

On the distortion of knots on embedded surfaces

By JOHN PARDON

Abstract

Our main result is a nontrivial lower bound for the distortion of some specific knots. In particular, we show that the distortion of the torus knot $T_{p,q}$ satisfies $\delta(T_{p,q}) \geq \frac{1}{160} \min(p, q)$. This answers a 1983 question of Gromov.

1. Introduction

If γ is a rectifiable curve in \mathbb{R}^3 , then its *distortion* is defined to be the quantity

$$(1.1) \quad \delta(\gamma) = \sup_{p,q \in \gamma} \frac{d_\gamma(p, q)}{d_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p, q)} \geq 1,$$

where d_γ denotes the arclength along γ and $d_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ denotes the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^3 . In 1983, Gromov asked the following question:

Question (quoted directly from [6, p. 114]). Does *every* isotopy class of knots in \mathbb{R}^3 have a representative in \mathbb{R}^3 with distortion < 100 ? Is it so for all torus knots $T_{p,q}$ for $p, q \rightarrow \infty$?

In this paper we show that this is not the case. For a knot K , let $\delta(K)$ denote the infimum of $\delta(\gamma)$ over all rectifiable curves γ in the isotopy class K .

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $T_{p,q}$ denote the (p, q) -torus knot. Then $\delta(T_{p,q}) \geq \frac{1}{160} \min(p, q)$.*

THEOREM 1.2. *Let K be a nontrivial tame knot, and let $K_{p,q}$ denote the (p, q) -cabling of K , where p is the longitudinal coefficient. Then $\delta(K_{p,q}) \geq \frac{1}{160}p$.*

These are both consequences of the following more general theorem which deals with knots lying on any embedded surface.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be a PL embedded closed surface of genus $g \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of nontrivial isotopy classes of simple loops on F , and let $i : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote the minimum geometric intersection number.*

Let $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$, and let K_β denote the corresponding knot in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then we have

$$(1.2) \quad \delta(K_\beta) \geq \frac{1}{160} I(F, \beta)$$

where we define $I(F, \beta) := \min_{\alpha \in U} i(\alpha, \beta)$, where U is the set of all $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ which bound a PL embedded disk whose interior is disjoint from F .

Some calculation shows that $\delta(T_{p,q})$ is bounded above by a constant times

$$(1.3) \quad \min \left\{ |xp| + |yq| \mid xp + yq = 1, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \right\}$$

(indeed, the standard embedding on a torus of revolution of the correct dimensions achieves this). Thus for some families of torus knots (e.g. the $(p, kp+1)$ -torus knots for fixed k), the bound in Theorem 1.1 is sharp up to a constant factor. In particular, Theorem 1.3 gives the best possible asymptotics in terms of $I(F, \beta)$, up to a constant factor. However, to the author's knowledge, there are no known embeddings of the torus knots which achieve distortion smaller than a constant times (1.3), which is clearly at least $\max(p, q)$. Thus one would like to improve Theorem 1.1 substantially. For instance, surely $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \delta(T_{2,p}) = \infty$, though at present it seems that a significant new idea would be needed before our methods would yield such a result.

Despite the simplicity of (1.1), very little is known about the distortion of knots, especially if one is interested in lower bounds. Gromov showed that for any simple closed curve γ , we have $\delta(\gamma) \geq \frac{1}{2}\pi$, with equality if and only if γ is a circle, thus determining $\delta(\text{unknot})$. Denne and Sullivan [2] have shown that for any nontrivial tame knot K , we have $\delta(K) \geq \frac{5}{3}\pi$.

The principal difficulty in dealing with the distortion is that it is not *coercive*; that is, $\delta(\gamma) < \infty$ does not imply nice regularity properties of γ . As a result, even if γ has finite distortion, it may still be knotted at arbitrarily small length scales. The “remarkable simple closed curve” of Fox [3] is an illuminating example of a wild knot with finite distortion, and it is easy to observe (see, e.g., Gromov [5, p. 308]) that there is some finite threshold of the distortion under which there are infinitely many tame knots (even prime ones). It is also worth remarking that if we let $\delta_{\text{PL}}(K)$ equal the infimal distortion over all polygonal representatives of K , then it is an open question whether or not $\delta_{\text{PL}}(K) = \delta(K)$ for all tame knots K . Because of the possibility of pathological embeddings with small distortion, it is also an open problem to establish the existence of minimizers of δ in any nontrivial knot class. It is not clear how much information can be obtained from calculus of variations (e.g. as applied by Mullikin [10]), the main difficulty being that (1.1) is a supremum, not an average.

It is perhaps relevant to contrast the situation when an “energy functional” is coercive. For example, suppose one can derive an *a priori* $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimate in

terms of the value of an energy functional on a curve. Since $C^{1,\alpha}$ curves are tame, we conclude that finite energy implies tameness. If in addition the energy functional blows up for nonembedded curves (and depends continuously on the input curve), a straightforward compactness argument (Arzelà-Ascoli) shows the existence of energy minimizers in any tame knot class, as well as the finiteness of the number of knot classes with energy less than any finite threshold. As a typical example of a functional to which this applies, we cite the knot energies e_j^p of O'Hara [12], [13] in the range $jp > 2$. Freedman, He, and Wang [4] have studied e_2 (the "Möbius energy") in detail, where the analysis is much more delicate since this is the critical case $jp = 2$, and only a weaker form of coercivity holds. It is natural to interpret the limit e_0^∞ as the logarithm of the distortion; however, as noted above, the distortion is not coercive, and thus the basic methods used to deal with e_j^p apparently do not apply.

1.1. *Acknowledgements.* Most thanks is owed to David Gabai, whose suggestion has led to a significantly improved estimate over the author's original $\Omega([I(F, \beta) / \log I(F, \beta)]^{1/3})$, as well as to a much simplified proof. The author is grateful to David Gabai and Conan Wu for their interest in the result and their discussions about it with the author. The author also thanks Greg Kuperberg and John Sullivan for many useful comments on an earlier version of this paper. Detailed and insightful comments from the referee have also been very helpful in improving the clarity of this paper. The author first found out about this problem while reading a problem list compiled by Mohammad Ghomi.

2. Proofs

We shall prove Theorem 1.3 by contradiction. Specifically, we shall show that if the desired inequality is violated, then there exist arbitrarily small regions of \mathbb{R}^3 whose intersection with F has a connected component of genus g (recall g is the genus of F). An outline of the proof is as follows.

We rely on two purely topological lemmas. Perhaps the key to the proof is Lemma 2.3, which states, roughly speaking, that if one has a suitably generic family of embedded spheres $\{S_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$, and each of these spheres has *inessential* intersection with F , then the component of $F \setminus S_t$ with genus g must stay in a single connected component of $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S_t$ as t varies. A second essential fact is encapsulated in Lemma 2.5, which says that if a sphere intersects β fewer than $I(F, \beta)$ times, then its intersection with F must be inessential.

These two purely topological facts are combined with geometric information (i.e. the distortion) as follows. Suppose that the portion of F contained in some region of \mathbb{R}^3 , say the ball $B(\mathbf{0}, 1)$, has a connected component of genus g . Counting the number of intersections between β and the sphere $S(\mathbf{0}, r)$ gives a function of r , and the integral of this function over $r \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ is less

than or equal to the length of β contained in $B(\mathbf{0}, 1 + \varepsilon)$. This length is bounded in terms of the distortion $\delta(\beta)$, so we find that there exists some $r_1 \in (1, 1 + \varepsilon)$ such that $\#(\beta \cap S(\mathbf{0}, r_1)) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\delta(\beta)$ (we will ignore constants in this paragraph). By a similar argument, we find $s_1 \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ such that $\#(\beta \cap \{z = s_1\} \cap B(\mathbf{0}, r_1)) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\delta(\beta)$. The crucial step is as follows: We consider a family of spheres $\{S_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$, defined by starting with $S(\mathbf{0}, r_1)$ and performing a 2-surgery along the disk $\{z = s_1\} \cap B(\mathbf{0}, r_1)$. By construction, $\#(S_t \cap \beta) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\delta(\beta)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. If $\varepsilon^{-1}\delta(\beta) < I(F, \beta)$, then by Lemma 2.5, S_t has only inessential intersections with F for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, the portion of F contained in one of the two half-spheres which comprise S_1 must have a connected component of genus g . In summary, we have started with a region in \mathbb{R}^3 whose intersection with F has a connected component of genus g , and under the assumption $\delta(\beta) < \varepsilon \cdot I(F, \beta)$, we have produced a smaller region with the same property. Iterating this construction yields arbitrarily small such regions, a contradiction. Thus $\delta(\beta) \geq \varepsilon \cdot I(F, \beta)$ and Theorem 1.3 is proved.

The topological portions of the proof appear first, in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, which contain Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 respectively. In Section 2.3, we formalize the sphere cutting procedure from the previous paragraph in terms of “double bubbles” (this is still purely topological). Then in Section 2.4, we use integral geometry as above to relate the distortion with intersection counts, and thus finish the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We shall do all the necessary topology in the piecewise-linear (PL) category. To handle arbitrary topological embeddings of the knot, we appeal to the Moise-Bing triangulation theorem to convert the relevant topology to the PL category. The referee has pointed out that by working in the PL category instead of the smooth category, we could avoid the use of Munkres’ theorem [11] on approximating PL homeomorphisms with diffeomorphisms.

2.1. *Embedded surfaces and families of spheres.*

Definition 2.1. A simple closed curve on a closed surface Σ is called *inessential* if and only if it bounds a disk in Σ ; otherwise it is called *essential*.

Definition 2.2. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a disjoint union of embedded spheres, then we denote by $\text{int}(S)$ (the “interior” of S) the collection of components of $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S$ which are separated from infinity by an odd number of components of S .

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a PL embedded closed surface of genus $g \geq 1$. Let $\{S_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be a PL one-parameter family of embedded surfaces, with the property that S_t is a disjoint union of embedded spheres transverse to F , except for finitely many values of $t \in (0, 1)$, when either S_t or $S_t \cap F$ undergoes a single surgery. Suppose that $S_t \cap F$ consists solely of curves*

inessential in F whenever S_t is transverse to F . If $F \cap \text{int}(S_0)$ has a connected component of genus g , then so does $F \cap \text{int}(S_1)$.

Proof. At any time t when S_t is a disjoint union of spheres transverse to F , we know that $F \cap S_t$ consists solely of inessential curves, and thus $F \setminus S_t$ has a connected component of genus g . Furthermore, for such values of t , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{im}(H_1(F \cap \text{int}(S_t), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(F, \mathbb{Q})) = \begin{cases} 2g & g(F \cap \text{int}(S_t)) = g \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Doing any of the allowed surgeries can change this value by at most 1, so since it always equals either $2g$ or 0 , we conclude that it must be constant. Thus the lemma follows. \square

2.2. *An obstruction to essential intersections.*

Definition 2.4. For a PL embedded closed surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, let \mathcal{S} denote the set of nontrivial isotopy classes of simple loops on F , and let $i : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote the minimum geometric intersection number. For $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$, we define

$$(2.2) \quad I(F, \beta) := \min_{\alpha \in \mathcal{U}} i(\alpha, \beta),$$

where \mathcal{U} is the set of all $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ which bound a PL embedded disk whose interior is disjoint from F .

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a PL embedded closed surface of genus $g \geq 1$ which contains a PL simple closed curve β . Let S be a PL embedded sphere which is transverse to F and β , and which satisfies $|S \cap \beta| < I(F, \beta)$. Then every curve in $S \cap F$ is inessential in F .*

Proof. Suppose for sake of contradiction that $S \cap F$ contains an essential curve. Consider the set of all such essential curves as a collection of curves on S , and let α be any such curve that is innermost (i.e. bounds a disk in S which contains no other essential curves). Then by definition α bounds a disk D whose interior intersection with F consists solely of inessential curves. Considering such an inessential curve which is innermost in F , it is easy to see that we can modify D so as to eliminate this intersection, while keeping D embedded. Proceeding in this way, we may eliminate all the intersections of the interior of D with F , and thus conclude that α bounds a PL embedded disk whose interior is disjoint from F . Then by definition of $I(F, \beta)$, we have $|\alpha \cap \beta| \geq I(F, \beta)$, which contradicts the assumption $|S \cap \beta| < I(F, \beta)$. Thus we are done. \square

2.3. *Double bubbles with few intersections with β .*

Definition 2.6. A *double bubble* is a 2-complex (S, D) consisting of a sphere S and a disk D glued along its boundary to a simple loop in S . The curve

$\partial D \subseteq S$ thus divides S into two disks, and gluing either one of these disks to D along their common boundary, we get two spheres, which we refer to as the two halves of the double bubble.

When we say that a double bubble (S, D) is embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , it is required that D is contained in $\text{int}(S)$.

LEMMA 2.7. *Suppose that $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a PL embedded closed surface of genus $g \geq 1$ which contains a PL simple closed curve β . Let (S, D) be a double bubble PL embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let H_1 and H_2 denote the two halves of the double bubble. Suppose that (S, D) is transverse to F and β , and that*

$$(2.3) \quad |S \cap \beta| + 2|D \cap \beta| < I(F, \beta).$$

If $F \cap \text{int}(S)$ has a connected component of genus g , then so does $F \cap \text{int}(H_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Let $\{S_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a PL one-parameter family of embedded spheres, defined by starting with $S_0 = S$ and performing a 2-surgery along D as t varies from 0 to 1. Putting this family in general position, we see that S_t is transverse to F except for a finite number of values of $t \in (0, 1)$ at which $S_t \cap F$ undergoes a single surgery. By construction, we have

$$|S_t \cap \beta| \leq |S \cap \beta| + 2|D \cap \beta| < I(F, \beta).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.5, every curve in $S_t \cap F$ is inessential in F (for those times t when S_t is transverse to F). Now the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied, and by assumption, $F \cap \text{int}(S_0)$ has a connected component of genus g . Thus we conclude that $F \cap \text{int}(S_1)$ has a connected component of genus g . This component is contained in the interior of one of the two spheres that comprise S_1 , and these two spheres are in turn contained in H_1 and H_2 respectively. Thus $F \cap \text{int}(H_i)$ has a connected component of genus g for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. \square

For technical reasons in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we shall not be able to ensure that the relevant double bubble is PL at the points where it intersects β . We thus need to prove a version of Lemma 2.7 which allows such double bubbles. We shall need the following lemma, which shows how to straighten the double bubble without increasing its intersections with β .

LEMMA 2.8. *Fix $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Suppose that $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a PL embedded surface containing p , and suppose that β is a simple PL curve on F passing through p . Let U be an open embedded surface such that $U \cap \beta = \{p\}$ and $U \setminus \{p\}$ is PL. Then there exists a perturbation U' of U , supported in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of p , such that U' is PL embedded, intersects β at most once, and is transverse to F and β in a neighborhood of its intersection with β .*

Proof. Let T be a small tubular neighborhood of β in a neighborhood of p , chosen so that ∂T is transverse to U . Any curve in $U \cap \partial T$ that is inessential and innermost in ∂T may be eliminated by locally modifying U . After all such modifications, $U \cap \partial T$ consists only of meridians of ∂T . If $U \cap \partial T = \emptyset$, then we are done. Otherwise, observe that any such circle which is innermost in U must correspond to an intersection $U \cap \beta$. Since there is only one such intersection, there is only one innermost circle. Thus the circles $U \cap \beta$ are totally ordered by containment (they are isotopic to concentric circles). Pick the outermost circle α on U . This is a meridian of ∂T and as such clearly bounds a PL disk which intersects β once and is transverse to F and β . Gluing this disk into U in place of whatever is inside α , we are done. \square

LEMMA 2.9. *Suppose that $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a PL embedded closed surface of genus $g \geq 1$ which contains a PL simple closed curve β . Let (S, D) be a double bubble embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let H_1 and H_2 denote its two halves. Assume that (S, D) is PL away from its intersections with β and that $\partial D \cap \beta = \emptyset$. Suppose that*

$$(2.4) \quad |S \cap \beta| + 2|D \cap \beta| < I(F, \beta).$$

If $F \cap \text{int}(S)$ has a connected component of genus g , then so does $F \cap (B(\varepsilon) + \text{int}(H_i))$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ (where $B(\varepsilon) + \text{int}(H_i)$ denotes the ε -neighborhood of $\text{int}(H_i)$).

Proof. First, apply Lemma 2.8 to each intersection of (S, D) with β to construct an arbitrarily small perturbation (S', D') which is PL, still satisfies (2.4), and is transverse to F and β in a neighborhood of its intersections with β . Second, put (S', D') in general position to achieve transversality with F everywhere, and call the resulting arbitrarily small perturbation (S'', D'') . At this point, (S'', D'') satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.7.

Since $F \cap \text{int}(S)$ is an open surface, the property of it having a connected component of genus g is preserved under sufficiently small perturbations of S . Thus we may assume that $F \cap \text{int}(S'')$ has a connected component of genus g . Then applying Lemma 2.7, we conclude that $F \cap \text{int}(H''_i)$ has a connected component of genus g for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Our perturbations can be arbitrarily small, so the lemma follows. \square

2.4. *Integral geometry and the proof of Theorem 1.3.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By hypothesis, we are given a PL curve β on a surface F PL embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 . We will prove that if β^* is isotopic to β , then $\delta(\beta^*) \geq \frac{1}{160}I(F, \beta)$.

By assumption, there is a homeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ which sends β to β^* . It is a fundamental fact of three-dimensional topology (a result of

Moise [9] and later Bing [1]) that homeomorphisms of three-manifolds can be approximated by PL homeomorphisms (see also Hamilton [7] for a modern proof based on the methods of Kirby and Siebenmann [8]). Specifically, we apply [1, p. 62, Th. 8] to modify $\psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \beta^*$ so that it is a PL homeomorphism. We let F^* denote the image of F under ψ . In symbols, we have a homeomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (F, \beta) &\mapsto (F^*, \beta^*) \end{aligned}$$

and the restriction $\psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \beta^*$ is PL. This modification of ψ is necessary in order to satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2.9, which we will eventually apply.

Let $\text{Box}(r)$ denote the set $\{|x| < r, |y| < 2^{1/3}r, |z| < 2^{2/3}r\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . We will make use of the convenient fact that the plane $\{z = 0\}$ divides $\text{Box}(r)$ into two copies of $\text{Box}(2^{-1/3}r)$ (this is, however, not crucial for our method of proof; scaled copies of any convex set K could be used in place of Box ; we just might have to cut K into more pieces to make every piece fit in a smaller copy of K). Now we define the (clearly nonempty) set

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{R} := \left\{ r > 0 \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists an open subset of } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ congruent to } \text{Box}(r) \\ \text{whose intersection with } F^* \text{ has a connected compo-} \\ \text{nent of genus } g \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

We will show that if $\delta(\beta^*) < \frac{1}{160}I(F, \beta)$, then $(1 - \delta)\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ for some $\delta > 0$. This contradicts the obvious fact that $\inf \mathcal{R} > 0$ and thus finishes the proof.

Suppose $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}$; our aim will be to show that $(1 - \delta)r_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ for some $\delta > 0$ (independent of r_0). We may assume without loss of generality that $\text{Box}(r_0) \cap F^*$ has a connected component of genus g and that $r_0 = 1$. Fix some $\varepsilon > 0$. We begin with the following integral geometric estimate:

$$(2.7) \quad \int_1^{1+\varepsilon} \#(\beta^* \cap \partial \text{Box}(r)) \, dr \leq \text{Length}(\beta^* \cap \text{Box}(1 + \varepsilon)).$$

Observe now that we may bound the right-hand side by $10(1 + \varepsilon)\delta(\beta^*)$ (pick any point $p \in \beta^* \cap \text{Box}(1 + \varepsilon)$; then any other point $q \in \beta^* \cap \text{Box}(1 + \varepsilon)$ satisfies $|p - q| \leq 2(1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{1 + 2^{2/3} + 2^{4/3}} < 5(1 + \varepsilon)$, and thus is within distance $5(1 + \varepsilon)\delta(\beta^*)$ of p along β^*). Thus we have

$$(2.8) \quad \int_1^{1+\varepsilon} \#(\beta^* \cap \partial \text{Box}(r)) \, dr \leq 10(1 + \varepsilon)\delta(\beta^*).$$

Hence there exists $r_1 \in (1, 1 + \varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad \#(\beta^* \cap \partial \text{Box}(r_1)) \leq 10(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\delta(\beta^*).$$

Similarly, let us intersect β^* with the planes $\{z = s\}$ (dividing the long dimension of $\text{Box}(r_1)$ roughly in half) and write

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \#(\beta^* \cap \text{Box}(r_1) \cap \{z = s\}) ds \leq \text{Length}(\beta^* \cap \text{Box}(r_1)) \leq 10(1 + \varepsilon)\delta(\beta^*).$$

As above, we find $s_1 \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad \#(\beta^* \cap \text{Box}(r_1) \cap \{z = s_1\}) \leq 5(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\delta(\beta^*).$$

For technical convenience (to satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2.9), we shall also assume that $\{z = s_1\}$ is disjoint from $\beta^* \cap \partial \text{Box}(r_1)$ (at worst this disqualifies a finite number of values of s).

Now define the double bubble $(S^*, D^*) := (\partial \text{Box}(r_1), \{z = s_1\} \cap \text{Box}(r_1))$, and denote by H_1^* and H_2^* the two half-boxes into which D^* divides S^* . By construction, we have

$$(2.12) \quad |S^* \cap \beta^*| + 2|D^* \cap \beta^*| = \#(\beta^* \cap \partial \text{Box}(r_1)) + 2 \cdot \#(\beta^* \cap \{z = s_1\} \cap \text{Box}(r_1)) \leq 20(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\delta(\beta^*).$$

Now if

$$20(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\delta(\beta^*) < I(F, \beta),$$

then we may apply Lemma 2.9 to $(\varphi^{-1}(S^*), \varphi^{-1}(D^*))$ and conclude that for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $\eta > 0$, the surface $F \cap (B(\eta) + \text{int}(\varphi^{-1}(H_i^*)))$ has a connected component of genus g . Of course, this implies that $F^* \cap (B(\eta) + \text{int}(H_i^*))$ has a connected component of genus g for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $\eta > 0$. By construction, each H_i^* is *strictly* contained in a congruent image of $\text{Box}(2^{-1/3}(1 + \varepsilon) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$, so we have shown that

$$(2.13) \quad 20(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\delta(\beta^*) < I(F, \beta) \implies \left(2^{-1/3}(1 + \varepsilon) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}.$$

One easily calculates that for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{7}$, we have $2^{-1/3}(1 + \varepsilon) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < 1$, and so the right-hand side would contradict the fact that $\inf \mathcal{R} > 0$. Thus we have $160 \cdot \delta(\beta^*) \geq I(F, \beta)$, as was to be shown. \square

References

- [1] R. H. BING, An alternative proof that 3-manifolds can be triangulated, *Ann. of Math.* **69** (1959), 37–65. MR 0100841. Zbl 0106.16604. doi: 10.2307/1970092.
- [2] E. DENNE and J. M. SULLIVAN, The distortion of a knotted curve, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), 1139–1148. MR 2457456. Zbl 1179.53003. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09705-0.
- [3] R. H. FOX, A remarkable simple closed curve, *Ann. of Math.* **50** (1949), 264–265. MR 0030745. Zbl 0033.13603. doi: 10.2307/1969450.

- [4] M. H. FREEDMAN, Z.-X. HE, and Z. WANG, Möbius energy of knots and unknots, *Ann. of Math.* **139** (1994), 1–50. MR 1259363. Zbl 0817.57011. doi: 10.2307/2946626.
- [5] M. GROMOV, Homotopical effects of dilatation, *J. Differential Geom.* **13** (1978), 303–310. MR 0551562. Zbl 0427.58010. Available at <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214434601>.
- [6] ———, Filling Riemannian manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **18** (1983), 1–147. MR 0697984. Zbl 0515.53037. Available at <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214509283>.
- [7] A. J. S. HAMILTON, The triangulation of 3-manifolds, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **27** (1976), 63–70. MR 0407848. Zbl 0318.57003. doi: 10.1093/qmath/27.1.63.
- [8] R. C. KIRBY and L. C. SIEBENMANN, *Foundational Essays on Topological Manifolds, Smoothings, and Triangulations*, with notes by J. Milnor and M. Atiyah, *Ann. of Math. Studies* **88**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1977. MR 0645390. Zbl 0361.57004.
- [9] E. E. MOISE, Affine structures in 3-manifolds. V. The triangulation theorem and Hauptvermutung, *Ann. of Math.* **56** (1952), 96–114. MR 0048805. Zbl 0048.17102. doi: 10.2307/1969769.
- [10] C. A. S. MULLIKIN, A class of curves in every knot type where chords of high distortion are common, *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), 2697–2708. MR 2340952. Zbl 1139.57006. doi: 10.1016/j.topol.2007.05.003.
- [11] J. MUNKRES, Obstructions to the smoothing of piecewise-differentiable homeomorphisms, *Ann. of Math.* **72** (1960), 521–554. MR 0121804. Zbl 0108.18101. doi: 10.2307/1970228.
- [12] J. O’HARA, Family of energy functionals of knots, *Topology Appl.* **48** (1992), 147–161. MR 1195506. Zbl 0769.57006. doi: 10.1016/0166-8641(92)90023-S.
- [13] ———, Energy functionals of knots. II, *Topology Appl.* **56** (1994), 45–61. MR 1261169. Zbl 0996.57503. doi: 10.1016/0166-8641(94)90108-2.

(Received: December 20, 2010)

(Revised: February 16, 2011)

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ
E-mail: jpardon@princeton.edu