

# ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS

**A measure-conjugacy invariant for free  
group actions**

By LEWIS PHYLIP BOWEN



SECOND SERIES, VOL. 171, NO. 2

March, 2010

ANMAAH

# A measure-conjugacy invariant for free group actions

By LEWIS PHYLIP BOWEN

## Abstract

This paper introduces a new measure-conjugacy invariant for actions of free groups. Using this invariant, it is shown that two Bernoulli shifts over a finitely generated free group are measurably conjugate if and only if their base measures have the same entropy. This answers a question of Ornstein and Weiss.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is motivated by an old and central problem in measurable dynamics: given two dynamical systems, determine whether they are measurably-conjugate, i.e., isomorphic. Let us set some notation.

A *dynamical system* (or system for short) is a triple  $(G, X, \mu)$  where  $(X, \mu)$  is a probability space and  $G$  is a group acting by measure-preserving transformations on  $(X, \mu)$ . We will also call this a *dynamical system over  $G$* , a  *$G$ -system* or an *action of  $G$* . In this paper,  $G$  will always be a discrete countable group. Two systems  $(G, X, \mu)$  and  $(G, Y, \nu)$  are *isomorphic* (i.e., *measurably conjugate*) if and only if there exist conull sets  $X' \subset X, Y' \subset Y$  and a bijective measurable map  $\phi : X' \rightarrow Y'$  such that  $\phi^{-1}$  is measurable,  $\phi_*\mu = \nu$  and  $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x) \forall g \in G, x \in X'$ .

A special class of dynamical systems called Bernoulli systems or Bernoulli shifts has played a significant role in the development of the theory as a whole; it was the problem of trying to classify them that motivated Kolmogorov to introduce the mean entropy of a dynamical system over  $\mathbb{Z}$  [Kol58], [Kol59]. That is, Kolmogorov defined for every system  $(\mathbb{Z}, X, \mu)$  a number  $h(\mathbb{Z}, X, \mu)$  called the *mean entropy* of  $(\mathbb{Z}, X, \mu)$  that quantifies, in some sense, how “random” the system is. His definition was modified by Sinai [Sin59]; the latter has become standard. The lectures notes [Roh67] are a classical reference. Modern references include [Pet83], [Rud90] and [Gla03].

Bernoulli shifts also play an important role in this paper, so let us define them. Let  $(K, \kappa)$  be a standard Borel probability space. For a discrete countable

group  $G$ , let  $K^G = \prod_{g \in G} K$  be the set of all functions  $x : G \rightarrow K$  with the product Borel structure and let  $\kappa^G$  be the product measure on  $K^G$ .  $G$  acts on  $K^G$  by  $(gx)(f) = x(g^{-1}f)$  for  $x \in K^G$  and  $g, f \in G$ . This action is measure-preserving. The system  $(G, K^G, \kappa^G)$  is the *Bernoulli shift over  $G$  with base  $(K, \kappa)$* . It is nontrivial if  $\kappa$  is not supported on a single point.

Before Kolmogorov’s seminal work [Kol58], [Kol59], it was unknown whether all nontrivial Bernoulli shifts over  $\mathbb{Z}$  were measurably conjugate to each other. He proved that  $h(\mathbb{Z}, K^{\mathbb{Z}}, \kappa^{\mathbb{Z}}) = H(\kappa)$  where  $H(\kappa)$ , the *entropy of  $\kappa$*  is defined as follows. If there exists a finite or countably infinite set  $K' \subset K$  such that  $\kappa(K') = 1$  then

$$H(\kappa) = - \sum_{k \in K'} \mu(\{k\}) \log(\mu(\{k\}))$$

where we follow the convention  $0 \log(0) = 0$ . Otherwise,  $H(\kappa) = +\infty$ . Thus two Bernoulli shifts over  $\mathbb{Z}$  with different base measure entropies cannot be measurably conjugate.

The converse was proven by D. Ornstein in the groundbreaking papers [Orn70a], [Orn70b]. That is, he proved that if two Bernoulli shifts  $(\mathbb{Z}, K^{\mathbb{Z}}, \kappa^{\mathbb{Z}})$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}, L^{\mathbb{Z}}, \lambda^{\mathbb{Z}})$  are such that  $H(\kappa) = H(\lambda)$  then they are isomorphic.

In [Kie75], Kieffer proved a generalization of the Shannon-McMillan theorem to actions of a countable amenable group  $G$ . In particular, he extended the definition of mean entropy from  $\mathbb{Z}$ -systems to  $G$ -systems. This leads to the generalization of Kolmogorov’s theorem to amenable groups.

In the landmark paper [OW87], Ornstein and Weiss extended most of the classical entropy theory from  $\mathbb{Z}$ -systems to  $G$ -systems where  $G$  is any countable amenable group. In particular, they proved that if two Bernoulli shifts  $(G, K^G, \kappa^G)$ ,  $(G, L^G, \lambda^G)$  over a countably infinite amenable group  $G$  are such that  $H(\kappa) = H(\lambda)$  then they are isomorphic. Thus Bernoulli shifts over  $G$  are completely classified by base measure entropy.

Now let us say that a group  $G$  is *Ornstein* if  $H(\kappa) = H(\lambda)$  implies  $(G, K^G, \kappa^G)$  is isomorphic to  $(G, L^G, \lambda^G)$  whenever  $(K, \kappa)$  and  $(L, \lambda)$  are standard Borel probability spaces. By the above, all countably infinite amenable groups are Ornstein. Stepin proved that any countable group that contains an Ornstein subgroup is itself Ornstein [Ste75]. It is unknown whether every countably infinite group is Ornstein.

In [OW87], Ornstein and Weiss asked whether all Bernoulli shifts over a non-amenable group are isomorphic. The next result shows that the answer is ‘no’:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$  be the free group of rank  $r$ . If  $(K_1, \kappa_1)$ ,  $(K_2, \kappa_2)$  are standard probability spaces with  $H(\kappa_1) + H(\kappa_2) < \infty$  then  $(G, K_1^G, \kappa_1^G)$  is measurably conjugate to  $(G, K_2^G, \kappa_2^G)$  if and only if  $H(\kappa_1) = H(\kappa_2)$ .*

The reason Ornstein and Weiss thought the answer might be ‘yes’ is due to a curious example presented in [OW87]. It pertains to a well-known fundamental property of entropy: it is nonincreasing under factor maps. Let  $(G, X, \mu)$  and  $(G, Y, \nu)$  be two systems. A map  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a factor if  $\phi_*\mu = \nu$  and  $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x)$  for almost every  $x \in X$  and every  $g \in G$ . If  $G$  is amenable then the mean entropy of a factor is less than or equal to the mean entropy of the source. This is essentially due to Sinai [Si59]. So if  $K_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\kappa_n$  is the uniform probability measure on  $K_n$  then  $(G, K_2^G, \kappa_2^G)$ , which has entropy  $\log(2)$ , cannot factor onto  $(G, K_4^G, \kappa_4^G)$ , which has entropy  $\log(4)$ .

The argument above fails if  $G$  is nonamenable. Indeed, let  $G = \langle a, b \rangle$  be a rank 2 free group. Identify  $K_2$  with the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $K_4$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\phi(x)(g) := (x(g) + x(ga), x(g) + x(gb)) \quad \forall x \in K_2^G, g \in G$$

is a factor map from  $(G, K_2^G, \kappa_2^G)$  onto  $(G, K_4^G, \kappa_4^G)$ . This is Ornstein-Weiss’ example. It is the main ingredient in the proof of the next theorem, which will appear in a separate paper.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be any countable group that contains a nonabelian free subgroup. Then every nontrivial Bernoulli shift over  $G$  factors onto every Bernoulli shift over  $G$ .*

To prove [Theorem 1.1](#), the following invariant is introduced. Let  $(X, \mu)$  be any probability space on which  $G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$ , the rank  $r$  free group, acts by measure-preserving transformations. Let  $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  be a partition of  $X$  into finitely many measurable sets. Let  $B(e, n) \subset G$  denote the ball of radius  $n$  centered at the identity element with respect to the word metric induced by  $S = \{s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_r^{\pm 1}\}$ . The join of two partitions  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $X$  is defined by  $\alpha \vee \beta = \{A \cap B \mid A \in \alpha, B \in \beta\}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\alpha) &:= - \sum_{A \in \alpha} \mu(A) \log(\mu(A)), \\
 F(\alpha) &:= (1 - 2r)H(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^r H(\alpha \vee s_i \alpha), \\
 \alpha^n &:= \bigvee_{g \in B(e, n)} g\alpha, \\
 f(\alpha) &:= \inf_n F(\alpha^n).
 \end{aligned}$$

A partition  $\alpha$  is *generating* if the smallest  $G$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\alpha$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all measurable sets (up to sets of measure zero). The main theorem of this paper is:

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$ . Let  $(G, X, \mu)$  be a system. If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are finite measurable generating partitions of  $X$  then  $f(\alpha) = f(\beta)$ . Hence this number, denoted  $f(G, X, \mu)$ , is a measure-conjugacy invariant.*

**Theorem 5.2** below implies that if  $|K| < \infty$  then  $f(G, K^G, \kappa^G) = H(\kappa)$ . This and Stepin’s theorem proves **Theorem 1.1**. A simple exercise reveals that if  $r = 1$ , then  $f(G, X, \mu) = h(G, X, \mu)$  is Kolmogorov’s entropy.

Here is a brief outline of the paper. In the next section, standard entropy-theory definitions are presented. In **Section 3**, an equivalence relation, called combinatorial equivalence, is introduced on the space of finite partitions of  $X$ , where  $(X, \mu)$  is a standard probability space on which a countable group  $G$  acts. We prove that the combinatorial equivalence class of a finite generating partition is dense in the space of all generating partitions. In **Section 4**, we introduce an operation on partitions called splitting and show that any two combinatorially equivalent partitions have a common splitting. This culminates in a condition sufficient for a function from the space of partitions to  $\mathbb{R}$  to induce a measure-conjugacy invariant. In **Section 5**, this condition is shown to hold for the function  $F$  defined above. This proves **Theorem 1.3**. Then we prove **Theorem 5.2** (that  $f(G, K^G, \kappa^G) = H(\kappa)$  if  $|K| < \infty$ ) and conclude **Theorem 1.1**.

## 2. Some standard definitions

For the rest of this section, fix a standard probability space  $(X, \mu)$ .

*Definition 1.* A partition  $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  is a pairwise disjoint collection of measurable subsets  $A_i$  of  $X$  such that  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i = X$ . The sets  $A_i$  are called the *partition elements* of  $\alpha$ . Alternatively, they are called the *atoms* of  $\alpha$ . Unless stated otherwise, all partitions in this paper are finite (i.e.,  $n < \infty$ ).

If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are partitions of  $X$  then we write  $\alpha = \beta$  a.e. if for all  $A \in \alpha$  there exists  $B \in \beta$  with  $\mu(A \Delta B) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the set of all a.e.-equivalence classes of finite partitions of  $X$ . By a standard abuse of notation, we will refer to elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  as partitions.

*Definition 2.* If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$  then the *join* of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is the partition  $\alpha \vee \beta = \{A \cap B \mid A \in \alpha, B \in \beta\}$ .

*Definition 3.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra contained in the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all measurable subsets of  $X$ . Given a partition  $\alpha$ , define the *conditional information function*  $I(\alpha|\mathcal{F}) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$I(\alpha|\mathcal{F})(x) = -\log(\mu(A_x|\mathcal{F})(x))$$

where  $A_x$  is the atom of  $\alpha$  containing  $x$ . Here  $\mu(A_x|\mathcal{F}) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the conditional expectation of  $\chi_{A_x}$ , the characteristic function of  $A_x$ , with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The *conditional entropy of  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$*  is defined by

$$H(\alpha|\mathcal{F}) = \int_X I(\alpha|\mathcal{F})(x) d\mu(x).$$

If  $\beta$  is a partition then, by abuse of notation, we can identify  $\beta$  with the  $\sigma$ -algebra equal to the set of all unions of partition elements of  $\beta$ . Through this identification,  $I(\alpha|\beta)$  and  $H(\alpha|\beta)$  are well-defined. Let  $I(\alpha) = I(\alpha|\{\emptyset, X\})$  and  $H(\alpha) = H(\alpha|\{\emptyset, X\})$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *For any two partitions  $\alpha, \beta$  and for any two  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  with  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ ,*

$$H(\alpha \vee \beta) = H(\alpha) + H(\beta|\alpha),$$

$$H(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_2) \leq H(\alpha|\mathcal{F}_1).$$

*Proof.* This is well-known. For example, see [Gla03, Prop. 14.16, p. 255].  $\square$

*Definition 4* (Rokhlin distance). Define  $d : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d(\alpha, \beta) = H(\alpha|\beta) + H(\beta|\alpha) = 2H(\alpha \vee \beta) - H(\alpha) - H(\beta).$$

By [Par69, Th. 5.22, p. 62] this defines a distance function on  $\mathcal{P}$ . If  $G$  is a group acting by measure-preserving transformations on  $(X, \mu)$  then the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is isometric. Thus, if  $g \in G, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $d(g\alpha, g\beta) = d(\alpha, \beta)$ . From now on, we consider  $\mathcal{P}$  with the topology induced by  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

*Definition 5.* Let  $G$  be a group acting on  $(X, \mu)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a partition of  $X$ . Let  $\Sigma_\alpha$  be the smallest  $G$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha$  is *generating* if for any measurable set  $A \subset X$  there exists a set  $A' \in \Sigma_\alpha$  such that  $\mu(A \Delta A') = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{gen}} \subset \mathcal{P}$  denote the set of all generating partitions.

### 3. Combinatorially equivalent partitions

For this section, fix a countable group  $G$  and an action of  $G$  on a standard probability space  $(X, \mu)$  by measure-preserving transformations.

*Definition 6.* Given  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $F \subset G$  finite, let  $\alpha^F = \bigvee_{f \in F} f\alpha$ .

*Definition 7.* If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$  are such that for all  $A \in \alpha$  there exists  $B \in \beta$  with  $\mu(A - B) = 0$  then we say that  $\alpha$  *refines*  $\beta$  and denote it by  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . Equivalently,  $\beta$  is a *coarsening* of  $\alpha$ .

*Definition 8.* Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is *combinatorially equivalent* to  $\beta$  if there exist finite sets  $L, M \subset G$  such that  $\alpha \leq \beta^L$  and  $\beta \leq \alpha^M$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{P}$  denote the set of partitions combinatorially equivalent to  $\alpha$

The goal of this section is to prove [Theorem 3.3](#) below: If  $\alpha$  is a generating partition then  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\alpha)$  is dense in the subspace of all generating partitions.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $\alpha$  be a generating partition and  $\beta = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a partition  $\beta' = \{B'_1, \dots, B'_m\}$  and a finite set  $L \subset G$  such that  $\alpha^L \geq \beta'$  and for all  $i = 1 \dots m$ ,  $\mu(B_i \Delta B'_i) \leq \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha$  is generating, there exists a finite set  $L \subset G$  such that for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , there is a set  $B''_i$ , equal to a finite union of atoms of  $\alpha^L$ , such that  $\mu(B_i \Delta B''_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{m}$ . For  $i = 1 \dots m - 1$ , let

$$B'_i := B''_i - \bigcup_{j \neq i} B''_j.$$

$$B'_m := X - \bigcup_{i < m} B'_i = B''_m \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} B''_i \cap B''_j.$$

Observe that for all  $i = 1 \dots m$ ,

$$B_i - \bigcup_j B''_j \Delta B_j \subset B'_i \subset B_i \cup \bigcup_j B''_j \Delta B_j.$$

Thus

$$\mu(B'_i \Delta B_i) \leq m \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \right) = \varepsilon.$$

By construction,  $\beta' = \{B'_1, \dots, B'_m\} \leq \alpha^L$ . □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{gen}}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a finite set  $M \subset G$  such that for all finite  $L \subset G$  with  $M \subset L$ , the partition elements  $\{B^L_1, \dots, B^L_{m_L}\}$  of  $\beta^L$  can be ordered so that there exists an  $r \in \{1, \dots, m_L\}$  and a function  $f : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,*

$$\frac{\mu(B^L_i \cap A_{f(i)})}{\mu(B^L_i)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

and

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i > r} B^L_i\right) < \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $\delta < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}\right)^2$ . By the previous lemma, there exists a partition  $\alpha' = \{A'_1, \dots, A'_n\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and a finite set  $M \subset G$  such that  $\alpha' \leq \beta^M$  and  $\mu(A'_i \Delta A_i) < \delta$  for all  $i$ . Let  $L$  be any finite subset of  $G$  with  $M \subset L$ .

Let  $\beta^L = \{B^L_1, \dots, B^L_{m_L}\}$  and let  $f : \{1, \dots, m_L\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  be the function  $f(i) = j$  if  $\mu(B^L_i - A'_j) = 0$ . This is well-defined since  $\beta^L$  refines  $\alpha'$ .

After reordering the partition elements of  $\beta^L = \{B^L_1, \dots, B^L_{m_L}\}$  if necessary, we may assume that there is an  $r \in \{0, \dots, m_L\}$  such that, if  $r > 0$  then for all  $i \leq r$ ,

$$\frac{\mu(B^L_i \cap A_{f(i)})}{\mu(B^L_i)} \geq 1 - \sqrt{\delta},$$

and if  $i > r$  then

$$\frac{\mu(B_i^L \cap A_{f(i)})}{\mu(B_i^L)} < 1 - \sqrt{\delta}.$$

So if  $i > r$  then

$$\mu(B_i^L \cap A_{f(i)}) < (1 - \sqrt{\delta})\mu(B_i^L).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B_i^L) &= \mu(B_i^L - A_{f(i)}) + \mu(B_i^L \cap A_{f(i)}) \\ &< \mu(B_i^L - A_{f(i)}) + (1 - \sqrt{\delta})\mu(B_i^L). \end{aligned}$$

Solve for  $\mu(B_i^L)$  to obtain

$$\mu(B_i^L) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}\mu(B_i^L - A_{f(i)}).$$

Since the atoms  $B_i^L$  are pairwise disjoint, it follows that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i>r} B_i^L\right) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}\mu\left(\bigcup_{i>r} B_i^L - A_{f(i)}\right).$$

Since  $\mu(B_i^L - A'_{f(i)}) = 0$ , it must be that  $B_i^L - A_{f(i)} \subset A'_{f(i)} - A_{f(i)}$ , up to a set of measure zero. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i>r} B_i^L\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}\mu\left(\bigcup_{i>r} A'_{f(i)} - A_{f(i)}\right) \\ &\leq n\sqrt{\delta} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If  $\alpha$  is a generating partition then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{gen}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\alpha)}.$$

*In other words, the subspace of partitions combinatorially equivalent to  $\alpha$  is dense in the space of all generating partitions.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  and  $\beta = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{gen}}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mu(A_i) > 0$  for all  $i = 1 \dots n$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the previous lemma, there exists a finite set  $L \subset G$  such that the atoms of  $\beta^L = \{B_1^L, \dots, B_m^L\}$  can be ordered so that there exists an  $r \in \{1, \dots, m_L\}$  and a function  $f : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,

$$\frac{\mu(B_i^L \cap A_{f(i)})}{\mu(B_i^L)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

and

$$(1) \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{i>r} B_i^L\right) < \varepsilon.$$



By choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough (if necessary) we may assume that  $f$  maps onto  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (for example, by choosing  $\varepsilon$  to be smaller than  $\frac{1}{2}\mu(A_j)$  over all  $j = 1 \dots n$ ). By definition of  $\beta^L$ ,  $m_L \leq m^{|L|}$ . If necessary, we may assume that  $m_L = m^{|L|}$  after modifying  $\beta^L$  by adding to it several copies of the empty set. That is, for some  $i$ , it may occur that  $B_i^L = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $\delta < \varepsilon$ . By Lemma 3.1 there exists a partition  $\gamma = \{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$  and a finite set  $M \subset G$  such that  $\gamma \leq \alpha^M$  and  $\mu(C_i \Delta B_i) < \delta$  for all  $i$ . By choosing  $\delta$  small enough we may assume the following. Let  $\gamma^L = \{C_1^L, \dots, C_{m_L}^L\}$ . Then, after reordering the atoms of  $\gamma^L$  if necessary,

$$(2) \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_L} C_j^L - B_j^L\right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C'_i &= \{x \in C_i \mid \text{if } x \in C_j^L \text{ for some } j \text{ then } x \in A_{f(j)}\} \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_L} C_i \cap C_j^L \cap A_{f(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C_{i,j} = C_i \cap A_j - C'_i$ . Let

$$\gamma_1 = \{C'_i \mid i = 1 \dots m\} \cup \{C_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}.$$

Note that  $\gamma_1 \leq (\alpha^M)^L = \alpha^{LM}$  where  $LM = \{lm \mid l \in L, m \in M\}$ . We claim that  $\gamma_1$  is combinatorially equivalent to  $\alpha$ . Let  $\Sigma_1$  be the smallest  $G$ -invariant collection of subsets of  $X$  that is closed under finite intersections and unions and contains the atoms of  $\gamma_1$ . It suffices to show that every atom of  $\alpha$  is in  $\Sigma_1$ . Observe that, for each  $i$ ,  $C_i = C'_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_{i,j}$ . Hence,  $C_i \in \Sigma_1$ . Therefore the atoms of  $\gamma^L$  are also in  $\Sigma_1$ . Since  $f$  maps onto  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the definition of  $C'_i$  implies

$$C'_i \cap A_p = \cup\{C'_i \cap C_j^L \mid f(j) = p\}.$$

So  $C'_i \cap A_p$  is in  $\Sigma_1$  for all  $i, p$ . Now  $C_i \cap A_p = C_{i,p} \cup (C'_i \cap A_p)$ . So  $C_i \cap A_p \in \Sigma_1$  for all  $i, p$ . Since

$$A_p = \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i \cap A_p,$$

$A_p \in \Sigma_1$ . Since  $p$  is arbitrary, this proves the claim. Thus  $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\alpha)$ .

We claim that  $\mu(C'_i \Delta C_i) \leq 3\varepsilon$  for all  $i$ . By definition,

$$C'_i \Delta C_i = C_i - C'_i \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_L} C_j^L - A_{f(j)}.$$

For each  $j$ ,

$$C_j^L - A_{f(j)} \subset (C_j^L - B_j^L) \cup (B_j^L - A_{f(j)}).$$

Thus,

$$(3) \quad C'_i \Delta C_i \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_L} (C_j^L - B_j^L) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^r (B_j^L - A_{f(j)}) \cup \bigcup_{j>r} (B_j^L - A_{f(j)}).$$

If  $j \leq r$ , then by definition of  $r$ ,

$$\frac{\mu(B_j^L \cap A_{f(j)})}{\mu(B_j^L)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

This implies

$$\mu(B_j^L - A_{f(j)}) \leq \varepsilon \mu(B_j^L).$$

Thus

$$(4) \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^r B_j^L - A_{f(j)}^L\right) \leq \sum_j \varepsilon \mu(B_j^L) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Equations (3), (2), (4) and (1) imply the claim.

Since  $\delta < \varepsilon$  and  $\mu(C_i \Delta B_i) < \delta$  for all  $i$ , the above claim implies that  $\mu(C'_i \Delta B_i) \leq 4\varepsilon$  for all  $i$ . Thus we have shown that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $\gamma_1 = \{C'_1, \dots, C'_m, \dots\}$ , combinatorially equivalent to  $\alpha$ , containing at most  $m + m^2$  partition elements and such that  $\mu(C'_i \Delta B_i) < 4\varepsilon$  for  $i = 1 \dots m$ . This implies that  $\beta$  is in the closure of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\alpha)$ . Since  $\beta$  is arbitrary this implies the theorem. □

### 4. Splittings

In this section,  $G$  can be any finitely generated group with finite symmetric generating set  $S$ . Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a standard probability space on which  $G$  acts by measure-preserving transformations.

*Definition 9.* Let  $\alpha$  be a partition. A *simple splitting* of  $\alpha$  is a partition  $\sigma$  of the form  $\sigma = \alpha \vee s\beta$  where  $s \in S$  and  $\beta$  is a coarsening of  $\alpha$ .

A *splitting* of  $\alpha$  is any partition  $\sigma$  that can be obtained from  $\alpha$  by a sequence of simple splittings. In other words, there exist partitions  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  such that  $\alpha_0 = \alpha, \alpha_m = \sigma$  and  $\alpha_{i+1}$  is a simple splitting of  $\alpha_i$  for all  $1 \leq i < m$ .

If  $\sigma$  is a splitting of  $\alpha$  then  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  are combinatorially equivalent. The splitting concept originated from Williams' work [Wil73] in symbolic dynamics.

*Definition 10.* The *Cayley graph*  $\Gamma$  of  $(G, S)$  is defined as follows. The vertex set of  $\Gamma$  is  $G$ . For every  $s \in S$  and every  $g \in G$  there is a directed edge from  $g$  to  $gs$  labeled  $s$ . There are no other edges.

The *induced subgraph* of a subset  $F \subset G$  is the largest subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with vertex set  $F$ . A subset  $F \subset G$  is *connected* if its induced subgraph in  $\Gamma$  is connected.

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\alpha$  refines  $\beta$  and  $F \subset G$  is finite, connected and contains the identity element  $e$  then*

$$\alpha \vee \bigvee_{f \in F^{-1}} f\beta$$

*is a splitting of  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $|F|$ . If  $|F| = 1$  then  $F = \{e\}$  and the statement is trivial. Let  $f_0 \in F - \{e\}$  be such that  $F_1 = F - \{f_0\}$  is connected. To see that such an  $f_0$  exists, choose a spanning tree for the induced subgraph of  $F$ . Let  $f_0$  be any leaf of this tree that is not equal to  $e$ .

By induction,  $\alpha_1 := \alpha \vee \bigvee_{f \in F_1^{-1}} f\beta$  is a splitting of  $\alpha$ . Since  $F$  is connected, there exists an element  $f_1 \in F_1$  and an element  $s_1 \in S$  such that  $f_1 s_1 = f_0$ . Since  $f_1 \in F_1$ ,  $\alpha_1$  refines  $(f_1^{-1}\beta)$ . Thus

$$\alpha \vee \bigvee_{f \in F^{-1}} f\beta = \alpha_1 \vee f_0^{-1}\beta = \alpha_1 \vee s_1^{-1}(f_1^{-1}\beta)$$

is a splitting of  $\alpha$ . □

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two combinatorially equivalent generating partitions. Then there is an  $n \geq 0$  such that*

$$\alpha^n = \bigvee_{g \in B(e, n)} g\alpha$$

*is a splitting of  $\beta$ . Here  $B(e, n)$  is the ball of radius  $n$  centered at the identity element in  $G$  with respect to the word metric induced by  $S$ . Of course,  $\alpha^n$  is also a splitting of  $\alpha$ .*

This proposition is a variation of a result that is well-known in the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  in the context of subshifts of finite-type. For example, see [LM95, Th. 7.1.2, p. 218]. It was first proven in [Wil73].

*Proof.* Let  $L, M \subset G$  be finite sets such that  $\alpha \leq \beta^L$  and  $\beta \leq \alpha^M$ . Let  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $L \subset B(e, l)$  and  $M \subset B(e, m)$ . So  $\alpha \leq \beta^l$  and  $\beta \leq \alpha^m$ . Since balls are connected and  $\alpha \leq \beta^l$ , the previous lemma implies  $\beta^l \vee \alpha^{m+l}$  is a splitting of  $\beta^l$ , and therefore, is a splitting of  $\beta$ . But  $\beta^l \vee \alpha^{m+l} = (\beta \vee \alpha^m)^l = \alpha^{m+l}$ . □

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any continuous function. Suppose that  $\Phi$  is monotone decreasing under splittings; i.e., if  $\sigma$  is a splitting of  $\alpha$  then  $\Phi(\sigma) \leq \Phi(\alpha)$ . Define  $\phi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by*

$$\phi(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\alpha^n) = \inf_n \Phi(\alpha^n).$$

*Then, for any two finite generating partitions  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\phi(\alpha_1) = \phi(\alpha_2)$ . So we may define  $\phi(G, X, \mu) = \phi(\alpha)$  for any finite generating partition  $\alpha$ . The number  $\phi(G, X, \mu)$  is a measure-conjugacy invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two combinatorially equivalent finite partitions. We claim that  $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)$ . To see this, suppose, for a contradiction, that  $\phi(\alpha) < \phi(\beta)$ . Then there exists an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\Phi(\alpha^n) < \phi(\beta)$ . But by the previous proposition, there is an  $m \geq 0$  such that  $\beta^m$  is a splitting of  $\alpha^n$  which implies  $\Phi(\alpha^n) \geq \Phi(\beta^m) \geq \phi(\beta)$ , a contradiction. So  $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)$ .

For  $n \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $\Phi_n(\alpha) = \Phi(\alpha^n)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is continuous and the map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^n$  is also continuous, it follows that  $\Phi_n$  is continuous. Since  $\phi(\alpha) = \inf_n \Phi_n(\alpha)$ , it follows that  $\phi$  is upper semi-continuous, i.e., if  $\{\beta_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions converging to  $\alpha$  then  $\limsup_n \phi(\beta_n) \leq \phi(\alpha)$ .

Now let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two finite generating partitions. By [Theorem 3.3](#), there exist finite partitions  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  combinatorially equivalent to  $\beta$  such that  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\alpha$ . So  $\phi(\beta) = \limsup_n \phi(\beta_n) \leq \phi(\alpha)$ . Similarly,  $\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(\beta)$ . So  $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)$ . □

### 5. The $f$ -invariant

In this section,  $G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$ . Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a standard probability space on which  $G$  acts by measure-preserving transformations and let  $S = \{s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_r^{\pm 1}\}$ . Note  $|S| = 2r$ . Let  $F : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as in the introduction.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $\sigma$  is a splitting of  $\alpha$  then  $F(\sigma) \leq F(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* By induction, it suffices to prove the proposition in the special case in which  $\sigma$  is a simple splitting of  $\alpha$ . So let  $\sigma = \alpha \vee t\beta$  for some  $t \in S$  and coarsening  $\beta$  of  $\alpha$ . For any  $s \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\sigma \vee s\sigma) &= H(\alpha \vee s\alpha) + H(\sigma \vee s\sigma | \alpha \vee s\alpha) \\ &= H(\alpha \vee s\alpha) + H(s\sigma | \alpha \vee s\alpha) + H(\sigma | \alpha \vee s\alpha \vee s\sigma) \\ &\leq H(\alpha \vee s\alpha) + H(\sigma | \alpha \vee s^{-1}\alpha) + H(\sigma | \alpha \vee s\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality occurs because  $\mu$  is  $G$ -invariant, so

$$H(s\sigma | \alpha \vee s\alpha) = H(\sigma | \alpha \vee s^{-1}\alpha).$$

Since  $H(\sigma) = H(\alpha) + H(\sigma|\alpha)$ , the above implies

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma) &\leq (1 - 2r)(H(\alpha) + H(\sigma|\alpha)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r H(\alpha \vee s\alpha) + H(\sigma|\alpha \vee s^{-1}\alpha) + H(\sigma|\alpha \vee s\alpha) \\ &= F(\alpha) + (1 - 2r)H(\sigma|\alpha) + \sum_{s \in S} H(\sigma|\alpha \vee s\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma \leq \alpha \vee t\alpha$ ,  $H(\sigma|\alpha \vee t\alpha) = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma) - F(\alpha) &\leq (1 - 2r)H(\sigma|\alpha) + \sum_{s \in S - \{t\}} H(\sigma|\alpha \vee s\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{s \in S - \{t\}} \left( H(\sigma|\alpha \vee s\alpha) - H(\sigma|\alpha) \right) \leq 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

[Theorem 1.3](#) now follows from the proposition above and [Theorem 4.3](#).

*Definition 11.* Let  $K$  be a finite set and  $\kappa$  a probability measure on  $K$ . Let  $K^G$  be the product space with the product measure  $\kappa^G$ . The system  $(G, K^G, \kappa^G)$  is called the *Bernoulli shift* over  $G$  with base measure  $\kappa$ .

Let  $A_k = \{x \in K^G \mid x(e) = k\}$  where  $e$  denotes the identity element in  $G$ . Then  $\alpha = \{A_k \mid k \in K\}$  is the *Bernoulli partition* associated to  $K$ . It is generating and  $H(\kappa) = H(\alpha)$ , by definition.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$  be the free group of rank  $r$ . Let  $K$  be a finite set and  $\kappa$  a probability measure on  $K$ . Then*

$$f(G, K^G, \kappa^G) = H(\kappa).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be the Bernoulli partition associated to  $K$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be  $n$  distinct elements of  $G$ . It follows from the Bernoulli condition that the collection  $\{g_i\alpha\}_{i=1}^n$  of partitions is independent. This means that if  $j : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow K$  is any function then

$$\kappa^G \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n g_i A_{j(i)} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \kappa^G(A_{j(i)}).$$

It is well-known that this implies

$$H \left( \bigvee_{i=1}^n g_i \alpha \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(g_i \alpha) = nH(\alpha).$$

See, for example, [Gla03, Prop. 14.19, p. 257]. So for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha^k) &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} H(\alpha^k \vee s\alpha^k) \right) - (|S| - 1)H(\alpha^k) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} |B(e, k) \cup B(s, k)|H(\alpha) \right) - (|S| - 1)|B(e, k)|H(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $r > 1$ . Then, since  $G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$  is free, it is a short exercise to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} |B(e, k)| &= 1 + |S| \frac{(|S| - 1)^k - 1}{|S| - 2}, \\ |B(e, k) \cup B(s, k)| &= 2 \frac{(|S| - 1)^{k+1} - 1}{|S| - 2} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s \in S$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha^k) &= H(\alpha) \left( |S| \frac{(|S| - 1)^{k+1} - 1}{|S| - 2} - (|S| - 1) - (|S| - 1)|S| \frac{(|S| - 1)^k - 1}{|S| - 2} \right) \\ &= H(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

If  $r = 1$ , then  $|B(e, k)| = 2k + 1$  and  $|B(e, k) \cup B(s, k)| = 2k + 2$ . So it follows in a similar way that  $F(\alpha^k) = H(\alpha)$ . Thus  $f(G, X, \mu) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha^k) = H(\alpha) = H(\kappa)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Stepin’s theorem [Ste75], if  $(K_1, \kappa_1), (K_2, \kappa_2)$  are standard Borel probability spaces with  $H(\kappa_1) = H(\kappa_2)$  then  $(G, K_1^G, \kappa_1^G)$  is measurably conjugate to  $(G, K_2^G, \kappa_2^G)$ .

Suppose  $(K_1, \kappa_1), (K_2, \kappa_2)$  are Borel probability spaces such that  $(G, K_1^G, \kappa_1^G)$  is measurably conjugate to  $(G, K_2^G, \kappa_2^G)$ . Let  $(L_1, \lambda_1), (L_2, \lambda_2)$  be probability spaces with  $|L_1| + |L_2| < \infty$  and  $H(\lambda_i) = H(\kappa_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . By Stepin’s theorem,  $(G, L_i^G, \lambda_i^G)$  is measurably conjugate to  $(G, K_i^G, \kappa_i^G)$ . By the above theorem,  $f(G, L_i^G, \lambda_i^G) = H(\lambda_i)$ . Since  $f$  is a measure-conjugacy invariant,  $H(\kappa_1) = H(\kappa_2)$ .  $\square$

*Acknowledgments.* I am grateful to Russell Lyons for suggesting this problem, for encouragements and for many helpful conversations. I would like to thank Dan Rudolph for pointing out errors in a preliminary version. I would like to thank Benjy Weiss for many comments that helped me to greatly improve the exposition of this paper and simplify several arguments.

### References

[Gla03] E. GLASNER, *Ergodic Theory via Joinings, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **101**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. [MR 2004c:37011](#) [Zbl 1038.37002](#)

- [Kie75] J. C. KIEFFER, A generalized Shannon-McMillan theorem for the action of an amenable group on a probability space, *Ann. Probability* **3** (1975), 1031–1037. [MR 52 #14232](#)
- [Kol58] A. N. KOLMOGOROV, A new metric invariant of transient dynamical systems and automorphisms in Lebesgue spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **119** (1958), 861–864. [MR 21 #2035a](#) [Zbl 0083.10602](#)
- [Kol59] ———, Entropy per unit time as a metric invariant of automorphisms, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **124** (1959), 754–755. [MR 21 #2035b](#) [Zbl 0086.10101](#)
- [LM95] D. LIND and B. MARCUS, *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995. [MR 97a:58050](#)
- [Orn70a] D. ORNSTEIN, Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic, *Advances in Math.* **4** (1970), 337–352 (1970). [MR 41 #1973](#)
- [Orn70b] ———, Two Bernoulli shifts with infinite entropy are isomorphic, *Advances in Math.* **5** (1970), 339–348 (1970). [MR 43 #478a](#)
- [OW87] D. S. ORNSTEIN and B. WEISS, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, *J. Analyse Math.* **48** (1987), 1–141. [MR 88j:28014](#)
- [Par69] W. PARRY, *Entropy and Generators in Ergodic Theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969. [MR 41 #7071](#)
- [Pet83] K. PETERSEN, *Ergodic Theory*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* **2**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983. [MR 87i:28002](#)
- [Roh67] V. A. ROHLIN, Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **22** (1967), 3–56. [MR 36 #349](#)
- [Rud90] D. J. RUDOLPH, *Fundamentals of Measurable Dynamics*, *Oxford Science Publications*, The Clarendon Press Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1990. [MR 92e:28006](#)
- [Sin59] J. SINAÏ, On the concept of entropy for a dynamic system, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **124** (1959), 768–771. [MR 21 #2036a](#)
- [Ste75] A. M. STEPIN, Bernoulli shifts on groups, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **223** (1975), 300–302. [MR 53 #13521](#)
- [Wil73] R. F. WILLIAMS, Classification of subshifts of finite type, *Ann. of Math.* **98** (1973), 120–153; errata, *ibid.* **99** (1974), 380–381. [MR 48 #9769](#)

(Received February 14, 2009)

E-mail address: [lpbowen@math.tamu.edu](mailto:lpbowen@math.tamu.edu)

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA, 2565 MCCARTHY MALL,  
HONOLULU HI 96822, UNITED STATES

and

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, MAILSTOP 3368, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY,  
COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, UNITED STATES

<http://www.math.tamu.edu/~lpbowen/>