ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS

Functional equations for zeta functions of groups and rings

By Christopher Voll



SECOND SERIES, VOL. 172, NO. 2 September, 2010

ANMAAH

Functional equations for zeta functions of groups and rings

By CHRISTOPHER VOLL

Abstract

We introduce a new method to compute explicit formulae for various zeta functions associated to groups and rings. The specific form of these formulae enables us to deduce local functional equations. More precisely, we prove local functional equations for the subring zeta functions associated to rings, the subgroup, conjugacy and representation zeta functions of finitely generated, torsion-free nilpotent (or \mathcal{T} -)groups, and the normal zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups of class 2. We deduce our theorems from a "blueprint result" on certain *p*-adic integrals which generalises work of Denef and others on Igusa's local zeta function. The Malcev correspondence and a Kirillov-type theory developed by Howe are used to "linearise" the problems of counting subgroups and representations in \mathcal{T} -groups, respectively.

1. Introduction

Zeta functions of groups were introduced by Grunewald, Segal and Smith in the 1980s as a tool to study the subgroup growth of finitely generated groups. In [14] the zeta function of a finitely generated group G was defined as the Dirichlet series

(1)
$$\zeta_G(s) = \sum_{H \le G} |G:H|^{-s}$$

where *s* is a complex variable and the sum ranges over the finite index subgroups of *G*. Grunewald, Segal and Smith derived results on the zeta functions of finitely generated, torsion-free nilpotent (or \mathcal{T} -)groups *G*, and went on to consider variants of (1). These include a \mathcal{T} -group's *normal* zeta function counting only normal subgroups of finite index, and the *conjugacy* zeta function counting subgroups up to conjugacy. They also developed an analogous theory for rings. (In the current paper, by a ring we mean a finitely generated abelian group with a bi-additive product.) The *ideal* zeta function of a ring, for instance, generalises the classical Dedekind zeta function of a number field. Much of the subsequent developments in the theory of zeta functions of groups and rings are documented in the monograph [25] and in the report [9].

Only comparatively recently, Hrushovski and Martin ([17]) started to investigate *representation* zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups, enumerating (twist-isoclasses of) finite-dimensional complex representations.

All the zeta functions mentioned so far have the property that they satisfy an *Euler product* decomposition into local factors, indexed by the primes. For example, for a \mathcal{T} -group G we have

$$\zeta_G(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{G,p}(s),$$

where $\zeta_{G,p}(s) = \sum_{H \leq_p G} |G:H|^{-s}$ enumerates finite *p*-power index subgroups. All these local zeta functions are known to be rational functions in the parameter p^{-s} with integer coefficients ([14], [17]). In many cases they exhibit a remarkable symmetry: For instance, it had been observed (cf., e.g., [9]) that the local factors of all zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups *G* for which explicit formulae are known satisfy a *local functional equation* of the form

(2)
$$\zeta_{G,p}(s)|_{p \to p^{-1}} = (-1)^a p^{b-cs} \zeta_{G,p}(s),$$

for almost all primes p and suitable integers a, b, c depending only on the Hirsch length of G. Here $p \rightarrow p^{-1}$ denotes a formal inversion of the local parameter p, which we shall now explain.

The prime example is the case of $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$. It is known from [14, Prop. 1.1] that

$$\zeta_G(s) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta(s-i),$$

where $\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$ is the Riemann zeta function. The functional equation

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1-p^{-(i-s)}} = (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2}-ns} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1-p^{i-s}}$$

of the local factor at the prime p is easily seen to hold for all primes. Here, as well as in all other cases in which explicit formulae are known to date, the local zeta functions $\zeta_{G,p}(s)$ are in fact rational functions in p^{-s} and p, and the left-hand side of (2) denotes the rational function obtained by formally inverting both of these two parameters. This "uniformity" in the prime p, however, is not typical: Results of du Sautoy and Grunewald ([8]) show that the dependence of the local (normal) zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups on the primes will, in general, reflect the variation of the number of \mathbb{F}_p -points of certain algebraic varieties defined over \mathbb{F}_p , which may be far from polynomial in the prime p (see also [6] and [7]). In [32] we produced examples of normal zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups of nilpotency class 2 (or \mathcal{T}_2 -groups) which exhibit functional equations similar to (2) and which are not

uniform. Indeed, the local factors of these zeta functions are rational functions in p^{-s} , whose coefficients involve the numbers $b_V(p)$ of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points of certain smooth projective varieties V over \mathbb{F}_p which are, in general, not polynomials in p. By the Weil conjectures, these numbers may be expressed as alternating sums of Frobenius eigenvalues. The operation $p \to p^{-1}$ is performed by inverting these eigenvalues. In the special ("uniform") case that the $b_V(p)$ are in fact polynomials in p, this specialises to an inversion of the prime p.

Only a single example of a representation zeta function of a \mathcal{T} -group seems to have appeared in print so far: In [17] Hrushovski and Martin derive a formula for the representation zeta function of the discrete Heisenberg group in terms of the Riemann zeta function and its inverse (cf. Example 1.2).

According to du Sautoy and Segal, to find an explanation for the phenomenon of local functional equations for zeta functions of groups and rings is "one of the most intriguing open problems in this area" ([11, p. 274]). In the current paper we prove that local functional equations hold for (almost all factors of) the following zeta functions:

- (A) zeta functions of rings (and, as a corollary, of \mathcal{T} -groups),
- (B) conjugacy zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups,
- (C) normal zeta functions of \mathcal{T}_2 -groups and
- (D) representation zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups.

By proving (A) and (C) we solve Problems 5.1 and 5.2 posed in [9]. In its given generality, (C) is best possible: It is known that the normal zeta functions of nilpotent groups of class 3 may or may not satisfy local functional equations (cf. [13]). To determine the exact scope of this intriguing symmetry for ideal zeta functions of rings remains a challenging open problem.

We achieve our results by showing that all of the above-mentioned zeta functions may be expressed in terms of certain *p*-adic integrals, generalising Igusa's local zeta function. Given a nonconstant polynomial $f(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$, its associated Igusa local zeta function is the *p*-adic integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^m} |f(\mathbf{y})|^s |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}|,$$

where *s* is a complex variable, || stands for the *p*-adic absolute value and $|d\mathbf{y}|$ denotes the (additive) Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_p^m , the affine *m*-space over the *p*-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p . This *p*-adic integral is closely related to the Poincaré series counting *p*-adic points on the hypersurface defined by *f* (cf. [4]). We prove functional equations for these integrals by generalising results by Denef and others on Igusa's local zeta function.

The integrals considered in the present paper are quite different from the "cone integrals" introduced by du Sautoy and Grunewald in [8] (see Section 1.2 for further details).

1.1. Detailed statement of results. Let L be a ring. Its (subring) zeta function is defined to be the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_L(s) = \sum_{H \le L} |L:H|^{-s},$$

where the sum ranges over all subrings H of finite index in L, and s is a complex variable. This zeta function decomposes naturally as an Euler product, indexed by the primes:

$$\zeta_L(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{L,p}(s),$$

where $\zeta_{L,p}(s) = \zeta_{L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}(s)$. Grunewald, Segal and Smith proved in [14] that each local factor is a rational function in p^{-s} with integral coefficients. Our first main theorem is

THEOREM A. Let L be a ring of torsion-free rank n. Then there are smooth projective varieties V_t , $t \in \{1, ..., m\}$, defined over \mathbb{Q} , and rational functions $W_t(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$ such that for almost all primes p the following hold.

(a) Denoting by $b_t(p)$ the number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points of $\overline{V_t}$, the reduction mod p of V_t , we have

(3)
$$\zeta_{L,p}(s) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} b_t(p) W_t(p, p^{-s}).$$

(b) Setting $b_t(p^{-1}) := p^{-\dim(V_t)}b_t(p)$ the following functional equation holds:

(4)
$$\zeta_{L,p}(s)|_{p \to p^{-1}} = (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2} - ns} \zeta_{L,p}(s).$$

The novelty of this result is that it allows us to deduce the equations (4). In [8], du Sautoy and Grunewald gave formulae akin to (3) for, *inter alia*, the local factors of $\zeta_L(s)$. Their proof depends on a representation of local zeta functions through certain *p*-adic integrals called "cone integrals" which in general will not satisfy functional equations like (4). See [13, Ch. 4] for a discussion of functional equations for cone integrals.

Given a ring L we cannot, in general, pin down the primes p which have to be excluded in Theorem A. On the other hand, any prime p will be amongst the primes for which Theorem A, applied to the ring pL, makes no assertion.

We also note that the definition in part (b) of Theorem A is consistent with our explanation above of the operation $p \rightarrow p^{-1}$. Indeed, by the Weil conjectures, the numbers $b_t(p)$ may be expressed as alternating sums of Frobenius eigenvalues. These complex numbers satisfy certain symmetries which are reflected by the functional equations for the Weil zeta functions of the varieties $\overline{V_t}$. Had the expressions $b_t(p^{-1})$ been defined as the numbers obtained from inverting these eigenvalues, the identities $b_t(p^{-1}) = p^{-\dim(V_t)}b_t(p)$ would follow from these symmetries (see the remarks preceding Theorem 2.3 for details). *Example* 1.1. Theorem A applies in particular to the zeta functions of "simple" Lie algebras over \mathbb{Z} such as $\mathfrak{sl}(d, \mathbb{Z})$. The only such Lie algebra for which a functional equation as in (4) had been previously established is $L = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (cf. $[12]^1$):

$$\zeta_L(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-1)\zeta(2s-1)\zeta(2s-2)(1+3\cdot 2^{1-2s}-2^{3-3s})\prod_{p\neq 2} (1-p^{1-3s}).$$

In fact, $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ seems to be the only nonsoluble Lie ring whose subring zeta function has been computed explicitly. Note that the functional equation fails for p = 2. A similar phenomenon may occur if one studies the zeta function of a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra: In [21] Klopsch computes the zeta function of a maximal \mathbb{Z}_p -order in a central simple \mathbb{Q}_p -division algebra of index 2. The fact that this zeta function does not satisfy a functional equation of the form (4) reflects the fact that it is not the "generic" local factor of the zeta function of a ring.

Klopsch and the present author have unified and generalised the above examples. In [22] we gave a formula for the zeta function of an arbitrary 3-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_p -Lie algebra, based on the proof of Theorem A.

An important corollary of Theorem A is to the theory of zeta functions of finitely generated, torsion-free nilpotent (or \mathcal{T} -) groups. In [14, Th. 4.1] it was shown that, given a \mathcal{T} -group G of Hirsch length n, there is a Lie ring L = L(G), lying as a full \mathbb{Z} -lattice in an n-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(G)$ over \mathbb{Q} such that for almost all primes p

(5)
$$\zeta_{G,p}(s) = \zeta_{L,p}(s).$$

Thus we obtain

COROLLARY 1.1. Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group of Hirsch length n. For all but finitely many primes p

$$\zeta_{G,p}(s)|_{p \to p^{-1}} = (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2} - ns} \zeta_{G,p}(s).$$

Theorem A is itself an instance of an application of a "reciprocity" result (Corollary 2.3 to Theorem 2.3) establishing certain functional equations for a family of *p*-adic integrals. Theorem 2.3 may be viewed as a generalisation of Stanley's "reciprocity theorem for linear homogeneous diophantine equations" ([29, Th. 4.6.14]), which we now briefly explain. Given a set of simultaneous linear homogeneous diophantine equations in *n* indeterminates, say, one may encode their nonnegative (positive) solutions in a rational generating function $E(\mathbf{x})$ ($\overline{E}(\mathbf{x})$, respectively), where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a vector of formal variables. More precisely, one defines

$$E(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \cap \mathscr{C}} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \text{ and } \overline{E}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \cap \mathscr{C}} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}.$$

¹The denominator of $P(2^{-s})$ in [9, Eq. (7)] should read $1 - 2^{1-3s}$.

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$, $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and \mathscr{C} is the cone of all nonnegative *real* solutions to the given set of equations. Stanley's reciprocity theorem states that, if $\overline{E}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then

$$\overline{E}(1/\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{\dim \mathscr{C}} E(\mathbf{x}).$$

Our generalisation is obtained using (a variant of) Stanley's result and an explicit formula of the form (3) for the integrals in question (Corollary 2.1 of Theorem 2.2). This formula in turn is inspired by and generalises work of Denef ([3]), Denef and Meuser ([5]) and Veys and Zúñiga-Galindo ([30]) on Igusa's local zeta function. While Igusa's local zeta functions associated to homogeneous polynomial mappings may be expressed as integrals over projective space, the *p*-adic integrals considered in the current paper reduce to integrals over the complete flag variety GL_n/B , where *B* is a Borel subgroup. In a sense this explains the factor $p^{\binom{n}{2}}$ in (4).

A variant of the problem of counting subgroups consists in counting subgroups only up to conjugacy. Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group. The conjugacy zeta function of a \mathcal{T} -group G is defined as

$$\zeta_G^{\rm cc}(s) = \sum_{H \le G} |G:H|^{-s} |\mathscr{C}_G(H)|^{-1}$$

where $|\mathscr{C}_G(H)|$ is the size of the conjugacy class of H. It is known ([14, remark on p. 189]) that $\zeta_G^{cc}(s)$ also has an Euler product decomposition into local factors $\zeta_{G,p}^{cc}(s)$ which are all rational in p^{-s} . By applying the results of Section 2 we shall prove

THEOREM B. Let G be a T-group of Hirsch length n. For all but finitely many primes p

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\rm cc}(s)|_{p\to p^{-1}} = (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2}-ns} \zeta_{G,p}^{\rm cc}(s).$$

As a third application of our rather technical "blueprint result" Theorem 2.2 and its applications, we deduce functional equations for normal zeta functions of \mathcal{T}_2 -groups. The normal zeta function of a \mathcal{T} -group G is defined as

$$\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s) = \sum_{H \triangleleft G} |G:H|^{-s}$$

where the sum ranges over the *normal* subgroups H of finite index in G. It also satisfies an Euler product decomposition. We prove

THEOREM C. Let G be a \mathcal{T}_2 -group of Hirsch length n with centre Z(G) such that G/Z(G) has torsion-free rank d. For all but finitely many primes p

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s)|_{p \to p^{-1}} = (-1)^n p^{\binom{n}{2} - (d+n)s} \zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$$

As mentioned above, a functional equation may or may not hold for normal zeta functions of class greater than three. See [13, Ch. 2] for examples and [13, Th. 4.44] for a conjectural form in case it does hold.

The fourth and last application in this paper of Theorem 2.2 and its consequences is concerned with *representation* zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups, which we shall now explain. Given a \mathcal{T} -group G, we denote by $R_n(G)$ the set of *n*-dimensional irreducible (complex) characters of G. Given $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in R_n(G)$, we say that σ_1 and σ_2 are *twist-equivalent* if there exists a linear character $\chi \in R_1(G)$ such that $\sigma_1 = \chi \sigma_2$. The classes of this equivalence relation are called twist-isoclasses. We say that a character σ of a representation ρ of G factors through a finite quotient of G if ρ factors through it. The set $R_n(G)$ has the structure of a quasi-affine complex algebraic variety whose geometry was analysed by Lubotzky and Magid in [24]. They proved

THEOREM ([24, Th. 6.6]). Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite quotient G(n) of G such that every n-dimensional irreducible character of G is twist-equivalent to one that factors through G(n). In particular, the number of twist-isoclasses of irreducible n-dimensional characters is finite.

Let us call this number a_n . The representation zeta function of G is defined (cf. [17]) by

$$\zeta_G^{\rm irr}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-s}.$$

It follows from the above theorem and [2, (10.33)] that the function $n \mapsto a_n$ is multiplicative and thus

$$\zeta_G^{\rm irr}(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{G,p}^{\rm irr}(s),$$

where

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\operatorname{irr}}(s) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{p^n} p^{-sn}.$$

Example 1.2 ([17, Ex. 8.12], [26, Th. 5]). Let

$$H = \langle x_1, x_2, y | [x_1, x_2] = y$$
, all other [,] trivial \rangle

be the discrete Heisenberg group. Then

$$\zeta_{H}^{\text{irr}}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(n) n^{-s} = \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1-p^{-s}}{1-p^{1-s}},$$

where ϕ denotes the Euler totient function.

By a model-theoretic result of Hrushovski and Martin [17, Th. 8.4], the local representation zeta functions of a \mathcal{T} -group are known to be rational functions in p^{-s} with integer coefficients. By expressing $\zeta_{G,p}^{\text{irr}}(s)$ in terms of *p*-adic integrals to which Theorem 2.2 is applicable we shall prove

THEOREM D. Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group with derived group G' = [G, G] of Hirsch length n. Then for almost all primes p

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\text{irr}}(s)|_{p \to p^{-1}} = p^n \zeta_{G,p}^{\text{irr}}(s)$$

1.2. Outline of methodology and related work. We briefly describe how we relate the problems solved in Theorems A, B, C and D to problems about *p*-adic integrals.

To count the subrings of p-power index in a ring L of rank n, we observe that it is enough to keep track of the index of the largest \mathbb{Z}_p -subalgebra of $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ in each given homothety class of lattices in the *p*-adic vector space \mathbb{Q}_p^n . Using the action of the group $\Gamma := \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on the set of homothety classes, we show that the latter problem reduces to counting polynomial congruences in finite quotients of Γ . This counting problem translates into the problem of computing a *p*-adic integral in very much the same fashion as the problem of counting polynomial congruences in affine space translates to the problem of computing Igusa's local zeta function (cf. [4, §1.2]). It proved helpful to think of homothety classes of lattices as the vertices of the Bruhat-Tits building of $SL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and to partition the vertex set into finitely many parts according to their position relative to the "root class" $[L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p]$. As we remarked above, this approach differs decisively from the "cone integrals" introduced by du Sautoy and Grunewald in [8]. Their analysis rests on a basis-dependent parametrisation of *p*-power index subrings of a given ring in terms of upper-triangular matrices over the *p*-adic integers satisfying certain divisibility conditions ("cone conditions").

To count subgroups up to conjugacy in a \mathcal{T} -group G we use the fact that, for almost all primes p,

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\rm cc}(s) = \zeta_{L,p}^{\rm cc}(s),$$

where L = L(G) is the Lie ring associated to G (cf. the remark preceding Corollary 1.1), and

$$\zeta_{L,p}^{\rm cc}(s) = \sum_{H \le L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p} |L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p : H|^{-s} |L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p : \mathcal{N}_{L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}(H)|^{-1}$$

where *H* ranges over the subalgebras of $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ of finite index and $\mathcal{N}_{L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}(H)$ is the normaliser of *H* in $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. We thus have to keep track both of the largest subring of $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ in each given homothety class and of the class' normaliser. The index of the latter is given by the index of a system of linear congruences. Enumerating these indices, in turn, may be achieved by counting the elementary divisors of matrices of linear forms, encoding the group's commutator structure. In Proposition 2.2 we show that, slightly more generally, the generating functions enumerating elementary divisors of matrices of polynomial forms of the same degree may be expressed in terms of *p*-adic integrals associated to degeneracy loci of these matrices, to which Corollary 2.4 is applicable.

In order to count normal subgroups in class-2-nilpotent groups we develop an idea first introduced in [31]. There it was shown that it suffices to evaluate a weight function on the set of homothety classes of lattices in the centre $Z(L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ of the \mathbb{Z}_p -Lie algebra $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, where L is the associated Lie ring. The weight associated

to a vertex in the appropriate affine Bruhat-Tits building corresponding to a given class is again given by the index of a system of linear congruences.

As mentioned above, the validity of our main Theorems A and C had been known in many special cases. We refer the reader to the numerous examples collected in [13]. In this research monograph du Sautoy and Woodward also present a conjecture on functional equations for cone integrals that would explain functional equations for normal zeta functions of nilpotent of class greater than 2.

The key to Theorem D is to use "Kirillov-theory" developed by Howe [16] to translate the problem of counting irreducible representations of G to the problem of counting co-adjoint orbits in the dual of the Lie algebra associated to G by the Malcev correspondence. We use the fact – also established by Howe – that the sizes of co-adjoint orbits may be expressed in terms of the indices of the radicals of certain anti-symmetric forms on the Lie algebra. These may also be described in terms of elementary divisors of matrices encoding the structure of the Lie algebra.

Representation zeta functions of nilpotent groups have not been studied until fairly recently, and [17] seems to be the only reference so far on this topic. The idea of using Kirillov-theory to study representation zeta functions of groups, however, has been successfully employed before. Jaikin-Zapirain proved in [19] the rationality of representation zeta functions for certain compact *p*-adic analytic groups using a Kirillov-type correspondence developed by Howe ([15]) for these groups. In [17] Hrushovski and Martin suggest that Jaikin-Zapirain's work may be adapted to prove rationality of local representation zeta functions for \mathcal{T} -groups, too.

Among the variants of the zeta function (1) of a \mathcal{T} -group G considered in [14] is also the zeta function $\zeta_G^{\wedge}(s)$, enumerating subgroups of finite index whose profinite completion is isomorphic to the profinite completion of G. In [10] du Sautoy and Lubotzky proved a functional equations for the local factors of $\zeta_G^{\wedge}(s)$ for a class of \mathcal{T} -groups. Their work is based on a reduction of the problem of computing these zeta functions to the problem of computing certain p-adic integrals over the group's algebraic automorphism group, generalising work of Igusa ([18]). The functional equation for the local factors of these zeta functions arises from a symmetry in the root systems of the associated Weyl groups. An argument of this kind (albeit only for the Weyl groups of type A) is also used in the present paper to deduce Corollary 2.3. We do not know whether the zeta functions $\zeta_G^{\wedge}(s)$ (for reasonably large classes of \mathcal{T} -groups) may be described by the p-adic integrals studied in the current paper. In [1] Berman extends the approach taken in [10], proving uniformity and local functional equations for these zeta functions for a wider class of nilpotent groups than previously considered.

The fact that we have to disregard finitely many primes in most of our results has two reasons: Firstly, our Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 upon which Theorem 2.3 and its corollaries are based are valid only for primes for which a certain principalisation of ideals has good reduction. Secondly, we are forced to ignore finitely many primes in order to transfer between the \mathcal{T} -group G and its associated Lie algebra.

1.3. Layout of the paper and notation. In Section 2 we first develop, in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, explicit formulae for certain families of p-adic integrals generalising Igusa's local zeta function. These two results – which may be understood as close analogues of Theorems 2 and 3 in [5] – form the technical core of the paper. We use them to establish, in Theorem 2.3, an "inversion property" enjoyed by the p-adic integrals considered. In Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4 we exploit this property to deduce functional equations for certain linear combinations of the p-adic integrals in question. The remainder of the paper is dedicated to showing how Theorem 2.2 may be used as a template to describe various kinds of zeta functions. In the second part of Section 2 we give a first application of this idea to the problem of counting elementary divisors of matrices of forms (Proposition 2.2). In the four subsections of Section 3 we prove Theorems A, B, C and D, respectively.

We use the following notation.

\mathbb{N}	the set $\{1, 2,\}$ of natural numbers
$I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}_{<}$	the set I of natural numbers $i_1 < \cdots < i_l$
I_0	the set $I \cup \{0\}$ for $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$
[k]	the set $\{1, \ldots, k\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$
[l,k]	the set $\{l, \ldots, k\}, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$
$\binom{a}{b}$	the binomial coefficient for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$
$\binom{a}{b}_X$	the polynomial $\prod_{i=0}^{b-1} (1 - X^{a-i}) / (1 - X^{b-i})$,
	where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $a \ge b$
	Note: The <i>q</i> -binomial coefficient or Gaussian
	<i>polynomial</i> $\binom{a}{b}_{q}$ gives the number of
	subspaces of dimension b in \mathbb{F}_q^a .
$\binom{n}{I}_X$	the polynomial $\binom{n}{i_l}_X \binom{i_l}{i_{l-1}}_X \dots \binom{i_2}{i_1}_X$,
	for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}_{\leq} \subseteq [n-1]$
	Note: $\binom{n}{I}_q$ gives the number of flags of type <i>I</i> in \mathbb{F}_q^n .
S_n	the symmetric group on n letters
M^t	the transpose of a matrix M
\mathbb{Z}_p	the ring of <i>p</i> -adic integers (<i>p</i> a prime)
\mathbb{Q}_p	the field of <i>p</i> -adic numbers
$[\Lambda]$	the homothety class $\mathbb{Q}_p^* \Lambda$ of a (full) lattice Λ in \mathbb{Q}_p^n
K	a finite extension of the field \mathbb{Q}_p
R	the valuation ring of K
Р	the maximal ideal of R
\overline{K}	the residue field R/P , of cardinality q
F	a number field
δ_P	the "Kronecker delta" which is equal to 1 if
	the property P holds and equal to 0 otherwise.

Given a set **f** of polynomials and a polynomial g, we write $g\mathbf{f}$ for $\{gf \mid f \in \mathbf{f}\}$, and (**f**) for the polynomial ideal generated by **f**.

2. Functional equations for some *p*-adic integrals

2.1. A blueprint result. In this section we study a family of *p*-adic integrals generalising Igusa's local zeta functions. We first introduce more notation. Let *p* be a prime and *K* be a finite extension of the field \mathbb{Q}_p of *p*-adic numbers. Let $R = R_K$ denote the valuation ring of *K*, $P = P_K$ the maximal ideal of *R*, and \overline{K} the residue field R/P. The cardinality of \overline{K} will be denoted by *q*.

For $x \in K$, let $v(x) = v_P(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the *P*-adic valuation of *x*, and $|x| := q^{-v(x)}$. For a finite set \mathcal{G} of elements of *K*, we set $||\mathcal{G}|| := \max\{|s| | s \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Fix $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $\kappa \in [k]$, let $(\mathbf{f}_{\kappa \iota})_{\iota \in I_{\kappa}}$ be a finite family of finite sets of polynomials in $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$, and let x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} be independent variables. Also, for $i \in [n-1]$ we fix nonnegative integers $e_{i \kappa \iota}$. For a set $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}_{<} \subseteq [n-1], \kappa \in [k]$, we set

$$\mathbf{g}_{\kappa,I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \bigcup_{\iota \in I_{\kappa}} \left(\prod_{i \in I} x_i^{e_{i\kappa\iota}}\right) \mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota}(\mathbf{y}).$$

Let $W \subseteq R^m$ be a subset which is a union of cosets mod P^m and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ be independent complex variables. We then define

(6)
$$Z_{W,K,I}(\mathbf{s}) := \int_{P^I \times W} \prod_{\kappa \in [k]} \|\mathbf{g}_{\kappa,I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|^{s_{\kappa}} |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_I| |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}|$$

where $|d\mathbf{x}_I| = |dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_l}|$ is the Haar measure on K^l normalised so that R^l has measure 1 (and thus P^l has measure q^{-l}), and $|d\mathbf{y}| = |dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_m|$ is the (normalised) Haar measure on K^m . It is well-known that $Z_{W,K,I}(\mathbf{s})$ is a rational function in $q^{-s_{\kappa}}$, $\kappa \in [k]$, with integral coefficients.

We now assume that the polynomials constituting the sets $\mathbf{f}_{\kappa t}$ are in fact defined over a number field F. We may consider the local zeta functions $Z_{W,K,I}(\mathbf{s})$ for all non-archimedean completions K of F. In the remainder of this section we shall derive formulae for $Z_{W,K,I}(\mathbf{s})$, valid for almost all completions K of F under this and further assumptions. They are essentially based on the formulae Denef gave for Igusa's local zeta function

$$Z(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |f(\mathbf{y})|^s |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}|$$

in [3, Th. 3.1], using the concept of resolution of singularities for the hypersurface defined by f. In the case where the single polynomial f is replaced by a finite set of polynomials **f**, Veys and Zúñiga-Galindo ([30, Th. 2.10]) gave an analogous formula, using instead the concept of principalisation of ideals, which we briefly recall.

THEOREM ([34, Th. 1.0.1]). Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of ideals on a smooth algebraic variety X. There exists a principalisation (Y, h) of \mathcal{F} , that is, a sequence

$$X = X_0 \xleftarrow{h_1} X_1 \xleftarrow{\cdots} \xleftarrow{h_l} X_l \xleftarrow{\cdots} \xleftarrow{h_r} X_r = Y$$

CHRISTOPHER VOLL

of blow-ups $h_{\iota}: X_{\iota} \to X_{\iota-1}$ of smooth centres $C_{\iota-1} \subset X_{\iota-1}$ such that

- a) The exceptional divisor E_{ι} of the induced morphism $h^{\iota} = h_{\iota} \circ \cdots \circ h_1 : X_{\iota} \to X$ has only simple normal crossings and C_{ι} has simple normal crossings with E_{ι} .
- b) Setting h = h_r · · · h₁, the total transform h*(𝔅) is the ideal of a simple normal crossing divisor Ẽ. If the subscheme determined by 𝔅 has no components of codimension one, then Ẽ is an ℕ-linear combination of the irreducible components of the divisor E_r.

Also recall the definition [3, Def. 2.2 (*mutatis mutandis*)] of a *principalisation* (Y, h) with good reduction mod P if \mathcal{I} and (Y, h) are defined over a p-adic field K. Note that, given a principalisation (Y, h) for \mathcal{I} defined over a number field F, (Y, h) will have good reduction mod P_K (where P_K is the maximal ideal in the ring of integers of the completion of F at P) for almost all maximal ideals P of the ring of integers of F (this is essentially [3, Th. 2.4]).

Specifically, let $(Y, h), h: Y \to \mathbb{A}^m$, be a principalisation of the ideal

$$\mathscr{I} = \prod_{\kappa \in [k], \, \iota \in I_{\kappa}} (\mathbf{f}_{\kappa \iota})$$

where (**f**) denotes the ideal generated by the finite set **f** of polynomials. We set $\mathcal{V} := \text{Spec}(F[\mathbf{y}]/\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa\iota} := \text{Spec}(F[\mathbf{y}]/(\mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota}))$. Then, denoting by $E_t, t \in T$, the irreducible components of $(h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}))_{\text{red}}$, we have

(7)
$$h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{t \in T} N_t E_t,$$

(8)
$$h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_{\kappa\iota}) = \sum_{t \in T} N_{t \kappa\iota} E_t$$

say, for suitable nonnegative integers N_t , $N_{t\kappa l}$. Note that, for every $t \in T$,

$$N_t = \sum_{\kappa \in [k], \, \iota \in I_\kappa} N_{t \, \kappa \iota}.$$

In a similar manner, we denote by $v_t - 1$ the multiplicity of E_t in the divisor of $h^*(dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_m)$. The numbers $(N_{t\kappa\iota}, v_t)_{t\in T, \kappa\in[k], \iota\in I_{\kappa}}$ will be called the *numerical data* of the principalisation (Y, h).

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose that all the sets $\mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota}$ are integral (i.e., contained in $R[\mathbf{y}]$) and do not define the zero ideal mod P_K , and that (Y, h) has good reduction mod P_K . Then

$$Z_{W,K,I}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{(1-q^{-1})^{|I|}}{q^m} \sum_{U \subseteq T} c_{U,W}(q)(q-1)^{|U|} \Xi_{U,I}(q,\mathbf{s}),$$

where

$$c_{U,W}(q) = |\{a \in \overline{Y}(\overline{K}) | a \in \overline{E_u}(\overline{K}) \Leftrightarrow u \in U \text{ and } \overline{h}(a) \in \overline{W}\}|$$

(where $\overline{}$ denotes reduction mod P and $\overline{W} = \{(\overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_m}) | (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in W\}$) and (0)

$$\Xi_{U,I}(q,\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\substack{(m_u)_{u \in U} \in \mathbb{N}^{|U|} \\ (n_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^l}} q^{-\sum_i n_i - \sum_u \nu_u m_u - \sum_\kappa s_\kappa \min_{\iota \in I_\kappa} \{\sum_i e_{i\kappa\iota} n_i + \sum_u N_{u\kappa\iota} m_u\}}$$

Example 2.1. If l = 0 and, for each $\kappa \in [k]$, $|I_{\kappa}| = 1$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to (a multivariable version of) Veys' and Zúñiga-Galindo's generalisation [30, Th. 2.10] to polynomial mappings of Denef's explicit formula [3, Th. 3.1] for Igusa's local zeta function associated to a single polynomial. Notice in particular that in this case

$$\Xi_{U,\emptyset}(q,\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{(m_u)_{u \in U} \in \mathbb{N}^{|U|}} q^{\sum_u m_u(-\nu_u - \sum_\kappa N_{u\kappa} s_\kappa)} = \prod_{u \in U} \frac{X_u}{1 - X_u}$$

for $X_u := q^{-\nu_u - \sum_{\kappa} N_{u\kappa} s_{\kappa}}$, where we write $N_{u\kappa}$ for $N_{u\kappa\iota}$. Also compare Example 2.2 for the other "extremal case" $\Xi_{\emptyset,I}(q, \mathbf{s})$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one of [3, Th. 3.1] (=[5, Th. 2]), with the concept of resolution of singularities replaced by the concept of principalisation of ideals. We adopt – *mutatis mutandis* – Denef's notation and just explain how the proof differs from his. Let *a* be a closed point of \overline{Y} , and thus also of \widetilde{Y} . Let $T_a = \{t \in T \mid a \in \overline{E_t}\} = \{t_1, \ldots, t_r\}_<$, say. Define $H = \{b \in Y(K) \mid h(b) \in R^m\}$ and recall the definition of the "reduction mod *P*"-map $\theta : H \to \overline{Y}(\overline{K})$. In the regular local ring $\mathbb{O}_{\widetilde{Y},a}$, there exist irreducible elements $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ such that, on $\theta^{-1}(a)$, for all $\kappa \in [k], \iota \in I_\kappa$,

$$\|\mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota} \circ h\| = |\gamma_1|^{N_{t_1 \kappa\iota}} \dots |\gamma_r|^{N_{t_r \kappa\iota}} \text{ and}$$
$$|h^*(\mathrm{d}y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{d}y_m)| = |\gamma_1|^{\nu_{t_1} - 1} \dots |\gamma_r|^{\nu_{t_r} - 1} |\mathrm{d}\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{d}\gamma_m|.$$

Setting $d\boldsymbol{\gamma} := d\gamma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\gamma_m$ we define

 $Z_{a,I}(\mathbf{s})$

$$= \int_{P^{l} \times \theta^{-1}(a)} \prod_{\kappa \in [k]} \max_{\iota \in I_{\kappa}} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} |x_{i}|^{e_{i\kappa\iota}} \prod_{\rho \in [r]} |\gamma_{\rho}|^{N_{t_{\rho}\kappa\iota}} \right\}^{s_{\kappa}} \prod_{\rho \in [r]} |\gamma_{\rho}|^{\nu_{t_{\rho}}-1} |\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}_{I}| |\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\gamma}|$$

$$= \int_{P^{l+m}} \prod_{\kappa \in [k]} \max_{\iota \in I_{\kappa}} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} |x_{i}|^{e_{i\kappa\iota}} \prod_{\rho \in [r]} |y_{\rho}|^{N_{t_{\rho}\kappa\iota}} \right\}^{s_{\kappa}} \prod_{\rho \in [r]} |y_{\rho}|^{\nu_{t_{\rho}}-1} |\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}_{I}| |\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{y}|$$

$$= \frac{(q-1)^{r+l}}{q^{m+l}} \sum_{\substack{(m_{t})_{t \in T_{a}} \in \mathbb{N}^{r} \\ (n_{i})_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^{l}}} q^{-\sum_{i} n_{i} - \sum_{t} \nu_{t} m_{t} - \sum_{\kappa} s_{\kappa} \min_{\iota \in I_{\kappa}} \{\sum_{i} e_{i\kappa\iota} n_{i} + \sum_{t} N_{t\kappa\iota} m_{t}\}}.$$

This suffices as $Z_{W,K,I}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\substack{a \in \overline{Y}(\overline{K}) \\ \overline{h}(a) \in \overline{W}}} Z_{a,I}(\mathbf{s}).$

We now make the further assumption that $m = n^2$. We identify K^{n^2} with $\operatorname{Mat}_n(K)$ and assume that the ideals $(\mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota}), \kappa \in [k], \iota \in I_{\kappa}$, are B(F)-invariant, where B(F) is the group of F-rational points of the Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$, acting on $K[y_{11}, y_{12}, \ldots, y_{nn}]$ by matrix-multiplication from the right. Let $(Y, h), h : Y \to G/B$ be a principalisation of the ideal $\mathscr{I} = \prod_{\kappa,\iota} (\mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota})$. Denoting, as above, by \mathscr{V} the subvariety of G/B(K) defined by \mathscr{I} and by $\mathscr{V}_{\kappa\iota}$ the subvariety defined by $(\mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota})$ yields numerical data $(N_{t\kappa\iota}, v_t)_{t\in T, \kappa \in [k], \iota \in I_{\kappa}}$ defined by formulae analogous to (7) and (8) above. We study the integral

$$Z_I(\mathbf{s}) := Z_{W,K,I}(\mathbf{s})$$

for $W = \Gamma = \operatorname{GL}_n(R)$ for almost all completions K of F. Note that the Haar measure μ' on the compact topological group Γ coincides with the additive Haar measure μ induced from R^{n^2} (and normalised such that $\mu(R^{n^2}) = 1$), as $\mu' = |\det|^{-n}\mu = \mu$. This will be important in later applications as it implies, for example, that all the cosets of a finite index subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ have measure $\mu(\Gamma)/|\Gamma:\Gamma'|$, with $\mu(\Gamma) = (1 - q^{-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{-n})$.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose that, in addition to the above assumptions, none of the ideals $(\mathbf{f}_{\kappa \iota})$ is equal to the zero ideal mod P_K , and that (Y, h) has good reduction mod P_K . Then

$$Z_{I}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{(1-q^{-1})^{|I|+n}}{q^{\binom{n}{2}}} \sum_{U \subseteq T} c_{U}(q)(q-1)^{|U|} \Xi_{U,I}(q,\mathbf{s}),$$

where each $c_U(q)$ is the number of \overline{K} -rational points of $\overline{E_U} \setminus \bigcup_{V \supseteq U} \overline{E_V}$ ($E_U := \bigcap_{u \in U} E_u$) and $\Xi_{U,I}(q, \mathbf{s})$ is defined as in (9) above.

Proof. The proof follows closely the spirit of the proof of [5, Th. 3]. In fact, our function $Z_I(\mathbf{s})$ is a close analogue of the function $\widehat{Z}_K(s)$, defined in [5, p. 1140]. We write Γ as a disjoint union of sets

$$\Gamma_{\sigma} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma \mid \overline{\mathbf{x}} \in B(\mathbb{F}_q) \sigma B(\mathbb{F}_q) \},\$$

 $\sigma \in S_n$, where $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_n} B(\mathbb{F}_q) \sigma B(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the Bruhat decomposition (here $\sigma \in S_n$ is identified with the respective permutation matrix in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). Thus

$$Z_I(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} Z_{\Gamma_\sigma, K, I}(\mathbf{s}).$$

There is an obvious map $\gamma : \Gamma \to G/B(K)$, and, by our invariance assumption on the ideals $(\mathbf{f}_{\kappa \iota})$, the value of the integrand of $Z_I(\mathbf{s})$ at a point $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in P^l \times \Gamma$ only depends on \mathbf{x} and $\gamma(\mathbf{y})$. By taking the measure ω on G/B(K) which induces the Haar measure on the unit ball $R^{\binom{n}{2}}$ of each affine chart satisfying $\omega(a + P^{\binom{n}{2}}) = q^{-\binom{n}{2}}$ and noting that $\mu(B) = (1 - q^{-1})^n$, we obtain

$$Z_{\Gamma_{\sigma},K,I}(\mathbf{s}) = (1-q^{-1})^n \int_{P^I \times V_{\sigma}} \prod_{\kappa \in [k]} \|\mathbf{g}_{\kappa,I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|^{s_{\kappa}} |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_I| \mathrm{d}\omega,$$

where $V_{\sigma} = \gamma(\Gamma_{\sigma})$. The projective variety G/B may be covered by varieties U_{σ} , isomorphic to affine $\binom{n}{2}$ -space, indexed by the elements of the symmetric group S_n , such that each V_{σ} is contained in U_{σ} and is a union of cosets mod $P^{\binom{n}{2}}$. Theorem 2.1 may thus be applied to the restriction (Y^{σ}, h^{σ}) of (Y, h), a principalisation of the ideal defining the restriction of \mathcal{V} to U_{σ} $(Y^{\sigma} = h^{-1}(U^{\sigma}), h^{\sigma} = h|_{Y^{\sigma}})$, with good reduction mod P. We obtain

$$\int_{P^{I} \times V_{\sigma}} \prod_{\kappa \in [k]} \|\mathbf{g}_{\kappa,I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|^{s_{\kappa}} |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{I}| \mathrm{d}\omega$$

= $\frac{(1-q^{-1})^{l}}{q^{\binom{n}{2}}} \sum_{U \subseteq T} c_{U,\sigma}(q)(q-1)^{|U|} \Xi_{U,I}(q,\mathbf{s}),$

where

$$c_{U,\sigma}(q) = |\{a \in \overline{Y}^{\sigma}(\overline{K}) | a \in \overline{E_u}(\overline{K}) \Leftrightarrow u \in U \text{ and } \overline{h}(a) \in \overline{V_\sigma}\}|.$$

The result follows since, if $a \in \overline{Y}(\overline{K})$, then $\overline{h}(a)$ is in exactly one $\overline{V_{\sigma}}$. Thus $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} c_{U,\sigma}(q) = |\{a \in \overline{Y}(\overline{K}) | a \in \overline{E_u}(\overline{K}) \Leftrightarrow u \in U\}| = c_U(q)$.

We now consider the normalised integrals

(10)
$$\widetilde{Z_I}(\mathbf{s}) := \frac{Z_I(\mathbf{s})}{(1-q^{-1})^{|I|}\mu(\Gamma)}.$$

COROLLARY 2.1. For $U \subseteq T$, let $b_U(q)$ denote the number of \overline{K} -rational points of $\overline{E_U}$. Then

(11)
$$\widetilde{Z_I}(\mathbf{s}) = |G/B(\mathbb{F}_q)|^{-1} \sum_{U \subseteq T} b_U(q) \sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{|U \setminus V|} (q-1)^{|V|} \Xi_{V,I}(q,\mathbf{s}).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the formula given for $Z_I(\mathbf{s})$ in Theorem 2.2, Definition (10), the fact that $|G/B(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \binom{n}{\lfloor n-1 \rfloor_q}$ and from the identity

$$c_V(q) = \sum_{V \subseteq U \subseteq T} (-1)^{|U \setminus V|} b_U(q).$$

Before we proceed we consider a very special case.

Example 2.2. Assume that, for all $\kappa \in [k]$, $|I_{\kappa}| = 1$ and that all $\mathbf{f}_{\kappa\iota} = \{1\}$. We write $e_{i\kappa}$ for $e_{i\kappa\iota}$. Now,

$$\Xi_{\emptyset,I}(q,\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{(n_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^l} q^{\sum_i n_i(-1-\sum_{\kappa} e_{i\kappa}s_{\kappa})} = \prod_{i \in I} \frac{X_i}{1-X_i},$$

with $X_i = q^{-1-\sum_{\kappa} e_{i_{\kappa}} s_{\kappa}}$. Also note that $b_{\emptyset}(q) = |G/B(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and that $b_U(q) = 0$ if $U \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\widetilde{Z_I}(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i \in I} \frac{X_i}{1-X_i}$. It is trivial to verify the "inversion property"

(12)
$$\widetilde{Z_I}(\mathbf{s})|_{q \to q^{-1}} = (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{J \subseteq I} \widetilde{Z_J}(\mathbf{s}),$$

as

$$\prod_{i \in I} \frac{X_i^{-1}}{1 - X_i^{-1}} = (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{J \subseteq I} \prod_{j \in J} \frac{X_j}{1 - X_j}.$$

In the remainder of the current section we shall show that equation (12) holds under the premises of Theorem 2.2. To give meaning to the left-most term in (12) in general, we have to explain what we mean by $b_U(q^{-1})$ (the other constituents of the expression (11) for $\widetilde{Z}_I(\mathbf{s})$ being rational functions in q and $q^{-s_1}, \ldots, q^{-s_k}$). Recall that by properties of the Weil zeta functions associated to the $\binom{n}{2} - |U|$ dimensional smooth projective varieties $\overline{E_U}$ it is known that

$$b_U(q) = \sum_{r=0}^{2(\binom{n}{2} - |U|)} (-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^{t_{U,r}} \alpha_{U,r,j}$$

for suitable nonnegative integers $t_{U,r}$ and nonzero complex numbers $\alpha_{U,r,j}$, with the property that, for each U, r, the <u>multi</u>sets

$$\left\{\alpha_{U,2(\binom{n}{2}-|U|)-r,j} \middle| j \in [t_{U,2(\binom{n}{2}-|U|)-r}] \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}-|U|}}{\alpha_{U,r,j}} \middle| j \in [t_{U,r}] \right\}$$

coincide (cf., e.g., [5, Proof of Th. 4]). This motivates the definition

(13)
$$b_U(q^{-1}) := q^{-(\binom{n}{2} - |U|)} b_U(q) = \sum_{r=0}^{2\binom{n}{2} - |U|} (-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^{t_{U,r}} \alpha_{U,r,j}^{-1}$$

We shall prove

THEOREM 2.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, the following "inversion properties" hold:

(IP)
$$\forall I \subseteq [n-1]: \ \widetilde{Z_I}(\mathbf{s})|_{q \to q^{-1}} = (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{J \subseteq I} \widetilde{Z_J}(\mathbf{s}).$$

Proof. To see what happens to the (rational) functions $\Xi_{V,I}(q, \mathbf{s})$ in expression (11) if we formally invert the prime power q, we employ a result of Stanley:

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $L_{\sigma\tau}(\mathbf{n}), \sigma \in [s], \tau \in [t]$, be \mathbb{Z} -linear forms in the variables n_1, \ldots, n_r and $X_1, \ldots, X_r, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ independent variables, and set

$$Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) := \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{r}} \prod_{\rho \in [r]} X^{n_{\rho}}_{\rho} \prod_{\sigma \in [s]} Y^{\min_{\tau \in [t]} \{L_{\sigma\tau}(\mathbf{n})\}}_{\sigma},$$
$$Z(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) := \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{r}_{0}} \prod_{\rho \in [r]} X^{n_{\rho}}_{\rho} \prod_{\sigma \in [s]} Y^{\min_{\tau \in [t]} \{L_{\sigma\tau}(\mathbf{n})\}}_{\sigma}.$$

Then

$$Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{-1}) = (-1)^r Z(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

Proof. The proof of [29, Th. 4.6.14] carries through to this slightly more general situation, provided one chooses a triangulation of \mathbb{N}^r that refines a subdivision into rational polyhedral cones eliminating the "min"-terms in the sum defining $Z(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$.

COROLLARY 2.2. For all $I \subseteq [n-1], V \subseteq T$,

(14)
$$\Xi_{V,I}(q,\mathbf{s})|_{q \to q^{-1}} = (-1)^{|V|+|I|} \sum_{W \subseteq V,J \subseteq I} \Xi_{W,J}(q,\mathbf{s})$$

We record the following simple fact:

LEMMA 2.1. For all
$$U \subseteq T, J \subseteq [n-1]$$
,
(15) $\sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{|U \setminus V|} (1-q^{-1})^{|V|} \sum_{W \subseteq V} \Xi_{W,J}(q, \mathbf{s})$
 $= q^{-|U|} \sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{|U \setminus V|} (q-1)^{|V|} \Xi_{V,J}(q, \mathbf{s}).$

The proof is a simple computation. We can now deduce

$$\widetilde{Z_{I}}(\mathbf{s})|_{q \to q^{-1}} = \frac{(-1)^{|I|} q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{|G/B(\mathbb{F}_{q})|} \sum_{U \subseteq T} b_{U}(q^{-1}) \sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{|U \setminus V|} (1-q^{-1})^{|V|}.$$

$$\sum_{W \subseteq V, J \subseteq I} \Xi_{W,J}(q, \mathbf{s}) \qquad (11), (14)$$

$$= (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{J \subseteq I} |G/B(\mathbb{F}_{q})|^{-1} \sum_{U \subseteq T} q^{|U|} b_{U}(q).$$

$$\sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{|U \setminus V|} (1-q^{-1})^{|V|} \sum_{W \subseteq V} \Xi_{W,J}(q, \mathbf{s}) \qquad (13)$$

$$= (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{J \subseteq I} |G/B(\mathbb{F}_{q})|^{-1} \sum_{U \subseteq T} b_{U}(q).$$

$$\sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{|U \setminus V|} (q-1)^{|V|} \Xi_{V,J}(q, \mathbf{s}) \qquad (15)$$

$$= (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{V \subseteq U} \widetilde{Z_{Y}}(\mathbf{s}) \qquad (11)$$

$$=(-1)^{|I|}\sum_{J\subseteq I}\widetilde{Z}_{J}(\mathbf{s}).$$
(11)

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Recall that the polynomials $\binom{n}{I}_X$ were introduced at the end of the introduction. We define

(16)
$$\widetilde{Z}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{I \subseteq [n-1]} \binom{n}{I}_{q^{-1}} \widetilde{Z_I}(\mathbf{s}).$$

To prove Theorems A, B and C we shall need

1197

COROLLARY 2.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, the following functional equation holds:

(17)
$$\widetilde{Z}(\mathbf{s})|_{q \to q^{-1}} = (-1)^{n-1} q^{\binom{n}{2}} \widetilde{Z}(\mathbf{s}).$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of [33, Cor. 2]. Note that Theorem 2.2 provides the required analogue of [33, Lemma 6]. \Box

Theorem D will follow from Proposition 2.2 of the next section which is in turn a special case of the following straightforward corollary.

COROLLARY 2.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, for any $i \in [n-1]$,

(18)
$$\left(\widetilde{Z_{\varnothing}}(\mathbf{s}) + (1-q^{-n})\widetilde{Z_{\{i\}}}(\mathbf{s})\right)|_{q \to q^{-1}} = q^n \left(\widetilde{Z_{\varnothing}}(\mathbf{s}) + (1-q^{-n})\widetilde{Z_{\{i\}}}(\mathbf{s})\right).$$

2.2. A first application: Counting elementary divisors. We show how the problem of counting elementary divisors of matrices of forms may be reduced to the problem of computing *p*-adic integrals of the form studied in the previous section, associated to the polynomials describing the degeneracy loci of these matrices. The main result of this subsection — Proposition 2.2 — will be needed to prove Theorem D in Section 3.4.

Again, let *K* be a *p*-adic field with valuation ring *R*, whose maximal ideal is denoted by *P* generated by a uniformizer π say. Let \Re be an $e \times f$ -matrix (with $e \ge f$, say) of polynomials $\Re_{ij}(\mathbf{Y}) \in R[Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]$. We make the assumption on \Re that, whenever $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in R^n$ is a vector with $\overline{\mathbf{y}} \ne 0$, at least one entry of $\overline{\Re(\mathbf{y})}$ is nonzero. For a nonnegative integer *N* and a vector $\mathbf{y}P^N \in (R/P^N)^n$ we say that $\Re(\mathbf{y}P^N)$ has *elementary divisor type* \mathbf{m} (written $\nu(\Re(\mathbf{y}P^N)) = \mathbf{m}$) if $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_f)$, $m_i \in [0, N]$, $m_1 \le \cdots \le m_f$, and there are matrices $\beta \in$ $GL_e(R/P^N)$, $\gamma \in GL_f(R/P^N)$ such that

$$\beta \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{y} P^N) \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{m_1} & \\ & \ddots \\ & & \pi^{m_f} \end{pmatrix} \mod P^N.$$

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^f$ we set

$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathfrak{R},\mathbf{m}} := \left| \left\{ \mathbf{y} P^N \in (R/P^N)^n | \ \overline{\mathbf{y} P^N} \neq 0, \nu(\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{y} P^N)) = \mathbf{m} \right\} \right|$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathfrak{R},\mathbf{m}} = 0$ unless $0 = m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_f \leq N$ (the necessity of $m_1 = 0$ being a consequence of our assumption on \mathfrak{R}). Given, in addition, a $g \times h$ -submatrix \mathscr{G} of \mathfrak{R} (WLOG $g \geq h$) defined by choosing g rows and h columns of \mathfrak{R} , and an h-tuple **n** we define

$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathfrak{R},\mathcal{G},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} := \left| \left\{ \mathbf{y}P^N \in (R/P^N)^n | \, \overline{\mathbf{y}} \neq 0, \begin{array}{l} \nu(\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{y}P^N)) = \mathbf{n}, \\ \nu(\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}P^N)) = \mathbf{n} \end{array} \right\} \right|.$$

Again, $\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathcal{R},\mathcal{G},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = 0$ unless $0 = m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_f \leq N$ and $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_h$. We suppress the subscripts \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{G} if they are clear from the context.

Given complex variables $r_1, \ldots, r_f, s_1, \ldots, s_h$, we define the generating function

$$P(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = P_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}, K}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^f, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^h}} \mathcal{N}_{N, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} q^{-\sum_{i \in [f]} (N - m_i) r_i - \sum_{j \in [h]} (N - n_j) s_j}$$

We now assume that the matrix \mathfrak{R} is in fact defined over a number field F, that its entries are all homogeneous of the same degree and that the above assumption on \mathfrak{R} is satisfied for almost all completions K of F for which all $\mathfrak{R}_{ij}(\mathbf{Y}) \in R_K[\mathbf{Y}]$. We consider such a "good" completion K and drop the subscript K. For $i \in [f]_0$, let ρ_i denote the set of *i*-minors of \mathfrak{R} . The polynomials ρ_i define the (rk $\leq i - 1$)locus (or *i*-th degeneracy locus) of $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{Y})$. Similarly, let σ_j , $j \in [h]_0$, denote the set of *j*-minors of \mathcal{G} . Let

$$k := \max\{i \in [f]_0 | (\rho_i) \neq (0)\}$$
 and $l := \max\{j \in [h]_0 | (\sigma_j) \neq (0)\}.$

Note firstly that $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 = \{1\}$, secondly that, by our assumption on \Re , $k \ge 1$, thirdly that $0 \le l \le k$ and fourthly that $P(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})$ is really a function in the variables $r_1, \ldots, r_k, s_1, \ldots, s_l$:

(19)
$$P(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^l}} \mathcal{N}_{N, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} q^{-\sum_{\kappa \in [k]} (N - m_{\kappa}) r_{\kappa} - \sum_{\lambda \in [l]} (N - n_{\lambda}) s_{\lambda}}$$

where we set, given $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_l)$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} := \mathcal{N}_{N,(m_1,\ldots,m_k,N,\ldots,N),(n_1,\ldots,n_l,N,\ldots,N)}.$$

For $I \subseteq \{1\}$ and $W = \Gamma = \operatorname{GL}_n(R)$ as above, consider the *p*-adic integral

(20)
$$Z_{I}(\mathbf{r},\widetilde{\mathbf{r}},\mathbf{s},\widetilde{\mathbf{s}},t) = \int_{P^{|I|}\times\Gamma} |x^{|I|}|^{t} \prod_{\kappa\in[k]} \|\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{y}^{1})\cup x^{|I|}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\kappa-1}(\mathbf{y}^{1})\|^{r_{\kappa}} \|\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\kappa-1}(\mathbf{y}^{1})\|^{\widetilde{r_{\kappa}}} \cdot \prod_{\lambda\in[l]} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y}^{1})\cup x^{|I|}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\lambda-1}(\mathbf{y}^{1})\|^{s_{\lambda}} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\lambda-1}(\mathbf{y}^{1})\|^{\widetilde{s_{\lambda}}} |\mathrm{d}x_{I}||\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}|.$$

where \mathbf{y}^1 denotes the first column of the matrix $\mathbf{y} \in \Gamma$.

Remark 2.1. Whilst artificial, the formulation of Z_I as an integral over $P^{|I|} \times \Gamma$ rather than over $P^{|I|} \times R^n \setminus P^n$ serves to make it fit the "blueprint" Theorem 2.2 provided in the previous section.

We now set, for
$$\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$$
, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_l) \in \mathbb{N}_0^l$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $\mu_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = \mu \left\{ (x, \mathbf{y}) \in P \times \Gamma | v(x) = N, v(\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{y}^1 P^N)) = \mathbf{m}, v(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{y}^1 P^N)) = \mathbf{n} \right\}$

and $Z_I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t) := Z_I(\mathbf{r}, -\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, -\mathbf{s}, t)$. Note that, by definition, $\mu_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = 0$ unless $0 \le m_1 \le \cdots \le m_k \le N$ and $n_1 \le \cdots \le n_l \le N$. By definition of the polynomials

 $\rho_{\kappa}, \sigma_{\lambda}$, we have

(21)
$$Z_{\varnothing}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t) = \mu(\Gamma) \quad \text{(and thus, by (10), } \widetilde{Z_{\varnothing}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t) = 1\text{)},$$

(22)
$$Z_{\{1\}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t) = \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{k}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l}}} \mu_{N, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} q^{-tN - \sum_{\kappa} r_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} - \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda} n_{\lambda}}.$$

Theorem 2.2 is applicable to Z_I , $I \subseteq \{1\}$, together with a principalisation (Y,h), $h: Y \to G/B$, of the ideal $\mathscr{I} = \prod_{\kappa \in [k]} (\rho_{\kappa}) \prod_{\lambda \in [l]} (\sigma_{\lambda})$. Indeed, B(F)-invariance is a consequence of the fact that the entries of \mathscr{R} were all assumed to be homogeneous of the same degree.

The following crucial lemma relates the numbers $\mu_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}$ with the data $\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}$ we would like to capture:

LEMMA 2.2. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

(23)
$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\binom{n}{1}_{q^{-1}}}{\mu(\Gamma)} \mu_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} q^{N(n+1)}.$$

Recall that $\binom{n}{1}_{q^{-1}} = (1 - q^{-n})/(1 - q^{-1}).$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{\{1\},N}$ denote the group

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \gamma_1 & * \\ \hline P^N * & \gamma_{n-1} \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

where γ_i stands for a matrix in $\Gamma_i = \text{GL}_i(R)$, * for an arbitrary matrix with entries in R, and P^N * for a matrix of the appropriate size with entries in P^N , respectively. Then the set

$$\left\{ (x, \mathbf{y}) \in P \times \Gamma | v(x) = N, v(\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{y}^1 P^N)) = \mathbf{m}, v(\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}^1 P^N)) = \mathbf{n} \right\}$$

may be written as a disjoint union of the $|\Gamma : \Gamma_{\{1\},N}|$ sets

$$\left\{ (x, \mathbf{y}) \in P \times \gamma \Gamma_{\{1\}, N} | v(x) = N, v(\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{y}^1 P^N)) = \mathbf{m}, v(\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}^1 P^N)) = \mathbf{n} \right\}$$

where γ runs through a complete set of coset representatives of $\Gamma/\Gamma_{\{1\},N}$. The measure of each of these sets is either zero or equals $(1-q^{-1})q^{-N}\mu(\Gamma_{\{1\},N})$. The latter happens $\mathcal{N}'_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}$ times, where

$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}' = \left| \left\{ \mathbf{y}^1 \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(R/P^N) | \ \overline{\mathbf{y}^1} \neq 0, \nu(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{y}^1)) = \mathbf{m}, \nu(\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}^1)) = \mathbf{n} \right\} \right|.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = (1-q^{-1})q^N \mathcal{N}'_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}$. Using the identity

$$\mu(\Gamma)/\mu(\Gamma_{\{1\},N}) = \binom{n}{1}_{q^{-1}} q^{N(n-1)}$$

we obtain

$$\mu_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{N}'_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} (1 - q^{-1}) q^{-N} \mu(\Gamma_{\{1\},N}) = \mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \frac{\mu(\Gamma)}{\binom{n}{2} q^{-1} q^{N(n+1)}}$$

med.

as claimed.

Lemma 2.2 yields

$$P(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) - 1 = P(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) - \widetilde{Z_{\varnothing}}(-\mathbf{r}, -\mathbf{s}, \sum_{\kappa} r_{\kappa} + \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda} - n - 1)$$
(21)

$$= \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N} \\ m \in \mathbb{N}^{k} \ n \in \mathbb{N}^{l}}} \mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} q^{-\sum_{\kappa \in [k]} (N-m_{\kappa})r_{\kappa} - \sum_{\lambda \in [l]} (N-n_{\lambda})s_{\lambda}}$$
(19)

$$m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\circ}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\circ}$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{1}q^{-1}}{\mu(\Gamma)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(\Gamma)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})} q^{N(n+1-\sum_{\kappa} r_{\kappa}-\sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}) + \sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} r_{\kappa} + \sum_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}}$$
(23)

 $\sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^f, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^h}}$

$$=\frac{\binom{n}{1}q^{-1}}{\mu(\Gamma)}Z_{\{1\}}(-\mathbf{r},-\mathbf{s},\sum_{\kappa}r_{\kappa}+\sum_{\lambda}s_{\lambda}-n-1)$$
(22)

$$= (1 - q^{-n})\widetilde{Z_{\{1\}}}(-\mathbf{r}, -\mathbf{s}, \sum_{\kappa} r_{\kappa} + \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda} - n - 1).$$
(10)

From Corollary 2.4 we deduce

PROPOSITION 2.2. For all but finitely many completions K of F, the following functional equation holds:

$$P_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{G},K}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s})|_{q\to q^{-1}} = q^n P_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{G},K}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}).$$

3. Applications to zeta functions of groups and rings

3.1. Zeta functions of rings. In this section we prove Theorem A. Let L be a ring of torsion-free rank n. In fact, without loss of generality we may assume that L is additively isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n . Let p be a prime. Multiplication in L is a bi-additive mapping $\beta : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$, which extends to $\beta_p : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, inducing a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra structure on $L_p := L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. We shall give a formula for the local zeta functions

$$\zeta_{L,p}(s) = \sum_{H \le L_p} |L_p : H|^{-s},$$

where *H* runs over the subalgebras of finite index in L_p , valid for almost all primes *p*, in terms of the *p*-adic integrals studied in Section 2. More precisely, we shall show that $\zeta_{L,p}(s)$ is expressible in terms of functions $\tilde{Z}(s)$, defined as in (16), to which Corollary 2.3 is applicable.

CHRISTOPHER VOLL

Write $L = \mathbb{Z}l_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}l_n$. We consider the $n \times n$ -matrix of \mathbb{Z} -linear forms

$$\Re(\mathbf{y}) = (L_{ij}(\mathbf{y})) \in \operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}]),$$

where $L_{ij}(\mathbf{y}) := \sum_{k \in [n]} \lambda_{ij}^k y_k$, encoding the structure constants λ_{ij}^k of L with respect to the chosen basis; that is, $l_i l_j = \sum_{k \in [n]} \lambda_{ij}^k l_k$. Let C_i denote the matrix of the linear map given by right-multiplication with the generator l_i .

A full sublattice Λ in $(L_p, +)$ corresponds to a coset ΓM , where $\Gamma = \Gamma_n = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and the rows of the matrix $M = (m_{ij}) \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the set of integral $n \times n$ -matrices with nonzero determinant, encode the coordinates of generators for Λ with respect to the chosen basis. Denote by M_i the *i*-th row of M. It is not hard to check (cf. the proof of [8, Th. 5.5]) that Λ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -subalgebra of L_p if and only if

(24)
$$\forall i, j \in [n] : M_i \sum_{r \in [n]} C_r m_{jr} \in \langle M_k | k \in [n] \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

Rather than trying to analyse the restrictions condition (24) imposes on the entries of suitable upper-triangular representatives of the coset ΓM as in [8], we base our analysis on the following two basic observations.

The first point is that every homothety class of lattices Λ in $(L_p, +)$ contains a largest subalgebra Λ_0 , and the subalgebras in this class are exactly the multiples $p^m \Lambda_0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We thus have

(25)
$$\zeta_{L,p}(s) = (1 - p^{-ns})^{-1} \sum_{[\Lambda]} |L_p : \Lambda_0|^{-s},$$

where Λ_0 denotes the largest subalgebra in the homothety class $[\Lambda] = [\Lambda_0]$.

The second observation is that it is easy to check condition (24) if M happens to be a diagonal matrix. With respect to the given basis, ΓM may not admit a diagonal representative. By the elementary divisor theorem, however, it does contain a representative of the form $M = D\alpha^{-1}$, where $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$D = D(I, \mathbf{r_0}) = p^{r_0} \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{p^{\sum_{l \in I} r_l}, \dots, p^{\sum_{l \in I} r_l}}_{i_1}, \dots, p^{r_{i_l}}, \dots, p^{r_{i_l}}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

for a set $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}_{\leq} \subseteq [n-1]$ and a vector $(r_0, r_{i_1}, \ldots, r_{i_l}) =: \mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}^l$ (both depending only on ΓM). We say that Λ has type (I, \mathbf{r}_0) and call Λ maximal (in its homothety class) if $r_0 = 0$. We say that the homothety class $[\Lambda]$ has type $(I, \mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r} = (r_{i_1}, \ldots, r_{i_l}) \in \mathbb{N}^l$, – written $\nu([\Lambda]) = (I, \mathbf{r})$ – if its maximal element has type $(I, (0, r_{i_1}, \ldots, r_{i_l}))$. By slight abuse of notation we may also say that a lattice Λ has type I if $\nu([\Lambda]) = (I, \mathbf{r})$ for some integral vector \mathbf{r} , and that a homothety class $[\Lambda]$ has type I if any of its elements does. In this case we write $\nu([\Lambda]) = I$. We shall denote by α^j the j-th column of the matrix α and by D_{ii} the i-th diagonal

entry of D. Note that α is only unique up to right-multiplication by an element of

$$\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}} := \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma D) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{i_1} & * & \dots & * & * \\ p^{r_{i_1}} * & \gamma_{i_2-i_1} & \ddots & \vdots & \\ p^{r_{i_1}+r_{i_2}} * & p^{r_{i_2}} * & \ddots & * & \vdots \\ \hline p^{r_{i_1}+r_{i_2}} & p^{r_{i_2}} * & \ddots & * & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \gamma_{i_l-i_{l-1}} & * \\ \hline p^{r_{i_1}+\dots+r_{i_l}} * & p^{r_{i_2}+\dots+r_{i_l}} * & \dots & p^{r_{i_l}} * & \gamma_{n-i_l} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where γ_t stands for a matrix in Γ_t , * for an arbitrary matrix with entries in \mathbb{Z}_p , and $p^r *$ for a matrix with entries in $p^r \mathbb{Z}_p$ of the appropriate sizes, respectively. Thus there is a 1–1-correspondence between lattice classes [Λ] of type $(I, (r_{i_1}, \ldots, r_{i_l}))$ and cosets $\alpha \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}}$. Furthermore (26)

$$|\{[\Lambda]| \nu([\Lambda]) = (I, \mathbf{r})\}| = |\Gamma : \Gamma_{I, \mathbf{r}}| = \mu(\Gamma)/\mu(\Gamma_{I, \mathbf{r}}) = \binom{n}{I}_{p^{-1}} p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}\iota(n-\iota)},$$

where, as usual, μ denotes the Haar measure on Γ normalised so that $\mu(\Gamma) = (1 - p^{-1}) \dots (1 - p^{-n})$. As we have noted above it coincides with the additive Haar measure on $\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n^2}$, normalised so that $\mu(\operatorname{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = 1$.

It is now straightforward to check that (24) is equivalent to

(27)
$$\forall i \in [n]: \ D\Re_{(i)}^{<}(\alpha)D \equiv 0 \bmod D_{ii}.$$

where $\Re_{(i)}^{<}(\alpha) := \alpha^{-1} \Re(\alpha^{i}) (\alpha^{-1})^{t}$. It is easy to verify that condition (27) is equivalent to

$$\forall i, r, s \in [n] : (\mathfrak{R}^{<}_{(i)}(\alpha))_{rs} p^{r_0 + \sum_{s \le \iota \in I} r_\iota + \sum_{r \le \iota \in I} r_\iota + \sum_{i > \iota \in I} r_\iota} \equiv 0 \mod p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_\iota}$$

which may in turn be reformulated as (28)

$$r_{0} \geq \sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota} - \min\left\{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}, \sum_{s \leq \iota \in I} r_{\iota} + \sum_{r \leq \iota \in I} r_{\iota} + \sum_{i > \iota \in I} r_{\iota} + v_{irs}(\alpha) | (i, r, s) \in [n]^{3}\right\}$$
$$=:m([\Lambda])$$

where $v_{irs}(\alpha) := \min \left\{ v_p \left((\mathcal{R}^{<}_{(\iota)}(\alpha))_{\rho\sigma} \right) | \iota \leq i, \rho \geq r, \sigma \geq s \right\}.$

Remark 3.1. Whilst it might seem more natural to replace the inequalities in this definition of $v_{irs}(\alpha)$ by equalities, the present formulation is preferable as it allows us to translate the counting problem into the language developed in Section 2.

Note that the right-hand side of (28) depends only on the homothety class of Λ and is neatly separated in terms that depend on the type (I, \mathbf{r}) of $[\Lambda]$ and terms that depend solely on α . The subalgebra Λ_0 is characterised by equality in (28). As we shall see, this formulation of the "subalgebra condition" (24) therefore enables

us to express the *p*-th local zeta function of *L* in terms of *p*-adic integrals on $(p\mathbb{Z}_p)^{|I|} \times \Gamma$, $I \subseteq [n-1]$.

By (25) it suffices to compute

(29)
$$A^{<}(s) := \sum_{[\Lambda]} |L_{p} : \Lambda_{0}|^{-s} = \sum_{I \subseteq [n-1]} \underbrace{\sum_{\nu([\Lambda])=I} |L_{p} : \Lambda_{0}|^{-s}}_{=:A_{I}^{<}(s)}$$

For a fixed $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}_{\leq} \subseteq [n-1]$, we set

$$\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} := |\{[\Lambda]| \ \nu([\Lambda]) = (I,\mathbf{r}), m([\Lambda]) = m\}$$

with $m([\Lambda])$ defined as in (28). Thus

(30)
$$A_{I}^{<}(s) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}=(r_{i_{1}},...,r_{i_{l}})\in\mathbb{N}^{l}} p^{-s\sum_{\iota\in I}\iota r_{\iota}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} p^{-sn(\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}-m)}$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{N}^{l}} p^{-s\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}(\iota+n)} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} p^{snm}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.2 we shall design a *p*-adic integral to describe the generating functions $A_I^{<}(s)$. Consider the *p*-adic integral $Z_I(s) = Z_{W,\mathbb{Q}_p,I}(s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ defined as in Section 2 with $k = n, m = n^2, W = \Gamma$ and set

(31)
$$\mathbf{f}_{irs}(\mathbf{y}) = \{ (\mathcal{R}_{(\iota)}^{<}(\mathbf{y}))_{\rho\sigma} | \iota \leq i, \rho \geq r, \sigma \geq s \}, (i, r, s) \in [n]^{3}, \\ \mathbf{g}_{n,I}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left\{ \prod_{\iota \in I} x_{\iota} \right\} \cup \bigcup_{(i,r,s) \in [n]^{3}} \left(\prod_{\iota \in I} x_{\iota}^{\delta_{\iota \geq r} + \delta_{\iota \geq s} + \delta_{\iota < i}} \right) \mathbf{f}_{irs}(\mathbf{y}), \\ \mathbf{g}_{\kappa,I}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left\{ \prod_{\iota \in I} x_{\iota}^{\delta_{\iota\kappa}} \right\}, \kappa \in [n-1].$$

The ideals $(\mathbf{f}_{irs}(\mathbf{y}))$ can easily be seen to be $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant. Without loss of generality we may assume that none of them equals the zero ideal (otherwise we just omit the respective ideal), and, by omitting at most finitely many primes, we may assume that this also holds mod p. (Note that we may well have to omit all the $(\mathbf{f}_{irs}(\mathbf{y}))$. This happens when the ring structure is trivial.) Thus Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 and their corollaries apply to a principalisation for the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \prod_{irs} (\mathbf{f}_{irs}(\mathbf{y}))$ with good reduction mod p. Note that

(32)
$$Z_I^{<}(\mathbf{s}) := Z_I(\mathbf{s}) = Z_I((s_\iota)_{\iota \in I}, s_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^I} p^{-\sum_{\iota \in I} s_\iota r_\iota} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} p^{-s_n m},$$

where

$$\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} = \mu \left\{ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^l \times \Gamma | v_p(x_\iota) = r_\iota, m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = m \right\},\$$

with

$$m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \min\left\{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}, \sum_{s \le \iota \in I} v_p(x_{\iota}) + \sum_{r \le \iota \in I} v_p(x_{\iota}) + \sum_{i > \iota \in I} v_p(x_{\iota}) + v_{irs}(\mathbf{y}) | (i, r, s) \in [n]^3\right\}.$$

Again, a lemma is needed to relate the numbers $\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<}$ to the data $\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<}$ we are trying to understand.

Lemma 3.1.

(33)
$$\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} = \frac{\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l} p^{-\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}} \mu(\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}})} \\ = \frac{\binom{n}{l}_{p^{-1}}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l} \mu(\Gamma)} \mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}(\iota(n-\iota)+1)}$$

Proof. The set

$$\left\{ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^l \times \Gamma | v_p(x_l) = r_l, m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = m \right\}$$

may be written as a disjoint union of the $|\Gamma : \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}}|$ sets

$$\left\{ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^l \times \gamma \Gamma_{I, \mathbf{r}} | v_p(x_\iota) = r_\iota, m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = m \right\}$$

where γ runs through a complete set of coset representatives for $\Gamma / \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}}$. The measure of each of these sets is either zero or equal to $(1-p^{-1})^l p^{-\sum_{l \in I} r_l} \mu(\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}})$. The latter happens if and only if $\gamma \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}}$ corresponds to a lattice of type (I, \mathbf{r}) such that $m([\Lambda]) = m$. This proves the first equality. The second equality is an immediate consequence of (26).

Lemma 3.1 allows us to express the generating functions (30) in terms of the *p*-adic integrals (32). Indeed,

$$A_{I}^{<}(s) = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^{I}} p^{-s \sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}(\iota+n)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} p^{snm}$$
(30)

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{I}_{p^{-1}}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma)} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} p^{-\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}(s(\iota+n)-\iota(n-\iota)-1)} \sum_{m} \mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m}^{<} p^{snm} \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{{\binom{l}{l}}_{p^{-1}}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma)} Z_{I}^{<}((s(\iota+n)-\iota(n-\iota)-1)_{\iota\in I},-sn)$$
(32)

$$= \binom{n}{I}_{p^{-1}} \widetilde{Z_I^{<}} ((s(\iota+n) - \iota(n-\iota) - 1)_{\iota \in I}, -sn).$$

$$(10)$$

Theorem A follows now from equations (25) and (29), and Corollary 2.3.

3.2. *Conjugacy zeta functions of nilpotent groups*. In this section we prove Theorem B. As mentioned in the introduction, it suffices to compute

(34)
$$\zeta_{L,p}^{cc}(s) = \sum_{H \le L_p} |L_p : H|^{-s} |L_p : \mathcal{N}_{L_p}(H)|^{-1}$$

for almost all primes p, where L = L(G), $L_p = L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_{L_p}(H) = \{l \in L_p | [H, l] \le H\}$$

is the normaliser of H in L_p .

Remark 3.2. It was pointed out, e.g. in [35, §3.8], that, whilst (34) might be used to define local "conjugacy" zeta functions for arbitrary rings, they might not encode the solutions to any actual counting problem unless $L_p = L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ for a nilpotent Lie ring L. It is for this reason that we formulate Theorem B in terms of \mathcal{T} -groups G, bearing in mind that its proof is immediately reduced to a computation in the Lie ring L(G) that draws upon neither the nilpotency nor the Lie property of L(G).

Along the lines of the "first observation" in the proof of Theorem A we use the fact that, for a subring H of L_p , the normaliser $\mathcal{N}_{L_p}(H)$ is an invariant of the homothety class [H] of H. We may thus write $\mathcal{N}_{L_p}([H])$ for $\mathcal{N}_{L_p}(H)$. Resuming the notation of the proof of Theorem A we have

(35)
$$L_p \ge \mathcal{N}_{L_p}([H]) \ge \Lambda_0 \ge p\Lambda_0 \ge p^2\Lambda_0 \ge \cdots$$

 $(H \in \{p^m \Lambda_0 | m \in \mathbb{N}_0\})$. In addition to recording the index $|L_p : \Lambda_0|$ as we run over homothety classes $[\Lambda]$ of lattices in \mathbb{Q}_p^n , we now have to control the index $|L_p : \mathcal{N}_{L_p}([\Lambda])|$. We shall see that this index, too, might be expressed in terms of congruences involving the type (I, \mathbf{r}) and coset $\alpha \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}}$ determining $[\Lambda] = [\Lambda_0]$, similar to the congruences (27). To compute their index requires us to control the elementary divisors of certain matrices. A similar problem was considered in Section 2.2; the problem we will have to solve in Section 3.3 is also of this kind (though slightly simpler). We resume the notation from Section 3.1. From (35) we deduce

$$\zeta_{L,p}^{cc}(s) = (1 - p^{-ns})^{-1} \sum_{[\Lambda]} |L_p : \Lambda_0|^{-s} |L_p : \mathcal{N}_{L_p}([\Lambda])|^{-1}.$$

Using a fixed basis to identify L_p with \mathbb{Z}_p^n we may express the condition $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}_{L_p}([H])$ in a similar fashion to (24). If M is any matrix whose rows M_k encode the coordinates of generators for any element of $[\Lambda]$, a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is in $\mathcal{N}_{L_p}([\Lambda])$ if and only if

(36)
$$\forall i \in [n] : \mathbf{x} \sum_{r \in [n]} C_r m_{ir} \in \langle M_k | k \in [n] \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

Using the correspondence between lattice classes and pairs $((I, \mathbf{r}), \alpha \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}})$, condition (36) may be reformulated as

(37)
$$\forall i \in [n] : \mathbf{x} \mathcal{R}^{cc}_{(i)}(\alpha) D \equiv 0 \mod D_{ii},$$

where $\Re_{(i)}^{cc}(\alpha) := \Re(\alpha^i)(\alpha^{-1})^t$ and $D = D(I, \mathbf{r})$. We note that the scalar p^{r_0} in D cancels in (37); we may thus assume $r_0 = 0$. Condition (37) is then equivalent to

(38)
$$\forall i \in [n] : \mathbf{x} \mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{cc}}_{(i)}(\alpha) Dp^{\sum_{l < i} r_l} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{\sum_{l \in I} r_l}.$$

Setting

$$\mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{cc}}(\alpha) = (\mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{cc}}_{(1)}(\alpha)|\dots|\mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{cc}}_{(n)}(\alpha)),$$

$$\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{cc}}(I,\mathbf{r}) = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{D,\dots,D}_{i_1},\underbrace{p^{r_{i_1}}D,\dots,p^{r_{i_1}}D}_{i_2-i_1},\dots,\underbrace{p^{\sum_{\iota\in I}r_\iota}D,\dots,p^{\sum_{\iota\in I}r_\iota}D}_{n-i_l}),$$

(where "diag" refers to the diagonal $n^2 \times n^2$ -matrix built from *n* scalar multiples of the diagonal $n \times n$ -matrix *D*), (38) may in turn be reformulated as

(39)
$$\mathbf{x}\mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{cc}}(\alpha)\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{cc}}(I,\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0 \mod p^{\sum_{i \in I} r_i}.$$

To keep track of the index of $\mathcal{N}_{L_p}([\Lambda])$, the full sublattice of $L_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ of solutions to (39), we introduce an invariant $\nu^{cc}([\Lambda]) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ as follows. We say that $\nu^{cc}([\Lambda]) = \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ if

- the matrix $\Re^{cc}(\alpha)\mathbf{D}^{cc}(I,\mathbf{r})$ has elementary divisor type $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}} = (\widetilde{m}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{m}_n)$ (i.e., there are matrices $\beta \in \Gamma_n$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n^2}$ such that $\beta \Re^{cc}(\alpha)\mathbf{D}^{cc}(I,\mathbf{r})\gamma = (\operatorname{diag}(p^{\widetilde{m}_1}, \ldots, p^{\widetilde{m}_n})|0)$ and $\widetilde{m}_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}, \widetilde{m}_1 \leq \cdots \leq \widetilde{m}_n$) and
- $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is defined by $m_i = \min \{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_\iota, \widetilde{m}_i\}$ for each $i \in [n]$.

The index $|L_p : \mathcal{N}_{L_p}([\Lambda])|$ equals $p^{\sum_{i \in [n]} (\sum_{i \in I} r_i - m_i)}$. As in Section 3.1 it is helpful to write

$$A^{cc}(s) := \sum_{[\Lambda]} |L_p : \Lambda_0|^{-s} |L_p : \mathcal{N}_{L_p}([\Lambda])|^{-1}$$

=
$$\sum_{I \subseteq [n-1]} \sum_{\nu([\Lambda])=I} |L_p : \Lambda_0|^{-s} |L_p : \mathcal{N}_{L_p}([\Lambda])|^{-1}.$$

=: $A_I^{cc}(s)$

For a fixed $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}_{\leq} \subseteq [n-1]$, we set

$$\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{\mathrm{cc}} := |\{[\Lambda] \mid \nu([\Lambda]) = (I,\mathbf{r}), m([\Lambda]) = m, \nu^{\mathrm{cc}}([\Lambda]) = \mathbf{m}\}|$$

with $m([\Lambda])$ defined as in (28). We thus have

$$A_{I}^{cc}(s) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{N}^{I}} p^{-s\sum_{\iota\in I}\iota r_{\iota}} \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{N}_{0}\\\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc} p^{-sn(\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}-m)-\sum_{i\in[n]}(\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}-m_{i})}$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{N}^{I}} p^{\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}(-s(\iota+n)-n)} \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{N}_{0}\\\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc} p^{snm+\sum_{i\in[n]}m_{i}}.$$

As in Section 3.1 we shall show that the generating functions $A_I^{cc}(s)$ may be expressed in terms of the *p*-adic integrals to which the results of Section 2 may be applied. In order to control the invariant $\nu^{cc}([\Lambda])$ we need to parametrise the minors of the matrix $\Re^{cc}(\mathbf{y})$ in a suitable way. This motivates the following combinatorial definitions.

Let $\operatorname{Mat}_n(\{0, 1\})$ denote the set of $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, and $\mathcal{G}_{j,n}^{cc} = \{S \in \operatorname{Mat}_n(\{0, 1\}) | \sum_{r,s} S_{rs} = j\}$. We introduce a partial order on $\mathcal{G}_{j,n}^{cc}$ by saying that, given $S, T \in \mathcal{G}_{j,n}^{cc}, T \leq S$ if,

for all
$$r \in [n]$$
: $\sum_{\rho \leq r} \sum_{\sigma \in [n]} T_{\rho\sigma} \geq \sum_{\rho \leq r} \sum_{\sigma \in [n]} S_{\rho\sigma}$ and,
for all $s \in [n]$: $\sum_{\sigma > s} \sum_{\rho \in [n]} T_{\rho\sigma} \geq \sum_{\sigma > s} \sum_{\rho \in [n]} S_{\rho\sigma}$.

Pictorially speaking, this amounts to saying that the matrix T may be obtained from the matrix S by moving some of the nonzero entries towards "north-east". Given a matrix $S \in \mathcal{G}_{j,n}^{cc}$ and a $n \times n^2$ -matrix $M = (M_1 | \dots | M_n), M_i \in Mat_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, a $j \times j$ -submatrix of M of column-type S is a submatrix obtained by choosing jrows of M and the *s*-th column of M_r if and only if $S_{rs} = 1$. For $S \in \mathcal{G}_{j,n}^{cc}$, we set

(41) $\mathbf{f}_{j,S}^{cc}(\mathbf{y}) = \{\det(N) \mid N \text{ a } j \times j \text{ -submatrix of } \mathcal{R}^{cc}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ of column-type } T \preceq S\}$

and define the monomial

$$M_{S,I}^{cc}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\iota \in I} x_{\iota}^{\sum_{\rho > \iota} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n} S_{\rho\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma \le \iota} \sum_{\rho=1}^{n} S_{\rho\sigma}}$$

We set

$$\mathbf{f}_{j,I}^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{G}_{j,n}^{\mathrm{cc}}} M_{S,I}^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{f}_{j,S}^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathbf{y})$$

Now we define, using the sets of polynomials $g_{\kappa,I}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ introduced in (31),

(42)
$$Z_{I}^{cc}(\mathbf{s},\widetilde{\mathbf{s}},\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}}) = \int_{(p\mathbb{Z}_{p})^{I}\times W} \prod_{\kappa\in[n]} \|\mathbf{g}_{\kappa,I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|^{s_{\kappa}} \cdot \prod_{j\in[n]} \left(\|\mathbf{f}_{j,I}^{cc}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\cup\left(\prod_{\iota\in I}x_{\iota}\right)\mathbf{f}_{j-1,I}^{cc}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|^{\widetilde{s}_{j}} \|\mathbf{f}_{j-1,I}^{cc}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|^{\widetilde{s}_{j}} \right) |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{I}||\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}|.$$

Remark 3.3. Note that $M_{T,I}^{cc}(\mathbf{x})|M_{S,I}^{cc}(\mathbf{x})$ if $T \leq S$. We therefore could have kept definition (41) simpler by replacing " $T \leq S$ " by "S", without changing the integral Z_I^{cc} . The extra complication ensures that the results from Section 2 are applicable.

We leave it to the reader to verify that, for each $j \in [n]$ and $S \in \mathcal{G}_{j,n}^{cc}$, the ideal $(\mathbf{f}_{j,S}^{cc}(\mathbf{y}))$ is $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant. Therefore the Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 and their corollaries are applicable to the integral $Z_I^{cc}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{\tilde{s}}, \mathbf{\tilde{s}})$. Note that $Z_I^{cc}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{\tilde{s}}, \mathbf{\tilde{s}}) = Z_I^{cc}((s_l)_{l \in I}, s_n, \mathbf{\tilde{s}}, \mathbf{\tilde{s}})$. We set

$$\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc} = \mu\{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^l \times W | \nu_p(\mathbf{x}_l) = r_l, m(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = m, \nu^{cc}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{m}\}.$$

Here $\nu^{cc}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ if, for each $i \in [n]$, $m_i = \min \left\{ \sum v_n(x_i), \widetilde{m}_i \right\},$

$$m_i = \min\left\{\sum_{\iota \in I} v_p(x_\iota), \widetilde{m}_i\right\},\$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}} = (\widetilde{m}_1, \dots, \widetilde{m}_n)$ is the elementary divisor type of the matrix

 $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{cc}}(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{cc}}(I,(v_p(x_\iota))_{\iota\in I}).$

Then

$$Z_{I}^{cc}((s_{\iota})_{\iota \in I}, s_{n}, \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}) := Z_{I}^{cc}((s_{\iota})_{\iota \in I}, s_{n}, \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}, -\widetilde{\mathbf{s}})$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^{l}} p^{-\sum_{\iota \in I} s_{\iota} r_{\iota}} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \mu_{I, \mathbf{r}, m, \mathbf{m}}^{cc} p^{-s_{n}m - \sum_{i \in [n]} \widetilde{s_{i}}m_{i}}.$$

As in (33) we want to relate the numbers $\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc}$ with the integers $\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc}$.

Lemma 3.2.

(43)
$$\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc} = \frac{\binom{n}{I}p^{-1}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma)}\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc}p^{\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}(\iota(n-\iota)+1)}$$

(n)

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Thus

$$A_{I}^{cc}(s) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{N}^{I}} p^{\sum_{\iota\in I} r_{\iota}(-s(\iota+n)-n)} \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{N}_{0},\\\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{cc} p^{snm+\sum_{i\in[n]} m_{i}}$$
(40)

$$=\frac{\binom{n}{l}_{p^{-1}}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma)}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{N}^{l}} p^{\sum_{\iota} r_{\iota}(-s(\iota+n)+\iota(n-\iota)+1-n)} \sum_{m,\mathbf{m}} \mu_{I,\mathbf{r},m,\mathbf{m}}^{\mathrm{cc}} p^{snm+\sum_{i\in[n]}m_{i}} \quad (43)$$

$$=\frac{\binom{n}{I}_{p^{-1}}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma)}\cdot Z_{I}^{cc}((s(\iota+n)-\iota(n-\iota)-1+n)_{\iota\in I},-sn,-1,\ldots,-1)$$
(42)

$$= \binom{n}{I}_{p^{-1}} \widetilde{Z_I^{cc}}((s(\iota+n) - \iota(n-\iota) - 1 + n)_{\iota \in I}, -sn, -1, \dots, -1).$$
(10)

Theorem B now follows from Corollary 2.3.

3.3. Normal zeta functions of class-2-nilpotent groups. In this section we prove Theorem C. Let G be a \mathcal{T}_2 -group as in the statement of the theorem. Without loss of generality we may assume that G/Z(G) and Z(G) are torsion-free abelian groups of rank d and d', respectively (so n = d + d'), and that G admits

a presentation

$$G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d, h_1, \dots, h_{d'} | [g_i, g_j] = \sum_{k \in [d]} \lambda_{ij}^k h_k, \ \lambda_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ all other } [,] \text{ trivial} \rangle.$$

(Note that we used additive notation for expressions in the abelian group G'.) Thus we obtain a matrix

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{y}) := (L_{ij}(\mathbf{y})) \in \operatorname{Mat}_d(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}])$$

of linear forms $L_{ij}(\mathbf{y}) := \sum_{k \in [d]} \lambda_{ij}^k y_k$, encoding the commutator structure of *G*. By disregarding at most finitely many further primes we may also assume that *p* does not divide $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ whenever $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{d'} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p^{d'}$.

We begin our argument as in [32, §3], albeit with slightly different notation. Note, however, that we do not require the assumption that Z(G) = G'. By [32, Lemma 1] and its corollary, it suffices to compute a functional equation for the generating function

$$A^{\triangleleft}(s) = \sum_{I \subseteq [d'-1]} A_I^{\triangleleft}(s),$$

where

$$A_I^{\triangleleft}(s) = \sum_{\nu([\Lambda])=I} |Z(L_p) : \Lambda|^{d-s} |L_p : X(\Lambda)|^{-s}.$$

Here the sum ranges over homothety classes of *maximal* lattices Λ of type² I in the centre $Z(L_p)$ of the \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra

$$L_p := (G/Z(G) \oplus Z(G)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

and $X(\Lambda)/\Lambda = Z(L_p/\Lambda)$. We identify $Z(L_p)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p^{d'}$ using the basis $(h_1, \ldots, h_{d'})$. The index $|L_p : X(\Lambda)|$ is the index in $L_p/Z(L_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ of a system of linear congruences which we now describe (cf. [31, §2.2]). Let $[\Lambda]$ be of type (I, \mathbf{r}) , $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}_{<} \subseteq [d'-1], \mathbf{r} = (r_{i_1}, \ldots, r_{i_l}) \in \mathbb{N}^l$, corresponding to the coset $\alpha \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}} \in \Gamma_{d'}/\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{r}}$ as in the proof of Theorem A. We set

(44)
$$\mathcal{M}^{\triangleleft}(\alpha) = \left(\mathcal{M}(\alpha^{1})|\dots|\mathcal{M}(\alpha^{d'})\right),$$
$$\mathbf{D}^{\triangleleft}(I, \mathbf{r}) = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{di_{1}}, \underbrace{p^{r_{i_{1}}}, \dots, p^{r_{i_{1}}}}_{d(i_{2}-i_{1})}, \dots, \underbrace{p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}}, \dots, p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}}}_{d(d'-i_{l})}\right).$$

The system of linear congruences under consideration is

(45)
$$\mathbf{x}\mathcal{M}^{\triangleleft}(\alpha)\mathbf{D}^{\triangleleft}(I,\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{\sum_{l \in I} r_l}.$$

The solutions to this system form a full lattice in $L_p/Z(L_p)$. To keep track of its index we define the invariant $\nu'([\Lambda]) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ as follows. We say that $\nu'([\Lambda]) = \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d)$ if

²Note that the definition of a lattice's type given in [32] differs from the one in the current paper insofar as *I* is replaced by $d' - I = \{d' - i | i \in I\}$.

• the matrix $\mathcal{M}^{\triangleleft}(\alpha)\mathbf{D}^{\triangleleft}(I,\mathbf{r})$ has elementary divisor type

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{m}} = (\widetilde{m}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{m}_d)$$

and

• $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is defined by $m_i = \min\{\sum_{i \in I} r_i, \widetilde{m}_i\}$ for each $i \in [n]$.

The index of the system (45) equals

$$p^{\sum_{j\in [d]}(\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}-m_{j})}.$$

By defining

$$\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} := |\{[\Lambda]| \, \nu([\Lambda]) = (I,\mathbf{r}), \nu'([\Lambda]) = \mathbf{m}\}$$

(note that $\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} \neq 0$ implies $m_1 = 0$, as $p \not \mathcal{M}^{\triangleleft}(\alpha)$), we obtain a formula for $A_I^{\triangleleft}(s)$ that is analogous to (30):

(46)
$$A_{I}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{N}^{I}} p^{(d-s)\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}\iota} \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} p^{-s\sum_{j\in[d]}(\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}-m_{j})}$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{r}} p^{\sum_{\iota\in I}r_{\iota}(-s(d+\iota)+\iota d)} \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} p^{s\sum_{j\in[d]}m_{j}}.$$

As in Section 3.1 we shall show that the generating function $A_I^{\triangleleft}(s)$ may be expressed in terms of a *p*-adic integral to which the results of Section 2 can be applied. We shall need more notation.

Given the $d \times dd'$ -matrix $M = (M_1| \dots |M_{d'})$, $M_i \in \operatorname{Mat}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, a $j \times j$ submatrix of M of column-type $S = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{d'}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{d'}$, $\sum_{i \in [d']} \sigma_i = j$, is a submatrix obtained by choosing j rows of M and σ_i columns in the "block" M_i for each $i \in [d']$. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{j,d'}^{\triangleleft} = \{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{d'}) | \sum_{i \in [d']} \sigma_i = j\}$ the set of possible such column-types. Given $S = (\sigma_i)$, $T = (\tau_i) \in \mathcal{G}_{j,d'}^{\triangleleft}$, we write $T \leq S$ if, for all $i \in [d']$, $\sum_{l \leq i} \tau_l \geq \sum_{l \leq i} \sigma_l$. For $S \in \mathcal{G}_{j,d'}^{\triangleleft}$, we set

(47) $\mathbf{f}_{i,S}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{y}) = \{\det(N) \mid N \text{ is a } j \times j \text{-submatrix of } \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ of column-type } T \leq S\}.$

We define the monomial $M_{S,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\iota \in I} x_{\iota}^{\sum_{\iota < \kappa \in [d']} \sigma_{\kappa}}$ and set

$$\mathbf{f}_{j,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{G}_{j,d'}^{\triangleleft}} M_{S,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{f}_{j,S}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{y}).$$

We are now ready to define

$$Z_{I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s},\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}) = Z_{I}^{\triangleleft}((t_{\iota})_{\iota \in I}, s_{2}, \dots, s_{d}, \widetilde{s}_{2}, \dots, \widetilde{s}_{d}) = \int_{(p\mathbb{Z}_{p})^{l} \times W} \prod_{\iota \in I} |x_{\iota}|^{t_{\iota}} \cdot \prod_{j \in [2,d]} \left(\|\mathbf{f}_{j,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \cup \left(\prod_{\iota \in I} x_{\iota}\right) \mathbf{f}_{j-1,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \|^{s_{j}} \|\mathbf{f}_{j-1,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|^{\widetilde{s}_{j}} \right) |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{I}| |\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}|.$$

Remark 3.4. Note that $M_{T,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x})|M_{S,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x})$ if $T \leq S$. We could have kept definition (47) simpler by replacing " $T \leq S$ " by "S". The extra complication ensures that the results from Section 2 are applicable. Note also that we are not losing anything by omitting the factor for j = 1, as $\|\mathbf{f}_{0,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\| = \|\mathbf{f}_{1,I}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\| = 1$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^l$, $\mathbf{y} \in W$.

We leave it to the reader to verify that, for each $j \in [d]$ and $S \in \mathcal{G}_{j,d'}^{\triangleleft}$, the ideal $(\mathbf{f}_{j,S}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{y}))$ is $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant. Therefore Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and their corollaries are applicable. We set

$$\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} = \mu\{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^l \times W | v_p(x_\iota) = r_\iota, v'(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{m}\},\$$

where $\nu'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ if $\mathbf{m} = \min\{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_\iota, \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}\}$ where $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}$ is the elementary divisor type of the matrix $\mathcal{M}^{\triangleleft}(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{D}^{\triangleleft}(I, (v_p(x_\iota))_{\iota \in I}))$. Note that $\mu_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} \neq 0$ implies $m_1 = 0$. Then (48)

$$Z_{I}^{\triangleleft}((t_{\iota})_{\iota\in I},\mathbf{s}) := Z_{I}^{\triangleleft}((t_{\iota})_{\iota\in I},\mathbf{s},-\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbb{N}^{l}} p^{-\sum_{\iota\in I}t_{\iota}r_{\iota}} \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}} \mu_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} p^{-\sum_{j\in[2,d]}s_{j}m_{j}}.$$

Lemma 3.3.

(49)
$$\mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} = \frac{\binom{d'}{I}_{p^{-1}}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma)} \mu_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}(\iota(d'-\iota)+1)}.$$

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Thus, $A_I^{\triangleleft}(s)$

$$=\sum_{\mathbf{r}} p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}(-s(d+\iota)+\iota d)} \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft} p^{s\sum_{j \in [d]} m_{j}}$$

$$= \binom{d'}{I}_{p^{-1}}$$
(46)

$$(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma) \sum_{\mathbf{r}} p^{\sum_{\iota \in I} r_{\iota}(-s(d+\iota)+\iota(d'+d-\iota)+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \mu_{I,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{m}}^{\triangleleft}(p^{-s})^{-\sum_{j \in [d]} m_{j}}$$
(49)

$$=\frac{\binom{n}{I}_{p^{-1}}}{(1-p^{-1})^{l}\mu(\Gamma)}Z_{I}((s(d+\iota)-\iota(d+d'-\iota)-1)_{\iota\in I},-s,\ldots,-s)$$
(48)

$$= \binom{d'}{I}_{p^{-1}} \widetilde{Z_I}((s(d+\iota) - \iota(d+d'-\iota) - 1)_{\iota \in I}, -s, \dots, -s).$$

$$(10)$$

Theorem C now follows from Corollary 2.3.

3.4. Representation zeta functions of \mathcal{T} -groups. In this section we recall some of Howe's work [16] on irreducible representations of \mathcal{T} -groups and co-adjoint orbits and prove Theorem D.

If G is a group and $H \leq G$ is a subgroup we say that H is saturated if $g^n \in H$ implies $g \in H$ for all $g \in G$. Denote by H_s the smallest saturated subgroup of G containing H (this is called the *isolator* of H in [27, Ch. 8, §A]). Clearly, if $H \triangleleft G$, $H = H_s$ if and only if G/H is torsion-free.

Now let *G* be a \mathcal{T} -group. Recall (cf., for example, [27, Ch. 6]) that, by the Malcev correspondence, there is a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_G(\mathbb{Q})$ over \mathbb{Q} , of dimension equal to h(G), the Hirsch length of *G*, and an injective mapping $\log : G \to \mathcal{L}_G(\mathbb{Q})$, such that $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\log(G)) = \mathcal{L}_G(\mathbb{Q})$. In general $L := \log(G)$ will fail to be a Lie subring (or even just a lattice). However, by replacing *G* by a subgroup of finite index, if necessary, we may assume it is a Lie subring ([14, §4]) and even that $[L, L] \subseteq c!L$, where *c* is the nilpotency class of *L* (or *G*), i.e., that *L* (and *G*) are elementarily exponentiable (e.e.) in Howe's nomenclature. As we are looking to study $\zeta_{G,p}^{\operatorname{irr}}(s)$ for all but finitely many primes, there is no harm in descending to a subgroup *H* of finite index in *G*. Indeed, for all *p* and all *n*, there is a 1 - 1 – correspondence between twist isoclasses of irreducible characters of degree p^n and *p*-admissible twist isoclasses of degree p^n of \widehat{G}_p , the pro-*p*-completion of *G* ([17, Lemma 8.5]). However, $\widehat{G}_p \cong \widehat{H}_p$ if $p \not| | G : H |$.

For a \mathcal{T} -group G, denote by $(G^{(i)})$ the group's lower central series, defined by $G^{(1)} = G$, $G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G]$, $i \ge 2$. We say that $G \ne \{1\}$ has nilpotency class c (or is step-c-nilpotent) if $G^{(c)} \ne \{1\}$ but $G^{(c+1)} = \{1\}$. Similarly, we denote by $(L^{(i)})$ the lower central series of the Lie algebra L, defined by $L^{(1)} = L$, $L^{(i+1)} = [L^{(i)}, L]$, $i \ge 2$, and we hope that there will be no confusion between group commutators and Lie brackets. It is well-known that log induces a bijection between $L^{(i)}$ and $G^{(i)}$, $i \in [c]$. We write G' for $G^{(2)}$, and L' for $L^{(2)}$. By Z(L)we denote the centre of L.

For a sublattice $M \subseteq L = \log(G)$, denote its dual $\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ by \widehat{M} and by $r_M : \widehat{L} \to \widehat{M}, \psi \mapsto \psi|_M$ the restriction to M. We say that $\psi \in \widehat{L}$ is rational on M if $r_M(\psi)$ is a torsion element. Clearly ψ is rational on M if and only if ψ is rational on M_s if and only if $\psi(nM) = 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that the adjoint action Ad of G on L is given by

(50)
$$l \mapsto l + [\log \gamma, l] + (\text{higher terms}),$$

where we omitted Lie terms of degree ≥ 3 . These may be computed in terms of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff-formula. This element $F(X, Y) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\{X,Y\}}$, the completion of the free Lie algebra on the symbols X and Y, provides the solution to

$$\exp(F(x, y)) = \exp(x)\exp(y).$$

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff-formula allows us therefore to recover the group structure on the Lie algebra (cf. [20, Ch. 9] and [28, V.3.4 and IV.7]). By (50), the co-adjoint action Ad^{*} of G on \hat{L} is thus given by

 $\operatorname{Ad}^* \gamma(\psi)(l) = \psi(l)\psi([\log \gamma, l])\psi(\text{higher terms}).$

Given $\psi \in \widehat{L}$ we define a bi-additive, anti-symmetric form $B_{\psi} : L \times L \to \mathbb{C}^*$ by setting $B_{\psi}((l_1, l_2)) = \psi([l_1, l_2])$. We say that a subalgebra $P \leq L$ polarises B_{ψ}

if $B_{\psi}|_{P \times P} = 1$ and it is a maximal additive subgroup with respect to this property. Note that any such additive subgroup contains the radical $\operatorname{Rad}_{\psi} = \{l \in L | \psi([l, L]) = 1\}$ of B_{ψ} .

LEMMA ([16, Lemmata 1–4]). Given $\psi \in \hat{L}$, the isotropy subgroup G_{ψ} of ψ under Ad^{*}G is an e.e. subgroup of G and $\log G_{\psi} = \operatorname{Rad}_{\psi}$. If $\psi \in \hat{L}$ is Ad^{*}Ginvariant, then ψ^2 defines a one-dimensional character on G. The orbit of $\psi \in \hat{L}$ under the co-adjoint action Ad^{*} of G is finite if and only if ψ is rational on $\log G'_s$. If $\psi \in \hat{L}$ is rational on $\log G'_s$ then there are e.e. polarising subalgebras P for B_{ψ} . They have finite index in L satisfying $|L:P| = |P:\operatorname{Rad}_{\psi}|$.

THEOREM ([16, Th. 1(a)]). Let G be an e.e. \mathcal{T} -group and set $L = \log G$, $L'_s = \log G'_s$. Let Ω be a finite Ad^*G -orbit in \widehat{L} , and $\psi \in \Omega$. Let N be the period of ψ and assume N to be odd. A finite-dimensional irreducible representation U_{Ω} may be associated to Ω in the following manner: Let P be an e.e. polarising subalgebra for B_{ψ} , set $\Pi = \exp P$ and $\tilde{\psi} := \psi |_{\Pi}$, a linear character on Π . Put $U_{\Omega} := \operatorname{Ind}_{\Pi}^{G} \widetilde{\psi}$. Then the dimension of U_{Ω} is $|\Omega|^{1/2}$, and the character of U_{Ω} is $\xi_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{1/2}} \sum_{\phi \in \Omega} \phi$. All representations of the form $\chi \otimes V$, $\chi \in \widehat{G/G'_s}$, V defined modulo $NG := \exp(N \cdot L)$, N odd, are realised in this manner.

COROLLARY 3.1. For almost all primes p,

(51)
$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\text{irr}}(s) = \sum_{\substack{\psi \in \widehat{L}'_{s} \\ \psi \text{ rational of } \\ p \text{-power period}}} |L : \operatorname{Rad}_{\psi}|^{-s/2} |L : L_{\psi,2}|^{-1},$$

where $L_{\psi,2} = \{l \in L | \psi([l, L'_s]) = 1\}.$

Proof. For primes p not dividing $2|G'_s:G'|$, Howe's theorem yields that we count every p-power degree twist-isoclass at least once when we sum $|G:G_{\psi}|^{-s/2}$ over the rational characters ψ of L'_s of p-power period. By further excluding finitely many primes, we may assume that $|G:G_{\psi}| = |L:\operatorname{Rad}_{\psi}|$ (cf. [14, Lemma 4.8], in which it is established that log induces an *index-preserving* correspondence between p-power index subgroups of G and p-power index subalgebras of L away from a finite number of primes). Hereby we overcount every orbit by exactly the index $|G:G_{\psi,2}|$, where $G_{\psi,2} = \{\gamma \in G | \psi([\log \gamma, L'_s]) = 1\}$ is the stabiliser of ψ under the coadjoint action of G on the restriction of characters to L'_s . (Note that this index is always equal to 1 if G is class-2-nilpotent.) Again at the cost of at most finitely many primes we may assume $|G:G_{\psi,2}| = |L:L_{\psi,2}|$.

Howe's parametrisation of irreducible representations allows us to prove

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let G be a T-group. Then there are matrices $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{R}$ of homogeneous Q-linear forms such that, for almost all primes p,

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\operatorname{nrr}}(s) = P_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{G},\mathbb{Q}_p}(s/2,\ldots,s/2;1,\ldots,1),$$

where $P_{\Re,\mathcal{G},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the generating function defined in Section 2.2. Proposition 2.2 is applicable for n = h(G').

Theorem D clearly follows from this.

Proof. We aim to express both factors in the summands of (51) in terms of elementary divisors of matrices of linear forms. Recall that L is additively isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^h , where h is the Hirsch length of G. Without loss of generality we may assume that L' is saturated in L, and that $L' \cap Z(L)$ is saturated in Z(L) (otherwise we disregard finitely many primes). We fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_{d+m}, \underbrace{x_{d+m+1}, \dots, x_{d+n}}_{L' \cap Z(L)}\}$$

of L such that

$$\{x_{d+1}, \dots, x_{d+n}\}$$
 is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for L' ,
 $\{x_{d+m+1}, \dots, x_{d+n}\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $L' \cap Z(L)$.

The Lie bracket induces an anti-symmetric, bi-additive mapping

$$\beta: L/(L' \cap Z(L)) \times L/(L' \cap Z(L)) \to L',$$
$$(l_1(L' \cap Z(L)), l_2(L' \cap Z(L))) \mapsto [l_1, l_2]$$

We may express this map in terms of our chosen basis as follows. For $1 \le i, j \le d + m$, let

$$[x_i, x_j] = \sum_{k \in [n]} \lambda_{ijk} x_{d+k}, \quad \lambda_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\Re = (\Re_{ij})$ denote the $(d + m) \times (d + m)$ -matrix of linear forms

$$\Re_{ij}(\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{k \in [n]} \lambda_{ijk} Y_k \in \mathbb{Q}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n].$$

By \mathscr{G} we denote the submatrix of \mathscr{R} consisting of the last *m* columns of \mathscr{R} . By further disregarding finitely many primes if necessary we may assume that, given $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, $\overline{\mathscr{R}}(\mathbf{y})$ is not zero unless $\overline{\mathbf{y}} = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. We denote by C_i , $i \in [d + m]$, the matrices of the additive maps $L/(L' \cap Z(L)) \rightarrow L'$, $x(L' \cap Z(L)) \mapsto [x, x_i]$ with respect to these bases. For a given nonnegative integer $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we identify the set

$$\Psi_{p^N} := \left\{ \psi \in \widehat{L'} | \text{ the period of } \psi \text{ equals } p^N \right\}$$

with

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}^n/p^N\mathbb{Z}^n\right)^{\times} := (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^n \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^n$$

by sending $\ell = (l_1, \ldots, l_n) \in \left(\mathbb{Z}^n / p^N \mathbb{Z}^n\right)^{\times}$ to

$$\psi(b_1,\ldots,b_n) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \sum_{i \in [n]} l_i b_i}{p^N}\right), \mathbf{b} = (b_1,\ldots,b_n) \in \widehat{L'}.$$

With these identifications we obtain

(52)

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{d+m}) \in \operatorname{Rad}_{\psi} / Z(L) \Leftrightarrow \forall \gamma \in G : \psi\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i x_i, \log \gamma\right]\right) = 1$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \forall j \in [d+m] : \mathbf{k} \ C_j \ell^t \equiv 0 \bmod p^N$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{k} \ \Re(\ell) \equiv 0 \bmod p^N$$

and

(53)
$$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{d+m}) \in L_{\psi,2}/Z(L) \Leftrightarrow \forall \gamma \in G' : \psi\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i x_i, \log \gamma\right]\right) = 1$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \forall j \in [d+1, d+m] : \mathbf{k} \ C_j \ell^t \equiv 0 \mod p^N$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{k} \ \mathcal{G}(\ell) \equiv 0 \mod p^N.$$

In order to use the congruence conditions (52) and (53) for an effective computation of $\zeta_{G,p}^{\text{irr}}(s)$, we need to enumerate the (*p*-parts of) the elementary divisors of the matrices $\Re(\ell)$ and $\mathscr{G}(\ell)$ as ℓ runs through the sets Ψ_{p^N} , $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By Corollary 3.1 we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{G,p}^{\mathrm{irr}}(s) &= \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \\ \psi \in \Psi_{pN}}} |L : \mathrm{Rad}_{\psi}|^{-s/2} |L : L_{\psi,2}|^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d+m}, \, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{m}}} \mathcal{N}_{N,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} q^{-\sum_{i \in [d+m]} (N-m_{i})s/2 - \sum_{j \in [m]} (N-n_{j})} \\ &= P_{\Re,\mathcal{G},\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(s/2, \dots, s/2; 1, \dots, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. I started work on this paper when I was a guest at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, Germany. I continued it as a guest at the Chaire de Mathématiques Algébriques Discrètes at the EPF Lausanne, Switzerland. I gratefully acknowledge both institutions' support and hospitality. I am particularly obliged to Laurent Bartholdi, my host in Lausanne, and to the Fond National Suisse, which jointly funded my stay there. Thanks are due to Benjamin Klopsch for frequent inspiring discussions. I wish to thank Benjamin Martin for making Hrushovski's and his preprint [17] available to me, and to Willem Veys for alerting me to [30]. Mark Berman's and Ulrich Voll's comments on previous versions helped a great deal to improve the presentation of this paper. I am particularly grateful to Mark Berman for his help with proofreading.

Note added in proof. Theorem A also confirms, for almost all primes, the conjecture in [23, §7] regarding functional equations for local zeta functions enumerating subrings in \mathbb{Z}^n .

References

- M. N. BERMAN, Uniformity and functional equations for local zeta functions of
 κ-split algebraic groups, preprint, 2008. arXiv 0802.4207
- [2] C. W. CURTIS and I. REINER, Methods of Representation Theory, With Applications to Finite Groups and Orders, vol. I, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981. MR 82i:20001 Zbl 0469.20001
- J. DENEF, On the degree of Igusa's local zeta function, *Amer. J. Math.* 109 (1987), 991–1008.
 MR 89d:11108 Zbl 0659.14017
- [4] _____, Report on Igusa's local zeta function, in *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 1990/91, *Astérisque* 201–203, 1992, pp. 359–386. MR 93g:11119 Zbl 0749.11054
- [5] J. DENEF and D. MEUSER, A functional equation of Igusa's local zeta function, *Amer. J. Math.* 113 (1991), 1135–1152. MR 93e:11145 Zbl 0749.11053
- [6] M. DU SAUTOY, A nilpotent group and its elliptic curve: non-uniformity of local zeta functions of groups, *Israel J. Math.* **126** (2001), 269–288. MR 2003a:11118 Zbl 0998.20033
- [7] _____, Counting subgroups in nilpotent groups and points on elliptic curves, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **549** (2002), 1–21. MR 2003h:11114 Zbl 1001.20032
- [8] M. DU SAUTOY and F. GRUNEWALD, Analytic properties of zeta functions and subgroup growth, Ann. of Math. 152 (2000), 793–833. MR 2002h:11084 Zbl 1006.11051
- [9] _____, Zeta functions of groups and rings, in *Proc. Internat. Congress of Mathematicians* (Madrid, August 22–30, 2006), vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 131–149. MR 2008d: 11102 Zbl 1112.20024
- [10] M. DU SAUTOY and A. LUBOTZKY, Functional equations and uniformity for local zeta functions of nilpotent groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **118** (1996), 39–90. MR 97g:11137 Zbl 0864.20020
- [11] M. DU SAUTOY and D. SEGAL, Zeta functions of groups, in New Horizons in Pro-p Groups, Progr. Math. 184, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 249–286. MR 2001b:20001 Zbl 1188 11047
- [12] M. DU SAUTOY and G. TAYLOR, The zeta function of sl₂ and resolution of singularities, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **132** (2002), 57–73. MR 2002j:11100 Zbl 1020.11074
- [13] M. DU SAUTOY and L. WOODWARD, Zeta Functions of Groups and Rings, Lecture Notes in Math. 1925, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008. MR 2009d:20053 Zbl 1151.11005
- [14] F. J. GRUNEWALD, D. SEGAL, and G. C. SMITH, Subgroups of finite index in nilpotent groups, *Invent. Math.* 93 (1988), 185–223. MR 89m:11084 Zbl 0651.20040
- [15] R. E. HOWE, Kirillov theory for compact *p*-adic groups, *Pacific J. Math.* 73 (1977), 365–381.
 MR 58 #28314 Zbl 0385.22007
- [16] _____, On representations of discrete, finitely generated, torsion-free, nilpotent groups, Pacific J. Math. 73 (1977), 281–305. MR 58 #16984 Zbl 0387.22005
- [17] E. HRUSHOVSKI and B. MARTIN, Zeta functions from definable equivalence relations, 2004, preprint. arXiv math/0701011
- [18] J.-I. IGUSA, Universal *p*-adic zeta functions and their functional equations, *Amer. J. Math.* 111 (1989), 671–716. MR 91e:11142 Zbl 0707.14016
- [19] A. JAIKIN-ZAPIRAIN, Zeta function of representations of compact *p*-adic analytic groups, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (2006), 91–118. MR 2006f:20029 Zbl 1092.20023

- [20] E. I. KHUKHRO, *p-Automorphisms of Finite p-Groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series* 246, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR 99d:20029 Zbl 0897.20018
- [21] B. KLOPSCH, Zeta functions related to the pro-p group SL₁(Δ_p), *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **135** (2003), 45–57. MR 2005d:11136 Zbl 1076.20018
- B. KLOPSCH and C. VOLL, Zeta functions of three-dimensional *p*-adic Lie algebras, *Math. Z.* 263 (2009), 195–210. MR 2529493 Zbl pre05598911
- [23] R. I. LIU, Counting subrings of \mathbb{Z}^n of index k, J. Combinatorial Theory 114 (2007), 278–299.
- [24] A. LUBOTZKY and A. R. MAGID, Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 58 (1985), xi+117. MR 87c:20021 Zbl 0598.14042
- [25] A. LUBOTZKY and D. SEGAL, Subgroup Growth, Progr. Math. 212, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003. MR 2004k:20055 Zbl 1071.20033
- [26] C. NUNLEY and A. MAGID, Simple representations of the integral Heisenberg group, in *Classical Groups and Related Topics* (Beijing, 1987), *Contemp. Math.* 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 89–96. MR 90c:20018 Zbl 0698.22009
- [27] D. SEGAL, Polycyclic Groups, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 82, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983. MR 85h:20003 Zbl 0516.20001
- [28] J.-P. SERRE, Lie Algebras and Lie Groups, second ed., Lecture Notes in Math. 1500, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. MR 93h:17001 Zbl 0742.17008
- [29] R. P. STANLEY, Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 1, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 49, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997. MR 98a:05001 Zbl 0889.05001
- [30] W. VEYS and W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO, Zeta functions for analytic mappings, log-principalization of ideals, and Newton polyhedra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), 2205–2227. MR 2008i:11140 Zbl pre05229035
- [31] C. VOLL, Zeta functions of groups and enumeration in Bruhat-Tits buildings, Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004), 1005–1032. MR 2005f:11201 Zbl 1076.11050
- [32] _____, Functional equations for local normal zeta functions of nilpotent groups, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 15 (2005), 274–295, (with an appendix by A. Beauville). MR 2006k:20065 Zbl 1135.11331
- [33] _____, Counting subgroups in a family of nilpotent semi-direct products, Bull. London Math. Soc. 38 (2006), 743–752. MR 2007i:11123 Zbl 1120.11040
- [34] J. WŁODARCZYK, Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), 779–822. MR 2006f:14014 Zbl 1084.14018
- [35] L. WOODWARD, Zeta functions of groups: computer calculations and functional equations, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oxford, 2005.

(Received December 18, 2006)

E-mail address: C.Voll.98@cantab.net

School of Mathematics, University of Southampton, Highfield, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

http://www.personal.soton.ac.uk/voll/

ISSN 0003-486X

ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS

This periodical is published bimonthly by the Department of Mathematics at Princeton University with the cooperation of the Institute for Advanced Study. Annals is typeset in T_EX by Sarah R. Warren and produced by Mathematical Sciences Publishers. The six numbers each year are divided into two volumes of three numbers each.

Editorial correspondence

Papers submitted for publication and editorial correspondence should be addressed to Maureen Schupsky, Annals of Mathematics, Fine Hall-Washington Road, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 08544-1000 U.S.A. The e-mail address is annals@math.princeton.edu.

Preparing and submitting papers

The Annals requests that all papers include an abstract of about 150 words which explains to the nonspecialist mathematician what the paper is about. It should not make any reference to the bibliography. Authors are encouraged to initially submit their papers electronically and in PDF format. Please send the file to: annals@math.princeton.edu or to the Mathematics e-print arXiv: front.math.ucdavis.edu/submissions. If a paper is submitted through the arXiv, then please e-mail us with the arXiv number of the paper.

Proofs

A PDF file of the galley proof will be sent to the corresponding author for correction. If requested, a paper copy will also be sent to the author.

Offprints

Authors of single-authored papers will receive 30 offprints. (Authors of papers with one co-author will receive 15 offprints, and authors of papers with two or more co-authors will receive 10 offprints.) Extra offprints may be purchased through the editorial office.

Subscriptions

The price for a print and online subscription, or an online-only subscription, is \$390 per year for institutions. In addition, there is a postage surcharge of \$40 for print subscriptions that are mailed to countries outside of the United States. Individuals interested in subscriptions for their own personal use should contact the publisher at the address below. Subscriptions and changes of address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 (e-mail: contact@mathscipub.org; phone: 1-510-643-8638; fax: 1-510-295-2608). (Checks should be made payable to "Mathematical Sciences Publishers".)

Back issues and reprints

Orders for missing issues and back issues should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers at the above address. Claims for missing issues must be made within 12 months of the publication date. Online versions of papers published five or more years ago are available through JSTOR (www.jstor.org).

Microfilm

Beginning with Volume 1, microfilm may be purchased from NA Publishing, Inc., 4750 Venture Drive, Suite 400, PO Box 998, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-0998; phone: 1-800-420-6272 or 734-302-6500; email: info@napubco.com, website: www.napubco.com/contact.html.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED UNDER THE BERNE CONVENTION AND THE UNIVERSAL COPYRIGHT CONVENTION

Copyright © 2010 by Princeton University (Mathematics Department) Printed in U.S.A. by Sheridan Printing Company, Inc., Alpha, NJ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GONZALO CONTRERAS. Geodesic flows with positive topological entropy, twist maps and hyperbolicity	761–808
ECKART VIEHWEG. Compactifications of smooth families and of moduli spaces of polarized manifolds	809–910
CHANG-SHOU LIN and CHIN-LUNG WANG. Elliptic functions, Green functions and the mean field equations on tori	911–954
YICHAO TIAN. Canonical subgroups of Barsotti-Tate groups	955 - 988
AKSHAY VENKATESH. Sparse equidistribution problems, period bounds and subconvexity	. 989–1094
THOMAS GEISSER. Duality via cycle complexes	1095 - 1126
VIKTOR L. GINZBURG. The Conley conjecture	1127-1180
CHRISTOPHER VOLL. Functional equations for zeta functions of groups	
and rings	1181-1218
MONIKA LUDWIG and MATTHIAS REITZNER. A classification of SL(n) invariant valuations	1219-1267
ISAAC GOLDBRING. Hilbert's fifth problem for local groups	1269-1314
ROBERT M. GURALNICK and MICHAEL E. ZIEVE. Polynomials with PSL(2) monodromy	1315 - 1359
ROBERT M. GURALNICK, JOEL ROSENBERG and MICHAEL E. ZIEVE. A new family of exceptional polynomials in characteristic two	1361-1390
RAMAN PARIMALA and V. SURESH. The <i>u</i> -invariant of the function fields of <i>p</i> -adic curves	
AVRAHAM AIZENBUD, DMITRY GOUREVITCH, STEPHEN RALLIS and GÉRARD SCHIFFMANN. Multiplicity one theorems	1407–1434
STANISLAV SMIRNOV. Conformal invariance in random cluster models. I. Holmorphic fermions in the Ising model	1435–1467
KANNAN SOUNDARARAJAN. Weak subconvexity for central values of L-functions	1469–1498
ROMAN HOLOWINSKY. Sieving for mass equidistribution	1499–1516
ROMAN HOLOWINSKY and KANNAN SOUNDARARAJAN. Mass equidistribution for Hecke eigenforms	1517 - 1528
Kannan Soundararajan. Quantum unique ergodicity for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{H}$	1529–1538