{f}^{-1}\left(\omega_{f}\right)$ will denote their critical points.

Lemma 6. There exists $R_{0}$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$,

- $F$ is defined and univalent in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{C} \backslash D\left(0, R_{0}\right)$;
- for all $w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D\left(0, R_{0}\right)$,

$$
|F(w)-w-1|<\frac{1}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|F^{\prime}(w)-1\right|<\frac{1}{4} .
$$

Proof. This follows from the compactness of $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$.
If $R_{1}>\sqrt{2} R_{0}$, the regions

$$
\Omega^{\mathrm{att}}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(w)>R_{1}-|\operatorname{Im}(w)|\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Omega^{\mathrm{rep}}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(w)<-R_{1}+|\operatorname{Im}(w)|\right\}
$$

are contained in $\mathbb{C} \backslash D\left(0, R_{0}\right)$.
Then, for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$,

$$
F\left(\Omega^{\text {att }}\right) \subset \Omega^{\text {att }} \quad \text { and } \quad F\left(\Omega^{\mathrm{rep}}\right) \supset \Omega^{\mathrm{rep}}
$$

In addition, there are univalent maps $\Phi_{F}^{\text {att }}: \Omega^{\text {att }} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (attracting Fatou coordinate for $F$ ) and $\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{rep}}: \Omega^{\mathrm{rep}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (repelling Fatou coordinate for $F$ ) such that

$$
\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{att}} \circ F(w)=\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{att}}(w)+1 \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{rep}} \circ F(w)=\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{rep}}(w)+1
$$



Figure 10. Right: the sets $\Omega^{\text {att }}$ and $\Omega^{\text {rep }}$. Left: the set $\Omega_{\mathrm{att}, f}$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}$ for a map $f$ with $c_{f}=1$. The sets $\Omega^{\text {att }}$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{att}, f}$ are shaded. The boundaries of the sets $\Omega^{\mathrm{rep}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}$ are dashed.
when both sides of the equations are defined. The maps $\Phi_{F}^{\text {att }}$ and $\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{rep}}$ are unique up to an additive constant. In addition, as $w \in \Omega^{\text {att }} \cap \Omega^{\text {rep }}$ tends to infinity, $\Phi_{F}^{\text {att }}-\Phi_{F}^{\text {rep }}$ tends to a constant.

Result of Inou-Shishikura (Main Theorem 1, part a). For all $f \in$ $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$, the critical point $\omega_{f}$ is attracted to 0 .

The following lemma easily follows, using the compactness of the class $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$.
Lemma 7. There exists $k$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, we have $F^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{F}\right)$ $\in \Omega^{\text {att }}$.

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that there is a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ such that for $k \leq n$, we have $F_{n}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{F_{n}}\right) \notin \Omega^{\text {att }}$. By compactness of $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$, we may assume that the sequence $F_{n}$ converges to $F_{\infty}$. But since $f_{\infty} \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, the orbit of the critical point $\omega_{f_{\infty}}$ converges to 0 , so for some $k$, we have $F_{\infty}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{F_{\infty}}\right) \in \Omega^{\text {att }}$. But

$$
F_{\infty}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{F_{\infty}}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{F_{n}}\right),
$$

and this is a contradiction.
Since the maps $\Phi_{F}^{\text {att }}$ and $\Phi_{F}^{\text {rep }}$ are only defined up to an additive constant, we can normalize $\Phi_{F}^{\text {att }}$ so that

$$
\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{att}}\left(F^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{F}\right)\right)=k .
$$

Then, we can normalize $\Phi_{F}^{\text {rep }}$ so that

$$
\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{att}}(w)-\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{rep}}(w) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { when } \quad \operatorname{Im}(w) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { with } \quad w \in \Omega^{\text {att }} \cap \Omega^{\mathrm{rep}} .
$$

Coming back to the $z$-coordinate, we define

$$
\Omega_{\mathrm{att}, f}:=\tau_{f}\left(\Omega^{\mathrm{att}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}:=\tau_{f}\left(\Omega^{\mathrm{rep}}\right)
$$

and we set

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}:=\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{att}} \circ \tau_{f}^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}:=\Phi_{F}^{\mathrm{rep}} \circ \tau_{f}^{-1} .
$$

The univalent maps $\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}: \Omega_{\mathrm{att}, f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}: \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are called attracting and repelling Fatou coordinates for $f$. Note that our normalization of the attracting coordinates is given by

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}\left(f^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{f}\right)\right)=k
$$

The following result of Inou and Shishikura asserts that the attracting Fatou coordinate can be extended univalently up to the critical point of $f$. It easily follows from [IS, Prop. 5.6].

Result of Inou-Shishikura (see Figure 11). For all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, there exists an attracting petal $\mathcal{P}_{\text {att, }, f}$ and an extension of the Fatou coordinate, which we will still denote $\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{att}, f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

- $v \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{att}, f}$,
- $\Phi_{\text {att }, f}(v)=1$,
- $\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}$ is univalent on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{att}, f}$,
- $\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{att}, f}\right)=\{w ; \operatorname{Re}(w)>0\}$.


Figure 11. Left: the attracting petal $\mathcal{P}_{\text {att }, f}$ of some map $f \in$ $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$; the critical point is $\omega_{f}$, the critical value $v$, and 0 is a fixed point. Right: its image by $\Phi_{\text {att }, f}$; we divided the right half-plane $] 0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ into vertical strips of width 1 of alternating color, highlighted the real axis in red, and put a black dot at the point $z=1$. On the left, we pulled this coloring back by $\Phi_{\text {att }, f}$.


Figure 12. On the right, we divided $] 0,2[\times]-2,+\infty[$ into 3 regions of different colors. We subdivided each by a vertical line through $z=1$. These 6 pieces were then pulled back on the left by $\Phi_{\text {att, } f}$, for the same parabolic $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ as in Figure 11. The set $V_{f}$ is the union of the green and red regions (these are the colors of the top and middle regions on the right). The set $W_{f}$ is the union of the red and yellow regions (corresponding to the middle and bottom regions on the right).

Definition 7 (see Figure 12). For $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, we set

$$
V_{f}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{att}, f} ; \operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}(z)\right)>0 \text { and } 0<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}(z)\right)<2\right\}
$$

and

$$
W_{f}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{att}, f} ;-2<\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}(z)\right)<2 \text { and } 0<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}(z)\right)<2\right\} .
$$

We now come to the key result of Inou and Shishikura. The result stated below easily follows from [IS, Props. 5.7 and 5.8 and $\S 5 . \mathrm{M}]$. Our domain $V_{f}^{-k} \cup$ $W_{f}^{-k}$ below corresponds in [IS] to the interior of

$$
\bar{D}_{-k} \cup \bar{D}_{-k}^{\sharp} \cup \bar{D}_{-k}^{\prime \prime} \cup \bar{D}_{-k+1} \cup \bar{D}_{-k+1}^{\sharp} \cup \bar{D}_{-k+1}^{\prime} .
$$

The set $W_{f}^{-k}$ itself corresponds to the interior of

$$
\bar{D}_{-k} \cup \bar{D}_{-k}^{\prime \prime} \cup \bar{D}_{-k+1} \cup \bar{D}_{-k+1}^{\prime}
$$

Result of Inou-Shishikura (see Figure 13). For all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ and all $k \geq 0$,

- the unique connected component $V_{f}^{-k}$ of $f^{-k}\left(V_{f}\right)$ that contains 0 in its closure is relatively compact in $U_{f}\left(\right.$ the domain of $f$ ) and $f^{\circ k}: V_{f}^{-k} \rightarrow$ $V_{f}$ is an isomorphism,


Figure 13. Above: among the successive preimages of $V_{f}$ and $W_{f}$ by $f$, those that compose the sets $V_{f}^{-k}, W_{f}^{-k}$ are shown. The colors are preserved by $f$. Below: preimage of the left part by $\tau_{0}$. We hatched $W_{F} \cup V_{F}$ and $W_{F}^{-7} \cup V_{F}^{-7}$.

- the unique connected component $W_{f}^{-k}$ of $f^{-k}\left(W_{f}\right)$ that intersects $V_{f}^{-k}$ is relatively compact in $U_{f}$ and $f^{\circ k}: W_{f}^{-k} \rightarrow W_{f}$ is a covering of degree 2 ramified above $v$.

In addition, if $k$ is large enough, then $V_{f}^{-k} \cup W_{f}^{-k} \subset \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}$.
The following lemma asserts that if $k$ is large enough, then for all map $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, the set $V_{f}^{-k} \cup W_{f}^{-k}$ is contained in a repelling petal of $f$, i.e., the preimage of a left half-plane by $\Phi_{\text {rep }, f}$.


Figure 14. If $k$ is large enough, $V_{f}^{-k} \cup W_{f}^{-k}$ is contained in the repelling petal $\mathcal{P}_{\text {rep }, f}$.

LEMmA 8 (see Figure 14). There is an $R_{2}>0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, the set $\Phi_{\text {rep }, f}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}\right)$ contains the half-plane $\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} w<-R_{2}\right\}$. There is an integer $k_{0}>0$ such that for all $k \geq k_{0}$, we have

$$
V_{f}^{-k} \cup W_{f}^{-k} \subset\left\{z \in \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}(z)\right)<-R_{2}\right\}
$$

Remark. Of course, $R_{2}$ can be replaced by any $R_{3} \geq R_{2}$, replacing if necessary $k_{0}$ by $k_{1}:=k_{0}+\left\lfloor R_{3}-R_{2}\right\rfloor+1$.

Proof. For all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}, \Phi_{f}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}\right)$ contains a left half-plane. The existence of $R_{2}$ follows from the compactness of $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$.

By Inou and Shishikura's result, we know that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, there is an integer $k>0$ such that $W_{f}^{-k}$ is relatively compact in $\Omega_{\text {rep }, f}$. It follows from the compactness of $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$ that there is an integer $k_{1}>0$ and a constant $M$, such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}, W_{f}^{-k_{1}} \subset \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}$ and

$$
\sup _{w \in W_{f}^{-k_{1}}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}(w)\right)<M
$$

Set $k_{0}:=k_{1}+M+\left\lfloor R_{2}\right\rfloor+3$. Then,

$$
\sup _{w \in W_{f}^{-k_{0}}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}(w)\right)<-R_{2}-2
$$

We will show that we then automatically have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{f}^{-k_{0}} \subset \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f} \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{w \in V_{f}^{-k_{0}}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}(w)\right)<-R_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will follow immediately that

$$
\forall k \geq k_{0} \text { and } \forall w \in V_{f}^{-k} \cup W_{f}^{-k}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}(w)\right)<-R_{2}
$$

which will conclude the proof of the lemma.

In order to get (2), we fix $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ and consider $k \geq k_{0}$ large enough so that $V_{f}^{-k} \subset \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f}$. (This is possible thanks to Inou and Shishikura.) Note that

$$
\sup _{w \in W_{f}^{-k}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\text {rep }, f}(w)\right)<-R_{2}-2-k+k_{0} .
$$

Denote by $g: \bar{V}_{f} \rightarrow \overline{V_{f}^{-k}}$ the inverse branch of $f^{\circ k}: \overline{V_{f}^{-k}} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{f}$. Set

$$
B:=\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}(w)<2 \text { and } 0<\operatorname{Im}(w)\} .
$$

Note that $B=\Phi_{\text {att, } f}\left(V_{f}\right)$. Consider the map $\Psi: \bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\Psi:=\Phi_{r e p, f} \circ g \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}^{-1} .
$$

Since $\Psi$ commutes with translation by 1 , so that $\Psi(w)-w$ is 1-periodic, the maximum modulus principle yields

$$
\sup _{w \in B} \operatorname{Re}(\Psi(w)-w)=\sup _{w \in[0,2]} \operatorname{Re}(\Psi(w)-w)
$$

Note that

$$
g \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f}^{-1}([0,2]) \subset W_{f}^{-k}
$$

and thus

$$
\sup _{w \in[0,2]} \operatorname{Re}(\Psi(w)-w)<-R_{2}-2-k+k_{0} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\sup _{w \in V_{f}^{-k}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\text {rep }, f}(w)\right)=\sup _{w \in B} \operatorname{Re}(\Psi(w))<-R_{2}-k+k_{0} .
$$

It now follows that

$$
\sup _{w \in V_{f}^{-k_{0}}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}(w)\right)<-R_{2} .
$$

This completes the proof of (2) and of Lemma 8.
1.5.3. Perturbed Fatou coordinates. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}$ the set of maps of the form $z \mapsto f\left(e^{2 i \pi \alpha} z\right)$ with $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$. If $A$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\mathcal{I} S_{A}$ the set

$$
\mathcal{I} S_{A}:=\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}
$$

Note that

$$
\mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}=\left\{f=P \circ \varphi^{-1}: U_{f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text { with } \begin{array}{l}
\varphi: U \rightarrow U_{f} \text { isomorphism such that } \\
\varphi(0)=0 \text { and } \varphi^{\prime}(0)=e^{-2 i \pi \alpha}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{I} S_{\mathbb{R}}=\left\{f=P \circ \varphi^{-1}: U_{f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text { with } \begin{array}{l}
\varphi: U \rightarrow U_{f} \text { isomorphism such that } \\
\varphi(0)=0 \text { and }\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|=1
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Figure 15. The perturbed petal $\mathcal{P}_{f}$ whose image by the perturbed Fatou coordinate $\Phi_{f}$ is the strip $\left\{0<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{f}-R_{3}\right\}$.

The map $f$ depends on $\phi$ in a one-to-one way. Thus we get a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{I} S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the set of univalent maps on $U$ fixing 0 with derivative of modulus 1 . We put the compact-open topology on this set of univalent maps. This induces a topology on $\mathcal{I} S_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Remark. A sequence $\left(f_{n}=P \circ \varphi_{n}^{-1} \in \mathcal{I} S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ converges to $f=P \circ \varphi^{-1} \in$ $\mathcal{I} S_{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges to $f$ on every compact subset of $U_{f}=\varphi(U)$.

If $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{[0,1[ }$, we denote by $\alpha_{f} \in[0,1[$ the rotation number of $f$ at 0 , i.e., the real number $\alpha_{f} \in[0,1[$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(0)=e^{2 i \pi \alpha_{f}}
$$

Lemma 9. There exist $\left.\varepsilon_{0} \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$ and $r>0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}[ \right.}$, the map $f$ has two fixed points in $D(0, r)$ (counting multiplicities), one at $z=0$ the other one denoted by $\sigma_{f}$. The map $\sigma: \mathcal{I} S_{\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}[ \right.} \rightarrow D(0, r)$ defined by $f \mapsto \sigma_{f}$ is continuous.

Proof. According to Inou and Shishikura, maps $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ have a double fixed point at 0 . By compactness of $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$, there is an $r^{\prime}>0$ such that maps $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$ have only 2 fixed points in $D\left(0, r^{\prime}\right)$. Choose $\left.r \in\right] 0, r^{\prime}[$. By Rouché's theorem and by compactness of $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$, there is an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that maps $f \in$ $\mathcal{I} S_{\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}[ \right.}$ have exactly two fixed points in $D(0, r)$. The result follows easily.

The following results are consequences of results in [Shi00], the compactness of the class $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$ and the results of the previous paragraph. It is a classical phenomenon in the theory of parabolic implosion, the point here being uniformity over the considered class of maps.

Proposition 12 (see Figure 15). There are constants $K>0, \varepsilon_{1}>0$, and $R_{3} \geq R_{2}$ with $1 / \varepsilon_{1}-R_{3}>1$, such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{] 0, \varepsilon_{1}[ }$, the following holds:
(1) There is a Jordan domain $\mathcal{P}_{f} \subset U_{f}$ (a perturbed petal) containing $v$, bounded by two arcs joining 0 to $\sigma_{f}$, and there is a branch of argument defined on $\mathcal{P}_{f}$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f}} \arg (z)-\inf _{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f}} \arg (z)<K
$$

(2) There is a univalent map $\Phi_{f}: \mathcal{P}_{f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (a perturbed Fatou coordinate) such that

- $\Phi_{f}(v)=1$;
- $\Phi_{f}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}\right)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{f}-R_{3}\right\}$;
- $\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $w \rightarrow 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $w \rightarrow \sigma_{f}$;
- when $z \in \mathcal{P}_{f}$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)<1 / \alpha_{f}-R_{3}-1$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{f}$ and $\Phi_{f} \circ f(z)=\Phi_{f}(z)+1$.
For $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, we set

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rep}, f}:=\left\{z \in \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, f} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f}(z)\right)<-R_{3}\right\}
$$

(3) If $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of maps in $\mathcal{I} S_{] 0, \varepsilon_{1}[ }$ converging to a map $f_{0} \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, then

- any compact $K \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text {att, } f_{0}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{P}_{f_{n}}$ for $n$ large enough and the sequence $\left(\Phi_{f_{n}}\right)$ converges to $\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, f_{0}}$ uniformly on $K$,
- any compact $K \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text {rep, } f_{0}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{P}_{f_{n}}$ for $n$ large enough and the sequence $\left(\Phi_{f_{n}}-\frac{1}{\alpha_{f_{n}}}\right)$ converges to $\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, f_{0}}$ uniformly on $K$.
Proof. Thanks to the compactness of the class $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$, it is enough to show that if $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of maps in $\mathcal{I} S_{] 0,1[ }$ converging to a map $f_{0} \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, there is a number $R_{3} \geq R_{2}$ such that properties (1), (2), and (3) hold.

So, assume $f_{n}$ is such a sequence, and for simplicity, write $\alpha_{n}, \sigma_{n}, \ldots$ instead of $\alpha_{f_{n}}, \sigma_{f_{n}}, \ldots$.

Let $\tau_{n}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\left\{0, \sigma_{n}\right\}$ be the universal covering given by

$$
\tau_{n}(w):=\frac{\sigma_{n}}{1-e^{-2 i \pi \alpha_{n} w}}
$$

so that

$$
\tau_{n}(w) \underset{\operatorname{Im}(w) \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{n}(w) \underset{\operatorname{Im}(w) \rightarrow-\infty}{\longrightarrow} \sigma_{n}
$$

Denote by $T_{n}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the translation

$$
T_{n}: w \mapsto w-\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}
$$

Recall that $f_{0}(z)=z+c_{0} z^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{3}\right)$ with $c_{0} \neq 0$, and

$$
\tau_{0}(z):=-\frac{1}{c_{0} z}
$$

The following observations follow from [Shi00]. We let $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}$ be the constants introduced in paragraph 1.5.2.


Figure 16. The domain $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ (grey) is the complement of a union of disks and the hourglass $\Omega^{n}$ (dark grey) is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{n}$.
(1) The sequence $\left(\tau_{n}\right)$ converges to $\tau_{0}$ uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$.
(2) If $n$ is sufficiently large, there is a map $F_{n}: \mathcal{D}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined and univalent in

$$
\mathcal{D}_{n}:=\mathbb{C} \backslash \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{D}\left(k / \alpha_{n}, R_{0}\right),
$$

which satisfies

- $f_{n} \circ \tau_{n}=\tau_{n} \circ F_{n}$,
- $F_{n}(w)-w$ is $1 / \alpha_{n}$-periodic (or equivalently, $F_{n} \circ T_{n}=T_{n} \circ F_{n}$ ),
- $F_{n}(w)-w \rightarrow 1$ as $\operatorname{Im}(w) \rightarrow+\infty$.

Remark. This lift $F_{n}$ of $f_{n}$ may be defined by

$$
F_{n}(w):=w+\frac{1}{2 i \pi \alpha_{n}} \log \left(\frac{f_{n}(z)-\sigma_{n}}{f_{n}(z)} \cdot \frac{z}{z-\sigma_{n}}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad z=\tau_{n}(w) .
$$

(3) As $n$ tends to $+\infty$, the sequence $\left(F_{n}\right)$ converges to $F_{0}$ uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \bar{D}\left(0, R_{0}\right)$.
(4) The set

$$
\Omega^{n}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(w)>R_{1}-|\operatorname{Im}(w)| \text { and } \operatorname{Re}(w)<\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}-R_{1}+|\operatorname{Im}(w)|\right\}
$$

is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ (see Figure 16).
(5) Remember that for all $w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D\left(0, R_{0}\right)$,

$$
\left|F_{0}(w)-w-1\right|<\frac{1}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|F_{0}^{\prime}(w)-1\right|<\frac{1}{4}
$$

It follows from the convergence of $\left(F_{n}\right)$ to $F_{0}$ that if $n$ is sufficiently large, then for all $w \in \Omega^{n}$,

$$
\left|F_{n}(w)-w-1\right|<\frac{1}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|F_{n}^{\prime}(w)-1\right|<\frac{1}{4}
$$

(6) Increasing $n$ if necessary, we may assume that $1 / \alpha_{n}>2 R_{1}+2$. Then, there is a univalent map $\Phi^{n}: \Omega^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, called a perturbed Fatou coordinate for $F_{n}$, such that

$$
\Phi^{n} \circ F_{n}(w)=F_{n}(w)+1
$$

when $w \in \Omega^{n}$ and $F_{n}(w) \in \Omega^{n}$. This map is unique up to postcomposition with a translation.
(7) Remember that there is a $k$ such that $f_{0}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{0}\right) \in \Omega_{\mathrm{att}}$, with $\omega_{0}$ the critical point of $f_{0}$. For $n$ large enough, $f_{n}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ is in $\tau_{n}\left(\Omega^{n}\right)$, with $\omega_{n}$ the critical point of $f_{n}$. There is a point $w_{n} \in \Omega^{n}$ such that

$$
\tau_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)=f_{n}^{\circ k}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad w_{n} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \tau_{0}^{-1}\left(f_{0}^{0 k}\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

We can normalize $\Phi^{n}$ by $\Phi^{n}\left(w_{n}\right)=k$. Then,

$$
\Phi^{n} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \Phi_{0}^{\text {att }}
$$

uniformly on every compact subset of $\Omega^{\text {att }}$. Due to the normalization $\Phi_{0}^{\text {att }}(w)-\Phi_{0}^{\text {rep }}(w) \rightarrow 0$ as $\operatorname{Im}(w) \rightarrow+\infty$ with $w \in \Omega^{\text {att }} \cap \Omega^{\text {rep }}$, we have

$$
T_{n} \circ \Phi^{n} \circ T_{n}^{-1} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \Phi_{0}^{\mathrm{rep}}
$$

uniformly on every compact subset of $\Omega^{\text {rep }}$.
Coming back to the $z$-coordinate is not immediate. Indeed, the map $\tau_{n}$ is not injective on $\Omega^{n}$ and we cannot define a Fatou coordinate for $f_{n}$ on $\tau_{n}\left(\Omega^{n}\right)$. We will instead restrict to a subset $\mathcal{P}^{n} \subset \Omega^{n}$ whose image by $\Phi^{n}$ is a vertical strip and on which $\tau_{n}$ is injective. Let us give a precise statement. Its proof is given in Appendix A. It follows from results in [Shi00], but was not stated in the latter.

Lemma 10 (see Figure 17). If $K>0$ and $R \geq R_{2}$ are sufficiently large, then for $n$ large enough,

- $\Phi^{n}\left(\Omega^{n}\right)$ contains the vertical strip

$$
U^{n}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; R<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{n}-R\right\},
$$

- $\tau_{n}$ is injective on $\mathcal{P}^{n}:=\left(\Phi^{n}\right)^{-1}\left(U^{n}\right)$,
- there is a branch of argument defined on $\tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in \tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{n}\right)} \arg (z)-\inf _{z \in \tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{n}\right)} \arg (z)<K .
$$



Figure 17. The map $\tau_{n}$ is injective on $\mathcal{P}^{n}:=\left(\Phi^{n}\right)^{-1}\left(U^{n}\right)$.
Let $M>R$ be an integer. Note that

$$
\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(w)>M\} \subset \Phi_{\mathrm{att}, 0}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{att}, 0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(w)<-M\} \subset \Phi_{\text {rep }, 0}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, 0}\right)
$$

Set
$\mathcal{P}_{0}^{\prime}:=\left\{z \in \Omega_{\mathrm{att}, 0} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{att}, 0}(z)\right)>M\right\} \cup\left\{z \in \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, 0} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, 0}(z)\right)<-M\right\}$ and

$$
\mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime}:=\tau_{n}\left(\left\{w \in \mathcal{P}^{n} ; M<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi^{n}(w)\right)<1 / \alpha_{n}-M\right\}\right) .
$$

For any $r>0$, if $n$ is sufficiently large so that $\sigma_{n} \in D(0, r)$, then points with large (positive or negative) imaginary part are mapped by $\tau_{n}$ into $D(0, r)$. It therefore follows from point (7) above that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}_{0}^{\prime}}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Set

$$
\mathcal{P}_{0}:=\mathcal{P}_{\text {att }, 0} \cup\left\{z \in \Omega_{\mathrm{rep}, 0} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{rep}, 0}(z)\right)<-2 M\right\} .
$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ is compactly contained in the domain of $f_{0}^{\circ M}$ and that $f_{0}^{\circ M}$ : $\mathcal{P}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism. In addition, for $n$ sufficiently large, $f_{n}^{\circ M}$ does not have any critical value in $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime}$.

It follows from Rouché's theorem that for $n$ large enough, the connected component $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ of $f_{n}^{-M}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ that contains 0 in its boundary is relatively compact in the domain of $f_{n}$, and $f_{n}^{\circ M}: \mathcal{P}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism. The perturbed


Figure 18. Definition of the perturbed Fatou coordinate $\Phi_{n}$. The perturbed petal $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is grey and the set $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime}$ is hatched.

Fatou coordinate $\Phi^{n}: \mathcal{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induces a perturbed Fatou coordinate $\Phi_{n}:=$ $\Phi^{n} \circ \tau_{n}^{-1}: \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This extends analytically to a perturbed Fatou coordinates $\Phi_{n}: \mathcal{P}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by
$\Phi_{n}(z):=\Phi^{n}(w)-M$ where $w \in \mathcal{P}^{n}$ is chosen so that $\tau_{n}(w)=f_{n}^{\circ M}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\prime}$.
See Figure 18.
In a simply connected neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{0}^{\prime}}$, the function $f_{0}^{\circ M}(z) / z$ does not vanish (and extends by 1 at $z=0$ ). It follows that for $n$ large enough, there are branches of argument of $f_{n}^{\circ M}(z) / z$ that are uniformly bounded on $\mathcal{P}_{n}$. It is now easy to check that Proposition 12 holds for the maps $f_{n}$ with $n$ large enough.
1.5.4. Renormalization. Recall that for maps $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}$, we defined sets $V_{f} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text {att, } f}$ and $W_{f} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text {att }, f .}$. We claimed (see Lemma 8) that for $k \geq 0$, there are components $V_{f}^{-k}$ and $W_{f}^{-k}$ properly mapped by $f^{\circ k}$ respectively to $V_{f}$ with degree 1 and $W_{f}$ with degree 2 . In addition, there is an integer $k_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\forall f \in \mathcal{I} S_{0}, \quad V_{f}^{-k_{0}} \cup W_{f}^{-k_{0}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text {rep }, f} .
$$



Figure 19. If $k$ is large enough, $V_{f}^{-k} \cup W_{f}^{-k}$ is contained in the perturbed petal $\mathcal{P}_{f}$.

We will now generalize this to maps $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{] 0, \varepsilon[ }$ with $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small. If $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{] 0, \varepsilon_{1}}$, we set

$$
V_{f}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f} ; \operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)>0 \text { and } 0<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)<2\right\}
$$

and

$$
W_{f}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f} ;-2<\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)<2 \text { and } 0<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)<2\right\} .
$$

Proposition 13 (see Figure 19). There is a number $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ and an integer $k_{1} \geq 1$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{\left[0, \varepsilon_{2}[ \right.}$ and for all integer $k \in\left[1, k_{1}\right]$,
(1) The unique connected component $V_{f}^{-k}$ of $f^{-k}\left(V_{f}\right)$ that contains 0 in its closure is relatively compact in $U_{f}$ (the domain of $f$ ) and $f^{\circ k}: V_{f}^{-k} \rightarrow V_{f}$ is an isomorphism.
(2) The unique connected component $W_{f}^{-k}$ of $f^{-k}\left(W_{f}\right)$ which intersects $V_{f}^{-k}$ is relatively compact in $U_{f}$ and $f^{\circ k}: W_{f}^{-k} \rightarrow W_{f}$ is a covering of degree 2 ramified above $v$.
(3) $V_{f}^{-k_{1}} \cup W_{f}^{-k_{1}} \subset\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f} ; 2<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)<\frac{1}{\alpha_{f}}-R_{3}-5\right\}$.

Proof. Set $k_{1}:=k_{0}+7$. By compactness of $\mathcal{I} S_{0}$, there is an $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{] 0, \varepsilon_{2}}$, properties (1) and (2) hold for all integers $k \in\left[1, k_{1}\right]$, and further, $W_{f}^{-k_{1}}$ is contained in $\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f} ; 4<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)<\frac{1}{\alpha_{f}}-R_{3}-7\right\}$.

To see that $V_{f}^{-k_{1}}$ is a subset of $\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f} ; 2<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f}(z)\right)<\frac{1}{\alpha_{f}}-R_{3}-5\right\}$, we proceed as in the proof of Lemma 8.

We now come to the definition of the renormalization of maps $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{] 0, \varepsilon_{2}[ }$.
Result of Inou-Shishikura (Main Theorem 3 and Section 5.M). If $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{] 0, \varepsilon_{2}}$, the map

$$
\Phi_{f} \circ f^{\circ k_{1}} \circ \Phi_{f}^{-1}: \Phi_{f}\left(V_{f}^{-k_{1}} \cup W_{f}^{-k_{1}}\right) \rightarrow \Phi_{f}\left(V_{f} \cup W_{f}\right)
$$

projects via $w \mapsto-\frac{4}{27} e^{2 i \pi w}$ to a map $\mathcal{R}(f) \in \mathcal{I} S_{-1 / \alpha_{f}}$.
Definition 8. The map $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is called the renormalization of $f$.
The polynomial $P_{\alpha}$ does not belong to the class $\mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}$. However, according to [IS], the construction we described also works for polynomials $P_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha>0$ sufficiently close to 0 . In other words, if $\alpha>0$ is sufficiently close to 0 , there are perturbed petals and perturbed Fatou coordinates, and there is a renormalization $\mathcal{R}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ that belongs to $\mathcal{I} S_{-1 / \alpha}$. In the sequel, $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ is chosen sufficiently small so that for $\alpha \in] 0, \varepsilon_{2}[$, a map $f$ that either is a polynomial $P_{\alpha}$, or belongs to $\mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}$, has a renormalization $\mathcal{R}(f) \in \mathcal{I} S_{-1 / \alpha}$.
1.5.5. Renormalization tower. Assume $1 / N<\varepsilon_{2}$. Denote by Irrat ${ }_{\geq N}$ the set

$$
\operatorname{Irrat}_{\geq N}:=\left\{\alpha=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \ldots\right] \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q} ; \mathrm{a}_{k} \geq N \text { for all } k \geq 1\right\} .
$$

Assume $\alpha=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \ldots\right] \in \operatorname{Irrat}_{\geq N}$. For $j \geq 0$, set

$$
\alpha_{j}:=\left[0, \mathrm{a}_{j+1}, \mathrm{a}_{j+2}, \ldots\right] .
$$

Note that for all $j \geq 1$,

$$
\alpha_{j+1}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{j}}-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{\alpha_{j}}\right\rfloor .
$$

The requirement $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irrat}_{\geq N}$ translates into

$$
\left.\forall j, \quad \alpha_{j} \in\right] 0,1 / N[
$$

Denote by $p_{j} / q_{j}$ the approximants to $\alpha_{0}$ given by the continued fraction algorithm.

Now, if either $f_{0}=P_{\alpha}$ or $f_{0} \in \mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}$, we can define inductively an infinite sequence of renormalizations, also called a renormalization tower, by

$$
f_{j+1}:=s \circ \mathcal{R}\left(f_{j}\right) \circ s^{-1},
$$

the conjugacy by $s: z \mapsto \bar{z}$ being introduced so that

$$
f_{j}^{\prime}(0)=e^{2 i \pi \alpha_{j}} .
$$

It will be convenient to define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Exp}: \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \\
w & \mapsto-\frac{4}{27} s\left(e^{2 i \pi w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 20. The branch $\psi_{j+1}$ maps $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j+1}}$ univalently into $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$.
For $j \geq 0$, we define

$$
\phi_{j}:=\operatorname{Exp} \circ \Phi_{f_{j}}: \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} .
$$

The map $\phi_{j}$ goes from the $j$-th level of the renormalization tower to the next level.

We now want to relate the dynamics of maps at different levels of the renormalization tower. For this purpose, we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 11. There is a constant $K>0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{\left[0, \varepsilon_{2}\right.}$, there is an inverse branch of $\operatorname{Exp}$ that is defined on $\mathcal{P}_{f}$ and takes its values in the strip $\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}(w)<K\}$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 12, part (1).
From now on, we assume that $N$ is sufficiently large so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N}<\varepsilon_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{N}-R_{3}>K \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, according to Lemma 11 , for all $j \geq 1$, there is an inverse branch $\psi_{j}$ of $\phi_{j-1}$ defined on the perturbed petal $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$ with values in $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j-1}}$. (There are several possible choices; we choose any one.) See Figure 20.

The map

$$
\Psi_{j}:=\psi_{1} \circ \psi_{2} \circ \ldots \circ \psi_{j}
$$

is then defined and univalent on $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$ with values in the dynamical plane of the polynomial $f_{0}$.

Remember that

$$
\Phi_{f_{j}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}\right)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{j}-R_{3}\right\} .
$$

Define $\mathcal{P}_{j} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{j}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$ by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{j}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}(w)\right)<1 / \alpha_{j}-R_{3}-1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{P}_{j}^{\prime}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} ; 1<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}(w)\right)<1 / \alpha_{j}-R_{3}\right\} .
$$

Note that $f_{j}$ maps $\mathcal{P}_{j}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{j}^{\prime}$ isomorphically. Set

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{j}:=\Psi_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{Q}_{j}^{\prime}:=\Psi_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proposition 14. The map $\Psi_{j}$ conjugates $f_{j}: \mathcal{P}_{j} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{j}^{\prime}$ to $f_{0}^{\circ q_{j}}: \mathcal{Q}_{j} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{j}^{\prime}$.
In other words, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{Q}_{j} \subset \Psi_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{0}^{\circ q_{j}}} \mathcal{Q}_{j}^{\prime} \subset \Psi_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}\right) \\
\uparrow_{j} \quad \Psi_{j} \\
\mathcal{P}_{j} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} \xrightarrow[f_{j}]{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{P}_{j}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. We must show that if $z_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{j}$ and $z_{j}^{\prime}:=f_{j}\left(z_{j}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{j}^{\prime}$, then the points $z_{0}:=\Psi_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)$ and $z_{0}^{\prime}:=\Psi_{j}\left(z_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ are related by

$$
z_{0}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{\circ q_{j}}\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

Let us first show that there is an integer $k$ such that $z_{0}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{\circ k}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Our proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 12. Assume $\ell \geq 0, w \in U_{f_{\ell+1}}$, and $w^{\prime}:=f_{\ell+1}(w)$. Let $z \in \mathcal{P}_{f_{\ell}}$ and $z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{f_{\ell}}$ be such that

$$
\operatorname{Exp} \circ \Phi_{f_{\ell}}(z)=w \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Exp} \circ \Phi_{f_{\ell}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=w^{\prime}
$$

Then, there is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $z^{\prime}=f_{\ell}^{\circ k}(z)$.
Proof. Let $z_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{f_{\ell}}$ be the unique point such that

$$
\left.\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{\ell}}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in\right] 0,1\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Exp} \circ \Phi_{f_{\ell}}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=w^{\prime}
$$

By definition of the renormalization $f_{\ell+1}$, there is a point $z_{1} \in V_{f_{\ell}}^{-k_{1}} \cup W_{f_{\ell}}^{-k_{1}}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Exp} \circ \Phi_{f_{\ell}}\left(z_{1}\right)=w \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\ell}^{\circ k_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right)=z_{1}^{\prime}
$$

We then have

$$
\Phi_{f_{\ell}}\left(z_{1}\right)=\Phi_{f_{\ell}}(z)+m_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{f_{\ell}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\Phi_{f_{\ell}}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right)+m_{1}^{\prime}
$$

with $m_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m_{1} \geq 0$, we have

$$
z_{1}=f_{\ell}^{\circ m_{1}}(z) \quad \text { and } \quad z^{\prime}=f_{\ell}^{\circ m_{1}^{\prime}}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $k_{1} \geq 0$, we then have

$$
z^{\prime}=f^{\circ k}(z) \quad \text { with } \quad k:=k_{1}+m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 1 .
$$

If $m_{1}<0$, then $z=f_{\ell}^{0-m_{1}}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. However, for $m \leq-m_{1}$, we have $f_{\ell}^{\circ m}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{f_{\ell}}$, and so, $k_{1} \geq-m_{1}+1$. Thus, we can write

$$
z_{1}^{\prime}=f_{\ell}^{\circ m_{2}}(z) \quad \text { with } \quad m_{2}:=k_{1}+m_{1} \geq 1
$$

In that case,

$$
z^{\prime}=f^{\circ k}(z) \quad \text { with } \quad k:=m_{2}+m_{1}^{\prime} \geq 1
$$

It follows by decreasing induction on $\ell$ from $j$ to 0 that for all $z_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{j}$, there is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that

$$
z_{0}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{\circ k}\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

We will now show that we have a common integer $k$, valid for all points $z_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{j}$.
Lemma 13. There is an integer $k_{0} \geq 1$ such that for all point $z_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{j}$, we have

$$
z_{0}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{\circ k_{0}}\left(z_{0}\right) .
$$

Proof. We will use the connectedness of $\mathcal{P}_{j}$. For $k \geq 1$, set

$$
\mathcal{O}_{k}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{j} ; f_{0}^{\circ k}\left(\Psi_{j}(z)\right) \text { is defined }\right\} .
$$

This is an open set. Set

$$
X_{k}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{O}_{k} ; f_{0}^{\circ k}\left(\Psi_{j}(z)\right)=\Psi_{j}\left(f_{j}(z)\right)\right\} .
$$

Note that for every component $O$ of $\mathcal{O}_{k}$, either $X_{k} \cap \mathcal{O}=O$, or $X_{k}$ is discrete in $O$, in particular countable. Indeed, $X_{k}$ is the set of zeroes of the holomorphic function $f_{0}^{\circ k} \circ \Psi_{j}-\Psi_{j} \circ f_{j}: \mathcal{O}_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Since

$$
\mathcal{P}_{j}=\bigcup_{k \geq 1} X_{k},
$$

there is a smallest integer $k_{0} \geq 1$ such that $X_{k_{0}}$ is not countable. Then, there is a component $O$ of $\mathcal{O}_{k_{0}}$ such that on $O$, we have $f_{0}^{\circ k_{0}} \circ \Psi_{j}=\Psi_{j} \circ f_{j}$.

Since $O$ is a component of $\mathcal{O}_{k_{0}}$, we have

$$
\partial O \cap \mathcal{P}_{j} \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{k_{0}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\partial O \cap \mathcal{P}_{j} \subset X_{1} \cup \ldots X_{k_{0}-1}
$$

since the remaining $X_{k}$ 's are contained in $\mathcal{O}_{k_{0}}$. So, $\partial O \cap \mathcal{P}_{j}$ is countable. This is only possible if $\partial O \cap \mathcal{P}_{j}=\emptyset$ since in any neighborhood of a point $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{k_{0}}$,
there are uncountably many points in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{k_{0}}$. As a consequence, $O=\mathcal{P}_{j}$, which concludes the proof of the lemma.

We must now show that $k_{0}=q_{j}$. Let $L_{j} \subset \mathcal{P}_{j}$ be the curve defined by

$$
L_{j}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{j} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}(z)\right)=1\right\} .
$$

Set $L_{j}^{\prime}:=f_{j}\left(L_{j}\right)$, i.e., the curve

$$
L_{j}^{\prime}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{j} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}(z)\right)=2\right\} .
$$

Those curves both have an end point at $z=0$. They both have tangents at $z=0$. Since the linear part of $f_{j}$ at $z=0$ is the rotation of angle $\alpha_{j}$, the angle between $L_{j}$ and $L_{j}^{\prime}$ at $z=0$ is $\alpha_{j}$. It follows that the curves $\Psi_{j}\left(L_{j}\right)$ and $\Psi_{j}\left(L_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ have tangents at $z=0$ and the angle between those curves is $\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{j}$. So, the linear part of $f_{0}^{\circ k_{0}}$ at $z=0$ is the rotation of angle $\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{j}$. It follows that $k_{0}=q_{j}$.

Set

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{j}:=V_{f_{j}}^{-k_{1}} \cup W_{f_{j}}^{-k_{1}}, & D_{j}^{\prime}:=V_{f_{j}} \cup W_{f_{j}}, \\
C_{j}:=\Psi_{j}\left(D_{j}\right), \text { and } & C_{j}^{\prime}:=\Psi_{j}\left(D_{j}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Note that $f_{j}^{\circ k_{1}}$ maps $D_{j}$ to $D_{j}^{\prime}$.
Proposition 15. The map $\Psi_{j}$ conjugates the map $f_{j}^{\circ k_{1}}: D_{j} \rightarrow D_{j}^{\prime}$ to the $\operatorname{map} f_{0}^{\circ\left(k_{1} q_{j}+q_{j-1}\right)}: C_{j} \rightarrow C_{j}^{\prime}$.

In other words, we have the following commutative diagram:


Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 14.
1.5.6. Neighborhoods of the postcritical set. We can now see that the postcritical set of maps $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irrat}_{\geq N}$ is infinite.

Proposition 16 (Inou-Shishikura Corollary 4.2). For all $\alpha \in$ Irrat $_{\geq N}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}$, the postcritical set of $f$ is infinite.

Proof. For $j \geq 1$, the map $f_{j}^{\circ k_{1}}: W_{f_{j}}^{-k_{1}} \rightarrow W_{f_{j}}$ is a ramified covering of degree 2 , ramified above $v$. Denote by $w_{j}$ the critical point of this ramified covering. Set $w_{0}:=\Psi_{j}\left(w_{j}\right)$. According to Proposition 15, we can iterate $f_{0}$
at least $k_{1} q_{j}+q_{j-1}$ times at $w_{0}, w_{0}$ is a critical point of $f_{0}^{\circ\left(k_{1} q_{j}+q_{j-1}\right)}$, and its critical value is $\Psi_{j}(v)$. In particular, $\Psi_{j}(v)$ is a point of the postcritical set of $f_{0}$.

Note that $v \in \mathcal{P}_{j}$. According to Proposition 14, we can iterate $f_{0}$ at least $q_{j}$ times at $\Psi_{j}(v)$. This shows that we can iterate $f_{0}$ at least $q_{j}$ times at $v$. Since $j \geq 1$ is arbitrary, the postcritical set of $f_{0}$ is infinite.

For every $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irrat}{ }_{\geq N}$, we are going to define a sequence $\left(U_{j}\right)$ of open sets containing the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha}$. We still use the notations of the previous paragraph. In particular, for $j \geq 1$, the $j$-th renormalization of $f_{0}:=P_{\alpha}$ has a perturbed petal $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$ and a perturbed Fatou coordinate

$$
\Phi_{f_{j}}: \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} \rightarrow\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{j}-R_{3}\right\} .
$$

The set

$$
D_{j}:=V_{f_{j}}^{-k_{1}} \cup W_{f_{j}}^{-k_{1}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}
$$

is mapped by $f_{j}^{0 k_{1}}$ to

$$
D_{j}^{\prime}:=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}(z)\right)<2 \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}(z)\right)>-2\right\} .
$$

There is a map $\Psi_{j}$, univalent on $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$, with values in the dynamical plane of $P_{\alpha}$, conjugating $f_{j}^{\circ k_{1}}: D_{j} \rightarrow D_{j}^{\prime}$ to $P_{\alpha}^{\circ\left(k_{1} q_{j}+q_{j-1}\right)}: C_{j} \rightarrow C_{j}^{\prime}$ with

$$
C_{j}:=\Psi_{j}\left(D_{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad C_{j}^{\prime}:=\Psi_{j}\left(D_{j}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Definition 9. For $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irrat} \geq_{N}$ and $j \geq 1$, we set

$$
U_{j}(\alpha):=\bigcup_{k=0}^{q_{j+1}+\ell q_{j}} P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}\left(C_{j}\right)
$$

where $\ell:=k_{1}-\left\lfloor R_{3}\right\rfloor-4 \in \mathbb{N}$.
Figure 21 shows the open set $U_{1}(\alpha)$ for an $\alpha$ of bounded type.
Proposition 17. For all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irrat} \geq_{N}$ and all $j \geq 1$, the post-critical set $\mathcal{P C}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ is contained in $U_{j}(\alpha)$.

Proof. We will show that for all $j \geq 1$, there is a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{j}$ that is a precritical point of $P_{\alpha}$, and a sequence of positive integers with $t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<$ ... such that

- $t_{0}=0$,
- for all $m \geq 0, t_{m+1}-t_{m}<q_{j+1}+\left(k_{1}-\left\lfloor R_{3}\right\rfloor-4\right) q_{j}$, and
- for all $m \geq 0, P_{\alpha}^{\circ t_{m}}\left(z_{0}\right) \in C_{j}$.

The proof follows immediately.
Denote by $\omega_{j+1}$ the critical point of $f_{j+1}$. According to Proposition 16 the orbit of $\omega_{j+1}$ under iteration of $f_{j+1}$ is infinite. In particular, for all $m \geq 0$,


Figure 21. If $f \in \mathcal{I} S_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irrat}_{\geq N}$, the set $U_{1}(f)$ contains the postcritical set $\mathcal{P C}(f)$. If $\alpha$ is of bounded type, this postcritical set is dense in the boundary of the Siegel disk of $f$.
$f_{j+1}^{\circ m}\left(\omega_{j+1}\right)$ is in the domain $U_{f_{j+1}}$ of $f_{j+1}$. Remember that the map $\phi_{j}:=$ $\operatorname{Exp} \circ \Phi_{f_{j}}: D_{j} \rightarrow U_{f_{j+1}}$ is surjective. So, for all $m \geq 0$, we can find a point $w_{m} \in D_{j}$ such that

$$
\phi_{j}\left(w_{m}\right)=f_{j+1}^{\circ m}\left(\omega_{j+1}\right) .
$$

Set

$$
z_{m}:=\Psi_{j}\left(w_{m}\right) \in C_{j} .
$$

Then, $z_{0}$ is a precritical point of $P_{\alpha}$, and according to Lemma 12, there is an increasing sequence $\left(t_{m}\right)$ such that $z_{m}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ t_{m}}\left(z_{0}\right)$. It is therefore enough to show that for all $m \geq 1, t_{m+1}-t_{m}<q_{j+1}+\left(k_{1}-\left\lfloor R_{3}\right\rfloor-4\right) q_{j}$.

Note that for $m \geq 0, w_{m} \in D_{j}, w_{m}^{\prime}:=f_{j}^{\circ k_{1}}\left(w_{m}\right) \in D_{j}^{\prime}$. By definition of the renormalization $f_{j+1}$, we have

$$
\phi_{j}\left(w_{m}^{\prime}\right)=f_{j+1}\left(\phi_{j}\left(w_{m}\right)\right)=f_{j+1}^{\circ(m+1)}\left(\omega_{j+1}\right)=\phi_{j}\left(w_{m+1}\right) .
$$

In addition, since $w_{m}^{\prime} \in D_{j}^{\prime}$ and $w_{m+1} \in D_{j}$,

$$
0<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}\left(w_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)<2 \quad \text { and } \quad 2<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}\left(w_{m+1}\right)\right)<\frac{1}{\alpha_{j}}-R_{3}-5
$$

Thus, $\Phi_{f_{j}}\left(w_{m+1}\right)-\Phi_{f_{j}}\left(w_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ is a positive integer $\ell_{m}$,

$$
w_{m+1}=f_{j}^{\circ \ell_{m}}\left(w_{m}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and since $\mathrm{a}_{j+1}=\left\lfloor 1 / \alpha_{j}\right\rfloor$,

$$
\ell_{m} \leq \mathrm{a}_{j+1}-\left\lfloor R_{3}\right\rfloor-4
$$

Set $z_{m}^{\prime}:=\Psi_{j}\left(w_{m}^{\prime}\right)$. According to Proposition 14 and 15, we have

$$
z_{m}^{\prime}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ\left(k_{1} q_{j}+q_{j-1}\right)}\left(z_{m}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad z_{m+1}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ \ell_{m} q_{j}}\left(z_{m}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
t_{m+1}-t_{m}=k_{1} q_{j}+q_{j-1}+\ell_{m} q_{j} \leq\left(\mathrm{a}_{j+1}+k_{1}-\left\lfloor R_{3}\right\rfloor-4\right) q_{j}+q_{j-1} .
$$

The result now follows immediately from $q_{j+1}=\mathrm{a}_{j+1} q_{j}+q_{j-1}$.
We will now assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$, i.e., $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irrat}_{\geq N}$ is a bounded type irrational number. (The coefficients of the continued fraction are bounded.) We will use the additional hypothesis that $\alpha$ has bounded type in order to obtain the following result (which cannot hold, for instance, for a map whose closed Siegel disk is strictly contained in the post critical set, and there are values of $\alpha \in$ Irrat $_{\geq N}$ for which this happens).

Proposition 18. For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$, for all $\varepsilon>0$, if $j$ is large enough, the set $U_{j}(\alpha)$ is contained in the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of the Siegel disk $\Delta_{\alpha}$.

Proof. Consider the renormalization tower associated to $f_{0}:=P_{\alpha}$ and let us keep the notations we have introduced so far. Set

$$
D_{j}^{\prime \prime}:=f_{j}^{\circ\left(a_{j+1}+\ell\right)}\left(D_{j}\right) .
$$

Define

$$
N_{j}:=\mathrm{a}_{j+1}-\left\lfloor R_{3}\right\rfloor-1<\frac{1}{\alpha_{j}}-R_{3} .
$$

Note that

$$
D_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; N_{j}-3<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi_{f_{j}}(z)\right)<N_{j}-1 \text { and } \operatorname{Im}(w)>-2\right\} .
$$

In particular, $D_{j}^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f_{j}}$. Set

$$
C_{j}^{\prime \prime}:=\Psi_{j}\left(D_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

According to Propositions 14 and 15,

$$
C_{j}^{\prime \prime}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ\left(q_{j+1}+\ell q_{j}\right)}\left(C_{j}\right)
$$

Lemma 14. There exists $M$ such that for all $j \geq 1$, the disk $D\left(0,|v| e^{-2 \pi M}\right)$ is contained in the Siegel disk of $f_{j}$.

Proof. Let $B\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$ be the Brjuno sum defined by Yoccoz as

$$
B\left(\alpha_{j}\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{j} \cdots \alpha_{j+k-1} \log \frac{1}{\alpha_{j+k}} .
$$

Since $\alpha$ is of bounded type, there is a constant $B$ such that for all $j \geq 1$, $B\left(\alpha_{j}\right) \leq B$.

The map $f_{j}$ has a univalent inverse branch $g_{j}: D(0,|v|) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ fixing 0 with derivative $e^{-2 i \pi \alpha_{j}}$. According to a theorem of Yoccoz [Yoc95], there is a constant $C$, which does not depend on $j$, such that the Siegel disk of $g_{j}$ contains the disk centered at 0 with radius

$$
|v| e^{-2 \pi\left(B\left(\alpha_{j}\right)+C\right)} \geq|v| e^{-2 \pi(B+C)} .
$$

The lemma is proved with $M:=B+C$.
Let us now show that for any $\varepsilon>0$, for $j$ large enough, $C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $\Delta_{\alpha}$. Denote by $D_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}$ the set of points in $D_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ that are mapped by $\phi_{j}=\operatorname{Exp} \circ \Phi_{f_{j}}$ in $D\left(0,|v| e^{-2 \pi M}\right)$ and set $D_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}:=D_{j}^{\prime \prime} \backslash D_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}$. In addition, set

$$
C_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}:=\Psi_{j}\left(D_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad C_{j}^{\prime \prime b}:=\Psi_{j}\left(D_{j}^{\prime \prime b}\right) .
$$

Points in $D\left(0,|v| e^{-2 \pi M}\right)$ have an infinite orbit under iteration of $f_{j+1}$. It follows that points in $D_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}$ have an infinite orbit under iteration of $f_{j}$. Thus, the orbit of points in $C_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}$ remains in $U_{j}(\alpha)$, thus is bounded. As a consequence, $C_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}$ (which is open) is contained in the Fatou set of $P_{\alpha}$, and since it contains 0 in its boundary, $C_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}$ is contained in the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha}$.

So, in order to show that $C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $\Delta_{\alpha}$, it is enough to show that $C_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is contained in the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $\Delta_{\alpha}$. Note that $D_{j}^{\prime \prime b}$ is the image of the rectangle

$$
\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; N_{j}-3<\operatorname{Re}(w)<N_{j}-1 \text { and }-2<\operatorname{Im}(w) \leq M\right\}
$$

by the map $\Phi_{f_{j}}^{-1}$ which is univalent on the strip

$$
\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{j}-R_{3}\right\} .
$$

Since

$$
1<N_{j}-3<N_{j}<1 / \alpha_{j}-R_{3},
$$

the modulus of the annulus $\mathcal{P}_{f_{j}} \backslash \overline{D_{j}^{\prime \prime}}$ is bounded from below independently of $j$.

It follows from Koebe's distortion lemma that there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(C_{j}^{\prime \prime b}\right) \leq K \cdot d\left(z_{j}, z_{j}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where

$$
z_{j}:=\Psi_{j} \circ \Phi_{f_{j}}^{-1}\left(N_{j}-3\right) \quad \text { and } \quad z_{j}^{\prime}:=\Psi_{j} \circ \Phi_{f_{j}}^{-1}\left(N_{j}-2\right) .
$$

According to Proposition 14,

$$
z_{j}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ\left(N_{j}-3\right) q_{j}}\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad z_{j}^{\prime}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}\left(z_{j}\right)
$$

The boundary of $\Delta_{\alpha}$ is a Jordan curve, and $P_{\alpha}: \partial \Delta_{\alpha} \rightarrow \partial \Delta_{\alpha}$ is conjugate to the rotation of angle $\alpha$ on $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(C_{j}^{\prime \prime b}\right) \leq K \cdot \max _{z \in \partial \Delta_{\alpha}}\left|P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}(z)-z\right| .
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M \geq 2$. If $z \in U_{j}(\alpha)$, then there is a $k \leq q_{j+1}+\ell q_{j}$ such that $P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}(z) \in C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$. Then,

- either $P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}(z) \in C_{j}^{\prime \prime \sharp}$, in which case $z \in \Delta_{\alpha}$,
- or $P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}(z) \in C_{j}^{\prime \prime b}$ in which case $z$ belongs to the connected component $O_{j}^{-k}$ of $P_{\alpha}^{-k}\left(C_{j}^{\prime \prime b}\right)$ intersecting $\Delta_{\alpha}$.
In the second case, $O_{j}^{-k}$ contains two points $z_{j}^{-k}$ and $z_{j}^{\prime-k}$ that are in the boundary of $\Delta_{\alpha}$ and that are respectively mapped to $z_{j}$ and $z_{j}^{\prime}$ by $P_{\alpha}^{k}$. We have $z_{j}^{\prime-k}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}\left(z_{j}^{-k}\right)$.

Note that since $\alpha$ is of bounded type, there is a constant $A$ such that

$$
\forall j \geq 1, \quad q_{j+1}+\ell q_{j} \leq A \cdot q_{j}
$$

According to Lemma 15 below, there is a constant $K^{\prime}$ such that for all $j \geq 1$ and all $k \leq q_{j+1}+\ell q_{j}$,

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(O_{j}^{-k}\right) \leq K^{\prime} \cdot\left|z_{j}^{\prime-k}-z_{j}^{-k}\right| \leq K^{\prime} \cdot \max _{z \in \partial \Delta_{\alpha}}\left|P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}(z)-z\right|
$$

So, we see that

$$
\sup _{z \in U_{j}(\alpha)} d\left(z, \Delta_{\alpha}\right) \leq \max \left(K, K^{\prime}\right) \cdot \max _{z \in \partial \Delta_{\alpha}}\left|P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}(z)-z\right| \underset{j \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 .
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 18.
Assume $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ is of bounded type. If $z \in \partial \Delta_{\alpha}$, we set

$$
r_{j}(z)=\left|P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}(z)-z\right| .
$$

Lemma 15. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ of bounded type, all $A \geq 1$, and all $K \geq 1$, there exists a $K^{\prime}$ such that the following holds. If $j \geq 1$, if $k \leq A \cdot q_{j}$, if $z_{0} \in$ $\partial \Delta_{\alpha}$, if $z_{k}=P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}\left(z_{0}\right)$, and if $O$ is the connected component of $P_{\alpha}^{-k}\left(D\left(z_{k}, K\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.r_{j}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)\right)$ containing $z_{0}$, then

$$
\operatorname{diam}(O) \leq K^{\prime} \cdot r_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

Proof. The constants $M$ and $m$, which will be introduced in the proof, depend on $\alpha, A$, and $K$, but they do not depend on $j, k$, or $z$.

Set

$$
D:=D\left(z_{k}, K \cdot r_{j}\left(z_{k}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{D}:=D\left(z_{k}, 2 K \cdot r_{j}\left(z_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $\partial \Delta_{\alpha}$ is a quasicircle and since $P_{\alpha}: \partial \Delta_{\alpha} \rightarrow \partial \Delta_{\alpha}$ is conjugate to the rotation of angle $\alpha$ on $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$, the number of critical values of $P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}$ in $\widehat{D}$ is bounded by a constant $M$ that only depends on $\alpha, A$ and $K$.

Let $O$ (respectively $\widehat{O}$ ) be the connected component of $P_{\alpha}^{-k}(D)$ (respectively $\left.P_{\alpha}^{-k}(\widehat{D})\right)$ containing $z_{0}$. The degree of $P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}: \widehat{O} \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ is bounded by $2^{M}$.

On the one hand, it easily follows from the Grötzsch inequality that the modulus of the annulus $\widehat{O} \backslash \bar{O}$ is bounded from below by $\log 2 /\left(2 \pi 2^{M}\right)$ (see, for example, [SL00, Lemma 2.1]).

On the other hand, it follows from Schwarz's lemma that the hyperbolic distance in $\widehat{O}$ between $z_{0}$ and $P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is greater than the hyperbolic distance in $\widehat{D}$ between $z_{k}$ and $P_{\alpha}^{\circ q_{j}}\left(z_{k}\right)$, i.e., a constant $m$ that only depends on $\alpha, A$, and $K$.

Lemma 15 now follows easily from the Koebe distortion lemma.
Note that for each fixed $j$, the set $U_{j}(\alpha)$ depends continuously on $\alpha$ as long as the first $j+1$ approximants remain unchanged. Hence, given $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ and $\delta>0$, if $\alpha^{\prime} \in$ Irrat $_{\geq N}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$ (in particular, the first $j$ entries of the continued fractions of $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ coincide), then $\bar{U}_{j}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is contained in the $\delta$-neighborhood of $\bar{U}_{j}(\alpha)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 11.

### 1.6. Lebesgue density near the boundary of a Siegel disk.

Definition 10. If $\alpha$ is a Brjuno number and if $\delta>0$, we denote by $\Delta$ the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha}$ and by $K(\delta)$ the set of points whose orbit under iteration of $P_{\alpha}$ remains at distance less than $\delta$ from $\Delta$.

Our proof will be based on the following theorem of Curtis T. McMullen [McM98].

Theorem 4 (McMullen). Assume $\alpha$ is a bounded type irrational and $\delta>0$. Then, every point $z \in \partial \Delta$ is a Lebesgue density point of $K(\delta)$.

Corollary 5. Assume $\alpha$ is a bounded type irrational and $\delta>0$. Then

$$
d:=d(z, \partial \Delta) \rightarrow 0 \text { with } z \notin \bar{\Delta} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{dens}_{D(z, d)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta)) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Assume we can find a sequence $\left(z_{j}\right)$ such that

- $d_{j}:=d\left(z_{j}, \partial \Delta\right) \rightarrow 0$,
- $\rho_{j}:=\operatorname{dens}_{D\left(z_{j}, d_{j}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta)) \nrightarrow 0$.


Figure 22. If $\alpha=(\sqrt{5}-1) / 2$, the critical point of $P_{\alpha}$ is a Lebesgue density point of the set of points whose orbit remain in $D(0,1)$. Left: the set of points whose orbit remains in $D(0,1)$.
Right: a zoom near the critical point.
Extracting a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that the sequence $\left(z_{j}\right)$ converges to a point $z_{0} \in \partial \Delta$ and that $\lim \rho_{j}=\rho>0$.

Set $\eta:=\rho / 5$ and for $i \geq 1$, set

$$
X_{i}:=\left\{w \in \partial \Delta \mid(\forall r \leq 1 / i) \operatorname{dens}_{D(w, r)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta)) \leq \eta\right\}
$$

The sets $X_{i}$ are closed. By McMullen's Theorem $4, \cup X_{i}=\partial \Delta$. By Baire category, one of these sets $X_{i}$ contains an open subset $W$ of $\partial \Delta$. Then, for all sequence of points $w_{j} \in W$ and all sequence of real number $r_{j}$ converging to 0 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{D\left(w_{j}, r_{j}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta)) \leq \eta=\frac{\rho}{5} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that there is a map $g$ defined and univalent in a neighborhood $U$ of $z_{0}$, such that

- $g\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{0} \in W$,
- $g(K(\delta) \cap U)=K(\delta) \cap g(U)$,
- $g(\partial \Delta \cap U)=\partial \Delta \cap g(U)$.

Indeed, if $z_{0}$ is not precritical, we can find an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $f^{\circ k}\left(z_{0}\right) \in$ $W$ and we let $g$ be the restriction of $f^{\circ k}$ to a sufficiently small neighborhood of $z_{0}$. If $z_{0}$ is precritical, we can find a point $w_{0} \in W$ and an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $f^{\circ k}\left(w_{0}\right)=z_{0}$ and we let $g$ coincide the restriction of the branch of $f^{-k}$ sending $z_{0}$ to $w_{0}$, to a sufficiently small neighborhood of $z_{0}$.

Let $z_{j}^{\prime} \in \partial \Delta$ be such that $\left|z_{j}-z_{j}^{\prime}\right|=d_{j}$. Then, $z_{j}^{\prime} \underset{j \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} z_{0}$. Let $j$ be sufficiently large so that $z_{j}^{\prime} \in U$ and set $w_{j}:=g\left(z_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. On the one hand,
$w_{j} \underset{j \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} w_{0}$. Thus, $w_{j} \in W$ for $j$ large enough. On the other hand,

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{D\left(z_{j}^{\prime}, 2 d_{j}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta)) \geq \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{dens}_{D\left(z_{j}, d_{j}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta))
$$

and so

$$
\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{D\left(z_{j}^{\prime}, 2 d_{j}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta)) \geq \frac{\rho}{4} .
$$

Since $g$ is holomorphic at $z_{0}$,

$$
\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{D\left(w_{j}, r_{j}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta)) \geq \frac{\rho}{4} \quad \text { with } \quad r_{j}:=\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)\right| \cdot 2 d_{j} \underset{j \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

This contradicts (4).
1.7. The proof. We will now prove Proposition 3 . We let $N$ be sufficiently large so that the conclusions of Proposition 11 and Corollary 4 apply. Assume $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ and choose a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\sqrt[q_{n}]{A_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow}+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \sqrt[q_{n}]{\log A_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1
$$

Set

$$
\alpha_{n}:=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{n}, A_{n}, N, N, N, \ldots\right] .
$$

Note that since $\alpha$ is of bounded type, the Julia set $J_{\alpha}$ has zero Lebesgue measure (see [Pet96]). Proposition 6 then easily implies that

$$
\lim \inf \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha_{n}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Everything relies on our ability to promote the coefficient $1 / 2$ to the coefficient 1.

Let us first give an overall idea of the strategy of the proof. Denote by $K\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.K_{n}\right)$ the filled-in Julia set of $P_{\alpha}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.P_{\alpha_{n}}\right)$ and by $\Delta\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Delta_{n}\right)$ its Siegel disk.

The idea of the proof is the following. For all $S \geq 1$, one can find a nested sequence of toll belts $\left(W_{s}\right)_{1 \leq s \leq S}$ (see Figure 23):

$$
W_{s}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 2 \delta_{s}<d(z, \Delta)<8 \delta_{s}\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad 8 \delta_{s+1}<\delta_{s}
$$

surrounding the Siegel disk $\Delta$ such that for $n$ large enough the following holds:

- The orbit under iteration of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ of any point in $\Delta \backslash K_{n}$ must pass through all the toll belts.
- Thanks to Corollary 4, the toll belts surround the Siegel disk $\Delta_{n}$.
- Thanks to Corollary 5 and Proposition 6, under the iterates of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$, at least $1 / 2-\varepsilon$ of points in the toll belt $W_{s+1}$ will be captured by the Siegel disk $\Delta_{n}$ without being able to enter the toll belt $W_{s}$.


Figure 23. Schematic illustration of toll belts. The thick black line represents a Siegel disk $\Delta$. The dotted line represents a $\delta$-neighborhood of $\Delta$, containing $\Delta_{n}$ for all $n$ big enough. Such a $\Delta_{n}$ is drawn with a think black line. Two toll belts are drawn in gray. (For readability, the ratio $8 \delta_{s} / 2 \delta_{s}=4$ has been replaced by the smaller value 2.)

- Since the toll belts surround the Siegel disk $\Delta_{n}$, they are free of the postcritical set of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$. This gives us Koebe control of points passing through the belt, implying that at most $1 / 2+\varepsilon$ of points in $\Delta$ that manage to reach $W_{s+1}$ under iteration of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ will manage to reach $W_{s}$.
As a consequence, at most $(1 / 2+\varepsilon)^{S}$ points in $\Delta$ can have an orbit under iteration of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ that passes through all the belts and we are done by choosing $S$ large enough.

There are minor boundary effects which slightly complicate the argument and we proceed as follows. For $\delta>0$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(\delta) & :=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid d(z, \Delta)<\delta\} \\
K(\delta) & :=\left\{z \in V(\delta) \mid(\forall k \geq 0) P_{\alpha}^{\circ k}(z) \in V(\delta)\right\}, \\
K_{n}(\delta) & :=\left\{z \in V(\delta) \mid(\forall k \geq 0) P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ k}(z) \in V(\delta)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\left.\rho_{n}:\right] 0,+\infty[\rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
\rho_{n}(\delta):=\operatorname{dens}_{\Delta}\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{n}(\delta)\right) .
$$

Lemma 16. For all $\delta>0$, there exist $\delta^{\prime}>0\left(\right.$ with $\left.\delta^{\prime}<\delta\right)$ and a sequence $\left(c_{n}>0\right)$ converging to 0 such that

$$
\rho_{n}(\delta) \leq \frac{3}{4} \rho_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)+c_{n} .{ }^{12}
$$

This lemma enables us to complete the proof of Proposition 3 as follows. We set

$$
\rho(\delta):=\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \rho_{n}(\delta) \quad(\leq 1) .
$$

Then, for all $\delta>0$, there is a $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that $\rho(\delta) \leq \frac{3}{4} \rho\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\rho$ is bounded from above by 1 , this implies that $\rho$ identically vanishes. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall \delta>0) \quad \operatorname{dens}_{\Delta}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K_{n}(\delta) \subset K_{n}$, we deduce that dens $\Delta\left(K_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1$. We know that

- $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ converges locally uniformly to $P_{\alpha}$,
- the orbit under iteration of $P_{\alpha}$ of any point in $K \backslash \partial K$ eventually lands in $\Delta$,
- $P_{\alpha_{n}}^{-1}\left(K_{n}\right)=K_{n}$.

It follows that $\operatorname{dens}_{K \backslash \partial K}\left(K_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow}$. Since the Julia set $\partial K$ has Lebesgue measure zero, this implies that $\lim \inf \operatorname{area}\left(K_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{area}(K)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3 modulo Lemma 16.

Proof of Lemma 16. Let us sum up what we obtained in Sections 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6.
(A) For all open set $U \subset \Delta$ and all $\delta>0, \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{U}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6 in Section 1.4.
(B) For all $\delta>0$, if $n$ is sufficiently large, the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ is contained in $V(\delta)$. This is just a restatement of Corollary 4 in Section 1.5.
(C) For all $\eta>0$ and all $\delta>0$, there exists $\delta_{0}^{\prime}>0$ such that if $\delta^{\prime}<\delta_{0}^{\prime}$ and if $z \in \overline{V\left(8 \delta^{\prime}\right)} \backslash V\left(2 \delta^{\prime}\right)$, then $\operatorname{dens}_{D\left(z, \delta^{\prime}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta))<\eta$. This is an easy consequence of Corollary 5 in Section 1.6.
Step 1. By Koebe's distortion theorem, there exists a constant $\kappa$ such that for every map $\phi: D:=D(a, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that extends univalently to $D(a, 3 r / 2)$, we have

$$
\sup _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right| \leq \kappa \inf _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right| .
$$

We choose $\eta>0$ such that

$$
8 \pi \kappa^{2} \eta<\frac{1}{4}
$$

[^9]Step 2. Fix $\delta>0$. We claim that there exists $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that
(i) $9 \delta^{\prime}<\delta$ and $(2+3 \kappa) \cdot \delta^{\prime}<\delta ;{ }^{13}$
(ii) if $d(z, \Delta)<2 \delta^{\prime}$, then $d\left(P_{\alpha}(z), \Delta\right)<8 \delta^{\prime}$;
(iii) if $z \in \overline{V\left(8 \delta^{\prime}\right)} \backslash V\left(2 \delta^{\prime}\right)$, then $\operatorname{dens}_{D\left(z, \delta^{\prime}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(\delta))<\eta$.

Indeed, it is well known and easy to check that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R},\left|P_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|<4$ on $K_{\alpha}$.
As a consequence, if $\delta^{\prime}>0$ is sufficiently small, then $\left|P_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|<4$ on $V\left(2 \delta^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that (ii) holds for $\delta^{\prime}>0$ sufficiently small. Claim (iii) follows from the aforementioned point (C).

From now on, we assume that $\delta^{\prime}$ is chosen so that the above claims hold and we set

$$
W:=\overline{V\left(8 \delta^{\prime}\right)} \backslash V\left(2 \delta^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Step 3. Set

$$
Y^{\ell}:=\left\{z \in K(\delta) \mid P_{\alpha}^{\circ \ell}(z) \in \Delta\right\} .
$$

The set of points in $K(\delta)$ whose orbits do not intersect $\Delta$ is contained in the Julia set of $P_{\alpha}$. This set has zero Lebesgue measure. Thus, $K(\delta)$ and $\cup Y^{\ell}$ coincide up to a set of zero Lebesgue measure. The sequence $\left(Y^{\ell}\right)_{\ell \geq 0}$ is increasing. From now on, we assume that $\ell$ is sufficiently large so that

$$
(\forall w \in W) \quad \operatorname{dens}_{D\left(w, \delta^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash Y^{\ell}\right)<\eta .
$$

Step 4. Assume $\phi$ is univalent on $D\left(w, 3 \delta^{\prime} / 2\right)$ with $w \in W, r$ is the radius of the largest disk centered at $\phi(w)$ and contained in $\phi\left(D\left(w, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and $Q$ is a square contained in $\phi\left(D\left(w, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with side length at least $r / \sqrt{8}$. Set $D:=$ $D\left(w, \delta^{\prime}\right)$. Then, $r \geq \inf _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right| \cdot \delta^{\prime}$ and thus

$$
\operatorname{area}(Q) \geq \inf _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2} \cdot \frac{\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{8}
$$

In addition, $\sup _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right| \leq \kappa \inf _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|$ and so

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{Q}\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash \phi\left(Y^{\ell}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\operatorname{area}\left(\phi\left(D \backslash Y^{\ell}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{area}(Q)} \leq \frac{\sup _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2} \cdot \pi\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)^{2} \cdot \eta}{\inf _{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2} \cdot\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 8} \leq 8 \pi \kappa^{2} \eta<\frac{1}{4}
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{Q}\left(\phi\left(Y^{\ell}\right)\right)>\frac{3}{4}
$$

Step 5. If $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable set, we use the notation $\left.m\right|_{X}$ for the Lebesgue measure on $X$, extended by 0 outside $X$. If $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an open set, $\left(X_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of measurable subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, and $\lambda \in[0,1]$, we say that

$$
\left.\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} m\right|_{X_{n}} \geq\left.\lambda \cdot m\right|_{U}
$$

[^10]if for all nonempty open set $U^{\prime}$ relatively compact in $U$, we have
$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{U^{\prime}}\left(X_{n}\right) \geq \lambda .^{14}
$$

Assume $f: V \rightarrow U$ is a holomorphic map, nowhere locally constant, and $\left(f_{n}: V_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right)$ is a sequence of holomorphic maps such that

- every compact subset of $V$ is eventually contained in $V_{n}$,
- the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges uniformly to $f$ on every compact subset of $V$. Then,

$$
\left.\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} m\right|_{X_{n}} \geq\left.\left.\lambda \cdot m\right|_{U} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} m\right|_{f_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n}\right)} \geq\left.\lambda \cdot m\right|_{V}
$$

Step 6. Set

$$
Y_{n}^{\ell}:=\left\{z \in V(\delta) \mid(\forall j \leq \ell) P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ j}(z) \in V(\delta) \text { and } P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ \ell}(z) \in \Delta\right\} .
$$

On the one hand, if $z \in Y_{n}^{\ell}$ and $P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ \ell}(z) \in K_{n}(\delta)$, then $z \in K_{n}(\delta)$. On the other hand, every compact subset of $Y^{\ell}$ is eventually contained in $Y_{n}^{\ell}$ and the sequence $\left(P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ \ell}\right)$ converges uniformly to $P_{\alpha}^{\circ \ell}$ on every compact subset of $Y^{\ell}$. By the aforementioned point (A), we have

$$
\left.\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} m\right|_{K_{n}(\delta)} \geq\left.\frac{1}{2} m\right|_{\Delta} .
$$

So, according to Step 5,

$$
\left.\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} m\right|_{K_{n}(\delta)} \geq\left.\frac{1}{2} m\right|_{Y \ell}
$$

Step 7. Assume $\phi_{n}$ is univalent on $D\left(w_{n}, 3 \delta^{\prime} / 2\right)$ with $w_{n} \in W, r_{n}$ is the radius of the largest disk centered at $\phi_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)$ and contained in $\phi_{n}\left(D\left(w_{n}, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and $Q_{n}$ is a square contained in $\phi_{n}\left(D\left(w_{n}, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with side length at least $r_{n} / \sqrt{8}$. Then,

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{Q_{n}}\left(\phi_{n}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right)\right) \geq \frac{3}{8}
$$

Indeed, assume $\lambda$ is a limit value of the sequence

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{Q_{n}}\left(\phi_{n}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right)\right)
$$

Post-composing the maps $\phi_{n}$ with affine maps and extracting a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\left(w_{n}\right)$ converges to $w \in W,\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ converges locally uniformly to $\phi: D\left(w, 3 \delta^{\prime} / 2\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, r_{n}$ converges to the radius $r$ of the largest disk centered at $\phi(w)$ and contained in $\phi\left(D\left(w, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and $Q_{n}$ converges to a square $Q$ with side length at least $r / \sqrt{8}$. According to Steps 5 and 6 ,

$$
\left.\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} m\right|_{\phi_{n}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right)} \geq\left.\frac{1}{2} m\right|_{\phi\left(Y^{\ell}\right)} .
$$

[^11]According to Step 4, it follows that

$$
\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dens}_{Q}\left(\phi\left(Y^{\ell}\right)\right) \geq \frac{3}{8}
$$

Step 8. From now on, we assume that $n$ is sufficiently large, so that
(i) $\Delta \backslash K_{n}(\delta) \subset X_{n} \subset \Delta \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$ with

$$
X_{n}:=\left\{z \in \Delta \mid(\exists k) P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ k}(z) \in W\right\}
$$

(this is possible by Step 2);
(ii) $s_{n}<\delta^{\prime}$ with

$$
s_{n}:=\sup _{z \in \Delta} d\left(z, K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

(this is possible since $s_{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow+\infty]{\longrightarrow} 0$ in order for the aforementioned point (A) to hold);
(iii) the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ is contained in $V\left(\delta^{\prime} / 2\right)$ (this is possible by the aforementioned point (B));
(iv) if $\phi$ is univalent on $D\left(w, 3 \delta^{\prime} / 2\right)$ with $w \in W$, if $r$ is the radius of the largest disk centered at $\phi(w)$ and contained in $\phi\left(D\left(w, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and if $Q$ is a square contained in $\phi\left(D\left(w, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with side length at least $r / \sqrt{8}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{Q}\left(\phi\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}
$$

(this is easily follows from Step 7 by contradiction).
Step 9. Assume $z_{0} \in X_{n}$. Then, we have

$$
z_{0} \in X_{n} \stackrel{P_{\alpha_{n}}}{\mapsto} z_{1} \in V\left(2 \delta^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{P_{\alpha_{n}}}{\mapsto} \cdots \stackrel{P_{\alpha_{n}}}{\mapsto} z_{k-1} \in V\left(2 \delta^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{P_{\alpha_{n}}}{\mapsto} z_{k} \in W
$$

for some integer $k>0$. Since the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ is contained in $V\left(\delta^{\prime} / 2\right)$, for $j \leq k$ there exists a univalent map $\phi_{j}: D:=D\left(z_{k}, \delta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- $\phi_{j}$ is the inverse branch of $P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ k-j}$ that maps $z_{k}$ to $z_{j}$,
- $\phi_{j}$ extends univalently to $D\left(z_{k}, 3 \delta^{\prime} / 2\right)$.

In particular,

$$
\sup _{D}\left|\phi_{j}^{\prime}\right| \leq \kappa \inf _{D}\left|\phi_{j}^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Let $D\left(z_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ be the largest disk centered at $z_{j}$ and contained in $\phi_{j}(D)$ and $D\left(z_{j}, R_{j}\right)$ be the smallest disk centered at $z_{j}$ and containing $\phi_{j}(D)$. Note that $D$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} \backslash V\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$ and so, for $j \leq k-1, D\left(z_{j}, r_{j}\right) \subset \phi_{j}(D) \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$. On the one hand, $d\left(z_{j}, \Delta\right)<2 \delta^{\prime}$, and on the other hand, every point of $\Delta$ is at distance at most $s_{n}$ from a point of $K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that

$$
R_{j} \leq \kappa r_{j} \leq \kappa \cdot\left(s_{n}+2 \delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

If $w_{0} \in \phi_{0}(D)$ and $w_{j}:=P_{\alpha_{n}}^{\circ j}\left(w_{0}\right)$, then for $j \leq k-1$,

$$
d\left(w_{j}, \Delta\right) \leq d\left(w_{j}, z_{j}\right)+d\left(z_{j}, \Delta\right) \leq \kappa \cdot\left(s_{n}+2 \delta^{\prime}\right)+2 \delta^{\prime}<(2+3 \kappa) \cdot \delta^{\prime}<\delta
$$

and for $j=k$,

$$
d\left(w_{k}, \Delta\right) \leq d\left(w_{k}, z_{k}\right)+d\left(z_{k}, \Delta\right) \leq 9 \delta^{\prime}<\delta .
$$

In other words, $w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ all belong to $V(\delta)$. As a consequence,

$$
\phi_{0}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right) \subset K_{n}(\delta)
$$

Step 10. Continuing with the notations of Step 9 , we denote by $Q_{z_{0}}$ the largest douadic square (i.e., a square of the form $s(Q)$ where $Q$ is the unit square defined by $0<\operatorname{Re}(z)<1$ and $0<\operatorname{Im}(z)<1$ and $s: z \mapsto \frac{1}{2^{n}}(z+a+b i)$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) containing $z_{0}$ and contained in $D\left(z_{0}, r_{0}\right)$. On the one hand, since $z_{0} \in \Delta$ and since $\phi_{0}(D) \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$, we have $r_{0} \leq s_{n}$, and so

$$
Q_{z_{0}} \subset D\left(z_{0}, r_{0}\right) \subset V\left(s_{n}\right) \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

On the other hand, $Q_{z_{0}}$ has an edge of length greater than $r_{0} / 2 \sqrt{2}$ and so, according to Step 8, point (iv),

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{Q_{z_{0}}}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right)>\frac{1}{4} .
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{Q_{z_{0}}}\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{n}(\delta)\right)<\frac{3}{4} .
$$

Given two douadic squares $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$, either $Q \cap Q^{\prime}=\emptyset$, or $Q \subset Q^{\prime}$, or $Q^{\prime} \subset Q$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{area}\left(\Delta \backslash K_{n}(\delta)\right) & \leq \frac{3}{4} \operatorname{area}\left(\bigcup_{z \in X_{n}} Q_{z}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{3}{4} \operatorname{area}\left(V\left(s_{n}\right) \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{3}{4} \operatorname{area}\left(\Delta \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right)+\frac{3}{4} \operatorname{area}\left(V\left(s_{n}\right) \backslash \Delta\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{4} \operatorname{area}\left(\Delta \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right)+c_{n} \cdot \operatorname{area}(\Delta),
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
c_{n}:=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\operatorname{area}\left(V\left(s_{n}\right) \backslash \Delta\right)}{\operatorname{area}(\Delta)} .
$$

Step 11. Since $s_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and since the boundary of $\Delta$ has zero Lebesgue measure,

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(V\left(s_{n}\right) \backslash \Delta\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{\Delta}\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{n}(\delta)\right)<\frac{3}{4} \operatorname{dens}_{\Delta}\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{n}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right)+c_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad c_{n} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 16.

## 2. The linearizable case

In order to find a quadratic polynomial with a linearizable fixed point and a Julia set of positive area, we need to modify the argument.

Definition 11. If $\alpha$ is a Brjuno number, we denote by $\Delta_{\alpha}$ the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha}$ and by $r_{\alpha}$ its conformal radius. For $\rho \leq r_{\alpha}$, we denote by $\Delta_{\alpha}(\rho)$ the invariant sub-disk with conformal radius $\rho$ and by $L_{\alpha}(\rho)$ the set of points in $K_{\alpha}$ whose orbits do not intersect $\Delta_{\alpha}(\rho)$.


Figure 24. Two sets $L_{\alpha}(\rho)$ and $L_{\alpha^{\prime}}(\rho)$, with $\alpha^{\prime}$ a well-chosen perturbation of $\alpha$ as in Proposition 19. This proposition asserts that if $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are chosen carefully enough, the loss of measure from $L_{\alpha}(\rho)$ to $L_{\alpha^{\prime}}(\rho)$ is small. We colored white the basin of infinity, the invariant subdisks $\Delta_{\alpha}(\rho)$ and $\Delta_{\alpha^{\prime}}(\rho)$ and their preimages; we colored light grey the remaining parts of the Siegel disks and their preimages; on the right, we colored dark grey the pixels where the preimages are too small to be drawn. Most points in the dark gray part belong in fact to $L_{\alpha^{\prime}}(\rho)$.

Proposition 19. There exists a set $\mathcal{S}$ of bounded type irrationals such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$, all $\rho<\rho^{\prime}<r_{\alpha}$, and all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$ with

- $\left|\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha\right|<\varepsilon$,
- $\max \left(\rho,(1-\varepsilon) \rho^{\prime}\right)<r_{\alpha^{\prime}}<(1+\varepsilon) \rho^{\prime}$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(L_{\alpha^{\prime}}(\rho)\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{area}\left(L_{\alpha}(\rho)\right)$.

Proof. We let $N$ be sufficiently large so that the conclusions of Proposition 11 and Corollary 4 apply. We will work with $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}_{N}$. Assume $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ and choose a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt[q_{n}]{A_{n}}=\frac{r_{\alpha}}{\rho^{\prime}}
$$

Set

$$
\alpha_{n}:=\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{n}, A_{n}, N, N, N, \ldots\right] .
$$

This guarantees that $r_{\alpha_{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow+\infty]{\longrightarrow} \rho^{\prime}$ (see [ABC04]).
Let $\Delta$ be the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha}$. Let us use the notations $V(\delta), K(\delta)$ and $K_{n}(\delta)$ introduced in Section 1.7. With an abuse of notations, set $\Delta(\rho):=$ $\Delta_{\alpha}(\rho)$ and $\Delta_{n}(\rho):=\Delta_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho)$. Set

$$
\Delta^{\prime}(\rho):=P_{\alpha}^{-1}(\Delta(\rho)) \backslash \Delta(\rho) .
$$

Then, $\Delta(\rho)$ and $\Delta^{\prime}(\rho)$ are symmetric with respect to the critical point of $P_{\alpha}$. The orbit under iteration of $P_{\alpha}$ of a point $z \notin \Delta(\rho)$ lands in $\Delta(\rho)$ if and only if it passes through $\Delta^{\prime}(\rho)$. We have a similar property for

$$
\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(\rho):=P_{\alpha_{n}}^{-1}\left(\Delta_{n}(\rho)\right) \backslash \Delta_{n}(\rho)
$$

We have proved - see equation (5) - that

$$
(\forall \delta>0) \quad \operatorname{dens}_{\Delta}\left(K_{n}(\delta)\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1
$$

The sequence of compact sets $\left(\bar{\Delta}_{n}(\rho)\right)$ converges to $\bar{\Delta}(\rho)$ for the Hausdorff topology on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, because $\lim r_{\alpha_{n}}>\rho$. It immediately follows that for all $\delta>0$,

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{\Delta \backslash \bar{\Delta}(\rho)}\left(K_{n}(\delta) \backslash \Delta_{n}(\rho)\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1
$$

Choose $\delta$ sufficiently small so that $\overline{V(\delta)}$ does not intersect $\bar{\Delta}^{\prime}(\rho)$. Then, for $n$ large enough, $V(\delta)$ does not intersect $\bar{\Delta}_{n}^{\prime}(\rho)$. In that case, the orbit under iteration of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ of a point in $K_{n}(\delta)$ cannot pass through $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(\rho)$, and so

$$
K_{n}(\delta) \backslash \Delta_{n}(\rho) \subset L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{dens}_{\Delta \backslash \bar{\Delta}(\rho)}\left(L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho)\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1 .
$$

The points of $L_{\alpha}(\rho)$ whose orbits do not intersect $\Delta \backslash \bar{\Delta}(\rho)$ are contained in the union of the Julia set $J_{\alpha}$ and the countably many preimages of $\partial \Delta(\rho)$. Thus, they form a set of zero Lebesgue measure. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho)\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{area}\left(L_{\alpha}(\rho)\right) .
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We start with $\alpha_{0} \in \mathcal{S}$ and set $\rho_{0}:=r_{\alpha_{0}}$. We then choose $\rho \in] 0, \rho_{0}\left[\right.$ and two sequences of real numbers $\varepsilon_{n}$ in $(0,1)$ and $\rho_{n}$ in $\left(0, \rho_{0}\right)$ such that $\Pi\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right)>0$ and $\rho_{n} \searrow \rho>0$. We can construct inductively a Cauchy sequence $\left(\alpha_{n} \in \mathcal{S}\right)$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,

- $r_{\alpha_{n}} \in\left(\rho_{n}, \rho_{n-1}\right)$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho)\right) \geq\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right) \operatorname{area}\left(L_{\alpha_{n-1}}(\rho)\right)$.

Let $\alpha$ be the limit of the sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$. The conformal radius of a fixed Siegel disk depends upper semi-continuously on the polynomial (a limit of linearizations linearizes the limit). So, $r_{\alpha} \geq \lim r_{\alpha_{n}}=\rho$. Also, by choosing $\alpha_{n}$ sufficiently close to $\alpha_{n-1}$ at each step, we can guarantee that $r_{\alpha} \leq \rho$, in which case $r_{\alpha}=\rho$.

In addition, the sequence of pointed domains $\left(\Delta_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho), 0\right)$ converges for the Carathéodory topology to ( $\Delta_{\alpha}, 0$ ). In particular, every compact subset of $\Delta_{\alpha}$ is contained in $\Delta_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho)$ for $n$ large enough. Similarly, every compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{\alpha}$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{\alpha_{n}}$ for $n$ large enough. It follows that

$$
\limsup L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho):=\bigcap_{m} \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq m} L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho)} \subset L_{\alpha}(\rho) .
$$

Since $r_{\alpha}=\rho, \Delta_{\alpha}(\rho)=\Delta_{\alpha}$ and $L_{\alpha}(\rho)=J_{\alpha}$. Thus, $\lim \sup L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho) \subset J_{\alpha}$ and

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(J_{\alpha}\right) \geq \operatorname{area}\left(\lim \sup L_{\alpha_{n}}(\rho)\right) \geq \operatorname{area}\left(L_{\alpha_{0}}(\rho)\right) \cdot \prod\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right)>0
$$

## 3. The infinitely renormalizable case

In order to find an infinitely renormalizable quadratic polynomial with a Julia set of positive area, we need a modification based on Sørensen's construction of an infinitely renormalizable quadratic polynomial with a non locally connected Julia set.

Proposition 20. There exists a set $\mathcal{S}$ of bounded type irrationals such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ with

- $\left|\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha\right|<\varepsilon$,
- $P_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ has a periodic Siegel disk with period $>1$ and rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{area}\left(K_{\alpha}\right)$.

Proof. We can choose $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}_{N}$ with $N$ large enough (in order to be able to apply Inou and Shishikura techniques). The proof essentially goes as in the Cremer case.

Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$, we let $p_{k} / q_{k}$ be its approximants, and we consider the functions of explosion $\chi_{k}$ given by Proposition 4. If $\alpha^{\prime}$ belongs to the disk
centered at $p_{k} / q_{k}$ with radius $1 / q_{k}^{3}$, the set

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right):=\chi_{k}\left\{\sqrt[q]{\alpha_{k}-p_{k} / q_{k}}\right\}
$$

is a cycle of $P_{\alpha^{\prime}}$. Its multiplier is $e^{2 i \pi \theta_{k}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)}$ with $\theta_{k}: D\left(p_{k} / q_{k}, 1 / q_{k}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a nonconstant holomorphic function that vanishes at $p_{k} / q_{k}$.

We consider a sequence ( $\alpha_{n}$ ) converging to $\alpha$ so that

- $\limsup \sqrt[q_{n}]{\left|\alpha_{n}-p_{n} / q_{n}\right|}=0$,
- $\theta_{n}^{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\alpha_{n}\right):=\left[A_{n}, N, N, N, \ldots\right]$ with

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt[q_{n}]{A_{n}}=+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt[q_{n}]{\log A_{n}}=1
$$

We control the shape of the cycle of Siegel disk as in the Cremer case. For all $\rho<1$ and all $n$ sufficiently large, the cycle of Siegel disks contains the


Figure 25. Two filled-in Julia sets $K_{\alpha}$ and $K_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, with $\alpha^{\prime}$ a wellchosen perturbation of $\alpha$ as in Proposition 20. This proposition asserts that if $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are chosen carefully enough, $P_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ has a periodic Siegel disk and the loss of measure from $K_{\alpha}$ to $K_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ is small. Left: we hatched the fixed Siegel disk. Right: we hatched the cycle of Siegel disks.
$\chi_{n}\left(Y_{n}(\rho)\right)$ with

$$
Y_{n}(\rho):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \frac{z^{q_{n}}-\varepsilon_{n}}{z^{q_{n}}} \in D\left(0, s_{n}\right)\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad s_{n}:=\frac{\rho^{q_{n}}-\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|}{\rho^{q_{n}}} .
$$

For this purpose, we work in the coordinate given by $\chi_{n}$ and compare the dynamics of the conjugated map to the flow of a vector field.

We control the post-critical set of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ via Inou-Shishikura's techniques. We then control the loss of area as in the Cremer case.

Definition 12. For $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $Q_{c}$ the quadratic polynomial $Q_{c}: z \mapsto z^{2}+c$. With an abuse of notations, we denote by $K_{c}$ its filled-in Julia set and by $J_{c}$ its Julia set. We denote by $M$ the Mandelbrot set, i.e., the set of parameters $c$ for which $K_{c}$ is connected.

The previous proposition can be restated as follows.
Proposition 21. Assume $P_{c}$ has a fixed Siegel disk with rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$. Then, for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $c^{\prime}$ such that

- $\left|c^{\prime}-c\right|<\varepsilon$,
- $P_{c^{\prime}}$ has a periodic Siegel disk with period $>1$ and rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{c^{\prime}}\right)>(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c}\right)$.

In fact, such a $c$ is on the boundary of the main cardioid of $M$, and the proof we proposed yields a $c^{\prime}$ that is on the boundary of a satellite component of the main cardioid of $M$.

Using the theory of quadratic-like maps introduced by Douady and Hubbard [DH85b], we can transfer this statement to perturbations of quadratic polynomials having periodic Siegel disks. We will use the notions of renormalization and tuning (see, for example, [Haï00]).

If 0 is periodic of period $p$ under iteration of $Q_{c_{0}}$, then $c_{0}$ is the center of a hyperbolic component $\Omega$ of the Mandelbrot set. This component $\Omega$ has a root: the parameter $c_{1} \in \partial \Omega$ such that $Q_{c_{1}}$ has an indifferent cycle with multiplier 1. In addition, there exist

- a compact set $M^{\prime} \subset M$ such that $\partial M^{\prime} \subset \partial M$,
- a simply connected neighborhood $\Lambda$ of $M^{\prime} \backslash\left\{c_{1}\right\}$,
- a continuous map $\chi: \Lambda \cup\left\{c_{1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,
- two families of open sets $\left(U_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $\left(U_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$,
such that
- $\left(f_{\lambda}:=Q_{\lambda}^{\circ p}: U_{\lambda}^{\prime} \rightarrow U_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an analytic family of quadratic-like maps;
- for all $\lambda \in M, f_{\lambda}$ is hybrid conjugate ${ }^{15}$ to $Q_{\chi(\lambda)}$;
- the Julia set of $f_{\lambda}$ is connected if and only if $\lambda \in M^{\prime}$;
- $\chi: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ is a homeomorphism (sending $c_{0}$ to 0 and $c_{1}$ to $1 / 4$ ).

We denote by $c_{0} \perp \cdot: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ the homeomorphism $\left(\left.\chi\right|_{M^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}$. We say that $c_{0} \perp c$ is $c_{0}$ is tuned by $c$ and that $\left(f_{\lambda}:=Q_{\lambda}^{\circ p}: U_{\lambda}^{\prime} \rightarrow U_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Mandelbrotlike family centered at $c_{0}$.

Proposition 22. Assume 0 is periodic under iteration of $Q_{c_{0}}$ and $c^{\prime} \in$ $M \rightarrow c \in M$ with $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{c^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(K_{c_{0} \perp c^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c_{0} \perp c}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $p$ be the period of 0 under iteration of $Q_{c_{0}}$ and let $\left(f_{\lambda}:=Q_{\lambda}^{\circ p}\right.$ : $\left.U_{\lambda}^{\prime} \rightarrow U_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a Mandelbrot-like family centered at $c_{0}$.

Let $\phi_{c^{\prime}}: U_{c_{0} \perp c^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be hybrid conjugacies. As $c^{\prime} \rightarrow c$, the modulus of the annulus $U_{c_{0} \perp c^{\prime}} \backslash \bar{U}_{c_{0} \perp c^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is bounded from below. So, the $\phi_{c^{\prime}}$ can be chosen to have a uniformly bounded quasiconformal dilatation. It follows that if $c^{\prime} \in M \rightarrow c \in M$ with area $\left(K_{c^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c}\right)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(\phi_{c^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(K_{c^{\prime}}\right)\right) \underset{c^{\prime} \rightarrow c}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{area}\left(\phi_{c}^{-1}\left(K_{c}\right)\right) .
$$

It follows easily that area $\left(K_{c_{0} \perp c^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c_{0} \perp c}\right)$ since almost every point in $K_{c_{0} \perp c}$ has an orbit terminating in $\phi_{c}^{-1}\left(K_{c}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. If $P_{c}$ has a periodic Siegel disk, then $c$ is on the boundary of a hyperbolic component with center $c_{0}$. We denote by $\Omega_{c}$ this hyperbolic component and we set $M_{c}:=c_{0} \perp M$.

We will denote by $S$ the image of $\mathcal{S}$ by the map $\alpha \mapsto e^{2 i \pi \alpha} / 2-e^{4 i \pi \alpha} / 4$. Then, $c \in S$ if and only if $P_{c}$ has a fixed Siegel disk with rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, $P_{c}$ has a periodic Siegel disk with rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$ whenever $c=c_{0} \perp s$ with $c_{0}$ the center of the hyperbolic component containing $c$ in its boundary and $s \in S$.

It follows from Proposition 21 and 22 that if $Q_{c}$ has a periodic Siegel disk with rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$, then for all $\varepsilon>0$, we can find $c^{\prime} \in M_{c} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{c}$ such that

- $\left|c^{\prime}-c\right|<\varepsilon$,
- $P_{c^{\prime}}$ has a periodic Siegel disk with rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$,
- $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{c^{\prime}}\right)>(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c}\right)$.

Let us choose a parameter $c_{0} \in S$ and a sequence of real number $\varepsilon_{n}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $\Pi\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right)>0$. We can construct inductively a sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)$ such that

[^12]- $\left(c_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence that converges to a parameter $c$;
- $Q_{c_{n}}$ has a periodic Siegel disk with rotation number in $\mathcal{S}$;
- for $n \geq 1, c_{n} \in M_{c_{n-1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{c_{n-1}}$;
- $\operatorname{area}\left(K_{c_{n}}\right)>\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right) \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c_{n-1}}\right)$.

Then, $P_{c}$ is infinitely renormalizable. (It is in the intersection of the nested copies $M_{c_{n}}$.) Thus, $J_{c}=K_{c}=\lim K_{c_{n}}$. Finally,

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(J_{c}\right)=\operatorname{area}\left(K_{c}\right) \geq \operatorname{area}\left(K_{c_{0}}\right) \cdot \prod\left(1-\varepsilon_{n}\right)>0
$$

## Appendix A. Parabolic implosion and perturbed petals

The notations used in this appendix are those of Section 1.5.3. We postponed the proof of the following lemma to this appendix.

Lemma 17. If $R>0$ and $K>0$ are sufficiently large, then for $n$ large enough,
(1) $\Phi^{n}\left(\Omega^{n}\right)$ contains the vertical strip

$$
U^{n}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; R<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{n}-R\right\}
$$

(2) $\tau_{n}$ is injective on $\mathcal{P}^{n}:=\left(\Phi^{n}\right)^{-1}\left(U^{n}\right)$,
(3) there is a branch of argument defined on $\tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in \tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{n}\right)} \arg (z)-\inf _{z \in \tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{n}\right)} \arg (z)<K
$$

Proof. As in [Shi00], the argument consists in comparing the Fatou coordinate $\Phi^{n}$ to the Fatou coordinate $\Psi^{n}$ of the time one map of the vector field $\zeta_{n}$ defined on $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ by

$$
\zeta_{n}=\zeta_{n}(w) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} w}:=\left(F_{n}(w)-w\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} w}
$$

In other words, set $w_{n}:=\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{n}}$ and let $\Psi^{n}: \Omega_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$
\Psi^{n}(w)=\Phi^{n}\left(w_{n}\right)+\int_{w_{n}}^{w} \frac{d u}{F_{n}(u)-u}
$$

Claim 1. Increasing $R_{1}$ if necessary, there is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\sup _{w \in \Omega^{n}}\left|\Phi^{n}(w)-\Psi^{n}(w)\right|<C
$$

Proof of Claim 1. According to [Shi00, Prop. 2.6.2], there are constants $R$ and $C$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$ and for all $w \in \Omega^{n}$ with $d\left(w, \partial \Omega^{n}\right) \geq$ $R$, we have

$$
\left|\left(\Phi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)-\left(\Psi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{1}{d\left(w, \partial \Omega^{n}\right)^{2}}+\left|F_{n}^{\prime}(w)-1\right|\right)
$$

We will first show that we can get rid of $\left|F_{n}^{\prime}(w)-1\right|$. Set

$$
G_{n}(w):=F_{n}^{\prime}(w)-1 \quad \text { and } \quad S_{n}(w):=\left(\frac{\pi \alpha_{n}}{\sin \left(\pi \alpha_{n} w\right)}\right)^{2}
$$

Those functions are $1 / \alpha_{n}$ periodic. On the one hand, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$,

- the functions $G_{n}$ are uniformly bounded by $1 / 4$ on $\partial \Omega^{n}$;
- the sequence $\left(S_{n}\right)$ converges uniformly to $w \mapsto 1 / w^{2}$ on $\partial \Omega^{n}$, and thus the functions $S_{n}$ are uniformly bounded away from 0 on $\partial \Omega^{n}$.
As a consequence, the functions $G_{n} / S_{n}$ are uniformly bounded on $\partial \Omega^{n}$. On the other hand, as $\operatorname{Im}(w) \rightarrow \pm \infty, G_{n}(w) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, in $\mathbb{C} / \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z}, G_{n}$ has removable singularities at $\pm i \infty$ and vanishes at those points. Since in $\mathbb{C} / \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z}, S_{n}$ has simple zeros at $\pm i \infty$, the function $G_{n} / S_{n}$ has removable singularities at $\pm i \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} / \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from the maximum modulus principle that there is a constant $C_{1}$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$ and all $w \in \Omega^{n}$, we have

$$
\left|F_{n}^{\prime}(w)-1\right| \leq C_{1}\left|\frac{\pi \alpha_{n}}{\sin \left(\pi \alpha_{n} w\right)}\right|^{2}
$$

Note that there is a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\forall w \in \mathbb{C}, \quad d(w, \mathbb{Z}) \leq C_{2}|\sin (\pi w)|
$$

Indeed, the quotient $\frac{d(w, \mathbb{Z})}{|\sin (\pi w)|}$ extends continuously to $(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}) \cup\{ \pm i \infty\}$, which is compact. It follows that for all $w \in \Omega^{n}$,

$$
\left|\frac{\pi \alpha_{n}}{\sin \left(\pi \alpha_{n} w\right)}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C_{2}^{2} \pi^{2}\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{2}}{d\left(\alpha_{n} w, \mathbb{Z}\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{C_{2}^{2} \pi^{2}}{d\left(w, \partial \Omega^{n}\right)^{2}}
$$

Thus, there is a constant $C^{\prime}$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$ and for all $w \in \Omega^{n}$ with $d\left(w, \partial \Omega^{n}\right) \geq R$, we have

$$
\left|\left(\Phi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)-\left(\Psi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{d\left(w, \partial \Omega^{n}\right)^{2}}
$$

Taking $R \geq 1$ and replacing $R_{1}$ by $R_{1}+\sqrt{2} R$, this can be rewritten as: there is a constant $C$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$ and for all $w \in \Omega^{n}$,

$$
\left|\left(\Phi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)-\left(\Psi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\left(1+d\left(w, \partial \Omega^{n}\right)\right)^{2}}
$$

Let us now assume $n$ is sufficiently large, so that

$$
X_{n}:=\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{n}}-R_{1}>0
$$

Then, $w_{n}:=\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{n}}$ belongs to $\Omega^{n}$. Fix $w:=w_{n}+x+i y \in \Omega^{n}$. Note that

$$
|x|<X_{n}+|y| \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(w, \partial \Omega^{n}\right)>\sqrt{2}\left(X_{n}+|y|-|x|\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi^{n}(w)-\Psi^{n}(w)\right| & \leq \int_{\left[w_{n}, w_{n}+i y\right] \cup\left[w_{n}+i y, w\right]} \frac{C^{\prime}|d u|}{\left(1+d\left(u, \partial \Omega^{n}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{C^{\prime} d s}{\left(1+\sqrt{2}\left(X_{n}+s\right)\right)^{2}}+\int_{0}^{X_{n}+|y|} \frac{C^{\prime} d t}{\left(1+\sqrt{2}\left(X_{n}+|y|-t\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq 2 C^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Claim 1.
Claim 2. The map $\Psi^{n}$ is univalent on $\Omega^{n}, \Psi^{n}\left(\Omega^{n}\right)$ contains the vertical strip

$$
V^{n}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Psi^{n}\left(R_{1}\right)\right)<\operatorname{Re}(w)<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Psi^{n}\left(1 / \alpha_{n}-R_{1}\right)\right)\right\},
$$

and $\tau_{n}$ is injective on $\mathcal{Q}^{n}:=\left(\Psi^{n}\right)^{-1}\left(V^{n}\right)$.
Proof of Claim 2. Note that $\Psi^{n}$ is a straightening map for the vector field $\zeta_{n}$ :

$$
\left(\Psi^{n}\right)_{*} \zeta_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} w} .
$$

Since $F_{n}(w)-w \in D(1,1 / 4)$ on $\Omega^{n}$, the trajectories of the vector field $\zeta_{n}$ are curves that enter $\Omega^{n}$ through its left boundary and exit $\Omega^{n}$ through the right boundary. In particular, no trajectory is periodic. Since two distinct trajectories cannot intersect, the map $\Psi^{n}$ is injective.

Observe that for $w \in \partial \Omega^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left(\left(\Psi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)\right) \\
& \left.\quad \quad=-\arg \left(F_{n}(w)-w\right) \in\right]-\arcsin (1 / 4), \arcsin (1 / 4)[\subset]-\pi / 12, \pi / 12[.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating $\left(\Psi^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)$ along $\partial \Omega^{n}$, we conclude that

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{3}<\arg \left(\Psi^{n}(w)-\Psi^{n}\left(R_{1}\right)\right)<\frac{4 \pi}{3}
$$

on the left boundary of $\Omega^{n}$ and that

$$
-\frac{\pi}{3}<\arg \left(\Psi^{n}(w)-\Psi^{n}\left(1 / \alpha_{n}-R_{1}\right)\right)<\frac{\pi}{3}
$$

on the right boundary of $\Omega^{n}$. This proves that $\Psi^{n}\left(\Omega^{n}\right)$ contains the vertical strip $V^{n}$.

Assume by contradiction that $\tau_{n}$ is not injective on $V^{n}$. Then, there is an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ and a point $w \in V^{n}$ such that $w+k / \alpha_{n}$ is in $V^{n}$. Note that $V^{n}$ is a union of trajectories for the rotated vector field $i \zeta_{n}$. As $w$
runs along those trajectories, the imaginary part of $w$ increases from $-i \infty$ to $+i \infty$. In particular, every trajectory intersects $\mathbb{R}$. Since for all $w \in \mathcal{D}_{n}$ we have $i \zeta_{n}(w)=i \zeta_{n}\left(w+1 / \alpha_{n}\right)$, the trajectory for $i \zeta_{n}$ passing through $w+k / \alpha_{n}$ is obtained from the trajectory passing through $w$ by translation by $k / \alpha_{n}$. This is not possible since the intersection of those trajectories with $\mathbb{R}$ is contained in $\left.\Omega^{n} \cap \mathbb{R}=\right] R_{1}, 1 / \alpha_{n}-R_{1}[$. This completes the proof of Claim 2 .

Let us now come to the proof of parts (1) and (2) of Lemma 17. Assume $n$ is sufficiently large, so that

$$
\sup _{w \in \Omega^{n}}\left|\Phi^{n}(w)-\Psi^{n}(w)\right| \leq C
$$

Then, $\Phi^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}^{n}\right)$ contains the vertical strip

$$
\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\Psi^{n}\left(R_{1}\right)\right)+C<\operatorname{Re}(w)<\operatorname{Re}\left(\Psi^{n}\left(1 / \alpha_{n}-R_{1}\right)\right)-C\right\}
$$

Note that

$$
\Psi^{n}\left(R_{1}\right)=\Phi^{n}\left(R_{1}\right)+\mathcal{O}(1)=\mathcal{O}(1)
$$

and

$$
\Psi^{n}\left(1 / \alpha_{n}-R_{1}\right)=\Phi^{n}\left(1 / \alpha_{n}-R_{1}\right)+\mathcal{O}(1)=1 / \alpha_{n}+\mathcal{O}(1)
$$

Thus, if $R$ is large enough and if $n$ is sufficiently large, then $\Phi^{n}\left(\mathcal{Q}^{n}\right)$ contains the vertical strip

$$
U^{n}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; R<\operatorname{Re}(w)<1 / \alpha_{n}-R\right\}
$$

Since $\tau_{n}$ is injective on $\mathcal{Q}^{n}$, this proves parts (1) and (2) of Lemma 17.
Let us now come to the proof of part (3) of Lemma 17. Note that $\tau_{n}$ sends the segment $] 0,1 / \alpha_{n}$ [ to the perpendicular bisector of the segment $\left[0, \sigma_{n}\right]$. The map $\tau_{n}$ sends the lower half-plane $\mathbb{H}^{-}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Im}(w)<0\}$ in the halfplane $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} ;|z|>\left|z-\sigma_{n}\right|\right\}$. This takes care of $\tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{n} \cap \mathbb{H}^{-}\right)$.

The map $\tau_{n}$ is a universal covering from the upper half-plane

$$
\mathbb{H}^{+}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Im}(w)>0\}
$$

to the punctured half-plane $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<|z|<\left|z-\sigma_{n}\right|\right\}$, with covering transformation group generated by the translation $T_{n}: w \mapsto w+1 / \alpha_{n}$. It sends the lines

$$
L_{k}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(w)=\frac{2 k+1}{2 \alpha_{n}}\right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

to the segment $] 0, \sigma_{n}[$. It is therefore enough to show that there is a constant $M$ such that for $n$ large enough, $\mathcal{P}^{n} \cap \mathbb{H}^{+}$is contained in the vertical strip

$$
\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ;-\frac{M}{\alpha_{n}}<\operatorname{Re}(w)<\frac{M}{\alpha_{n}}\right\}
$$

For all $w \in \mathcal{P}^{n}$, we have

$$
R \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(\Phi^{n}(w)\right) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}-R .
$$

It is therefore enough to show that

$$
\sup _{w \in \Omega^{n} \cap \mathbb{H}^{+}}\left|\Phi^{n}(w)-w\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}\right)
$$

or equivalently that

$$
\sup _{w \in \Omega^{n} \cap \mathbb{H}^{+}}\left|\Psi^{n}(w)-w\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}\right) .
$$

Note that $\frac{1}{F_{n}(w)-w}-1$ is periodic of period $1 / \alpha_{n}$, bounded by $1 / 3$ in $\Omega^{n}$ and tends to 0 as $\operatorname{Im}(w)$ tends to $+\infty$. It follows from the maximum modulus principle that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{F_{n}(w)-w}-1\right|<\frac{1}{3} \cdot\left(\inf _{w \in \partial\left(\Omega^{n} \cap \mathbb{H}^{+}\right)}\left|e^{2 i \pi \alpha_{n} w}\right|\right) \cdot\left|e^{2 i \pi \alpha_{n} w}\right| \leq C e^{-2 \pi \alpha_{n} \operatorname{Im}(w)}
$$

for some constant $C$ that does not depend on $n$. If $w:=R+x+i y \in \Omega^{n} \cap \mathbb{H}^{+}$, then $|x|<y+1 / \alpha_{n}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{w \in \Omega^{n} \cap \mathbb{H}^{+}}\left|\Psi^{n}(w)-w\right| \leq & \left|\Psi^{n}(R)-R\right| \\
& +\sup _{\substack{y>0 \\
|x|<y+1 / \alpha_{n}}}\left(\int_{0}^{y} C e^{-2 \pi \alpha_{n} t} d t+\int_{0}^{|x|} C e^{-2 \pi \alpha_{n} y} d t\right) \\
= & C\left(\frac{1-e^{-2 \pi \alpha_{n} y}}{2 \pi \alpha_{n}}+e^{-2 \pi \alpha_{n} y} \cdot\left(y+1 / \alpha_{n}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}(1) \\
\leq & \frac{C}{\alpha_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{e^{-1}}{2 \pi}+1\right)+\mathcal{O}(1) \\
= & \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of part (3) of Lemma 17.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Conjecturally, this is true for a dense and open set of quadratic polynomials. If there were an open set of nonhyperbolic quadratic polynomials, those would have a Julia set of positive area (see [MSS83]).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is true for almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The choice of $N$ will be specified in equation 3
    ${ }^{4}$ For example, one can choose $A_{n}:=q_{n}^{q_{n}}$. However, we think that the proposition holds for more general sequences $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$, for instance, as soon as $\sqrt[q_{n}]{A_{n}} \rightarrow+\infty$. This condition guarantees the existence of a small cycle. The condition $\sqrt[q n]{\log A_{n}} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1$ is used at the end of the proof of Lemma 5 .

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ We think that the condition $\lim \sup \sqrt[q_{n}]{\log A_{n}} \leq 1$ is not needed. It is used at the end of the proof of Lemma 5 .
    ${ }^{6} \Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ is the largest connected open subset of $\Delta$ containing 0 , on which $P_{\alpha_{n}}$ is conjugate to a rotation. It is contained in the Siegel disk of $P_{\alpha_{n}}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ It is the preimage by the map $z \mapsto z^{q_{n}}$ of a disk that is not centered at 0 , contains 0 but not $\varepsilon_{n}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In fact, Jellouli's theorem is stated for the sequence $\alpha_{n}=p_{n} / q_{n}$ and $b_{n}=o\left(q_{n} q_{n+1}\right)$ but the adaptation to $b_{n} \cdot\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right|=o(1)$ is straightforward.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ This is possible by Corollary 3 applied with $r>r_{2}$. Indeed, for $n$ large enough, we have that $\tau_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)-1 / 10>\tau_{n}(r)$ and thus $\left\{Z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Im}(Z) \geq \tau_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)-1 / 10\right\} \subset \mathbb{H}_{n}(r)$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ The fact that $g_{n}: \phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{*}$ is continuous and univalent is not completely obvious; see the proposition on page 33 in [Yoc95] for details.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ For a proof that $H_{n}$ is 5/4-quasiconformal homeomorphism, see, for example, [ABC04, $\S 3.2]$ or [Shi00, §2.5].

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ The coefficient $\frac{3}{4}$ could have been replaced by any $\lambda>\frac{1}{2}$.

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ Those requirements will be used in Step 9.

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ Equivalently, for all nonempty open set $U^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with finite area, $\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{dens}_{U^{\prime}}\left(X_{n}\right) \geq$ $\lambda \cdot \operatorname{dens}_{U^{\prime}}(U)$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ See [Haï00] for a definition.

