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Abstract

We show that any coboundary Lie bialgebra can be quantized. For this, we prove that Etingof-Kazhdan quantization functors are compatible with Lie bialgebra twists, and if such a quantization functor corresponds to an even associator, then it is also compatible with the operation of taking coopposites. We also use the relation between the Etingof-Kazhdan construction of quantization functors and the alternative approach to this problem, which was established in a previous work.

Let \( k \) be a field of characteristic 0. Unless specified otherwise, “algebra”, “vector space”, etc., means “algebra over \( k \)”, etc.

Introduction

In this paper, we solve the problem of quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebras. This is one of the quantization problems of Drinfeld’s list in [Dri92]. This result can be viewed as a completion of the result from [Hal06] of twist quantization of Lie bialgebras; this result in turn solved a problem posed in [KPS+08].

We show that our result, together with a proposition of [Dri89], implies that quasi-Poisson manifolds over a pair \((g, Z)\), where \( g \) is a Lie algebra and \( Z \in \Lambda^3(g)^g \), can be quantized in the case when the underlying space is the group itself. This problem was posed in [EE03].

To solve the problem of quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebras, we show that quantization functors of Lie bialgebras are compatible with Lie bialgebra twists. The quantization of all the affine Poisson groups of Dazord and Sondaz [DS91] (i.e., Poisson homogeneous spaces under a Poisson-Lie group, which are principal as homogeneous spaces; see [Dri93]) follows immediately from this result. It is also a basic case of the quantization problem of quasi-Lie bialgebras (together with their twists) into quasi-Hopf algebras (also a problem of Drinfeld’s list), which is still open.

We now describe the problem of quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebras. A coboundary Lie bialgebra is a pair \((\mathfrak{a}, r_\mathfrak{a})\), where \( \mathfrak{a} \) is a Lie algebra (with Lie
bracket denoted by $\mu_a$ and $r_a \in \Lambda^2(\alpha)$ is such that

$$Z_a := [r_a^{12}, r_a^{13}] + [r_a^{12}, r_a^{23}] + [r_a^{13}, r_a^{23}] \in \Lambda^3(\alpha)^a.$$ 

To $(\alpha, r_a)$ is associated a Lie bialgebra with cobracket $\delta_a : \alpha \to \Lambda^2(\alpha)$ given by $\delta_a(x) = [r_a, x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x]$.

A coboundary QUE algebra is a pair $(U, R_U)$, where $(U, m_U, \Delta_U, \varepsilon_U, \eta_U)$ is a quantized universal enveloping (QUE) algebra, that is, a deformation of an enveloping algebra in the category of topological $k[[h]]$-modules, and $R_U \in (U \otimes 2)^x$ is such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_U(x)^{21} &= R_U \Delta_U(x) R_U^{-1}, \\
R_U R_U^{21} &= 1_U^2, \\
R_U^{12} (\Delta_U \otimes \text{id}_U)(R_U) &= R_U^{23} (\text{id}_U \otimes \Delta_U)(R_U), \\
R_U &= 1_U^2 \mod h, \\
(\varepsilon_U \otimes \text{id}_U)(R_U) &= (\text{id}_U \otimes \varepsilon_U)(R_U) = 1_U.
\end{align*}
\]

(1) We say $(U, R_U)$ is a quantization of $(\alpha, r_a)$ if the classical limit of $U$ is $(\alpha, \mu_a, \delta_a)$, and if

\[
(\hbar^{-1} (R_U^{21} - R_U) \mod h) = 2r_a.
\]

(Here $1_U = \eta_U(1)$ is the unit of $U$.) The problem of quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebras is that of constructing a quantization $(U, R_U)$ for each coboundary Lie bialgebra $(\alpha, r_a)$ [Dri92], [Dri87]. Our solution is formulated in the language of props [Mac65]. Recall that to a prop $P$ and a symmetric tensor category $\mathcal{F}$, one associates the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ of $P$-modules in $\mathcal{F}$. A prop morphism $P \to Q$ then gives rise to a functor $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \to \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$. A quantization problem may often be formulated as the problem of constructing a functor $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P_{\text{class}}) \to \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P_{\text{quant}})$, where $\mathcal{F} = \text{Vect}$ (the category of vector spaces) and $P_{\text{class}}$ and $P_{\text{quant}}$ are suitable “classical” and “quantum” props. The propic version of the quantization problem is then to construct a suitable prop morphism $P_{\text{quant}} \to P_{\text{class}}$.

We can construct props COB and Cob of coboundary bialgebras and of coboundary Lie bialgebras. By using an even associator defined over $k$ (see [Dri90], [BN98]), we can construct a prop morphism $\text{COB} \to S(\text{Cob})$ with suitable properties. Here $\text{Cob}$ is a completion of Cob, and $S$ is the symmetric algebra Schur functor. This allows us to also solve the problem of quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebras in symmetric tensor categories (when $\mathcal{F} = \text{Vect}$, this is the original problem).

Our construction is based on the theory of twists of Lie bialgebras [Dri89]. Recall that if $(\alpha, \mu_a, \delta_a)$ is a Lie bialgebra, then $f_a \in \Lambda^2(\alpha)$ is called a twist of $\alpha$ if $(\delta_a \otimes \text{id}_a)(f_a) + [f_a^{13}, f_a^{23}] + \text{cyclic permutations} = 0$. If we set $\text{ad}(f_a)(x) = \delta_a(x)$.

which is a quantization of \( f_a \).

A quantization of \((a, f_a)\) is a pair \((U, F_U)\), where \((U, m_U, \Delta_U, \varepsilon_U, \eta_U)\) is a QUE algebra quantizing \((a, \mu_a, \delta_a)\), and \( F_U \in (U \otimes 2)^{\times} \) satisfies the conditions (2) and (3) but with \((-2r_a, R_U)\) replaced by \((f_a, F_U)\). This makes \((U, m_U, \text{Ad}(F_U) \circ \Delta_U, \varepsilon_U, \eta_U)\) into another QUE algebra (the twisted QUE algebra, denoted \( F_U U \)), which is a quantization of \((a, \mu_a, \delta_a + \text{ad}(f_a))\) (here the map \( \text{Ad}(F_U) \in \text{Aut}(U \otimes 2) \) is \( x \mapsto F_U x F_U^{-1} \)).

We note that if \((a, r_a)\) is a coboundary Lie bialgebra, then \(-2r_a\) is a twist of \((a, \mu_a, \delta_a = \text{ad}(r_a))\), and the resulting twisted Lie bialgebra is \((a, \mu_a, -\delta_a)\), which is the coopposite of \((a, \mu_a, \delta_a)\). Also, a quantization of \((a, r_a)\) is the same as a quantization \((U, m_U, \Delta_U, \varepsilon_U, \eta_U)\) of \((a, \mu_a, \delta_a)\), together with a twist \( R_U \) of this QUE algebra, additionally satisfying (1); the second part of (1) means in particular that the twisted QUE algebra is \((U, m_U, \Delta_U^{21}, \varepsilon_U, \eta_U)\), that is, the coopposite of the initial QUE algebra.

On the other hand, Etingof and Kazhdan [EK96], [EK98] constructed a quantization functor \( Q : \text{Bialg} \to S(\text{LBA}) \) for each Drinfeld associator defined over \( k \); here Bialg is the prop of bialgebras, and \( \text{LBA} \) is a suitable completion of the prop LBA of Lie bialgebras. We also denote by \( Q : \{\text{Lie bialgebras over Vect}\} \to \{\text{QUE algebras over Vect}\} \) the functor induced by this prop morphism. Our construction involves three steps:

(a) We show that any Etingof-Kazhdan quantization functor \( Q \) is compatible with twists. This is a propic version of the statement that for any \((a, f_a)\), where \( a \) is a Lie bialgebra and \( f_a \) is a twist of \( a \), there exists an element \( F(a, f_a) \in Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a)^{\otimes 2} \) that satisfies the twist conditions and is such that the twisted QUE algebra \( F(a, f_a) Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a) \) is isomorphic to \( Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a + \text{ad}(f_a)) \).

(b) We show that if \( Q \) corresponds to an even associator, then \( Q \) is compatible with the operation of taking coopposite Lie bialgebras and QUE algebras. This is a propic version of the statement that for any Lie bialgebra \((a, \mu_a, \delta_a)\), the QUE algebras \( Q(a, \mu_a, -\delta_a) \) and \( Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a)^{\text{cop}} \) are isomorphic. Here \( U^{\text{cop}} \) is the coopposite QUE algebra of a QUE algebra \( U \).

(c) We are then in this situation (at the propic level): If \((a, r_a)\) is a coboundary Lie bialgebra, we have QUE algebra isomorphisms

\[
Q(a, \mu_a, -\delta_a) \simeq Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a)^{\text{cop}}
\]

and

\[
Q(a, \mu_a, -\delta_a) \simeq F(a, -2r_a) Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a),
\]

and therefore we have \( Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a)^{\text{cop}} \simeq F(a, -2r_a) Q(a, \mu_a, \delta_a) \). One then proves (at the propic level) that this implies there is a twist \( R(a, r_a) \) with
This solves the quantization problem of coboundary Lie bialgebras.

Let us now describe the contents of the paper. In Section 1, we recall the formalism of props. We introduce the related notions of quasi-props and quasi-bi-multiprops. Recall that a prop (for instance, LBA) consists of the universal versions LBA(F, G) of the spaces of linear maps F(α) → G(α) (where α is a Lie bialgebra and F and G are Schur functors), constructed from μ_α and δ_α and avoiding cycles. The corresponding quasi-biprop consists of universal versions of the spaces of maps F(α) ⊗ F′(α^* → G(α) ⊗ G′(α^*); by partial transposition, this identifies with LBA(F ⊗ (G′)^*, F′ ⊗ G^*), but due to the possible introduction of cycles, the composition is only partially defined: it is defined if and only if the “trace” of some element is. One then constructs a (partially defined) trace map LBA(F ⊗ G, F ⊗ (G ⊗) → LBA(G, G′), which is made by closing the graph by connecting F with itself. One can also encounter the situation that F = ⊗_i=1^n F_i and x ∈ LBA(F ⊗ G, F ⊗ G′) is such that the element obtained by connecting each F_i with itself has no cycle. This defines a trace map LBA(F ⊗ G, F ⊗ G′ → LBA(G, G′), which depends on the data of (F_i)_{i=1,...,n} such that F = ⊗_i=1^n F_i; it actually depends on the multi-Schur functor ⊗_i=1^n F_i. In the corresponding notion of a prop (quasi-bi-multiprops), the basic objects are bi-multi-Schur functors (the “bi” analogue of a multi-Schur functor). We introduce at the end of Section 1 the main quasi-bi-multiprops we will be working with, Π and Π_f and their variants. In Section 2, we introduce the universal algebras U_n and U_n.f (we have morphisms U_n → U(α)^®n if α is any Lie bialgebra, and U_n.f → U(α)^®n if α is any Lie bialgebra equipped with a Lie bialgebra twist). In Section 3, we prove the injectivity of a map; this will be crucial for proving the compatibility of quantization functors with twists (step (a) above). In Section 4, we present the construction of quantization functors of [Enr05] (in the framework of quasi-bi-multiprops), which can be viewed as an alternative to the construction of [EK96; EK98]. Its basic ingredients are a twist J killing an associator Φ, and a factorization result for the corresponding R-matrix. In Section 5, we prove the compatibility of quantization with twists (step (a) above). As in [Enr05], the proof involves two steps: an “easy” co-Hochschild cohomology argument, and a more involved injectivity result (which was proved in Section 3). In Section 6, we perform steps (b) and (c); that is, we study the behavior of quantization functors with the operation of taking coopposites, and “correct” the twist F(α, -2r_α) into a quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebras. Finally, in Section 6.4, we show how quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebra implies that of certain quasi-Poisson homogeneous spaces.

Notation. If A = ⊔_{n≥0} A_n is a graded vector space, ̂A = ⊔_{n≥0} A_n is its completion with respect to the grading. If A is an algebra, we denote by A^X the
group of its invertible elements. If the algebra \( A \) is equipped with a character \( \chi \),
then we denote by \( A^\chi \) the kernel of \( \chi : A^\times \to k^\times \). If \( A \) is a graded and connected
algebra, then a graded character \( \chi \) is unique; we will use it for defining \( A^\chi \) and \( \hat{A}^\chi \).

1. Props and (quasi)(multi)(bi)props

In this section, we define various “Schur categories”, which are all symmetric
monoidal categories. We then define monoidal quasi-categories and show how they
can be constructed using partial traces on monoidal categories. We then define
(quasi)(multi)(bi)props and show that variants of the prop of Lie bialgebras yield
examples of these structures.

1.1. Schur categories. If \( \mathcal{C} \) is a category, we denote by \( \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \) its set of objects
and by \( \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \) the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects of \( \mathcal{C} \). We denote
by \( \text{Vect} \) the category of finite-dimensional \( k \)-vector spaces.

For \( n \geq 0 \), let \( \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n \) denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of \( \mathcal{S}_n \) (by convention, \( \mathcal{S}_0 = \{1\} \)). We view \( \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n \) as the set of
pairs \( (n, \pi) \), where \( n \geq 0 \) and \( \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n \). For \( \rho = (n, \pi) \), we set \( |\rho| := n \) and
\( \pi_\rho := \pi \), so \( \rho = (|\rho|, \pi_\rho) \). If \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) are finite-dimensional representations of \( \mathcal{S}_n \)
and \( \mathcal{S}_m \), respectively, then \( \sigma \ast \tau \) is defined as \( \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{S}_n}^{\mathcal{S}_m} \mathbb{C}^{|\rho|+m} (\sigma \boxtimes \tau) \). We have
then an identification \( (\sigma \ast \sigma') \ast \sigma'' = \sigma \ast (\sigma' \ast \sigma'') \). The dual representation of \( \rho \)
is denoted \( \rho^* \).

1.1.1. The category \( \text{Sch} \). Define the Schur category \( \text{Sch} \) as follows.

\[
\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) := \text{Ob}(\text{Vect})(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n) = \{ \text{finitely supported families } F = (F_\rho) \text{ of finite-dimensional vec-
tor spaces, indexed by } \rho \in \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n \}. 
\]

For \( F = (F_\rho) \) and \( G = (G_\rho) \) in \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \), we set \( \text{Sch}(F, G) := \bigoplus_\rho \text{Vect}(F_\rho, G_\rho) \),
\( F \oplus G = (F_\rho \oplus G_\rho) \), \( F^* := (F_\rho^*) \), and
\[
(F \otimes G)_\rho := \bigoplus_{\rho', \rho'' \in \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n} F_{\rho'} \otimes G_{\rho''} \otimes \mu_\rho^\rho_{\rho', \rho''},
\]
where for \( \rho, \rho', \rho'' \in \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n \), we set \( \mu_\rho^\rho_{\rho', \rho''} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\pi_{\rho'} \ast \pi_{\rho''}, \pi_\rho) \) if \( |\rho| = |\rho'| + |\rho''| \) and \( 0 \) otherwise. The direct sum and the involution \( \rho \mapsto \rho^* \) followed
by the transposition induce canonical maps \( \text{Sch}(F, G) \oplus \text{Sch}(F', G') \to \text{Sch}(F \oplus
F', G \oplus G') \) and \( \text{Sch}(F, G) \to \text{Sch}(G^*, F^*) \), and for \( f = (f_\rho) \in \text{Sch}(F, F') \) and
\( g = (g_\rho) \in \text{Sch}(G, G') \), we define
\[
(f \otimes g)_\rho := \bigoplus_{\rho', \rho'' \in \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n} f_{\rho'} \otimes g_{\rho''} \otimes \text{id}_{\mu_\rho^\rho_{\rho', \rho''}}.
\]
We also define an antiautomorphism of \( \text{End} \) where \( Z \rightarrow F \cdot F \cdot X \rightarrow /H5103 \). The level of objects by the antiautomorphisms and with the endomorphisms \( F \cdot F \cdot G \cdot V \) that transform, that is, assignments \( \text{Vect} \) objects are endofunctors \( F \) of \( j \) say that \( f \) at the level of objects and \( F \) an endofunctor \( F \rightarrow M \) category with a distinguished object \( \mathcal{S} \). We have a canonical bijection \( \text{Irr} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \), where \( \mathcal{S} \), and \( \mathcal{S}^n \), and \( \Lambda^n \) the elements of \( \text{Irr} \) corresponding to the elements of \( \mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1 \), the trivial, and the signature characters of \( \mathcal{S}_n \); \( \mathbf{1} \) is the unit object of \( \text{Sch} \). \( \text{Sch} \) has the universal property that if \( \mathcal{C} \) is a Karoubian additive symmetric strict monoidal category with a distinguished object \( M \), then there exists a unique tensor functor \( F_{(\mathcal{C}, M)} : \text{Sch} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) such that \( F(\text{id}) = M \). In particular, for \( G \in \text{Ob} \) \( \text{Sch} \), we get an endofunctor \( F_{(\text{Sch}, G)} : \text{Sch} \rightarrow \text{Sch} \), which we denote by \( F \rightarrow F \circ G \) (or \( F(G) \)) at the level of objects and \( f \rightarrow f \circ G \) (or \( f(G) \)) at the level of morphisms. We say that \( F = (F_\rho) \in \text{Ob} \) \( \text{Sch} \) is homogeneous of degree \( n \) if and only if \( F_\rho = 0 \) for \( |\rho| \neq n \). If \( F \) is a homogeneous Schur functor, we denote its degree by \( |F| \).

Let \( \text{End} \) \( \text{Vect} \) be the symmetric additive strict monoidal category, where objects are endofunctors \( F : \text{Vect} \rightarrow \text{Vect} \), and morphisms \( F \rightarrow G \) are natural transformations, that is, assignments \( \text{Vect} \ni V \mapsto f_V \in \text{Vect}(F(V), G(V)) \), such that \( f_W \circ F(\phi) = G(\phi) \circ f_V \) for \( \phi \in \text{Vect}(V, W) \). We define a direct sum and a tensor product in \( \text{End} \) \( \text{Vect} \) by
\[
(F \oplus F')(V) := F(V) \oplus F'(V), \quad (V \mapsto f_V) \oplus (V \mapsto f'_V) := (V \mapsto f_V \oplus f'_V), \quad (F \otimes F')(V) := F(V) \otimes F'(V), \quad (V \mapsto f_V) \otimes (V \mapsto f'_V) := (V \mapsto f_V \otimes f'_V).
\]
We also define an antiautomorphism of \( \text{End} \) \( \text{Vect} \) by \( F^*(V) := F(V)^* \) and \( (V \mapsto f_V)^* := (V \mapsto f_V^*) \), where \( (\cdot)^* \) is the transposed endomorphism. Each \( G \in \text{End} \) \( \text{Vect} \) gives rise to an endomorphism \( F \rightarrow F \circ G \) of \( \text{End} \) \( \text{Sch} \), where \( F \circ G(V) := F(G(V)) \).

Let \( F \) then have a tensor functor \( \text{Sch} \rightarrow \text{End} \) \( \text{Vect} \), which is compatible with the antiautomorphisms and with the endomorphisms \( F \rightarrow F \circ G \). It is defined at the level of objects by
\[
F = (F_\rho) \mapsto (V \mapsto \bigoplus_{\rho \in \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_n} F_\rho \otimes Z_\rho(V),
\]
where \( Z_\rho(V) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{[\rho]}}(\pi_\rho, V^{\otimes |\rho|}) \), and at the level of morphisms by
\[
f = (f_\rho) \mapsto (V \mapsto f_V), \quad \text{where } f_V = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_n} f_\rho \otimes \text{id}_{Z_\rho(V)}.
\]

\[^1\text{An antiautomorphism of a category } \mathcal{C} \text{ is the data of a permutation } X \mapsto X^* \text{ of } \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), \text{ and of maps } \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y^*, X^*), x \mapsto x^* \text{ such that } (y \circ x)^* = x^* \circ y^*; \text{ if } \mathcal{C} \text{ is additive, we require compatibility with direct sums and the linear structure of the } \mathcal{C}(X, Y); \text{ if } \mathcal{C} \text{ is monoidal, we require } (X \otimes Y)^* = X^* \otimes Y^*, \quad 1^* = 1 \text{ and } (x \otimes y)^* = x^* \otimes y^*.\]
For later use, we define \(^2\) the set
\[\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) := \text{Ob}(\text{Vect})(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_n)^k\]

\[= \{\text{finitely supported families } F = (F_{\rho_1}, \ldots, F_{\rho_k}) \text{ of finite-dimensional vector spaces, indexed by } (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k) \in (\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_n)^k\}.
\]

The direct sums and duality are defined componentwise as before. Note that \(\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_0) = \text{Ob}(\text{Vect}).\) If \(\phi : [m] \to [n]\) is a partially defined map (often identified with the collection of preimages \(\phi^{-1}(1), \ldots, \phi^{-1}(n)\)), we define an additive map \(\Delta_{\phi} : \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_m) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_n)\) that takes \((F_{\rho_1}, \ldots, F_{\rho_n})\) to \((\Delta_{\phi}(F)_{\pi_1}, \ldots, \pi_m)\), where
\[
\Delta_{\phi}(F)_{\pi_1}, \ldots, \pi_m = \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m} (\mu_{\pi_1, i \in \phi^{-1}(1)}^{\rho_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mu_{\pi_i, i \in \phi^{-1}(n)}^{\rho_i}) \otimes F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n}.
\]

We set \(\Delta := \Delta^{[1,2]} \circ \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_2).\) Let \(\text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^n, \text{Vect})\) be the set of functors \(\text{Vect}^n \to \text{Vect};\) the direct sum and duality are defined by
\[
(F \oplus G)(V_1, \ldots, V_n) := F(V_1, \ldots, V_n) \oplus G(V_1, \ldots, V_n),
\]
\[
F^*(V_1, \ldots, V_n) := (F^*)^*(V_1^*, \ldots, V_n^*).
\]

We also define \(\Delta_{\phi} : \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^n, \text{Vect}) \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^m, \text{Vect})\) by
\[
(\Delta_{\phi}F)(V_1, \ldots, V_m) := F(\bigoplus_{i \in \phi^{-1}(1)} V_i, \ldots, \bigoplus_{i \in \phi^{-1}(n)} V_i).
\]

Then the map \(\text{Sch}_n \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^n, \text{Vect})\) taking \((F_{\rho_1}, \ldots, F_{\rho_n})\) to
\[
F : (V_1, \ldots, V_n) \mapsto \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n} F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n} \otimes Z_{\rho_1}(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_{\rho_n}(V_n)
\]
is compatible with the direct sums, the duality, and the maps \(\Delta_{\phi}\).

1.1.2. The category \(\text{Sch}_{1+1}\). We now define the symmetric additive strict monoidal category of Schur bifunctors \(\text{Sch}_{1+1}\). First \(\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) := \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_2).\) For \(F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1})\), we set \(\text{Sch}_{1+1}(F, G) := \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \text{Vect}(F_{\rho_1}, G_{\rho_2}).\) We also define
\[
(F \otimes G)_{\rho_1, \rho_2} := \bigoplus_{\rho'_1, \rho'_2} F_{\rho'_1, \rho'_2} \otimes G_{\rho'_1, \rho'_2} \otimes \mu_{\rho'1, \rho'2}^{\rho_1, \rho_2} \otimes \mu_{\rho'_1, \rho'_2}^{\rho_1, \rho_2}.
\]

Direct sums and tensor products of morphisms are defined componentwise. An anti-automorphism of \(\text{Sch}_{1+1}\) is defined by \((F_{\rho, \sigma})^* = (F_{\sigma}^*)^*\) and \((\rho, \sigma) \mapsto f_{\rho, \sigma}^* = ((\rho, \sigma) \mapsto f_{\rho, \sigma}^*)^*\). At the level of objects, we define a tensor morphism \(\boxtimes : \text{Sch}^2 \to \text{Sch}_{1+1}\) by \((F \boxtimes G)_{\rho, \sigma} := F_{\rho} \otimes G_{\sigma};\) it is defined componentwise at the level of morphisms. For \(F, \ldots, G' \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch})\), we have \(\text{Sch}_{1+1}(F \boxtimes G^*, F' \boxtimes G'^*) \simeq \text{Sch}(F, F') \otimes \text{Sch}(G', G)\) and \((F \boxtimes G)^* = G^* \boxtimes F^*\). As \(\text{Sch}_{1+1}\) is Karoubian,

\(^2\)For \(I\) a finite set, we define \(\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_I)\) similarly, where \((\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_K)\) is replaced by a map
\(I \to \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_n\).

\(^3\)We set \([n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}\).
any \( G \in \text{Sch}_{1+1} \) gives rise to a unique tensor functor \( \text{Sch} \to \text{Sch}_{1+1} \) taking \( \text{id} \) to \( G \), which we denote by \( F \mapsto F \circ G \).

Suppose \( \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^2, \text{Vect}) \) is the symmetric additive strict monoidal category where objects are functors \( \text{Vect}^2 \to \text{Vect} \) and morphisms are natural transformations; the direct sum, the tensor product, and the duality are defined by

\[
(F \oplus G)(V, W) := F(V, W) \oplus G(V, W),
\]
\[
(F \otimes G)(V, W) := F(V, W) \otimes G(V, W),
\]
\[
F^*(V, W) := F(W^*, V^*)^*.
\]

We have a tensor functor \( \text{Sch}_{1+1} \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^2, \text{Vect}) \) that takes \( F \) to \( ((V, W) \mapsto \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \rho_2} F_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(V) \otimes Z_{\rho_1}(V) \otimes Z_{\rho_2}(W)) \) and is compatible with the dualities. It is also compatible with the tensor functor

\[
\text{End}(\text{Sch}) \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^2, \text{Vect}), \ G \mapsto F \circ G,
\]

where \( F \circ G(V, W) = F(G(V, W)) \). We define a tensor functor

\[
\otimes : \text{End}(\text{Vect})^2 \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^2, \text{Vect})
\]

at the level of objects by \( (F \otimes G)(V, W) := F(V) \otimes G(W) \). Then the morphisms \( \text{Sch} \to \text{End}(\text{Vect}) \) and \( \text{Sch}_{1+1} \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^2, \text{Vect}) \) intertwine the functors \( \otimes : \text{Sch}^2 \to \text{Sch}_{1+1} \) and \( \otimes : \text{End}(\text{Vect})^2 \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^2, \text{Vect}) \).

1.1.3. The category \( \text{Sch}_{(1)} \). We define the additive symmetric strict monoidal category \( \text{Sch}_{(1)} \) as follows. We set

\[
\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{(1)}):= \text{Ob}(\text{Vect})(\bigcup_{k \geq 0}(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}_n)^k) = \prod_{k \geq 0} \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k)
\]

\[
= \{ \text{finitely supported collections } (F_k)_{k \geq 0}, \text{ where } F_k \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) \text{ is a family } F_k = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k}) \}.
\]

The direct sum of objects is defined by component-wise addition. The tensor product of objects is defined by \( (F_k) \otimes (G_k) := ((F \otimes G)_k) \), where

\[
(F \otimes G)_k := \bigoplus_{k', k'' | k' + k'' = k} F_{k'} \otimes G_{k''},
\]

and if \( F_{k'} = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{k'}}) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{k'}) \) and \( G_{k''} = (G_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{k''}}) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{k''}) \), then

\[
(F \otimes G)_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{k'+k''}} := F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{k'}} \otimes G_{\rho_{k'+1}, \ldots, \rho_{k'+k''}}.
\]

In order to define the morphisms, we first define a “contraction” map

\[
c : \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}), \ F_k = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k}) \mapsto c(F_k)
\]

by \( c(F_k)_\rho := \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k} F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k} \otimes \mu_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k}^\rho \),

where \( \mu_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k}^\rho := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_\rho}(\rho_1 \ast \cdots \ast \rho_k, \rho) \) if \( \sum_i |\rho_i| = |\rho| \) and 0 otherwise.

For \( F = (F_k) \), we then set \( c(F) := \bigoplus_k c(F_k) \), and for \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{(1)}) \), we
set $\mathrm{Sch}(1)(F, G) := \mathrm{Sch}(c(F), c(G))$. We define the direct sum and the tensor product of morphisms using the identifications $c(F \oplus G) \simeq c(F) \oplus c(G)$ and $c(F \boxtimes G) \simeq c(F) \otimes c(G)$. The symmetry constraint in $\mathrm{Sch}(1)(F \boxtimes G, G \boxtimes F) = \mathrm{Sch}(c(F \boxtimes G), c(G \boxtimes F))$ then uses the identifications $c(X \boxtimes Y) \simeq c(X) \otimes c(Y)$ and the symmetry constraint for $\mathrm{Sch}$. The unit object of $\text{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}(1))$ is $1$, whose only nonzero component is $1 = \kappa \in \text{Ob}(	ext{Sch}_0) = \text{Ob}(\text{Vect})$.

An additive symmetric strict monoidal category $\prod_{k \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect})$ is defined as follows. The objects are finitely supported families $(F_k)_{k \geq 0}$, where $F_k \in \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect})$. The direct sum and tensor product of objects are defined componentwise, where for $F, G \in \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect})$

$$F_k \oplus G_k \in \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect})$$

is given by

$$(F_k \oplus G_k)(V_1, \ldots, V_k) := F_k(V_1, \ldots, V_k) \oplus G_k(V_1, \ldots, V_k)$$

and

$$(F_k) \boxtimes (G_k) := ((F \boxtimes G)_k),$$

where

$$(F \boxtimes G)_k := \bigoplus_{k' + k'' = k} F_{k'} \boxtimes_{k', k''} G_{k''},$$

and $\boxtimes_{k', k''}$ : $\text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k'}, \text{Vect}) \times \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k''}, \text{Vect}) \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k' + k''}, \text{Vect})$ is given by

$$(F_{k'} \boxtimes_{k', k''} G_{k''})(V_1, \ldots, V_{k' + k''}) := F_{k'}(V_1, \ldots, V_{k'}) \otimes G_{k''}(V_{k' + 1}, \ldots, V_{k' + k''}).$$

The contraction $c : \prod_{k \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect}) \to \text{End}(\text{Fun})$ is defined by $(F_k) \mapsto \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} c(F_k)$, where $c(F_k)(V) := F_k(V, \ldots, V)$. The space of morphisms $F \to G$ is then defined as $\text{Fun}(\text{Vect})(c(F), c(G))$.

There is a unique tensor morphism $\mathrm{Sch}(1) \to \prod_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect})$ taking $F = (F_k)_{k \geq 0}$, where $F_k = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k})$, to the collection $(\tilde{F}_k)_{k \geq 0}$, where

$$\tilde{F}_k(V_1, \ldots, V_k) := \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k} F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k} \otimes Z_{\rho_1}(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_{\rho_k}(V_k).$$

1.1.4. The category $\mathrm{Sch}_{(1 + 1)}$. We now define an additive symmetric strict monoidal category $\mathrm{Sch}_{(1 + 1)}$ as follows:

$$\text{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}_{(1 + 1)}) := \text{Ob}(\text{Vect})(\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \widehat{\mathbb{S}}_n)$$

$$= \{ \text{finitely supported collections } (F_{k,l}), \text{ where } F_{k,l} = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l}) \in \text{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}_{k+l}) \}.$$ 

The direct sum of objects is defined componentwise, and the tensor product is given by

$$(F \boxtimes F')_{k,l} := \bigoplus_{(k_1, l_2) + (k_2, l_2) = (k, l)} F_{k_1,l_1} \boxtimes_{k_1,l_1,k_2,l_2} F'_{k_2,l_2}$$

if $F = (F_{k,l})$ and $F' = (F'_{k',l'})$, where

$$\boxtimes_{k,l,k',l'} : \text{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}_{k+l}) \times \text{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}_{k'+l'}) \to \text{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}_{k+k'+l+l'})$$

is given by

$$(F \boxtimes_{k,l,k',l'} F')_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{k+k'+l'+l}, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{l+l'}}$$

$$:= F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l} \boxtimes F'_{\rho_{k+1}, \ldots, \rho_{k+k'}; \sigma_{l+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l+l'}}.$$
An involution is defined by
\[
F^* = ((k, l, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l) \mapsto F(l, k, \sigma^*_1, \ldots, \sigma^*_l, \rho^*_1, \ldots, \rho^*_k)) \text{ for } F = ((k, l, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l) \mapsto F(k, l, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l)).
\]

In order to define the morphisms, we define a map \( c : \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \), \( F \mapsto c(F) \), by \( c(F) := \bigoplus_{k, l} c(F_{k, l}) \) for \( F = (F_{k, l}) \) and
\[
c(F_{k, l})_{\rho, \sigma} = \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l} F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l} \otimes \mu^\rho_{\rho_1} \cdots \mu^\rho_{\rho_k} \otimes \mu^\sigma_{\sigma_1} \cdots \mu^\sigma_{\sigma_l}
\]
if \( F_{k, l} = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l}) \). We then set \( \text{Sch}_{1+1}(F, G) := \text{Sch}_{1+1}(c(F), c(G)) \). The direct sum, tensor product, and duality of morphisms are then induced by those of \( \text{Sch}_{1+1} \) and the identifications
\[
c(F \oplus G) \simeq c(F) \oplus c(G), \quad c(F \otimes G) \simeq c(F) \otimes c(G), \quad c(F^*) = c(F)^*.
\]

We define a tensor morphism \( \boxtimes : (\text{Sch}_{1+1})^2 \to \text{Sch}_{1+1} \); at the level of objects, it is defined by \( (F_k) \boxtimes (G_l) := (F_k \boxtimes_{k, l} G_l) \); at the level of morphisms, it is induced by the tensor morphism \( \text{Sch} \to \text{Sch}_{1+1} \). The unit object of \( \text{Sch}_{1+1} \) is \( 1 \boxtimes 1 \). Then \( \text{Sch}_{1+1}(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') = \text{Sch}(F, F') \otimes \text{Sch}(G, G') \) for \( F, \ldots, G' \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \) and \( (F \boxtimes G)^* = G^* \boxtimes F^* \).

As before, we define \( \prod^\prime_{k, l} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k+l}, \text{Vect}) \), an additive symmetric strict monoidal category: objects are finitely supported families \( (F_{k, l})_{k, l \geq 0} \), \( (F_{k, l} \oplus G_{k, l}(V_1, \ldots, V_k; W_1, \ldots, W_l)) := F_{k, l}(V_1, \ldots, W_l) \oplus G_{k, l}(V_1, \ldots, W_l) \); and
\[
\boxtimes_{k', l', k'', l''} : \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k'+l'}, \text{Vect}) \times \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k''+l''}, \text{Vect}) \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k'+l'+k''+l''}, \text{Vect})
\]
is \( (F \boxtimes_{k', l', k'', l''} G)(V_1, \ldots, W_{l' + l''}) := F(V_1, \ldots, W_l) \otimes G(V_{k' + 1}, \ldots, W_{l''}) \).

We define \( c : \prod^\prime_{k, l} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k+l}, \text{Vect}) \to \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{2}, \text{Vect}) \) by
\[
c(F) = \bigoplus_{k, l} c(F_{k, l}) \quad \text{and} \quad c(F_{k, l})(V, W) := F_{k, l}(V, \ldots, V; W, \ldots, W)
\]
and the space of morphisms \( F \to G \) as \( \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{2}, \text{Vect})(c(F), c(G)) \). We also define a tensor morphism
\[
\boxtimes : (\prod_{k \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect}))^2 \to \prod^\prime_{k, l \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k+l}, \text{Vect})
\]
at the level of objects by \( (F_k) \boxtimes (G_k) := (F_k \boxtimes_{k, l} G_l) \).

Then we have a tensor morphism \( \text{Sch}_{1+1} \to \prod^\prime_{k, l \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k+l}, \text{Vect}) \), taking \( (F_{k, l}) \) to \( (\tilde{F}_{k, l}) \), where for \( F_{k, l} = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_l}) \),
\[
\tilde{F}_{k, l}(V_1, \ldots, W_l) := \bigoplus_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_l} F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_l} \otimes \rho_1(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_l(W_l).
\]
This morphism is compatible with the morphisms
\[ \text{Sch}(\_1) \to \prod_{k \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect}), \]
\[ (\text{Sch}(\_1))^2 \to \text{Sch}(\_1+1), \]
\[ (\prod_{k \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^k, \text{Vect}))^2 \to \prod_{k, l \geq 0} \text{Fun}(\text{Vect}^{k+l}, \text{Vect}). \]

1.1.5. Completions. If in the definition of Sch, we forget the condition that \( (F_\rho) \) is finitely supported, we get a symmetric additive strict monoidal category with duality Sch. Infinite sums of objects of increasing degrees are defined in Sch. For each \( G = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i \in \text{Sch} \) (where \( |G_i| = i \)), we have an endofunctor \( F \mapsto F \circ G, f \mapsto f \circ G \) (also written \( F(G), f(G) \)) of Sch. We also define \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) \) by dropping the finite support condition. The maps \( \Delta^\phi \) extend to these sets. We define \( \text{Sch}_{1+1}, \text{Sch}_{\_1}, \) and \( \text{Sch}_{\_1+1} \) similarly to \( \text{Sch}_{1+1}, \text{Sch}_{\_1}, \) and \( \text{Sch}_{\_1+1} \), namely,
\[ \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) = \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{2}), \]
\[ \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{\_1}) = \{ \text{finitely supported families } (F_k)_{k \geq 0}, \]
\[ \quad \text{where } F_k \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) \}, \]
\[ \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{\_1+1}) = \{ \text{finitely supported families } (F_{k,l})_{k,l \geq 0}, \]
\[ \quad \text{where } F_{k,l} \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{k+l}) \}. \]

Then \( \text{Sch}_{1+1}, \text{Sch}_{\_1}, \) and \( \text{Sch}_{\_1+1} \) have structures of additive symmetric strict monoidal categories. The map \( c \) and the bifunctor \( \boxtimes \) extend to these categories; the duality extends to \( \text{Sch}_{1+1} \) and \( \text{Sch}_{\_1+1} \).

Examples. Let \( T_n \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \) be such that \( (T_n)_{\rho'} = \pi_{\rho'} \) if \( |\rho'| = n \) and 0 otherwise. The corresponding endofunctor of Vect is \( V \mapsto T_n(V) = V^\otimes n = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \pi, |\rho'| = n} \pi_{\rho} \otimes Z_{\rho}(V) \). Using the obvious module category structure of Sch over Vect, we write
\[ T_n = \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \pi Z \otimes Z, \]
where \( Z \mapsto ([Z], \pi Z) \) is the inverse to \( \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \pi_n \to \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}), \rho \mapsto Z_{\rho} \).

The endofunctors of Vect corresponding to \( S^n \) and \( \Lambda^n \) are the \( n \)-th symmetric and exterior power functors. The symmetric and exterior algebra functors \( S := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S^n \) and \( \Lambda := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Lambda^n \) are objects in Sch. We then have \( \Delta(S) = S \boxtimes S, \Delta(\Lambda) = \Lambda \boxtimes \Lambda \). Note that while the map \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \to \text{Ob}(\text{End}(\text{Vect})) \) is injective, it is not surjective since, for example, the exterior algebra functor is not in the image of this map.

Remark 1.1. For any \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \), we have
\[ \text{Sch}(F, G) = \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \text{Sch}(F, Z) \otimes \text{Sch}(Z, G); \]
for any $B, B' \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1})$, we have

$$\text{Sch}_{1+1}(B, B') = \bigoplus_{Z, Z' \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \text{Sch}_{1+1}(B, Z \otimes Z') \otimes \text{Sch}_{1+1}(Z \otimes Z', B').$$

**Remark 1.2.** We have

$$\text{Irr}(\text{Sch}^{(1)}) = \{Z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_k \mid k \geq 0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_k \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})\},$$

$$\text{Irr}(\text{Sch}^{(2)}) = \{(Z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_k) \boxtimes (W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_\ell) \mid k, \ell \geq 0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_k, W_1, \ldots, W_\ell \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})\}.$$  

Then

$$c(Z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_k) = Z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_k,$$

$$c((Z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_k) \boxtimes (W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_\ell)) = (Z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_k) \boxtimes (W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_\ell).$$

**1.2. Quasicategories.** We define a quasicategory $C$ to be the data of (a) a set of objects $\text{Ob}(C)$; (b) for any $X, Y \in \text{Ob}(C)$, a set of morphisms $C(X, Y)$, and for any $X \in \text{Ob}(C)$, an element $\text{id}_X \in C(X, X)$; (c) for $X_i \in \text{Ob}(C)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), a subset $\langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle \subset C(X_1, X_2) \times C(X_2, X_3)$ and a map $\langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle \Rightarrow C(X_1, X_3)$, $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_2 \circ x_1$ satisfying two axioms:

- (identity axiom) If $X, Y \in \text{Ob}(C)$ and $x \in C(X, Y)$, then
  $$\text{id}_Y \circ x \in C(X, Y), \quad x \circ \text{id}_X \in C(X, X, Y), \quad \text{id}_Y \circ x = x \circ \text{id}_X = x.$$  

- (associativity axiom) If $X_i \in \text{Ob}(C)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $x_i \in C(X_i, X_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then if
  $$(x_1, x_2) \in C(X_1, X_2, X_3), \quad (x_2 \circ x_1, x_3) \in C(X_1, X_3, X_4),$$
  $$(x_2, x_3) \in C(X_2, X_3, X_4), \quad (x_1, x_3 \circ x_2) \in C(X_1, X_2, X_4),$$
  then $x_3 \circ (x_2 \circ x_1) = (x_3 \circ x_2) \circ x_1$.

We then define inductively a diagram $C(X_1, X_2) \times \cdots \times C(X_{n-1}, X_n) \Rightarrow C(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ as follows: $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in C(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ if and only if for any $k = 2, \ldots, n-1$,

$$x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1} \in C(X_1, \ldots, X_k), \quad x_k, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in C(X_k, \ldots, X_n),$$

$$(x_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ x_1, x_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ x_k) \in C(X_1, X_k, X_n).$$

If $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ satisfies these conditions, then the $(x_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ x_k) \circ (x_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ x_1)$ all coincide; this defines the map $C(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \Rightarrow C(X_1, X_n)$.

If $1 < n_1 < \cdots < n_k < n$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in C(X_1, X_2) \times \cdots \times C(X_{n-1}, X_n)$, then $x \in C(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ if and only if (a)

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_{n_1-1}) \in C(X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}), \quad (x_{n_1}, \ldots, x_{n_2-1}) \in C(X_{n_1}, \ldots, X_{n_2}), \ldots,$$

and $(x_{n_{k-1}}, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in C(X_{n_{k-1}}, \ldots, X_n)$;
The tensor product is given by \( \otimes \). \( (X, Y) \mapsto X \otimes Y \) and an object \( I \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \) such that 

\[
(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z = X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \otimes X = X \otimes 1 = X;
\]

(b) a map \( \otimes : \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{C}(X', Y') \to \mathcal{C}(X \times X', Y \times Y') \) such that

\[
(f \otimes f') \circ f'' = f \otimes (f' \circ f'') \quad \text{and} \quad f \otimes \text{id}_1 = \text{id}_1 \otimes f = f;
\]

(c) a map \( \otimes : \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \times \mathcal{C}(X'_1, X'_2, X'_3) \to \mathcal{C}(X_1 \otimes X'_1, X_2 \otimes X'_2, X_3 \otimes X'_3) \), such that

\[
\mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \times \mathcal{C}(X'_1, X'_2, X'_3) \to \mathcal{C}(X_1 \otimes X'_1, X_2 \otimes X'_2, X_3 \otimes X'_3)
\]

commutes. Then we have maps

\[
\mathcal{C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X'_1, \ldots, X'_n) \to \mathcal{C}(X_1 \otimes X'_1, \ldots, X_n \otimes X'_n)
\]
such that the analogous diagram (with 3 replaced by \( n \)) commutes.

**Example.** \( \mathcal{G} \) is the category where objects are pairs \( (I, J) \) of finite sets and \( \mathcal{G}((I, J), (I', J')) \) is the set of oriented acyclic graphs with vertices \( i_{\text{in}}, j_{\text{in}}, i'_{\text{out}}, \) and \( j'_{\text{out}} \) with \( i \in I, j \in J, i' \in I', \) and \( j' \in J' \), where each edge has its origin in \( \{i_{\text{in}}, j'_{\text{out}} \mid i \in I, j' \in J' \} \) and its end in \( \{i'_{\text{out}}, j_{\text{in}} \mid i' \in I', j \in J \} \), and there is at most one edge through two given vertices. Equivalently, a graph is a subset of \( (I \sqcup J') \times (I' \sqcup J) \). If \( X_{\alpha} = (I_\alpha, J_\alpha) \) and \( x_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{G}(X_\alpha, X_{\alpha+1}) \) for \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, k-1 \), we obtain a composed graph with edges \( x_{\text{in}}, y_{\text{out}}, x \in I_1 \sqcup J_1, \) and \( y \in I_n \sqcup J_n \), by declaring that two edges are connected if there is an oriented path in the juxtaposition of \( x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1} \) relating them. Then \( \mathcal{G}(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \subset \mathcal{G}(X_1, X_2) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}(X_{k-1}, X_k) \) is the set of tuples of graphs whose composed graph is acyclic, which is then their composition. The tensor product is given by \( (I, J) \otimes (I', J') := (I \sqcup J, I' \sqcup J') \) at the level of objects, and by the disjoint union of graphs at the level of morphisms. Note that \( \mathcal{G} \) contains subcategories \( \mathcal{G}^{\text{left}} \) and \( \mathcal{G}^{\text{right}} \), where

\[
\mathcal{G}^{\text{left}}((I, J), (I', J')) = \{ S \in \mathcal{G}((I, J), (I', J')) \mid S \cap (J' \times I') = \emptyset \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{G}^{\text{right}}((I, J), (I', J')) = \{ S \mid S \cap (I \times J) = \emptyset \}.
\]
A \( k \)-additive quasicategory \( \mathcal{C} \) is the data of (a) a set of objects \( \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \); (b) for any \( X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \), a vector space \( \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \), and for any \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \), a vector subspace \( \mathcal{C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \subset \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(X_{n-1}, X_n) \), and a linear map \( \mathcal{C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \to \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_n) \), satisfying the axioms of a quasicategory (with products replaced by tensor products); and (c) an associative direct sum map \( \oplus : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})^2 \to \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \), \( (X, Y) \mapsto X \oplus Y \), an object \( 0 \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \), and isomorphisms \( \mathcal{C}(Z, X \oplus Y) \simeq \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \oplus \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \) and \( \mathcal{C}(X \oplus Y, Z) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \oplus \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \) such that

- \( \mathcal{C}(X_1 \oplus X'_1, X_2, X_3) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \oplus \mathcal{C}(X'_1, X_2, X_3) \),
- \( \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2, X_3 \oplus X'_3) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \oplus \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2, X'_3) \),
- \( \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2 \oplus X'_2, X_3) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \oplus \mathcal{C}(X_1, X'_2, X_3) \oplus \mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}(X_2, X_3) \)

and the composition map on left sides coincides with the sum of compositions on the right sides and of the zero maps on the two last summands in the last case (this statement then generalizes to \( \mathcal{C}(X_1, \ldots, X_i \oplus X'_i, \ldots, X_n) \)); and

- \( X \oplus 0 = X = 0 \oplus X \) and \( \mathcal{C}(X, 0) = \mathcal{C}(0, X) = 0 \) for any \( X \), and the composed isomorphisms

\[
\mathcal{C}(X, Y) = \mathcal{C}(X \oplus 0, Y) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, Y), \quad \mathcal{C}(X, Y) = \mathcal{C}(0 \oplus X, Y) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, Y), \\
\mathcal{C}(X, Y) = \mathcal{C}(X, Y \oplus 0) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, Y), \quad \mathcal{C}(X, Y) = \mathcal{C}(X, 0 \oplus Y) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, Y)
\]

are the identity.

Such a \( \mathcal{C} \) is called strict monoidal if it satisfies the above axioms of a strict monoidal quasicategory, where \( \otimes \) is bilinear and biadditive.

A functor \( F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) between quasicategories is defined as the data of a map \( F : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}) \) and a collection of maps

\[
F(X, Y) : \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \to \mathcal{D}(F(X), F(Y))
\]

such that \( \times_{i=1}^{n-1} F(X_i, X_{i+1}) \) restricts to a map

\[
\mathcal{C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \to \mathcal{D}(F(X_1), \ldots, F(X_n))
\]

and the natural diagrams commute; natural additional axioms are imposed if the categories are strict monoidal and/or additive.

**Example.** \( k\mathcal{G} \) is the category with \( \text{Ob}(k\mathcal{G}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G}) \) and

\[
(k\mathcal{G})(\{I, J\}, \{I', J'\}) = k\mathcal{G}(\{I, J\}, \{I', J'\});
\]

then \( k\mathcal{G} \) is an additive strict monoidal quasicategory.
1.3. Partial traces and quasicategories. If $\mathcal{C}_0$ is a symmetric strict monoidal category with symmetry constraint $\beta_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y, Y \otimes X)$, a partial trace on $\mathcal{C}_0$ is the data of diagrams

$$\mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Z, Y \otimes Z) \supset \mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|Z) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_Z} \mathcal{C}_0(X,Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y, Z \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}),$$

such that $\mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|Z \otimes Z') \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes Z') \cap \text{tr}_Z^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|Z))$, and $\text{tr}_{Z \otimes Z'} = \text{tr}_Z \circ \text{tr}_{Z'}$; the map $x \mapsto x':= (\text{id}_Y \otimes \beta_{Z,Y} \otimes Z) \circ x \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \beta_{Z,Z'} \otimes Z)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|Z \otimes Z') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|Z \otimes Z)$, and $\text{tr}_{Z \otimes Z}(x') = \text{tr}_{Z \otimes Z'}(x)$; the composition takes $\mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|T) \times \mathcal{C}_0(Y,Z|T)$ to $\mathcal{C}_0(X,Z|T)$, and $\text{tr}_T((y \otimes \text{id}_T) \circ x) = y \circ \text{tr}_T(x)$, and similarly it takes $\mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|T)$ to $\mathcal{C}_0(X,Z|T)$, and $\text{tr}_T(y \circ (x \otimes \text{id}_T)) = \text{tr}_T(y) \circ x$; the map

$$\times_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{C}_0(X_i \otimes T_i, Y_i \otimes T_i) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_T} \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes T_1 \otimes T_2, Y \otimes T_1 \otimes T_2),
$$

$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x := (\text{id}_Y \otimes \beta_{T_1,T_2} \otimes \text{id}_{T_2}) \circ (x_1 \otimes x_2) \circ (\text{id}_{X_1} \otimes \beta_{X_2,T_1} \otimes \text{id}_{T_2})$ takes $\mathcal{C}_0(X_1,Y_1|T_1) \times \mathcal{C}_0(X_2,Y_2|T_2)$ to $\mathcal{C}_0(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2|T_1 \otimes T_2)$, and $\text{tr}_{T_1 \otimes T_2}(x) = \text{tr}_{T_1}(x_1) \otimes \text{tr}_{T_2}(x_2)$; $\mathcal{C}_0(X,Y|1) = \mathcal{C}_0(X,Y)$ and $\text{tr}_1(x) = x$.

Set $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0)^2$,

$$(X,Y) \otimes (X',Y') := (X \otimes X', Y \otimes Y'),$$

$\mathcal{C}((X,Y), (X',Y')) := \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y', X' \otimes Y)$, and

$$\mathcal{C}((X_1,Y_1), (X_2,Y_2), (X_3,Y_3)) := \{ (x_1, x_2) \mid x_2 \ast x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_0(X_1 \otimes Y_3, X_3 \otimes Y_1|Y_2) \},$$

where $x_2 \ast x_1 = (\text{id}_{X_3} \otimes \beta_{Y_2,Y_1}) \circ (x_2 \otimes \text{id}_{Y_1}) \circ (\text{id}_{X_2} \otimes \beta_{Y_1,Y_3}) \circ (x_1 \otimes \text{id}_{Y_3}) \circ (\text{id}_{X_1} \otimes \beta_{Y_3,Y_2})$;

then $x_2 \circ x_1 := \text{tr}_{Y_2}(x_2 \ast x_1)$. The tensor product of morphisms is defined as

$$\times_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{C}_0(X_i \otimes Y_i', X_i' \otimes Y_i) \supset \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y', Y' \otimes Y) \equiv \mathcal{C}_0(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes Y_1' \otimes Y_2', X_1' \otimes X_2' \otimes Y_1 \otimes Y_2),$$

the unit of $\mathcal{C}$ is $(1,1)$.

**Proposition 1.3.** $\mathcal{C}$ is a strict monoidal quasicategory.

**Proof.** Let $U_i = (X_i, Y_i)$; let $x_i \in \mathcal{C}(U_i, U_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$; assume that $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{C}(U_1, U_2, U_3)$ and $(x_2 \circ x_1, x_3) \in \mathcal{C}(U_1, U_3, U_4)$; define $x_3 \ast x_2 \ast x_1$ by formula (6) below. Let us show that $x_3 \ast x_2 \ast x_1 \in \mathcal{C}(X_1 \otimes Y_1, Y_4 \otimes Y_1|Y_2 \otimes Y_3)$ and that $x_3 \circ (x_2 \circ x_1) = \text{tr}_{Y_3}(x_3 \ast x_2 \ast x_1)$. Using the fact that $x_2 \ast x_1$ may as well be expressed as $x_2 \ast x_1 = (\text{id}_{X_3} \otimes \beta_{Y_2,Y_1}) \circ (x_2 \otimes \text{id}_{Y_1}) \circ (\beta_{Y_3,X_2} \otimes \text{id}_{Y_1}) \circ \cdots$.
(id_{Y_3} \otimes x_1) \circ (\beta_{X_1} Y_3 \otimes id_{Y_2}), we write \( x_3 * tr_{Y_2}(x_2 * x_1) = (id_{X_4} \otimes \beta_{Y_3, Y_1}) \circ (x_3 \otimes id_{Y_1}) \circ (\beta_{Y_4, X_3} \otimes id_{y_1}) \circ (id_{Y_4} \otimes tr_{Y_2}(x_2 * x_1)) \circ (\beta_{X_1, Y_4} \otimes id_{Y_3}). \) Now id_{Y_4} \otimes (x_2 * x_1) \in \mathcal{C}_0(Y_4 \otimes X_1 \otimes Y_3, Y_4 \otimes X_3 \otimes Y_1|Y_2), and id_{Y_4} \otimes tr_{Y_2}(x_2 * x_1) = tr_{Y_2}(id_{Y_4} \otimes (x_2 * x_1)). We have then

\[
[(id_{X_4} \otimes \beta_{Y_3, Y_1}) \circ (x_3 \otimes id_{Y_1}) \circ (\beta_{Y_4, X_3} \otimes id_{y_1})] \otimes id_{Y_2}
\circ [id_{Y_4} \otimes (x_2 * x_1)] \circ [\beta_{X_1, Y_4} \otimes id_{Y_3} \otimes id_{y_2}]
\in \mathcal{C}_0(X_1 \otimes Y_4 \otimes Y_3, X_4 \otimes Y_1 \otimes Y_3|Y_2),
\]

and

\[
x_3 * tr_{Y_2}(x_2 * x_1) = tr_{Y_2} \{[(id_{X_4} \otimes \beta_{Y_3, Y_1}) \circ (x_3 \otimes id_{Y_1}) \circ (\beta_{Y_4, X_3} \otimes id_{y_1})] \otimes id_{Y_2}
\circ [id_{Y_4} \otimes (x_2 * x_1)] \circ [\beta_{X_1, Y_4} \otimes id_{Y_3} \otimes id_{y_2}]\}\] 

As the right side is in the domain of \( tr_{Y_3}, \) the argument of \( tr_{Y_2} \) in the right side is in the domain of \( tr_{Y_3} \otimes Y_2, \) and

\[
x_3 \circ (x_2 \circ x_1) = tr_{Y_3} \otimes Y_2 \{[(id_{X_4} \otimes \beta_{Y_3, Y_1}) \circ (x_3 \otimes id_{Y_1}) \circ (\beta_{Y_4, X_3} \otimes id_{y_1})] \otimes id_{Y_2}
\circ [id_{Y_4} \otimes (x_2 * x_1)] \circ [\beta_{X_1, Y_4} \otimes id_{Y_3} \otimes id_{y_2}]\}\]

On the other hand, this argument is expressed as

\[
(id_{X_1} \otimes id_{Y_4} \otimes \beta_{Y_2, Y_3}) \circ (x_3 * x_2 * x_1) \circ (id_{X_1} \otimes id_{Y_4} \otimes \beta_{Y_3, Y_2});
\]

therefore \( x_3 * x_2 * x_1 \) is in the domain of \( tr_{Y_2} \otimes Y_3, \) and

\[
x_3 \circ (x_2 \circ x_1) = tr_{Y_2} \otimes Y_3 (x_3 * x_2 * x_1).
\]

One proves in the same way that \( (x_3 \circ x_2) \circ x_1 = tr_{Y_2} \otimes Y_3 (x_3 * x_2 * x_1), \) which proves the associativity identity. \( \square \)

More generally, one shows that for any \( (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}((X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)), \)

\[
x_{n-1} * \cdots * x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_0(X_1 \otimes Y_n, X_n \otimes Y_1|Y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1}),
\]

where

\[
x_{n-1} * \cdots * x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_0(X_1 \otimes Y_n \otimes Y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1}, X_n \otimes Y_1 \otimes Y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1})
\]

is defined inductively by

\[
x_n * \cdots * x_1 := (id_{X_{n+1}} \otimes \beta_{Y_n, Y_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1}) \circ (x_n \otimes id_{Y_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1})
\circ (id_{X_{n}} \otimes \beta_{Y_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1}, Y_{n+1}) \circ [(x_{n-1} * \cdots * x_1) \otimes id_{Y_{n+1}}]
\circ (id_{X_1} \circ \beta_{Y_{n+1}, Y_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1}, Y_n),
\]

where \( \beta_{X,Y,Z} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y \otimes Z, Z \otimes Y \otimes X) \) is \( \beta_{X,Y \otimes Z} \circ (\beta_{X,Y} \otimes id_{Z}). \) One also shows that \( x_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ x_1 := tr_{Y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{n-1}}(x_{n-1} * \cdots * x_1). \)
If $X \mapsto X^*$ is an involution of $\mathcal{C}_0$, another symmetric strict monoidal quasicategory $\mathcal{C}'$ may be defined by $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}') = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0)^2$ and $\mathcal{C}'((X, Y), (X', Y')) := \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y^*, X' \otimes Y^*)$.

Now a functor between categories with partial traces is a tensor functor $F : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0$ such that $F(\mathcal{C}_0(X, Y|Z)) \subset \mathcal{D}_0(F(X), F(Y)|F(Z))$ and such that $\text{tr}_F(Z) \circ F = F \circ \text{tr}_Z$ (equality of maps $\mathcal{C}_0(X, Y|Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0(F(X), F(Y)))$. Such a functor induces a functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between the corresponding quasicategories.

If now $\mathcal{C}_0$ is additive and is a free module category over Vect, this construction can be extended as follows. In the definition of a trace, the maps are now linear and products are replaced by tensor products. Let $\text{Ob}'(\mathcal{C}_0) \subset \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ be a set of generators, that is, each $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ has the form $\bigoplus_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0)} F_X \otimes X$, where $X \mapsto F_X$ is a finitely supported map $\text{Ob}'(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\text{Vect})$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}_0) := \{\text{finitely supported maps } \text{Ob}'(\mathcal{C}_0)^2 \rightarrow \text{Vect}, F = [(X, Y) \mapsto F_{x,y}]\}$. We then set $\mathcal{C}(F, G) := \bigoplus_{(X,Y),(X',Y')} \text{Vect}(F_{X,Y}, G_{X',Y'}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y', X' \otimes Y)$ and extend the above composition and tensor product operations by linearity. In the case of $\mathcal{C}'$, we replace $\mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y', X' \otimes Y)$ by $\mathcal{C}_0(X \otimes Y^*, X' \otimes Y^*)$.

**Example.** Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ be the category where objects are finite sets, $\mathcal{C}_0(I, J) = \{\text{subsets of } I \times J\}$, and composition is given by $S' \circ S := \text{the image in } I \times I'' \text{ of } S \times I' \setminus S'$ for $S \subset I \times I'$ and $S' \subset I' \times I''$. Then to $S \subset I \times J$, we associate the oriented graph with vertices $I \cup J$ and edges $i \rightarrow j$ if $(i, j) \in S$. Composition then corresponds to the composition of graphs. The tensor product is $I \otimes I' := I \sqcup I'$ and for $S \in \mathcal{C}_0(I, I')$ and $T \in \mathcal{C}_0(J, J')$, $S \otimes T := S \sqcup T \subset (I \times I') \sqcup (J \times J') \subset (I \sqcup I') \times (J \sqcup J')$.

Then $\mathcal{C}_0$ is a strict monoidal category. It has a partial trace defined as follows. For finite sets $I$, $J$ and $K$, let $\mathcal{C}_0(I, J|K) \subset \mathcal{C}_0(I \sqcup K, J \sqcup K)$ be the set of graphs such that the introduction of the edges $k_{\text{out}} \mapsto k_{\text{in}}$ for $k \in K$ does not introduce cycles (alternatively, the set of $S \subset (I \sqcup K) \times (J \sqcup K)$ such that the relation in $K$ defined by “$u \prec v \text{ iff } (u, v) \in S$” has no cycle), and if $x$ is such a graph, then $\text{tr}_K(x) \in \mathcal{C}_0(I, J)$ corresponds to $\{(i, j) \in I \times J \mid \text{there exists } s \geq 0 \text{ and a sequence } (k_1, \ldots, k_s) \text{ of elements of } K \text{ such that } i \prec k_1 \prec \cdots \prec k_s \prec j\}$, where the relation $\prec$ is extended to $I \sqcup K \sqcup J$ by $u \prec v$ if and only if $(u, v) \in S$. Then the strict monoidal quasicategory constructed from $\mathcal{C}_0$, equipped with its partial trace, coincides with $\mathcal{G}$.

Here is another description of $\text{tr}_K(x)$. As the relation $\prec$ on $K$ is acyclic, we may extend it to a total order relation $< \text{ on } K$. Extend it to $I \sqcup K \sqcup J$ by $i < k < j$ for any $i, j, k \in I, J, K$. The relation $<$ induces a numbering $K = \{k_1, \ldots, k_{|K|}\}$ for $K$, where $k_1 < \cdots < k_{|K|}$. For $\alpha \in [[K]]$, let $K_\alpha := \{k_\alpha \} \sqcup \{(u, v) \in (I \sqcup K \sqcup J)^2 \mid u < v, u < k_\alpha < v\} \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0)$. Then $\text{tr}_K(x) = x_{K_{|K|} J} \circ \cdots \circ x_{K_1 K_2} \circ x_{I K_1}$, where $x_{K_{|K|} J}$, $x_{K_\alpha K_{\alpha+1}}$, and $x_{I K_1}$ are defined as follows.
• $x_{K_\alpha K_{\alpha+1}} \in \mathcal{G}_0(K_\alpha, K_{\alpha+1})$: We have identifications

$$K_\alpha \simeq \{k_\alpha\} \sqcup K'_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \sqcup K_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$$ and $$K_{\alpha+1} \simeq \{k_{\alpha+1}\} \sqcup K''_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \sqcup K_{\alpha,\alpha+1},$$

where

$$K'_{\alpha,\alpha+1} := \{s \in I \sqcup K \mid s < k_\alpha, s < k_{\alpha+1}\},$$

$$K''_{\alpha,\alpha+1} := \{t \in K \sqcup J \mid t > k_{\alpha+1}, t > k_\alpha\},$$

$$K_{\alpha,\alpha+1} := \{(s, t) \in (I \sqcup K) \times (K \sqcup J) \mid s < k_\alpha, k_{\alpha+1} < t, s < t\}.$$ Let $\diamond_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ be a one-element set if $k_\alpha < k_{\alpha+1}$ and $\emptyset$ otherwise. Then we define

$$\kappa_{\alpha,\alpha+1} := \{k_\alpha\} \times (\diamond_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \sqcup K''_{\alpha,\alpha+1}) \in \mathcal{G}_0(\{k_\alpha\}, \diamond_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \sqcup K''_{\alpha,\alpha+1}).$$

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\alpha+1} := (\diamond_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \sqcup K'_{\alpha,\alpha+1}) \times \{k_{\alpha+1}\} \in \mathcal{G}_0(\diamond_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \sqcup K'_{\alpha,\alpha+1}, \{k_{\alpha+1}\}).$$ Then we define $x_{K_\alpha K_{\alpha+1}}$ as

$$[(\lambda_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \otimes \text{id}_{K''_{\alpha,\alpha+1}}) \circ (\text{id}_{\diamond_{\alpha,\alpha+1}} \otimes \beta_{K''_{\alpha,\alpha+1}, K'_{\alpha,\alpha+1}}) \circ (\kappa_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \otimes \text{id}_{K'_{\alpha,\alpha+1}})] \otimes \text{id}_{K_{\alpha,\alpha+1}}.$$

• $x_{IK_1} \in \mathcal{G}_0(I, K_1)$: First, $K_1 \simeq \{k_1\} \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} K''_i)$, where

$$K''_i := \{t \in K \sqcup J \mid t \neq k_1, t > i\}.$$ Set $\diamond := \{i \in I \mid i < k_1\}$ and $\odot := \diamond \cap \{i\}$ so $\odot = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \diamond_i$. Let $k_i := \{i\} \times (\diamond_i \sqcup K''_i) \in \mathcal{G}_0(\{i\}, \diamond_i \sqcup K''_i)$. Let $br \in \mathcal{G}_0(\bigsqcup_{i \in I} (\diamond_i \sqcup K''_i), \odot \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} K''_i))$ be the canonical braiding morphism. Let $\lambda_{01} := \diamond \times \{k_1\} \in \mathcal{G}_0(\diamond, \{k_1\})$. Then we define

$$x_{IK_1} := (\lambda_{01} \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \in I} \text{id}_{K''_i})) \circ br \circ (\bigotimes_{i \in I} \kappa_i).$$

• $x_{K|K|J} \in \mathcal{G}_0(K|K|, J)$: First, $K|K| \simeq \{k|K|\} \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{j \in J} K'_j)$, where

$$K'_j := \{s \in I \sqcup K \mid s \neq k|K|, s < j\}.$$ Set $\tilde{\diamond} := \{j \in J \mid j > k|K|\}$. Let $\tilde{\diamond}_j := \tilde{\diamond} \cap \{j\}$; then $\tilde{\diamond} = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} \tilde{\diamond}_j$. Let $k|K|,|K|+1 := \{k|K|\} \times \tilde{\diamond} \in \mathcal{G}_0(\{k|K|\}, \tilde{\diamond})$. Let $\lambda_j := K'_j \times \{j\} \in \mathcal{G}_0(K'_j, \{j\})$. Let $br \in \mathcal{G}_0(\tilde{\diamond} \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{j \in J} K'_j), \bigsqcup_{j \in J} (\tilde{\diamond}_j \sqcup K'_j))$ be the canonical braiding map. Then we define

$$x_{K|K|J} := (\bigotimes_{j \in J} \lambda_j) \circ br \circ (k|K|,|K|+1 \otimes (\bigotimes_{j \in J} \text{id}_{K'_j})).$$

1.4. Props and (quasi)(bi)(multi)props. A prop $P$ is a symmetric additive strict monoidal category, equipped with a tensor functor $i_P : \text{Sch} \to P$, inducing a bijection on the sets of objects; so $\text{Ob}(P) = \text{Ob}($Sch$)$ (see for example [Tam02]). It is easy to check that this definition is equivalent to the original one [Mac65]. For $\phi \in \text{Sch}(F, G) \to P(F, G)$, we sometimes write $\phi$ instead of $i_P(\phi)$. A prop
morphism \( f : P \to Q \) is a tensor functor, inducing a bijection on the sets of objects, such that \( f \circ i_P = i_Q \).

A biprop (respectively, multiprop, bi-multiprop) is a symmetric additive monoidal category \( \pi \) (respectively, \( \Pi^0, \Pi \)), equipped with a tensor functor \( \text{Sch}_{1+1} \to \pi \) (respectively, \( \text{Sch}_{1} \to \Pi^0, \text{Sch}_{1+1} \to \Pi \)), that induces a bijection on the sets of objects. Morphisms between these structures are defined as above.

A quasiprop is a symmetric additive strict monoidal quasicategory \( P \) that is equipped with a morphism \( i_P : \text{Sch} \to P \) and that induces a bijection on the sets of objects. Quasi(bi)(multi)props are defined in the same way, as well as morphisms between these structures.

A topological (quasi)(bi)(multi)prop is defined in the same way as its nontopological analogue, replacing \( \text{Sch} \) by \( \text{Sch}_* \). For example, a topological prop \( P \) is a symmetric tensor category, equipped with a morphism \( \text{Sch} \) that is the identity on objects.

1.5. Operations on props. If \( H \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \) (respectively, \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \)) and \( P \) is a (bi)prop, then we define a prop \( H(P) \) by \( H(P)(F, G) := P(F \circ H, G \circ H) \).

A (bi)prop morphism \( P \to Q \) gives rise to a prop morphism \( H(P) \to H(Q) \). Similarly, if \( P \) is a topological (bi)prop, then for any \( H \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \) (respectively, \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \)), we get a prop \( H(P) \) such that \( H(P)(F, G) := P(F \circ H, G \circ H) \).

A morphism of topological (bi)props \( P \to Q \) then gives rise to a prop morphism \( H(P) \to H(Q) \).

To each (quasi)(bi)multiprop \( \Pi \), one associates a (quasi)(bi)prop \( \pi \) by letting \( \pi(F, F^{'}) := \Pi(F, F^{'}) \), that is, by using the injections \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) = \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_1) \subset \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \) in the “non-bi” case, and \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \subset \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \), \( F \mapsto (F_{k,l}) \), where \( F_{k,l} = 0 \) if \( (k, l) \neq (1, 1) \) and \( F_{1,1} = F \), in the “bi” case.

If \( P \) is a prop, we define a multiprop \( \Pi^0_P \) by \( \Pi^0_P(F, G) := P(c(F), c(G)) \). Here the tensor product is induced by the tensor product of \( P \) and the identity \( c(F \boxtimes F^{'}) = c(F) \otimes c(F^{'}) \).

1.6. Presentation of a prop. If \( P \) is a prop, then a prop ideal \( I_P \) of \( P \) is a set of vector subspaces \( I_P(F, G) \subset P(F, G) \) such that \( (F, G) \mapsto P(F, G)/I_P(F, G) \) is a prop, which we denote by \( P/I_P \). Then \( P \to P/I_P \) is a prop morphism.

If \( P \) is a prop, \( (F_i, G_i)_{i \in I} \) is a collection of pairs of Schur functors and \( V_i \subset P(F_i, G_i) \) are vector subspaces, then \( (V_i, i \in I) \) is the smallest of all prop ideals \( I_P \) of \( P \) such that \( V_i \subset I_P(F_i, G_i) \subset P(F_i, G_i) \) for any \( i \in I \).
Let \((F_i, G_i)_{i \in I}\) be a collection of Schur functors, and let \((V_i)_{i \in I}\) be a collection of vector spaces. Then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) prop \(\mathcal{F} = \text{Free}(V_i, F_i, G_i, i \in I)\), which is initial in the category of all props \(P\) equipped with linear maps \(V_i \to P(F_i, G_i)\). We call it the free prop generated by \((V_i, F_i, G_i)\).

If \((F'_\alpha, G'_\alpha)\) is a collection of Schur functors and \(R_\alpha \subset \mathcal{F}(F'_\alpha, G'_\alpha)\) is a collection of vector spaces, then the prop with generators \((V_i, F_i, G_i)\) and relations \((R_\alpha, F'_\alpha, G'_\alpha)\) is the quotient of \(\mathcal{F}\) by the prop ideal generated by \(R_\alpha\).

1.7. Topological props. Let \(P\) be a prop equipped with a filtration

\[ P(F, G) = P^0(F, G) \supset P^1(F, G) \supset \cdots \quad \text{for any } F, G \in \text{Ob(Sch)} \]

that is compatible with direct sums and that satisfies

\[ \circ \text{ induces a map } \circ : P^i(F, G) \otimes P^j(G, H) \to P^{i+j}(F, H), \text{ and } \otimes \text{ induces a map } \otimes : P^i(F, G) \otimes P^i'(F', G') \to P^{i+i'}(F \otimes F', G \otimes G'); \text{ and} \]

\[ \text{(b) if } F, G \in \text{Ob(Sch)} \text{ are homogeneous, then } P(F, G) = P^{||F||-|G||}(F, G). \]

For \(F, G \in \text{Ob(Sch)}\), we then define \(\hat{P}(F, G) = \lim_{\leftarrow} P(F, G)/P^n(F, G)\) as the completed separated of \(P(F, G)\) with respect to the filtration \(P^n(F, G)\). Then \(\hat{P}\) is a prop.

If \(F, G \in \text{Ob(Sch)}\), define \(P(F, G)\) as follows. If \(F = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} F_i\) and \(G = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} G_i\) are the decompositions of \(F\) and \(G\) into sums of homogeneous components, we set \(P(F, G) = \bigoplus_{i,j \geq 0} \hat{P}(F_i, G_j)\) (where \(\hat{P}\) is the direct product).

**Proposition 1.4.** \(P\) is a symmetric additive strict monoidal category; it is equipped with a morphism \(\text{Sch} \to P\), which is the identity on objects.

Recall that \(P\) is called a topological prop.

**Proof.** Let \(F = \bigoplus_i F_i\), \(G = \bigoplus_i G_i\), and \(H = \bigoplus_i H_i\) be in \(\text{Sch}\). We define a map \(\circ : P(F, G) \otimes P(G, H) \to P(F, H)\) as follows. We first define a map \(P(F_i, G) \otimes P(G, H_k) \to \hat{P}(F_i, H_k)\). The left vector space injects in the space \(\bigoplus_j \hat{P}(F_i, G_j) \otimes \hat{P}(G_j, H_k)\). The \(j\)-th summand is taken to \(P^{|j-i|+|j-k|}(F_i, H_k)\) by the composition. Because \(|j-i| + |j-k| \to \infty\) as \(j \to \infty\), we have a well-defined map \(P(F_i, G) \otimes P(G, H_k) \to \hat{P}(F_i, H_k)\).

Now let \(F' = \bigoplus_i F'_i\), \(G' = \bigoplus_i G'_i\) be in \(\text{Sch}\). We then define a map \(\otimes : P(F, G) \otimes P(F', G') \to P(F \otimes F', G \otimes G')\) as the direct product of the maps

\[ \hat{P}(F_i, G_j) \otimes \hat{P}(F'_i, G'_j) \to \hat{P}(F_i \otimes F'_i, G_j \otimes G'_j). \]

This is well defined since \((F \otimes F')_i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n F_j \otimes F'_{i-j}\) is the sum of a finite number of tensor products, and the same holds for \((G \otimes G')_j. \]

\[\square\]
A grading of \( P \) by an abelian semigroup \( \Gamma \) is a decomposition \( P(F, G) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} P_{\gamma}(F, G) \) such that the prop operations are compatible with the semigroup structure of \( \Gamma \). Then if \( P \) is graded by \( \mathbb{N} \) and if we set \( P^n(F, G) = \bigoplus_{i \geq n} P_i(F, G) \), the descending filtration \( P = P^0 \supset \cdots \) satisfies condition (a) above.

If \( P \to Q \) is a surjective prop morphism (that is, the maps \( P(F, G) \to Q(F, G) \) are all surjective) and if \( P \) is equipped with a filtration as above, then so is \( Q \) (we define \( Q^n(F, G) \) as the image of \( P^n(F, G) \)). Then we get a morphism \( \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{Q} \) of topological props, that is, a morphism of tensor categories such that the morphisms \( \mathbf{Sch} \to \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{Q} \) and \( \mathbf{Sch} \to \mathbf{Q} \) coincide.

If \( P \) and \( R \) are props equipped with a filtration as above, and \( P \to R \) is a prop morphism compatible with the filtration (that is, \( P^n(F, G) \) maps to \( R^n(F, G) \)), then we get a morphism of topological props \( P \to R \).

1.8. Modules over props. If \( \mathcal{S} \) is an additive symmetric strict monoidal category, and \( V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{S}) \), then we have a prop \( \text{Prop}(V) \) such that \( \text{Prop}(V)(F, G) = \text{Hom}_\mathcal{S}(F(V), G(V)) \). Then a \( P \)-module (in the category \( \mathcal{S} \)) is a pair \( (V, \rho) \), where \( V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{S}) \) and \( \rho : P \to \text{Prop}(V) \) is a tensor functor. Then \( P \)-modules in the category \( \mathcal{S} \) form a category. The tautological \( P \)-module is \( \mathcal{S} = P, \quad V = \text{id} \).

1.9. Examples of props. We define several props by generators and relations.

1.9.1. The prop Bialg. This is the prop with generators
\[
m \in \text{Bialg}(T_2, \text{id}), \quad \Delta \in \text{Bialg}(\text{id}, T_2), \quad \eta \in \text{Bialg}(1, \text{id}), \quad \varepsilon \in \text{Bialg}(\text{id}, 1),
\]
and relations
\[
m \circ (m \otimes \text{id}) = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes m), \quad m \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}) = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta) = \text{id},
\]
\[
(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta, \quad (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{id},
\]
\[
\Delta \circ m = (m \otimes m) \circ (1324) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta).
\]
When \( \mathcal{S} = \text{Vect} \), the category of Bialg-modules is that of bialgebras.

1.9.2. The prop COB. This is the prop with generators
\[
m \in \text{COB}(T_2, \text{id}), \quad \Delta \in \text{COB}(\text{id}, T_2), \quad R \in \text{COB}(1, T_2),
\]
\[
\eta \in \text{COB}(1, \text{id}), \quad \varepsilon \in \text{COB}(\text{id}, 1)
\]
and relations among \( m, \Delta, \eta, \varepsilon \) that satisfy the relations of Bialg:
\[
(m \otimes m) \circ (1324) \circ (R \otimes ((21) \circ R)) = (m \otimes m) \circ (1324) \circ ((21) \circ R) \otimes R = \eta \otimes \eta,
\]
\[
(21) \circ (m \otimes m) \circ (1324) \circ (\Delta \otimes R) = (m \otimes m) \circ (1324) \circ (R \otimes \Delta),
\]
\[
m \otimes^3 \circ (142536) \circ ((R \otimes \eta) \otimes (((\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ R)) = (m \otimes^3 \circ (142536) \circ ((\eta \otimes R) \otimes (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ R)).
\]
The category of COB-modules over $\mathcal{F} =$ Vect is that of coboundary bialgebras, that of, pairs $(A, R_A)$, where $A$ is a bialgebra and $R_A \in A \otimes^2$ satisfies
\[
R_A R_A^{21} = R_A^{21} R_A = 1_A \otimes^2, \quad \Delta_A^{21}(x) R_A^{21} = R_A \Delta_A(x),
\]
\[
(R_A \otimes 1_A)((\Delta_A \otimes \text{id}_A)(R_A)) = (1_A \otimes R_A)((\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_A)(R_A)).
\]

1.9.3. The prop $\text{LA}$. This is the prop whose generator is the bracket $\mu \in \text{LA}(\Lambda^2, \text{id})$ and whose relation is the Jacobi identity
\[
((123) + (231) + (312)) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}}) \circ \delta = 0.
\]
When $\mathcal{F} =$ Vect, the category of LA-modules is that of Lie algebras.

1.9.4. The prop $\text{LCA}$. This is the prop whose generator is the cobracket $\delta \in \text{LCA}(\text{id}, \Lambda^2)$ and whose relation is the co-Jacobi identity
\[
((123) + (231) + (312)) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}}) \circ \delta = 0.
\]
When $\mathcal{F} =$ Vect, the category of LCA-modules is that of Lie coalgebras.

1.9.5. The prop $\text{LBA}$. This is the prop with generators $\mu \in \text{LBA}(\Lambda^2, \text{id})$, $\delta \in \text{LBA}(\text{id}, \Lambda^2)$; relations are the Jacobi and co-Jacobi identities and the cocycle relation
\[
\delta \circ \mu = ((12) - (21)) \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}}) \circ (\text{id}_{\text{id}} \otimes \delta) \circ ((12) - (21)).
\]
When $\mathcal{F} =$ Vect, the category of LBA-modules is that of Lie bialgebras.

1.9.6. The prop $\text{LBA}_f$. This is the prop with generators $\mu \in \text{LBA}_f(\Lambda^2, \text{id})$, $\delta \in \text{LBA}_f(\text{id}, \Lambda^2)$, $f \in \text{LBA}_f(1, \Lambda^2)$ and relations in which $\mu$ and $\delta$ satisfy the relations of LBA and
\[
((123) + (231) + (312)) \circ ((\delta \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}}) \circ f + (\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id} \otimes 2}) \circ (1324) \circ (f \otimes f)) = 0.
\]
The category of $\text{LBA}_f$-modules is the category of pairs $(a, f_a)$, where $a$ is a Lie bialgebra and $f_a$ is a twist of $a$.

1.9.7. The prop $\text{Cob}$. This is the prop with generators $\mu \in \text{Cob}(\Lambda^2, \text{id})$ and $\rho \in \text{Cob}(1, \Lambda^2)$ and relations in which $\mu$ satisfies the Jacobi identity (7) and the element $Z \in \text{Cob}(1, \Lambda^3)$ defined by
\[
Z := ((123) + (231) + (312)) \circ (\text{id}_{\text{id}} \otimes \mu \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}}) \circ (\rho \otimes \rho)
\]
is invariant, that is, it satisfies
\[
((\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id} \otimes 2}) \circ (1423) + (\text{id}_{\text{id}} \otimes \mu \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}}) \circ (1243) + (\text{id}_{\text{id} \otimes 2} \otimes \mu) \circ (Z \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}}) = 0.
\]
The category of $\text{Cob}$-modules over $\mathcal{F} =$ Vect is that of coboundary Lie bialgebras, that is, pairs $(a, \rho_a)$, where $a$ is a Lie algebra and $\rho_a \in \Lambda^2(a)$ is such that $Z_a := [\rho_a^{12}, \rho_a^{13}] + [\rho_a^{12}, \rho_a^{23}] + [\rho_a^{13}, \rho_a^{23}]$ is $a$-invariant.
1.10. Some prop morphisms. We have unique prop morphisms \( \text{Cob} \to \text{Sch} \), \( \text{LBA} \to \text{Sch} \) and \( \text{LBA}_f \to \text{Sch} \), which are respectively defined by \((\mu, \rho) \mapsto (0, 0), (\mu, \delta) \mapsto (0, 0)\) and \((\mu, r) \mapsto (0, 0)\).

If \( \text{LA} \to P \) is a prop morphism and \( \alpha \in P(1, T_2) \), define
\[
\text{ad}(\alpha) := (((\mu \circ \text{Alt}) \otimes \text{id}) \circ (132) + \text{id} \otimes (\mu \circ \text{Alt})) \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \); (here \( \text{Alt} : T_2 \to \Lambda^2 \) is the alternation map). This is a propic version of the map \( x \mapsto [\alpha_a, x^1 + x^2] \), where \( \alpha \in \text{Rep}(P) \). If \( \alpha \in P(1, \Lambda^2) \), then \( \text{ad}(\alpha) \in P(1, \Lambda^2) \).

The presentations of \( \text{LBA} \) and \( \text{LBA}_f \) yield a proposition:

**Proposition 1.5.** We have unique prop morphisms \( \kappa_1, \kappa_2 : \text{LBA} \to \text{LBA}_f \) such that
\[
\kappa_1(\mu) = \kappa_2(\mu) = \mu, \quad \kappa_1(\delta) = \delta, \quad \kappa_2(\delta) = \delta + \text{ad}(f),
\]
and a unique prop morphism \( \kappa_0 : \text{LBA}_f \to \text{LBA} \) such that
\[
\kappa_0(\mu) = \mu, \quad \kappa_0(\delta) = \delta, \quad \kappa_0(f) = 0.
\]

We also have a prop morphism \( \kappa : \text{LBA}_f \to \text{Cob} \), such that
\[
\mu \mapsto \mu, \quad \delta \mapsto \text{ad}(\rho), \quad f \mapsto -2\rho
\]
and \( \tau_{\text{LBA}} : \text{LBA} \to \text{LBA} \) defined by \((\mu, \delta) \mapsto (\mu, -\delta)\).

1.10.1. The prop \( \text{Sch} \). \( \text{Sch} \) is itself a prop (with no generator and relation). The corresponding category of modules over \( \mathcal{F} \) is \( \mathcal{F} \) itself.

1.11. Examples of topological props.

1.11.1. The prop \( \text{Sch} \). We set
\[
\text{Sch}^0(F, G) = \text{Sch}(F, G) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sch}^1(F, G) = \cdots = 0.
\]
This filtration satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Section 1.7, since for \( F \) and \( G \) homogeneous, \( \text{Sch}(F, G) = 0 \) unless \( F \) and \( G \) have the same degree. The corresponding completion of \( \text{Sch} \) coincides with \( \text{Sch} \).

1.11.2. The props \( \text{LA} \) and \( \text{LCA} \). Since the relation in \( \text{LA} \) is homogeneous in \( \mu \), the prop \( \text{LA} \) has a grading \( \text{deg}_{\mu} \). If \( F, G \in \text{Ob(Sch)} \) and \( x \in \text{LA}(F, G) \) are homogeneous, then \( |G| - |F| = -\text{deg}_{\mu}(x) \), which implies that the filtration induced by \( \text{deg}_{\mu} \) satisfies conditions (a) and (b) above. We denote by \( \text{LA} \) the corresponding topological prop.

In the same way, \( \text{LCA} \) has a grading \( \text{deg}_{\delta} \) for which \( |G| - |F| = \text{deg}_{\delta}(x) \), so the filtration induced by \( \text{deg}_{\delta} \) satisfies conditions (a) and (b) above. We denote by \( \text{LCA} \) the corresponding topological prop.

1.11.3. The prop \( \text{LBA} \). Since the relations in \( \text{LBA} \) are homogeneous in both \( \mu \) and \( \delta \), the prop \( \text{LBA} \) is equipped with a grading \( (\text{deg}_{\mu}, \text{deg}_{\delta}) \) by \( \mathbb{N}^2 \). Moreover, if \( F, G \in \text{Ob(Sch)} \) and \( x \in \text{LBA}(F, G) \) are homogeneous, then
\[
|G| - |F| = \text{deg}_{\delta}(x) - \text{deg}_{\mu}(x).
\]
We denote by \( \text{LBA} \) the category of Lie bialgebras over \( \mathbb{k} \). Let \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) be the category of topological \( \mathbb{k}[\!\![h]\!] \)-modules (that is, quotients of modules of the form \( V[[h]] \), where \( V \in \text{Vect} \) and the topology is given by the images of \( h^n V[[h]] \)), and let \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) be the category of modules of the same form, where \( V \) is a complete separated \( \mathbb{k} \)-vector space.

Then we have a functor \( \text{LBA} \to \{ S(\text{LBA}) \}-\text{modules over } \mathcal{F}_1 \} \) which takes a to \( S(\text{LBA})[[h]] \); the representation of \( S(\text{LBA}) \) is given by \( \mu \mapsto \mu_a \) and \( \delta \mapsto h\delta_a \).

We also have a functor \( \text{LBA} \to \{ S(\text{LBA}) \}-\text{modules over } \mathcal{F}_2 \} \) which takes a to \( \widehat{S}(\text{LBA})[[h]] \); the representation of \( S(\text{LBA}) \) is given by \( \mu \mapsto h\mu_a \) and \( \delta \mapsto \delta_a \).

1.1.1.4. The prop \( \text{LBA}_f \). Define \( \text{LBA}_f \) as the prop whose generators are \( \mu, f \) and \( \delta \) and whose only relations are that \( \mu \) and \( \delta \) satisfy the relations of LBA. Then \( \text{LBA}_f \) has a grading \( (\deg_\mu, \deg_\delta, \deg_f) \) by \( \mathbb{N}^3 \). For \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \) and \( x \in \text{LBA}_f(F, G) \) homogeneous, we have

\[
(9) \quad |G| - |F| = \deg_\delta(x) - \deg_\mu(x) + 2\deg_f(x).
\]

Then \( \deg_\mu + \deg_\delta + 2\deg_f \) is a grading of \( \text{LBA}_f \) by \( \mathbb{N} \). The corresponding filtration therefore satisfies condition (a). Since \( \deg_\mu \), \( \deg_\delta \) and \( \deg_f \) are \( \geq 0 \), (9) implies that it also satisfies conditions (b). Since the morphism \( \text{LBA}_f \to \text{LBA} \) is surjective, the filtration of \( \text{LBA}_f \) induces a filtration of \( \text{LBA} \) satisfying (a) and (b). We denote by \( \text{LBA}_f \) the corresponding completion of \( \text{LBA}_f \).

As before, if \( \text{LBA}_f \) is the category of pairs \( (a, f_a) \) of Lie bialgebras with twists, we have two functors: First, we have \( \text{LBA}_f \to \{ S(\text{LBA}_f) \}-\text{modules over } \mathcal{F}_1 \} \), which takes \( (a, f_a) \) to \( S(a)[[h]] \). The representation of \( S(\text{LBA}_f) \) is given by \( \mu \mapsto \mu_a \), \( \delta \mapsto h\delta_a \) and \( f \mapsto h f_a \). Second, we have \( \text{LBA}_f \to \{ S(\text{LBA}_f) \}-\text{modules over } \mathcal{F}_2 \} \), which takes \( (a, f_a) \) to \( \widehat{S}(a)[[h]] \). The representation of \( S(\text{LBA}_f) \) is given by \( \mu \mapsto h\mu_a \), \( \delta \mapsto \delta_a \) and \( f \mapsto f_a \).

1.1.1.5. The prop \( \text{Cob} \). \( \text{Cob} \) has a grading \( (\deg_\mu, \deg_r) \) by \( \mathbb{N}^2 \). If \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \) and \( x \in \text{Cob}(F, G) \) homogeneous, then \( |G| - |F| = 2\deg_r(x) - \deg_\mu(x) \). Then the \( \mathbb{N} \)-grading of \( \text{Cob} \) by \( \deg_\mu + 2\deg_r \) induces a filtration satisfying (a) and (b). We denote the resulting topological prop by \( \text{Cob} \).

If \( \text{Cob} \) is the category of coboundary Lie bialgebras \( (a, r_a) \), we have two functors: First, we have \( \text{Cob} \to \{ S(\text{Cob}) \}-\text{modules over } \mathcal{F}_1 \} \) which takes \( (a, r_a) \) to \( S(a)[[h]] \). The representation of \( S(\text{Cob}) \) is given by \( \mu \mapsto \mu_a \) and \( r \mapsto h r_a \). Second, we have \( \text{Cob} \to \{ S(\text{Cob}) \}-\text{modules over } \mathcal{F}_2 \} \) which takes \( (a, r_a) \) to \( \widehat{S}(a)[[h]] \). The representation of \( S(\text{Cob}) \) is given by \( \mu \mapsto h\mu_a \) and \( r \mapsto r_a \).
1.11.6. **Morphisms between completed props.** The morphisms \( \text{Cob} \to \text{Sch} \), \( \text{LBA} \to \text{Sch} \) and \( \text{LBA}_f \to \text{Sch} \) above are compatible with the filtrations, so they induce topological prop morphisms \( \text{Cob} \to \text{Sch}, \text{LBA} \to \text{Sch} \) and \( \text{LBA}_f \to \text{Sch} \).

Since \( \kappa_1 \) preserves the \( \mathbb{N} \)-grading, it extends to a morphism \( \text{LBA} \to \text{LBA}_f \) of completed props.

\( \kappa_2 \) takes a monomial in \( (\mu, \delta) \) of bidegree \( (a, b) \) to a sum of monomials in \( (\mu, \delta, f) \) of degrees \( (a + b'', b', b'') \), where \( b' + b'' = b \). The \( \mathbb{N} \)-degree of such a monomial is \( a + b' + 3b'' \geq a + b \). So \( \kappa_2 \) preserves the descending filtrations of both props and extends to a morphism \( \text{LBA} \to \text{LBA}_f \).

\( \kappa_0 \) takes a monomial in \( (\mu, \delta, f) \) either to 0 if the \( f \)-degree is > 0, or to the same monomial (which has the same \( \mathbb{N} \)-degree) otherwise. So \( \kappa_0 \) preserves the descending filtration and extends to a morphism \( \text{LBA}_f \to \text{LBA} \).

Finally, \( \kappa \) takes a monomial in \( (\mu, \delta, f) \) of degree \( (a, b, c) \) (and of \( \mathbb{N} \)-degree \( a + b + 2c \)) to a monomial in \( (\mu, \rho) \) of degree \( (a + b, b + c) \) and of \( \mathbb{N} \)-degree \( a + 3b + 2c \). Since the \( \mathbb{N} \)-degree increases, \( \kappa \) preserves the descending filtration and extends to a morphism \( \text{LBA}_f \to \text{Cob} \).

1.12. **The props \( P_\alpha \).** Let \( C \) be a coalgebra in \( \text{Sch} \). This means that \( C = \bigoplus_i C_i \in \text{Sch} \) (where \( |C_i| = i \)), and we have prop morphisms \( C \to C^\otimes 2 \) and \( C \to 1 \) in \( \text{Sch} \) such that the two morphisms \( C \to C^\otimes 3 \) coincide and the composed morphisms \( C \to C^\otimes 2 \to C \otimes 1 \simeq C \) and \( C \to C^\otimes 2 \to 1 \otimes C \simeq C \) are the identity.

Let \( P \) be a prop. For \( F = \bigoplus_i F_i \) and \( G = \bigoplus_i G_i \) in \( \text{Sch} \), we set \( P(F, G) = \bigoplus_{i,j} P(F_i, G_j) \). Then the operations \( \circ : P(F, G) \otimes P(G, H) \to P(F, H) \) and \( \otimes : P(F, G) \otimes P(F', G') \to P(F \otimes F', G \otimes G') \) are well defined, and so are \( \circ : P(F, G) \otimes \text{Sch}(G, H) \to P(F, H) \) and \( \otimes : \text{Sch}(F, G) \otimes P(G, H) \to P(F, H) \).

We define a prop \( P_C \) by \( P_C(F, G) := P(C \otimes F, G) \) for \( F, G \in \text{Sch} \). The composition of \( P_C \) is then defined as the map

\[
P_C(F, G) \otimes P_C(G, H) \simeq P(C \otimes F, G) \otimes P(C \otimes G, H)
\]

\[
\overbrace{(P(\text{id}_C) \otimes \cdot) \otimes \text{id}}^{P(\text{id}_C) \otimes \cdot} \quad P(C^\otimes 2 \otimes F, C \otimes G) \otimes P(C \otimes G, H)
\]

\[
\longrightarrow P(C^\otimes 2 \otimes F, H) \longrightarrow P(C \otimes F, H) \simeq P_C(F, H),
\]

and the tensor product is defined by

\[
P_C(F, G) \otimes P_C(F', G') \simeq P(C \otimes F, G) \otimes P(C \otimes F', G')
\]

\[
\otimes \quad P(C^\otimes 2 \otimes F \otimes F', G \otimes G') \longrightarrow P(C \otimes F \otimes F', G \otimes G').
\]

We then have an isomorphism \( P \simeq P_1 \) and a prop morphism \( P \to P_C \) induced by \( C \to 1 \).

Let us define a \( P \)-coideal \( D \) of \( C \) to be the data of \( D = \bigoplus_i D_i \in \text{Sch} \) and morphisms \( \alpha \in \bigoplus_i P(C_i, D), \beta \in \bigoplus_i P(D_i, C \otimes D) \) and \( \gamma \in \bigoplus_i P(D_i, D \otimes C) \).
such that the diagrams
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_i \xrightarrow{\Delta|_{C_i}} C \otimes C & \text{and} & C_i \xrightarrow{\Delta|_{C_i}} C \otimes C \\
\downarrow \alpha|_{C_i} & & \downarrow \alpha|_{C_i} \\
D \gamma & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \text{id}_C} & D \otimes C \\
\end{array}
\]
commute for each \( i \). A \( P \)-coideal \( D \) of \( C \) may be constructed as follows. Let \( D' \in \text{Sch} \) and \( \alpha' \in P(C, D') \). Set \( D := D' \otimes C \), and define \( \alpha \in \bigoplus_i P(C_i, D) \) as the composed morphism
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\alpha' \otimes \text{id}_C} & D' \otimes C = D.
\end{array}
\]
We also define the morphism \( \gamma \in \bigoplus_i P(D_i, D \otimes C) \) as the composition
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D = D' \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} & D' \otimes C \otimes C = D \otimes C
\end{array}
\]
and \( \beta \in \bigoplus_i \text{LBA}(D, C \otimes D) \) as the composed morphism \( D \to D \otimes C \to C \otimes D \).

If \( D \) is a \( P \)-coideal of \( C \), set \( P_D(F, G) := P(D \otimes F, G) \). Then for each \( (F, G) \), we have a morphism \( P_D(F, G) \to P_C(F, G) \) such that the collection of all \( \text{Im}(P_D(F, G) \to P_C(F, G)) \) is an ideal of \( P_C \).

We denote by \( P_{\alpha} \) the corresponding quotient prop. Then we have \( P_{\alpha}(F, G) = \text{Coker}(P_D(F, G) \to P_C(F, G)) \) for any \( (F, G) \).

1.13. Automorphisms of props. For \( \xi \in P(\text{id}, \text{id}) \),
\[
\xi^{\otimes n} \in P(T_n, T_n) = \bigoplus_{\rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{G}_n} \text{Hom}(\pi_\rho, \pi_{\rho'}) \otimes P(Z_\rho, Z_{\rho'})
\]
As \( \xi^{\otimes n} \) is \( \mathcal{G}_n^{\text{diag}} \)-invariant (\( \mathcal{G}_n^{\text{diag}} \) being the diagonal subgroup of \( \mathcal{G}_n \times \mathcal{G}_n \)), we have \( \xi^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{G}_n} \text{id}_\rho \otimes \xi_\rho \) for some \( \xi_\rho \in P(Z_\rho, Z_\rho) \). For \( F = (F_\rho)_{\rho \in \mathcal{L}_n} \), we set
\[
\xi_F := \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{L}_n} \text{id}_F \otimes \xi_\rho \in P(F, F).
\]
One can prove that \( \xi_{F \oplus G} = \xi_F \otimes \xi_G \), \( \xi_{F \otimes G} = \xi_F \otimes \xi_G \) and \( (\xi \circ \eta)_F = \xi_F \circ \eta_F \). So if \( \xi \) is invertible, so are the \( \xi_F \), and there is a unique prop automorphism \( \theta(\xi) \) of \( P \) taking \( x \in P(F, G) \) to \( \xi_G \circ x \circ \xi_F^{-1} \). The map \( P(\text{id}, \text{id}) \xrightarrow{\times} \text{Aut}(P) \) is a group morphism with normal image \( \text{Inn}(P) \). We call the elements of this image the inner automorphisms of \( P \).

1.14. Structure of the prop \( \text{LBA} \).

**Lemma 1.6.** If \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \), then we have an isomorphism
\[
\text{LBA}(F, G) \simeq \bigoplus_{N \geq 0} (\text{LCA}(F, T_N) \otimes \text{LA}(T_N, G))_{\mathcal{G}_N},
\]
with inverse given by \( f \otimes g \mapsto g \circ f \) (the prop morphisms \( \text{LCA} \to \text{LBA} \) and \( \text{LA} \to \text{LBA} \) are understood).
Proof. This has been proved in the case when \( F = T_n \) and \( G = T_m \) in [Enr01a], [Pos95]. We then pass to the case of \( F = Z_\rho \) and \( G = Z_\sigma \) for \( \rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n \) and \( \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m \) by identifying the isotypic components of this identity under the action of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m \). The general case follows by linearity. \( \square \)

According to (4), this result may be expressed as the isomorphism

\[
\text{LBA}(F, G) \simeq \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \text{LCA}(F, Z) \otimes \text{LA}(Z, G).
\]

**Lemma 1.7.** If \( A \) and \( B \) are finite sets, then

\[
\text{LA}(T_A, T_B) = \bigoplus_{f: A \to B \text{ surjective}} \bigotimes_{b \in B} \text{LA}(T_{f^{-1}(b)}, T_{\{b\}}),
\]

where the inverse map is given by the tensor product.

**Lemma 1.8.** Let \( F_1, \ldots, F_n, G_1, \ldots, G_p \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}) \). Then we have a decomposition

\[
\text{LBA}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n F_i, \bigotimes_{j=1}^p G_j) = \bigoplus (Z_{ij})_{i, j} \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})[n] \times [p] \text{LBA}((F_i)_i, (G_j)_j)(Z_{ij})_{i, j},
\]

where \( \text{LBA}((F_i)_i, (G_j)_j)(Z_{ij})_{i, j} \) is equal to

\[
\left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \text{LCA}(F_i, \bigotimes_{\beta=1}^p Z_{ij}) \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^p \text{LA}(\bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^n Z_{ij}, G_j) \right),
\]

with inverse given by \( (\otimes_i f_i) \otimes (\otimes_j g_j) \mapsto (\otimes_i g_j) \circ \sigma_{n, p} \circ (\otimes_i f_i) \). Here \( \sigma_{n, p} \) is the braiding isomorphism \( \otimes_i (\otimes_j Z_{ij}) \to \otimes_j (\otimes_i Z_{ij}) \).

**Proof.** The left side is equal to

\[
\bigoplus_{N \geq 0} \bigoplus_{(n_{ij})} \left( \bigotimes_i \text{LCA}(F_i, T_{\sum_j n_{ij}}) \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_j \text{LA}(T_{\sum_i n_{ij}}, G_j) \right) \prod_{i, j} n_{ij}.
\]

Equation (4) then implies the result. \( \square \)

1.15. **Structure of the prop \( \text{LBA}_f \).** In the setup of Section 1.12, we set \( C := S \circ \Lambda^2 \) and \( D' = \Lambda^3 \). An \( \alpha' \in \text{LBA}(C, D') = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{LBA}(S^k \circ \Lambda^2, \Lambda^3) \) has only nonzero components for \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \). These components respectively specialize to

\[
\Lambda^2(a) \to \Lambda^3(a), \ f \mapsto (\delta \otimes \text{id})(f) + (\delta \otimes \text{id})(f)^{231} + (\delta \otimes \text{id})(f)^{312} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
S^2(\Lambda^2(a)) \to \Lambda^3(a), \ f^{\otimes 2} \mapsto [f^{12}, f^{13}] + [f^{12}, f^{23}] + [f^{13}, f^{23}].
\]

Then \( \alpha : C \to D \) is an LBA-coideal. We denote by \( \text{LBA}_\alpha \) the corresponding quotient prop \( P_\alpha \).
PROPOSITION 1.9. There exists a prop isomorphism \( LBA_f \cong LBA_\alpha \).

Proof. Using the presentation of \( LBA_f \), one checks that there is a unique prop morphism \( LBA_f \to LBA_\alpha \) respectively taking \( \mu, \delta, f \) to the classes of

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu &\in LBA(\Lambda^2, \text{id}) \subset \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} LBA((S^k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes \Lambda^2, \text{id}) = LBA((S \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes \Lambda^2, \text{id}), \\
\delta &\in LBA(\text{id}, \Lambda^2) \subset \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} LBA((S^k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes \text{id}, \Lambda^2) = LBA((S \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes \text{id}, \Lambda^2), \\
\text{id}_\Lambda &\in LBA(\Lambda^2, \Lambda^2) \subset \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} LBA(S^k \circ \Lambda^2, \Lambda^2) = LBA((S \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes 1, \Lambda^2).
\end{align*}
\]

We now construct a prop morphism \( LBA_\alpha \to LBA_f \).

We construct a linear map \( LBA(\Sigma_k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F, G) \to LBA_f (F, G) \) as follows. Using the prop morphism \( LBA \to LBA_f \) given by \( \mu, \delta \mapsto \mu, \delta \), we get a linear map \( LBA(\Sigma_k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F, G) \to LBA_f (\Sigma_k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F, G) \). We have an element \( S_k(f) \in LBA_f (\Sigma_k \circ 1, \Sigma_k \circ \Lambda^2) \), so the operation \( x \mapsto x \circ (S_k(f) \otimes \text{id}_F) \) is a linear map

\[ LBA_f ((S^k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F, G) \to LBA_f ((S^k \circ 1) \otimes F, G) \cong LBA_f (F, G). \]

The composition of these maps is a linear map from \( LBA((S^k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F, G) \) to \( LBA_f (F, G) \), and the sum is a linear map \( LBA((S \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F, G) \to LBA_f (F, G) \), and it factors through a linear map \( LBA_\alpha (F, G) \to LBA_f (F, G) \), as one can check.

One also checks that this map is compatible with the prop operations, so it is a prop morphism.

We now show that the composed morphisms \( LBA_f \to LBA_\alpha \to LBA_f \) and \( LBA_\alpha \to LBA_f \to LBA_\alpha \) are both the identity.

In the case of \( LBA_f \to LBA_\alpha \to LBA_f \), one shows that the composed map takes each generator of \( LBA_f \) to itself, hence is the identity.

Let us show that \( LBA_\alpha \to LBA_f \to LBA_\alpha \) is the identity. We already defined the prop \( \widetilde{LBA_f} \). Then we have a canonical prop morphism \( \widetilde{LBA_f} \to LBA_f \). We also have prop morphisms \( LBA_{S \circ \Lambda^2} \to \widetilde{LBA_f} \) and \( \widetilde{LBA_f} \to LBA_{S \circ \Lambda^2} \), defined similarly to \( LBA_\alpha \to LBA_f \) and \( LBA_f \to LBA_\alpha \). We then have commuting squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
LBA_{S \circ \Lambda^2} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{LBA_f} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
LBA_\alpha & \longrightarrow & LBA_f
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{LBA_f} & \longrightarrow & LBA_{S \circ \Lambda^2} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
LBA_f & \longrightarrow & LBA_\alpha
\end{array}
\]

One checks that the composed morphism \( LBA_{S \circ \Lambda^2} \to \widetilde{LBA_f} \to LBA_{S \circ \Lambda^2} \) is the identity, which implies that \( LBA_\alpha \to LBA_f \to LBA_\alpha \) is the identity. \[ \square \]
In what follows, we will use the above isomorphism to identify LBA\(_\alpha\) with LBA\(_f\). The main output of this identification is the construction of a grading of LBA\(_f\)(\(\bigotimes_i F_i, \bigotimes_j G_j\)) by families \((Z_{ij})\) in Irr(Sch), since as we now show, props of the form LBA\(_\alpha\) all give rise to such a grading.

Let \(C, D \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch})\), and let \(\alpha \in \bigoplus_j \text{LBA}(C_i, D_j)\).

**Proposition 1.10.** Let \(F_1, \ldots, F_n, G_1, \ldots, G_p \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch})\). Define \(F := \bigotimes_i F_i\) and \(G := \bigotimes_j G_j\). For \(Z = (Z_{ij})_{i \in [n], j \in [p]}\) a map \([n] \times [p] \to \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})\), set

\[
\text{LBA}_C((F_i)_i, (G_j)_j)_Z := \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[0]} \cup [n]} \text{LBA}([C, (F_i)_i], (G_j)_j)_\zeta,
\]

where \([C, (F_i)_i] \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch})^{[0]} \cup [n]\) is the extension of \((F_i)_i\) defined by \(0 \mapsto C\).

Then \(\text{LBA}_C(F, G) = \bigoplus Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n]} \times [p] \text{LBA}_C((F_i)_i, (G_j)_j)_Z\).

Moreover, the map \(\text{LBA}_D(F, G) \to \text{LBA}_C(F, G)\) preserves the grading by \(\text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n]} \times [p]\). The cokernel of this map therefore inherits a grading

\[
\text{LBA}_\alpha(F, G) = \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n]} \times [p]} \text{LBA}_\alpha((F_i)_i, (G_j)_j)_Z.
\]

**Proof.** The first statement follows from Lemma 1.8 (with \(F_0 = C\)). Let us prove the second statement. Consider the sequence of maps

\[
\text{LCA}(D, \bigotimes_{j \in [p]} Z_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{LBA}(C, D) \otimes \text{LBA}(D, \bigotimes_{j \in [p]} Z_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{LBA}(D, \bigotimes_{j \in [p]} Z_{0j})
\]

\[
\simeq \bigoplus_{(Z_{0j})_j \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[p]}} \text{LCA}(C, \bigotimes_{j \in [p]} Z'_{0j}) \otimes (\bigotimes_{j \in [p]} \text{LA}(Z'_{0j}, Z_{0j})),
\]

\[
\kappa \mapsto \bigoplus_{(Z_{0j})_j \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[p]}} \sum_{\alpha} \kappa'_\alpha \otimes (\bigotimes_{j \in [p]} \lambda'_{j, \alpha}),
\]

where the first map uses the prop morphism \(\text{LCA} \to \text{LBA}\).

For \(\tilde{Z} \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[0]} \cup [n] \times [p]\), its restriction to \([n] \times [p] \to \tilde{Z}\). The map \(\text{LBA}(D \otimes F, G) \simeq \text{LBA}_D(F, G) \to \text{LBA}_C(F, G)\) restricts to

\[
\text{LBA}([D, (F_i)_i], (G_j)_j)_{\tilde{Z}} \to \text{LBA}_C((F_i)_i, (G_j)_j)_{\tilde{Z} |_{[n]} \times [p]},
\]

\[
\bigotimes_{j \in [p]} \lambda_j \circ \sigma_{n+1, p} \circ (\kappa \otimes (\bigotimes_{j \in [n]} \kappa_i))
\]

\[
\mapsto \bigoplus_{(Z_{0j})_j \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[p]}} \sum_{\alpha} \bigotimes_{j \in [p]} (\lambda_j \circ (\lambda'_{j, \alpha} \otimes \text{id}_{\bigotimes_{i \in [n]} Z_{ij}})) \circ \sigma_{n+1, p} \circ \kappa'_\alpha.
\]

Summing up over \((Z_{0j})_j \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[p]}\), we get the result. \(\Box\)
1.16. **Partial traces on \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \) and \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \).** Recall for \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{(1)}) \) that \( \Pi_{LBA}^0(F, G) = \text{LBA}(c(F), c(G)) \). For \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{p,q}) \), we introduce a grading of \( \Pi_{LBA}^0(F, G) \) by \( \varrho_0([p], [q]) \) as follows. Assume first that \( F \) and \( G \) are simple, so \( F = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n Z_{\rho_i} \) and \( G = \bigotimes_{j=1}^p Z_{\sigma_j} \). If \( Z = (Z_{ij})_{i,j \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})}^{[n] \times [p]} \), we define the support of \( Z \) as \( \text{supp}(Z) := \{(i, j) \mid Z_{ij} \neq 1\} \). Then

\[
\Pi_{LBA}^0(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j=1}^p Z_{\sigma_j}) := \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n] \times [p]} \atop \text{supp}(Z) = S} \text{LBA}((Z_{\rho_i}), (Z_{\sigma_j})) Z.
\]

If \( F = (F_{\rho_1}, \ldots, F_{\rho_n}) \) and \( G = (G_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, G_{\sigma_p}) \), then

\[
\Pi_{LBA}^0(F, G)_S := \bigoplus_{(\rho_i), (\sigma_j)} \text{Vect}(F_{\rho_i}, G_{\sigma_j}) \otimes \Pi_{LBA}^0(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j=1}^p Z_{\sigma_j})_S.
\]

**Proposition 1.11.** This grading is compatible with the monoidal category structure of \( \varrho_0 \), namely,

\[
\Pi_{LBA}^0(G, H)_{S'} \circ \Pi_{LBA}^0(F, G)_S \subset \bigoplus_{S'' \subset S' \circ S} \Pi_{LBA}^0(F, H)_{S''}
\]

for \( F, G, H \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{p,q,r}) \),

\[
\Pi_{LBA}^0(F_1, G_1)_S \otimes \Pi_{LBA}^0(F_2, G_2)_S \subset \Pi_{LBA}^0(F_1 \otimes F_2, G_1 \otimes G_2)_S
\]

for \( F_i, G_i \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{p_i,q_i}) \)

and \( \beta_{F,G} \in \Pi_{LBA}^0(F \otimes G, G \otimes F)_{\varrho([1],[1])} \). (Here \( \otimes \) denotes the tensor product operation of \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \).)

**Proof.** The only nontrivial statement is the first one. Let \( Z \) and \( Z' \) be such that \( \text{supp}(Z) = S \) and \( \text{supp}(Z') = S' \). For \( F, G \) and \( H \) simple, the composition factorizes as

\[
\Pi_{LBA}^0(F, G)_Z \otimes \Pi_{LBA}^0(G, H)_Z' \rightarrow (\bigotimes_i \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{ij})) \otimes (\bigotimes_j \text{LA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{ij}, Z_{\sigma_j})) \otimes (\bigotimes_j \text{LA}(\bigotimes_j Z'_{jk}, Z_{\tau_k}))
\]

\[
\rightarrow \bigoplus _{(Z'_{ij}) \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \otimes (\bigotimes_j \text{LA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{ij})) \otimes (\bigotimes_j \text{LA}(Z_{ij}, \bigotimes_k Z'_{ijk})) \otimes (\bigotimes_k \text{LA}(\bigotimes_j Z'_{jk}, Z_{\tau_k}))
\]

\[
\rightarrow \bigoplus _{i,j} \otimes (\bigotimes_i \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j,k} Z''_{ijk})) \otimes (\bigotimes_j \text{LA}(\bigotimes_i Z''_{ijk}, Z_{\sigma_j})) \rightarrow \Pi_{LBA}^0(F, H).
\]
where the first map is the decomposition map, the second map is the tensor product over \( j \) of the \( j \)-th exchange map (composition followed by decomposition)

\[
\text{LA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{ij}, Z_{\sigma j}) \otimes \text{LCA}(Z_{\sigma j}, \bigotimes_k Z'_{jk}) \to \text{LBA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{ij}, \bigotimes_k Z'_{jk})
\]

\[
\to \bigoplus_{i,k} (\bigotimes_i \text{LCA}(Z_{ij}, \bigotimes_k Z''_{ijk})) \otimes (\bigotimes_k \text{LA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{ijk}, Z'_{jk}))
\]

the third map is composition in \( \text{LA} \) and \( \text{LCA} \), the fourth map is obtained by \( Z''_{ik} := \bigotimes_j Z''_{ijk} \), and the fifth map is a composition.

If now \((i,k) \notin S' \circ S\), then for any \( j \in J \), either \( Z_{ij} \) or \( Z'_{jk} = 1 \). Then the component of the target of the \( j \)-th exchange map corresponding to any \((i,k) \mapsto Z''_{ijk}\) different from \((i,k) \mapsto 1\) is zero; so for each \((i,k)\), \( Z''_{ik} \) the penultimate vector space is a sum of copies of \( 1 \).

It follows that \( \bigoplus_{S'' \subset S' \circ S} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F,G)_{S''} \) contains the image of the overall map.

Let \( F, G, H \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{p,q,r}) \), and let us define the diagram

\[
\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H) \supset \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)
\]

(the general case is then derived by linearity). Let us first assume that \( F, G, H \) are simple, so

\[
F = Z_{(\rho_i)} := \bigotimes_{i \in I} Z_{\rho_i}, \quad G = Z_{(\sigma_j)} = \bigotimes_{j \in J} Z_{\sigma_j}, \quad H = Z_{(\tau_k)} = \bigotimes_{k \in K} Z_{\tau_k}.
\]

(Here \( I, J, K \) are ordered sets of cardinality \( p, q, r \), and \((\rho_i), (\sigma_j), (\tau_k)\) are maps \( I, J, K \to \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Theta}_n \).) Recall that

\[
\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_0(I \otimes K, J \otimes K)} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_S.
\]

We then set

\[
\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H) := \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_0(I,J|K)} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_S.
\]

We then define the linear map

\[
\text{tr}_H : \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H)_S := \bigoplus_{Z|\text{supp}(Z) = S} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_Z
\]

\[
\to \bigoplus_{S' \subset \text{tr}_K(S)} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)_{S'}
\]

as follows. Recall from Section 1.3 the order relation \( \prec \) on \( K \), the total order relation \( \prec \) on \( K \), its extension to a relation on \( I \sqcup K \sqcup J \), the numbering \( K = \{k_1, \ldots, k_{|K|}\} \), and the sets \( K_a \).
Let $Z = (Z_{uv})(u,v) \in (\mathcal{I}_K \times (\mathcal{K} \cup J))$ be such that $\text{supp}(Z) = S$. For $\alpha \in \{|K|\}$, set $H_{\alpha} := \bigotimes_{x \in K_{\alpha}} Z(x)$, where $Z(k) := Z_{\tau_k}$ for $k \in K$. Set

$$Z(u, v) := Z_{\rho_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}}$$

for $(u, v) \in (I \cup K) \times (K \cup J)$.

(We extend $\rho$ to $I \cup K$ by $\rho_k := \tau_k$ and $\sigma$ to $K \cup J$ by $\sigma_k := \tau_k$.) For $\alpha \in \{|K| - 1\}$, set $H_{\alpha, \alpha + 1} := \bigotimes_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{I}_K \cup (K' \cup J) \cup (K' \cup J') \cup \mathcal{K} \cup J} Z(u, v)$.

Also set

$$H_0 := F,$$

$$H_{|K| + 1} := \bigotimes_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{I}_J \cup (K' \cup J) \cup (K' \cup J') \cup \mathcal{K} \cup J} Z(u, v).$$

Then $\text{tr}_H$ is the sum over $Z$ with $\text{supp}(Z) = S$ of the composite maps

$$\Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H) Z$$

(11) $$(\bigotimes_{u \in I \cup K} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_{\alpha}} \bigotimes_{v \in K \cup J} Z_{uv})) \otimes (\bigotimes_{v \in K \cup J} \text{LA}(Z_{uv}, Z_{\sigma_{\alpha}}))$$

$$\to \bigotimes_{\alpha = 0}^{\{|K|\}} \Pi_{\text{LCA}}^0(H_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha, \alpha + 1}) \otimes \Pi_{\text{LA}}^0(H_{\alpha, \alpha + 1}, H_{\alpha + 1}) \otimes \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F, G).$$

(One checks that this map is independent of the ordering of $K$.)

The sum of these maps takes

$$\Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_S \to \bigotimes_{\alpha = 0}^{\{|K|\}} \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(H_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha + 1})_{S_{K_{\alpha}, K_{\alpha + 1}},}$$

where $K_0 := I$, $K_{|K| + 1} := J$ (with the notation of Section 1.3). Therefore the image of the above map is contained in $\bigoplus_{S' \subseteq \text{tr}_K(S)} \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F, G)_{S'}$.

If now $F$, $G$ and $H$ are arbitrary elements of $\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{p, q, r})$, namely

$$F = (F_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_p}), \quad G = (G_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q}), \quad H = (H_{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r}),$$

then $\Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)$ is equal to

$$\bigoplus_{(\rho_i), (\sigma_j), (\tau_k), (\tau'_k)} \text{ Vect}(F_{\rho_i} \otimes H_{(\tau_k)}, G_{(\sigma_j)} \otimes H_{(\tau'_k)})$$

$$\otimes \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(Z_{(\rho_i)} \otimes Z_{(\tau_k)}, Z_{(\sigma_j)} \otimes Z_{(\tau'_k)}).$$

Here $Z_{(\rho_i)} = \bigotimes_{i \in I} Z_{\rho_i}$, etc. Then $\Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F, G \mid H)$ is homogeneous with respect to this decomposition; its components for $(\tau_k) \neq (\tau'_k)$ coincide with those of $\Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)$ and the component for $(\tau'_k) = (\tau_k)$ is

$$\text{ Vect}(F_{(\rho_i)} \otimes H_{(\tau_k)}, G_{(\sigma_j)} \otimes H_{(\tau_k)}) \otimes \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(Z_{(\rho_i)} \otimes Z_{(\tau_k)}, Z_{(\sigma_j)} \otimes Z_{(\tau_k)}).$$

The restriction of $\text{tr}_H$ to a component of the first kind is 0, and its restriction to the last component is the tensor product the partial trace with $\text{tr}(Z_{\tau_k})$. Then one checks that the diagrams

$$\Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H) \supset \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F, G \mid H) \rightarrow \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F, G)$$

define a partial trace on $\Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0$. 
Let us define now a partial trace on $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}$ (and more generally on the $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_q}$).

Let $C$ be a coalgebra in $\text{Sch}$. Then for $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{p,q})$, we have

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G) = \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \boxtimes F, G).$$

A partial trace is then defined on $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}$ as follows:

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H) \supset \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H) := \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \boxtimes F, G|H).$$

and $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)$ coincides with

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \boxtimes F, G|H) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \boxtimes F, G).$$

One checks that this defines a partial trace on $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}$.

If $Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n] \times [p]}$, we set

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)Z := \bigoplus \tilde{Z} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \boxtimes F, G)\tilde{Z},$$

where the sum is over the $\tilde{Z} : ([0] \cup [n]) \times [p] \to \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})$ with $\tilde{Z}|_{[n] \times [p]} = Z$. For $S \subset [n] \times [p]$, we also set

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)S := \bigoplus_{Z|\text{supp}(Z)=S} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)Z.$$

**Lemma 1.12.** The properties of $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}$ extend to $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}$, namely

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(G, H)_{S'} \circ \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)_{S} \subset \bigoplus_{S'' \subset S' \circ S} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, H)_{S''},$$

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)_S \boxtimes \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F', G')_{S'} \subset \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes F', G \boxtimes G')_{S \ominus S'},$$

$$\beta_{F,G} \in \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F \boxtimes G, G \boxtimes F)_{\beta_{[n],[p]}},$$

$$\text{tr}_H(\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H)S) \subset \bigoplus_{S' \subset \text{tr}_K(S)} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)S',$$

for $S \in \mathcal{C}(I, J|K)$.

**Proof.** $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G)S = \bigoplus \tilde{S} \in ([0] \cup [n]) \times [p] \cap ([0] \times [q]) = S \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \boxtimes F, G)\tilde{S}$. In the same way,

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(G, H)S = \bigoplus \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \boxtimes G, H)S'.$$

Then for $\tilde{S} \subset ([0] \cup [n]) \times [p]$ and $\tilde{S}' \subset ([0] \cup [p]) \times [q]$, let

$$\tilde{S}' \ast \tilde{S} := \{(i, k) \in ([0] \cup [n]) \times [p] | (i, k) \in ([0] \cup [n]) \times [q] \text{ and there exists } k \in [p] \text{ with } (i, j) \in S, (j, k) \in S' \text{ or } i = 0'' \text{ and } (i, k) \in S'\}.$$
Then the composition $\Pi^0_{LBA}(C \boxtimes F, G) \otimes \Pi^0_{LBA}(C \boxtimes G, H) \to \Pi^0_{LBA}(C \boxtimes F, H)$ maps $\Pi^0_{LBA}(C \boxtimes F, G)_{\tilde{S}} \otimes \Pi^0_{LBA}(C \boxtimes G, H)_{\tilde{S}'}$ to

$$\sum_{\Sigma \subset \tilde{S} \ast \tilde{S}'} \Pi^0_{LBA}(C \boxtimes F, H)_{\Sigma \otimes \Theta} + \Pi^0_{LBA}(C \boxtimes F, H)_{\Sigma \otimes \Delta_{0,0',0''}},$$

where $\Theta, \Delta_{0,0',0''} \in \mathfrak{g}_0([0] \sqcup [n], \{0', 0''\} \sqcup \{n\})$ are $\Theta$ and $\Delta_{00'} = \{(0,0'), (0,0'')\}$. Now both $(\tilde{S} \ast \tilde{S}) \circ \Theta$ and $(\tilde{S}' \circ \tilde{S}) \circ \Delta_{0,0',0''}$ are elements of $\mathfrak{g}_0([0] \sqcup [n], [q])$, with their intersection with $[n] \times [p]$ equal to $S' \circ S$. This proves the first statement. The other statements are proved in the same way.

If $D \in \text{Ob}(\textbf{Sch})$, we similarly set $\Pi^0_D(F, G) := \Pi^0_{LBA}(D \boxtimes F, G)$. We define the diagram

$$\Pi^0_D(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H) \supset \Pi^0_D(F, G|H) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_H} \Pi^0_D(F, G)$$

as above. $\Pi^0_D(F, G)_{Z}$ and $\Pi^0_D(F, G)_S$ are also defined as above. The properties $\beta_{F,G} \in \Pi^0_D(F \boxtimes G, G \boxtimes F)_{\beta([n],[p])}$,

$$\text{tr}_H(\Pi^0_D(F, G|H)_S) \subset \bigoplus_{S' \subset \text{tr}_K(S)} \Pi^0_D(F, G)_{S'}$$

for $S \in \mathfrak{g}_0(I, J|K)$

generalize to this more general setup.

**Lemma 1.13.** $\alpha \in \bigoplus_i \text{LBA}(C_i, D)$ induces a linear map from $\Pi^0_D(F, G)$ to $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G)$. This map is compatible with the gradings by $\text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n] \times [p]}$ (and thus also by $\mathfrak{g}_0([n], [p])$). Then

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G) = \text{Coker}(\Pi^0_D(F, G) \to \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G)),$$

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathfrak{g}_0(I, J)} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G)_S.$$  

For each $S \in \mathfrak{g}_0(I, J|K)$, the diagram

$$\Pi^0_D(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_S \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_H} \Pi^0_D(F, G)_{\text{tr}_K(S)}$$

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_S \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G)_{\text{tr}_K(S)}$$

commutes; its vertical cokernel is a linear map $\text{tr}_H : \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_S \to \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G)_{\text{tr}_K(S)}$. We set

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G|H) := \bigoplus_{S \in \mathfrak{g}_0(I, J|K)} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H)_S,$$

then we have a diagram

$$\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F \boxtimes H, G \boxtimes H) \supset \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G|H) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\alpha}}(F, G).$$
If \( \alpha : C \to D \) is an LBA-coideal, then the multiprop \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \) is graded by \( \mathcal{Q}_0 \), and \( (\text{tr}_H) \) is a partial trace on \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \), compatible with this grading.

**Proof.** The first statement is a consequence of Proposition 1.10. The commutativity of the diagram follows from the fact that for \( x \in \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G|H) \), \( x \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_F \otimes x \text{id}_H) \in \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G|H) \) and \( \text{tr}_H (x \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_F \otimes x \text{id}_H)) = \text{tr}_H (x) \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_F) \). The remaining properties follow from those of \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \). \( \square \)

**Remark 1.14.** One also checks that the partial trace on \( \mathcal{Q}_0 \), as well as its counterparts on \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \), have the following cyclicity properties. If

\[
S \in \mathcal{Q}_0 (U \otimes I, V' \otimes J) \quad \text{and} \quad S' \in \mathcal{Q}_0 (V \otimes J, U' \otimes I)
\]

then \( S' \circ (\beta_{V, V'} \otimes \text{id}_J) \circ S \in \mathcal{Q}_0 (V \otimes U, V' \otimes U'|I) \)

if and only if \( S \circ (\beta_{U, U'} \otimes \text{id}_I) \circ S' \in \mathcal{Q}_0 (U \otimes V, U' \otimes V'|J) \),

and we then have

\[
\text{tr}_I (S' \circ (\beta_{V, V'} \otimes \text{id}_J) \circ S) = \beta_{V', U'} \circ \text{tr}_J (S \circ (\beta_{U, U'} \otimes \text{id}_I) \circ S') \circ \beta_{U, V}.
\]

If now \( S \) and \( S' \) are as above, \( F_U = \bigotimes_{u \in I} Z_{\rho_u} \), etc., and

\[
x \in \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F_U \boxtimes F_I, F_V \boxtimes F_J)_S \quad \text{and} \quad x' \in \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F_V \boxtimes F_J, F_U \boxtimes F_I)_S',
\]

then

\[
x' \circ (\beta_{F_V, F_J} \otimes \text{id}_F) \circ x \in \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F_V \boxtimes F_J, F_U \boxtimes F_U'|F_I),
\]

\[
x \circ (\beta_{F_U, F_J} \otimes \text{id}_F) \circ x' \in \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F_U \boxtimes F_V, F_U \boxtimes F_V'|F_J),
\]

and

\[
\text{tr}_F (x' \circ (\beta_{F_V, F_J} \otimes \text{id}_F) \circ x)
\]

\[
= \beta_{F_V', F_U'} \circ \text{tr}_F (x \circ (\beta_{F_U, F_J} \otimes \text{id}_F) \circ x') \circ \beta_{F_U, F_V}.
\]

**1.17. Morphisms of multiprops with partial traces.** The prop morphisms \( \kappa_{1, 2} : \text{LBA} \to \text{LBA}_f \), \( \kappa_0 : \text{LBA}_f \to \text{LBA} \) and \( \tau : \text{LBA} \to \text{LBA} \) induce morphisms between the corresponding multiprops \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \) and \( \Pi_{LBA}^0 \) (which are still denoted \( \kappa_i \), etc.).

**Proposition 1.15.** These morphisms intertwine the traces.

**Proof.** Let \( \kappa : \text{LBA}_\alpha \to \text{LBA}_\beta \) be any for these morphisms. We will prove \( \kappa (\Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G|H)) \subset \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G|H) \) for any simple \( F, G, H \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{I, J, K}) \). Then we will prove that there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G|H) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G|H) \\
\text{tr}_H & & \text{tr}_H \\
\Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \Pi_{LBA}^0 (F, G).
\end{array}
\]
The case of $\tau$ is clear. In the case of $\kappa_0$, we argue as follows. Let $C : S \circ \Lambda^2$ and $D := \Lambda^2 \otimes (S \circ \Lambda^2)$. Then $C$ is a coalgebra in $\text{Sch}$, and $\alpha : C \to D$ is an LBA-coideal in $C$. The coalgebra morphism $1 \to C$ induces a multiprop morphism $\Pi^0_{LBA_C} \to \Pi^0_{LBA_I} = \Pi^0_{LBA}$; the composed morphism $\Pi^0_{LBA_D} \to \Pi^0_{LBA_C} \to \Pi^0_{LBA}$ is zero, so we get a multiprop morphism $\Pi^0_{LBA_J} \to \Pi^0_{LBA}$ compatible with the traces. The maps $\Pi^0_{LBA_J}(F, G) \to \Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)$ are the maps induced by $\kappa_0$, which proves the statement in the case of $\kappa_0$.

The coalgebra morphism $C \to 1$ induces a multiprop morphism $\Pi^0_{LBA} \simeq \Pi^0_{LBA_I} \to \Pi^0_{LBA_C}$ compatible with the traces, which we compose with the projection $\Pi^0_{LBA_C} \to \Pi^0_{LBA_J}$. The maps $\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G) \to \Pi^0_{LBA_J}(F, G)$ are the maps induced by $\kappa_1$, which proves the statement in the case of $\kappa_1$.

We now treat the case of $\kappa_2$. Take $F = \bigotimes_{i \in I} Z_{\rho_i}$ and $G = \bigotimes_{j \in J} Z_{\sigma_j}$, where $(\rho_i), (\sigma_j)$ are maps $I, J \to \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_n$. Set

$$\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G) Z := (\bigotimes_{i \in I} LBA(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j \in J} Z_{ij})) \otimes (\bigotimes_{j \in J} LBA(\bigotimes_{i \in I} Z_{ij}, Z_{\sigma_j}))$$

for $Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{I \times J}$, and for $S \in \mathbb{E}_0(I, J)$, set

$$\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)_S := \bigoplus_{Z|\text{supp}(Z) = S} \Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G) Z.$$ 

The operations of LBA (tensor products, composition, braidings) give rise to a natural map $\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)_S \to \Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)$; altogether, these add up to a map $\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)_S \to \Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)$.

**Lemma 1.16.** The image of this map is equal to $\Pi^0_{LBA_{\text{ad}}}(F, G)_S$.

*Proof.* This image contains $\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)_S$, since $\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)_S$ is the subspace of $\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)_S$ in which the successive LBA are replaced by LCA, LA. Let us prove the opposite inclusion.

For each $Z$, the map $\Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G) Z \to \Pi^0_{LBA}(F, G)$ factors as

$$(\bigotimes_{i = 1}^n LBA(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{ij})) \otimes (\bigotimes_{j = 1}^p LBA(\bigotimes_i Z_{ij}, Z_{\sigma_j}))$$

$$\to \bigoplus_{Z', Z'' \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n] \times [p]}} (\bigotimes_{i,j} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{ij}')) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i,j} \text{LA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{ij}', Z_{ij}))$$

$$\to \bigoplus_{Z', Z'' \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n] \times [p]}} (\bigotimes_{i,j} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{ij}')) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i,j} \text{LA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{ij}', Z_{ij}''))$$

$$\to \bigoplus_{Z'' \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})^{[n] \times [p]}} (\bigotimes_{i,j} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{ij}')) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i,j} \text{LA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{ij}'', Z_{\sigma_j}))$$

$$\to \Pi^0_{LBA}(\bigotimes_i Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{\sigma_j}).$$
The first map is a tensor product of decompositions of $\text{LBA}(\bigotimes_i f_i, \bigotimes_j g_j)$. The second is a tensor product of exchange maps (composition followed by decomposition)

$$ \text{LA}(Z'_{ij}, Z_{ij}) \otimes \text{LCA}(Z_{ij}, Z''_{ij}) $$

$$ \rightarrow \text{LBA}(Z'_{ij}, Z''_{ij}) \rightarrow \bigoplus Z''_{ij} \otimes \text{LCA}(Z'_{ij}, Z''_{ij}) \otimes \text{LBA}(Z''_{ij}, Z''_{ij}). $$

The third is a tensor product of compositions in LA and LCA. Now if $Z'_{ij} = 1$ (respectively, $\neq 1$), the components of the exchange map corresponding to any $Z''_{ij} \neq 1$ (respectively, $Z''_{ij} = 1$) are zero. Therefore the components of the composition of the three first maps in which $\text{supp}(Z') = \text{supp}(Z)$ are zero. It follows that if $\text{supp}(Z) = S$, the image of the overall map is contained in $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(\bigotimes_i Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_j Z_{\sigma_j})_S$, as wanted.

We also define $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{C}}}(F,G)_Z := \bigoplus Z'_{i|j=Z} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(C \otimes F,G)_Z$, and we define $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_Z$ as the image of $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{C}}}(F,G)_Z$ in $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)$. We define $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_S$ for $S \in \mathcal{G}_0(I,J)$ similarly. Arguing as in the lemma above, one shows that the image of the natural map $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_S \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)$ is contained in $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_S$.

**Lemma 1.17.** The map $\kappa_2 : \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F,G)_S \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)$ factors through $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F,G)_S \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_S \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_S$, so it is compatible with the gradings by $\mathcal{G}_0(I,J)$.

**Proof.** For each $Z \in \text{Irr}((\text{Sch})^{I\times J})$, the restriction of this map factors as

$$ \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F,G)_Z = (\bigotimes_{i \in I} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j \in J} Z_{ij})) \otimes (\bigotimes_{j \in J} \text{LA}(\bigotimes_{i \in I} Z_{ij}, Z_{\sigma_j})) $$

$$ \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} (\bigotimes_{i \in I} \text{LBA}_{\text{f}}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j \in J} Z_{ij})) \otimes (\bigotimes_{j \in J} \text{LBA}_{\text{f}}(\bigotimes_{i \in I} Z_{ij}, Z_{\sigma_j})) $$

$$ \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G). $$

The statement follows from the fact that the image of the last map is contained in $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_Z$. $\square$

If $H = \bigotimes_{k \in K} Z_{\tau_k}$ and $S \in \mathcal{G}_0(I,J|K)$, then we define

$$ \triangledown_H : \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G|H)_S \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_{\triangledown_H}(S) $$

similarly to $\triangledown_H$ (see (11), where LCA and LA are replaced by LBA, and $\Pi^0_{\text{LCA}}$ and $\Pi^0_{\text{LA}}$ are replaced by $\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}$).

**Lemma 1.18.** The diagram

$$ \begin{align*} & \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G|H)_S \\
& \xrightarrow{\triangledown_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G|H)_S \\
& \xrightarrow{\triangledown_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}_{\text{f}}}(F,G)_{\triangledown_H}(S) \end{align*} $$

commutes.
Proof. We first prove the commutativity of the similar diagram in which \( \text{LBA}_f \) is replaced by \( \text{LBA} \). For any \( Z = (Z_{uv})_{(u,v) \in (I \cup K) \times (K \cup J)} \) such that \( \text{supp}(Z) = S \), the vertical map restricts to

\[
\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H)_Z = \left( \bigotimes_{i \in I \cup K} \text{LBA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j \in J \cup K} Z_{ij}) \right) \\
\otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j \in K \cup J} \text{LBA}(\bigotimes_{i \in I \cup K} Z_{ij}, Z_{\sigma_j}) \right)
\]

\[
\simeq \bigoplus_{Z_{iij}, Z_{ijj} \in \text{Irr(Sch)}} \left( \bigotimes_{i \in I \cup K} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j \in K \cup J} Z_{iij}) \otimes \bigotimes_{j \in J \cup K} \text{LA}(Z_{ijj}, Z_{\sigma_j}) \right)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \bigoplus_{Z_{iij}, Z_{ijj}, Z_{iij}' \in \text{Irr(Sch)}} \left( \bigotimes_{i \in I \cup K} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j \in K \cup J} Z_{iij}) \otimes \bigotimes_{j \in J \cup K} \text{LA}(Z_{ijj}, Z_{\sigma_j}) \right)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \bigoplus_{Z_{iij}', Z_{ijj} \in \text{Irr(Sch)}} \left( \bigotimes_{i \in I \cup K} \text{LCA}(Z_{\rho_i}, \bigotimes_{j \in K \cup J} Z_{iij}') \otimes \bigotimes_{j \in J \cup K} \text{LA}(Z_{ijj}, Z_{\sigma_j}) \right)
\]

\[
\simeq \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H)_S.
\]

Define \((H_k)_k\) and \((H_k)_k\) similarly, replacing \((Z_{ij})\) by \((Z_{ij}')\), and define \((H_k)_k\) and \((H_k)_k\) by replacing \((Z_{ij})\) by \((Z_{ij})\) and \((Z_{ij})\).

Then each square of the diagram in Figure 1 commutes, which implies the commutativity for \( \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}} \). The proof is the same in the case of \( \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}, f} \). \( \square \)

End of proof of Proposition 1.15. The proposition now follows from the commutativity of the above diagram, together with that of

\[
\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H)_S \xrightarrow{\tau_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}}(F, G|H)_{\tau_K(S)} \\
\downarrow \\
\Pi^0_{\text{LBA}, f}(F, G|H)_S \xrightarrow{\tau_H} \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}, f}(F, G|H)_{\tau_K(S)}. \quad \square
\]

1.18. The quasi-bi-multiprops \( \Pi \) and \( \Pi_f \). Let \( \Pi \) and \( \Pi_f \) be the quasi-bi-multiprops associated to the multiprops with traces \( \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}} \) and \( \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}, f} \), and let \( F \mapsto F^* \) be the involution of \( \text{Sch}(1) \). Explicitly, we have

\[
\Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') := \text{LBA}(c(F) \otimes c(G')^*, c(F') \otimes c(G)^*),
\]

\[
\Pi_f(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') := \text{LBA}_f(c(F) \otimes c(G')^*, c(F') \otimes c(G)^*).
\]

Since \( \kappa_{1,2} : \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}} \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}, f}, \kappa_0 : \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}} \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}} \) and \( \tau : \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}} \rightarrow \Pi^0_{\text{LBA}} \) are morphisms of multiprops with traces, they induce morphisms \( \kappa_{1,2}^\Pi \), etc., between the corresponding quasi-bi-multiprops.
We now define a degree on \( \Pi \) as follows. For \( F \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{(1)}) \) of the form

\[
F = \bigotimes_{i \in [n]} Z_{\rho_i},
\]
we set \( |F| = \sum_{i \in [n]} |Z_{\rho_i}| \). For \( F, \ldots, G' \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{(1)}) \) and

\[
x \in \Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') = \text{LBA}(c(F) \otimes c(G')^*, c(F') \otimes c(G)^*)
\]

homogeneous, we set \( \deg_{\Pi}(x) := \deg_{\delta}(x) + |G'| - |G| \). If

\[
x \in \Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G')_Z = \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(F \boxtimes (G')^*, F' \boxtimes G^*)_Z,
\]
then

\[
\deg_{\Pi}(x) = \sum_{(s,t) \in ([n]\cup [m'] \times ([n'] \cup [m])] |Z_{st}| - |F| - |G|,
\]
so \( \deg_{\Pi}(x) \geq -|F| - |G| \). One checks that \( \deg_{\Pi} \) is a degree on \( \Pi \); that is, it is additive under composition and tensor product.

We now define a degree on \( \Pi_f \). We first define a degree on \( \text{LBA}_f \) as follows: \( f \) and \( \delta \) have degree 1, and \( \mu \) has degree 0. If now \( x \in \Pi_f(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') \), we set \( \deg_{\Pi_f}(x) := \deg_{\text{LBA}_f}(x) + |G'| - |G| \). Then \( \deg_{\Pi_f}(x) \geq -|G| \), and \( \deg_{\Pi_f} \) defines a degree on \( \Pi_f \).

We define completions of \( \Pi \) and \( \Pi_f \) as follows. For \( B, B' \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{(1+1)}) \),

\[
\Pi(B, B') \quad \text{(respectively,} \quad \Pi_f(B, B') \text{)}
\]

is the degree completion of \( \Pi(B, B') \) (respectively, \( \Pi_f(B, B') \)). The morphisms \( \kappa^{\Pi}_{1,2} \) of quasi-bi-multiprops are of degree 0 and therefore induce morphisms between their completions.

It follows from the cyclicity of the trace on \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) that we have an involution of \( \mathcal{G}_0 \), defined as follows. It acts on objects by \( (I,J) \mapsto (J,I) \) and on morphisms by

\[
\beta_{(I,J)}(I' \cup J', J' \cup I') \equiv \beta_{I,J'} \circ x \circ \beta_{J,I'} \in \mathcal{G}_0(J' \cup I, J \cup I') \in \mathcal{G}_0(I' \cup J', (J, I)).
\]

The cyclicity of the trace on \( \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0 \) implies that the bi-multiprop \( \Pi \) is equipped with a compatible involution, described as follows. It acts on objects as \( F \boxtimes G \mapsto G^* \boxtimes F^* \) and on morphisms by

\[
\Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') = \text{LBA}(c(F) \otimes c(G')^*, c(F') \otimes c(G)^*)
\]

\[
\exists x \mapsto \beta_{c(F),c(G^*)} \circ \beta_{c(G'),c(F)} \in LBA(c(G^* \otimes c(F'), c(G')^* \otimes c(F)) = \Pi(G^* \boxtimes F^*, (G')^* \boxtimes (F')^*).
\]

This involution has degree zero, hence extends to \( \Pi \).

If \( B \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{(1+1)}) \), we define \( \text{can}_B \in \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, B \boxtimes B^*) \) as follows. If

\[
B = Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n} \boxtimes Z_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_p}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n} = (\bigotimes_{i=1}^n Z_{\rho_i}),
\]

\[
B \boxtimes B^* = (Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n} \boxtimes Z_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_p}) \boxtimes (Z_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_p}^* \boxtimes Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n}^*) = Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n}^* \boxtimes Z_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_p}^*,
\]

so

\[
\Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n}^* \boxtimes Z_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_p}^*) = \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n}^*, Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n}^*) = \Pi_{\text{LBA}}^0(Z_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_p}^*, Z_{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n}^*),
\]
and \( \text{can}_B \) corresponds to \( \beta_{Z_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n}} Z_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n} \). If now \( B = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p) \), we set

\[
\text{can}_B = \bigoplus \text{id}_{B_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_p}} \otimes \text{can}_{Z_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_p}} Z_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p}
\]

\[\in \bigoplus \text{End}(B_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_p}) \]

\[\otimes \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, (Z_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_p} \boxtimes Z_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p}) \boxtimes (Z_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_p} \boxtimes Z_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p})^*)
\]

\[\subset \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, B \boxtimes B^*).
\]

We define similarly \( \text{can}^*_B \in \Pi(B \boxtimes B^*, 1 \boxtimes 1) \) as follows. If \( B = Z_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n} \boxtimes Z_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p} \), then \( \Pi(B \boxtimes B^*, 1 \boxtimes 1) = \Pi^{\text{LBA}}(Z_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n}, Z_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p}) \), and \( \text{can}^*_B \) corresponds to \( \beta_{Z_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n}} Z_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p} \). We then extend this definition by linearity as above.

The involution of \( \Pi \) can then be described as follows. For \( x \in \Pi(B, C) \), \( x^* \in \Pi(C^*, B^*) \) can be expressed as \( x^* = (\text{can}^*_C \boxtimes \text{id}_{B^*}) \circ (x \boxtimes \beta_{B^*, C^*}) \circ (\text{can}_B \boxtimes \text{id}_{C^*}). \)

The quasi-bi-multiprops \( \Pi \), \( \Pi_f \) give rise to quasi-biprops \( \pi, \pi_f \) by the inclusion \( \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}) \subset \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{1+1}). \). Their topological versions \( \Pi, \Pi_f \) give rise to topological quasi-biprops \( \pi, \pi_f \).

We define sub-bimultiprops \( \Pi^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \) and \( \Pi_f^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \) as follows. For \( F = \bigotimes_{i \in I} Z_{\rho_i}, \ G = \bigotimes_{j \in J} Z_{\sigma_j}, \) etc., we set

\[
\Pi^{\text{left}, \text{right}}(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') := \bigoplus_{S \in S^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \Pi((I, J), (I', J'))} (F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G')_S.
\]

We use a similar definition in the case of \( \Pi_f \). These bimultiprops have topological versions \( \Pi, \Pi_f^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \).

The sub-bimultiprops give rise to sub-biprops \( \pi^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \) of \( \pi \) and \( \pi_f^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \) of \( \pi_f \), as well as to topological sub-biprops \( \pi^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \) and \( \pi_f^{\text{left}, \text{right}} \) of \( \pi \) and \( \pi_f \).

1.19. Cokernels in LA. Let \( i_{\text{LA}} \in \text{LA}(T \otimes T_2 \otimes T, T) \) be the prop morphism \( m^{(2)}_T \circ (\text{id}_T \otimes ((12) - (21) - \mu) \otimes \text{id}_T) \), where \( m_T \in \text{Sch}(T^{\otimes 2}, T) \) is the propic version of the product in the tensor algebra and \( m^{(2)}_T \in \text{Sch}(T^{\otimes 3}, T) \) is its 2-fold iterate.

Let \( p_{\text{LA}} \in \text{LA}(T, S) \) be the direct sum for \( n \geq 0 \) of \( p_{\text{LA}, n} \in \text{LA}(\text{id}^\otimes n, S) \) given by \( m_{\text{PBW}}^{(n)} \circ \text{inj}_{(1 \boxtimes n)} \), where \( m_{\text{PBW}} \in \text{LA}(S^{\otimes 2}, S) \) is the propic version of the PBW star product, \( m_{\text{PBW}}^{(n)} = m_{\text{PBW}} \circ \cdots \circ (m_{\text{PBW}} \boxtimes \text{id}_{S^{\otimes n-2}}) \in \text{LA}(S^{\otimes n}, S) \) and \( \text{inj}_1 : \text{id} \to S \) is the canonical morphism.

Then the composed morphism \( p_{\text{LA}} \circ i_{\text{LA}} \) is zero. Moreover, one proves using the image of sym \( \in \text{Sch}(S, T) \to \text{LA}(S, T) \), where sym is the symmetrization map, that \( T \xrightarrow{p_{\text{LA}}} S \) is a cokernel for \( T \otimes T_2 \otimes T \xrightarrow{i_{\text{LA}}} T \).

\[\text{The PBW star product is the map } S(\alpha)^{\otimes 2} \to S(\alpha) \text{ obtained from the product map } U(\alpha)^{\otimes 2} \to U(\alpha) \text{ by the symmetrization map, where } \alpha \text{ is a Lie algebra.}\]
The diagram below then commutes in \( \mathcal{L}A \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T \otimes^2 & \xrightarrow{m_T} & T \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S \otimes^2 & \xrightarrow{m_{PBW}} & S.
\end{array}
\]

Let \( \Delta^S_0 \in \mathbf{Sch}(S, S^{\otimes 2}) \subset \mathcal{L}A(S, S^{\otimes 2}) \) be the propic version of the coproduct of the symmetric algebra \( S(V) \), where \( V \) is a vector space. Then

\[
\Delta^S_0 \circ m_{PBW} = (m_{PBW} \otimes m_{PBW}) \circ (1324) \circ (\Delta^S_0 \otimes \Delta^S_0);
\]

In the same way, \( T \otimes^n p_{\mathcal{L}A}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow S \otimes^n \) is a cokernel for

\[
\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} T \otimes^i \otimes (T \otimes T_2 \otimes T) \otimes T \otimes^{n-1-i} \rightarrow T \otimes^n.
\]

Observe that \( \mathcal{L}A \) is not an abelian category, since some morphisms (for example, \( \mathcal{L}A(\Lambda^2, \text{id}) \)) do not admit cokernels.

1.20. The element \( \delta_S \in \mathbf{LBA}(S, S^{\otimes 2}) \). There is a unique \( \delta_T \in \mathbf{LBA}(T, T^{\otimes 2}) \) such that \( \delta_T \circ \text{inj}_1 = \text{can} \circ \delta \), where \( \text{inj}_1 : \text{id} \rightarrow T \) and \( \text{can} : \Lambda^2 \hookrightarrow \text{id}^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow T^{\otimes 2} \) are the canonical injections, and such that

\[
\delta_T \circ m_T = m_{T}^{\otimes 2} \circ (1324) \circ (\Delta_T \otimes \Delta_0^T + \Delta_0^T \otimes \delta_T);
\]

where \( \Delta_0^T \in \mathbf{Sch}(T, T^{\otimes 2}) \) is the propic version of the coproduct of \( T(V) \), where \( V \) is primitive. There exists

\[
\delta_{T \otimes T_2 \otimes T} \in \mathbf{LBA}(T \otimes T_2 \otimes T, T \otimes (T \otimes T_2 \otimes T) \oplus (T \otimes T_2 \otimes T) \otimes T)
\]

such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T \otimes T_2 \otimes T & \xrightarrow{\delta_{T \otimes T_2 \otimes T}} & T \otimes (T \otimes T_2 \otimes T) \oplus (T \otimes T_2 \otimes T) \otimes T \\
\downarrow_{i_{\mathcal{L}A}} & & \downarrow_{\text{id}_T \otimes i_{\mathcal{L}A} + i_{\mathcal{L}A} \otimes \text{id}_T} \\
T & \xrightarrow{\delta_T} & T \otimes T
\end{array}
\]

commutes. Taking cokernels, we get a morphism \( \delta_S \in \mathbf{LBA}(S, S^{\otimes 2}) \) such that

\[
\delta_S \circ m_{PBW} = m_{PBW}^{\otimes 2} \circ (1324) \circ (\Delta_S \otimes \Delta^S_0 + \Delta^S_0 \otimes \delta_S);
\]

and \( \delta_S \circ \text{inj}_1 = \text{can} \circ \delta \), where \( \text{can} : \Lambda^2 \subset \text{id}^{\otimes 2} \subset S^{\otimes 2} \) is the canonical inclusion. We also have \(((12) + (21)) \circ \delta_S = 0\).

Thus \( \delta_S \) is the propic version of the image under the symmetrization map of the co-Poisson map \( \delta_{U(\alpha)} : U(\alpha) \rightarrow U(\alpha)^{\otimes 2} \), where \( \alpha \) is a Lie bialgebra.
1.21. The morphisms \( m_\Pi \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \otimes^2, S \boxtimes S) \) and \( \Delta_0 \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S) \otimes^2) \). We will next introduce the propic version \( m_\Pi \) of the product map \( U(\bar{g}) \otimes^2 \rightarrow U(\bar{g}) \), where \( \bar{g} \) is the double of a Lie bialgebra \( \mathfrak{a} \), transported via the isomorphism \( U(\bar{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{a}^*) \) induced by the symmetrizations and the product map \( U(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{a}^*) \rightarrow U(\bar{g}) \).

We construct a prop morphism \( L \rightarrow (\text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \text{id})(\pi^{\text{left}}) \), taking \( \mu \in L \Lambda^2, \text{id} \) to the sum of

\[
\mu \in L \Lambda^2, \text{id} \simeq \pi(\Lambda^2 \boxtimes \mathbf{1}, \text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}).
\]

This image is a morphism

\[
\mu : \Lambda^2(\text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \text{id}) \simeq (\Lambda^2 \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \Lambda^2) \rightarrow (\text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \text{id})
\]

of \( \pi^{\text{left}} \). It has \( \Pi \)-degree 0.

Let us denote by

\[
m_\pi \in (\text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \text{id})(\pi^{\text{left}})(S \otimes^2, S)
\]

\[
\simeq \pi^{\text{left}}(S \otimes^2(\text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \text{id}), S \circ (\text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \text{id}))
\]

\[
\simeq \pi^{\text{left}}((S \boxtimes S) \otimes^2, S \boxtimes S)
\]

the image of \( m_{\text{PBW}} \in L \Lambda(S \otimes^2, S) \).

We denote by \( m_\Pi \in \Pi^{\text{left}}((S \boxtimes S) \otimes^2, S \boxtimes S) \subset \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \otimes^2, S \boxtimes S) \) the image of \( m_\pi \). Then \( m_\Pi \) has \( \Pi \)-degree 0.

Then \( m_\pi \) is associative, and therefore

\[
m_\Pi \circ (m_\Pi \boxtimes \text{id}_S \boxtimes S) = m_\Pi \circ (\text{id}_S \boxtimes S \boxtimes m_\Pi).
\]

We denote by \( m_\Pi^{(n)} \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \otimes^3, S \boxtimes S) = \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \otimes^3, S \boxtimes S) \) the common value of both sides, and more generally by \( m_\Pi^{(n)} \) the \( n \)-fold iterate of \( m_\Pi \).

Let us define \( m_{ba} \in \pi^{\text{left}}((\mathbf{1} \boxtimes S) \otimes (S \boxtimes \mathbf{1}), S \boxtimes S) \) as \( m_\pi \circ ((\text{inj}_0 \boxtimes \text{id}_S) \otimes (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \text{inj}_0)) \), where \( \text{inj}_0 : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow S \) is the canonical morphism.

Since \( m_\pi \circ \text{can}_{S \boxtimes \mathbf{1}} = \text{id}_S \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \), where \( \text{can}_{S \boxtimes \mathbf{1}} \in \text{Sch}(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes S)) \) is the canonical map, and since we have commutative diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(S \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes^2 & \rightarrow & (S \boxtimes S) \otimes^2 \\
\downarrow m_{\text{PBW}} \boxtimes \text{id}_1 & & \downarrow m_\pi \\
S \boxtimes \mathbf{1} & \rightarrow & S \boxtimes S
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathbf{1} \boxtimes S) \otimes^2 & \rightarrow & (S \boxtimes S) \otimes^2 \\
\downarrow \text{id}_1 \boxtimes m_{\text{PBW}}^{\ast} & & \downarrow m_\pi \\
\mathbf{1} \boxtimes S & \rightarrow & S \boxtimes S
\end{array}
\]
we have
\[ m_\Pi = (\tilde{m}_{PBW} \boxtimes \tilde{m}^*_{PBW}) \circ (\text{id}_{S \boxtimes 1} \boxtimes \tilde{m}_{ba} \boxtimes \text{id}_{1 \boxtimes S}) \circ \text{can}_{S \boxtimes S} \]
where \( \text{can}_{S \boxtimes S} \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S), (S \boxtimes 1) \boxtimes (1 \boxtimes S)) \) is the canonical morphism, \( \tilde{m}_{ba} \in \Pi((1 \boxtimes S) \boxtimes (S \boxtimes 1), (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes (1 \boxtimes S)) \) is the morphism derived from \( m_{ba} \), and \( \tilde{m}_{PBW} \in \Pi((S \boxtimes 1) \boxtimes 2, S \boxtimes 1) \) and \( \tilde{m}^*_{PBW} \in \Pi((1 \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2, 1 \boxtimes S) \) are the morphisms derived from \( m_{PBW} \) and \( m^*_{PBW} \). It follows that a graph\(^5\) for \( m_\Pi \) is described as follows. Set \( F_1 = \cdots = G_2 = S \) so that \( m_\Pi \) belongs to \( \Pi((F_1 \boxtimes F_2) \boxtimes (G_1 \boxtimes G_2), F' \boxtimes G') \).

It follows that if we view \( m^{(n-1)}_{n-1} \) as an element of \( \Pi((\otimes_{i=1}^n F_i) \boxtimes (\otimes_{i=1}^n G_i), F' \boxtimes G') \), where \( F_1 = \cdots = G' = S \), then a graph for \( m^{(n-1)}_{n-1} \) is \( F_i \rightarrow F', \ G' \rightarrow G_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), and \( F_j \rightarrow G_i \) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \).

We observe for later use that the morphism \( T(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}) \rightarrow S \boxtimes S \) in \( \pi^{\leftarrow} \), given by the direct sum over \( n \geq 0 \) of all compositions
\[
(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id})^\otimes n \rightarrow (S \boxtimes S)^\otimes n \xrightarrow{m_{\pi}^{(n-1)}} S \boxtimes S
\]
is the cokernel of the morphism
\[
T(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}) \otimes T(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}) \rightarrow T(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id})
\]
given by \( m^{(2)}_T \circ (\text{id}_T \otimes (12) - (21) - \mu) \otimes \text{id}_T \), where \( \mu \) is the composed morphism
\[
\mu : T_2(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}) \\
\cong \Lambda^2(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \oplus \text{id} \boxtimes 1 \boxtimes \Lambda^2(\text{id}) \\
\xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}} \text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id} = T_1(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}).
\]

Let us define \( \Delta_0 \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}) \). Recall that
\[
\Delta^S_0 \in \text{Sch}(S, S^{\otimes 2}) \subset \text{LBA}(S, S^{\otimes 2}) \subset \Pi(S \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 1),
\]
and let \( m^S_0 \in \text{Sch}(S^{\otimes 2}, S) \subset \text{LBA}(S^{\otimes 2}, S) = \Pi(1 \boxtimes S, 1 \boxtimes (S \boxtimes S)) \) be the propic version of the product of the symmetric algebra \( S(V) \). Set
\[
\Delta_0 := \Delta^S_0 \boxtimes m^S_0 \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes (S \boxtimes S)) \cong \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}).
\]
A graph for this element is as follows. Set \( F = G = \cdots = G' = S \). Then \( \Delta_0 \in \Pi(F \boxtimes G, (F' \boxtimes F'_2) \boxtimes (G'_1 \boxtimes G'_2)) \), and a graph is \( F \rightarrow F', \ F \rightarrow F'_2, \ G'_1 \rightarrow G, \) and \( G'_2 \rightarrow G \).

\(^5\)For \( x \in \Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') \), \( F = \boxtimes_{i \in I} F_i, \ldots, G' = \boxtimes_{j' \in J'} G'_j' \), and \( S \subset (I \cup J') \times (I' \cup J) \), we say that \( x \) admits the graph \( S \) if \( x \in \bigoplus_{S' \subset S} \Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G')_{S'} \).
Define the isomorphism \( D \) for quasitriangular, with

\[ (17) \]

\[ \Delta_0 \circ m \Pi = (m \Pi \boxtimes m \Pi) \circ (1324) \circ (\Delta_0 \boxtimes \Delta_0). \]

are defined, and the equality itself holds. This follows from (12).

We also have commutative diagrams

\[ (15) \]

\[ \Delta_0 \boxtimes 1 \]

\[ \Delta_0 \]

\[ (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ (1 \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2} \]

1.22. The element \( \delta_{S \boxtimes S} \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}) \). We have

\[ \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}) \simeq \pi(S \boxtimes S, S^{\otimes 2} \boxtimes S^{\otimes 2}). \]

Define

\[ (16) \]

\[ \delta_{S \boxtimes S} := \delta_S \boxtimes m_0^S + \Delta_0^S \boxtimes \delta_{S}^S. \]

and let \( \delta_{S \boxtimes S} \) be the image of \( \delta_{S \boxtimes S} \) in \( \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}) \). Then \((12) + (21)) \circ \delta_{S \boxtimes S} = 0.\)

Let \( r \in \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}) \) be the image of the composition \( S \to \text{id} \to S \) in \( \text{Sch}(S, S) \subset \text{LBA}(S, S) \simeq \pi(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes 1) \boxtimes (1 \boxtimes S)) \subset \pi(1 \boxtimes 1, S^{\otimes 2} \boxtimes S^{\otimes 2}) \simeq \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, S^{\otimes 2} \boxtimes S^{\otimes 2}). \) Then one checks \( \delta_{S \boxtimes S} = m_0^{\Pi} \circ (r \boxtimes \Delta_0 - \Delta_0 \boxtimes r) \), which is a propic version of the statement that the co-Poisson structure on \( U(D(a)) \) is quasitriangular, with \( r \)-matrix \( r_a \). Definition (17) also implies that the diagrams

\[ (15) \]

\[ \delta_{S \boxtimes S} \]

\[ (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ (1 \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ \delta_{S \boxtimes S} \]

\[ (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2} \]

commute.

1.23. The morphism \( \Xi_f \in \Pi_f(S \boxtimes S, S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes} \). If \( a \) is a Lie bialgebra and \( f \in \Lambda^2(a) \) is a twist (we denote by \( a_f \) the Lie bialgebra \( (a, \delta + \text{ad}(f)) \)), then the doubles \( D(a) \simeq a \oplus a^* \) and \( D(a_f) \simeq a \oplus a^* \) are Lie algebra isomorphic, the isomorphism \( D(a) \to D(a_f) \) being given by the automorphism of \( a \oplus a^* \) in which \((a, 0) \mapsto (a, 0)\) and \((0, a) \mapsto ((\text{id}_a \otimes \alpha)(f), \alpha)\). The composed isomorphism \( S(a) \otimes S(a^*) \to U(D(a)) \simeq U(D(a_f)) \simeq S(a) \otimes S(a^*) \) has a propic version \( \Xi_f \in \Pi_f(S \boxtimes S, S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes} \), which we now construct.

We define

\[ (16) \]

\[ \iota \in \pi_{f}^{\text{left}}(\text{id} \boxtimes 1, \text{id} \boxtimes 1) \oplus \pi_{f}^{\text{left}}(1 \boxtimes \text{id}, \text{id} \boxtimes 1) \oplus \pi_{f}^{\text{left}}(1 \boxtimes \text{id}, 1 \boxtimes \text{id}) \]

\[ \subset \pi_{f}^{\text{left}}(\text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}, \text{id} \boxtimes 1 \oplus 1 \boxtimes \text{id}) \]
as the sum of \( \pi_f(\text{id}_{\text{id} \otimes 1}) \), of the element corresponding to \( f \), and of \( \pi_f(\text{id}_{1 \otimes \text{id}}) \). Then \( \iota \) is homogeneous of degree 0 (in the case of the middle element, the degree of \( f \) is compensated by the fact that the source and the target have different degrees).

Then we get a commutative diagram in \( \pi^\left(\text{left}\right)_f \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(T \otimes T_2 \otimes T)(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} + 1 \otimes \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{T(\iota) \otimes T_2(\iota) \otimes T(\iota)} & (T \otimes T_2 \otimes T)(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} + 1 \otimes \text{id}) \\
\kappa^\pi_1(m_2^{(2)} \circ (\text{id}_T \otimes ((12)-(21)-\pi) \otimes \text{id}_T)) & & \kappa^\pi_2(m_2^{(2)} \circ (\text{id}_T \otimes ((12)-(21)-\pi) \otimes \text{id}_T)) \\
T(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} + 1 \otimes \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{T(\iota)} & T(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} + 1 \otimes \text{id})
\end{array}
\]

Taking cokernels, we get a morphism \( \xi_f \in \pi^\left(\text{left}\right)_f(S \otimes S, S \otimes S) \). We denote by \( \Xi_f \) its image in \( \Pi_f(S \otimes S, S \otimes S) \).

A lift of \( \Xi_f \) to \( \Pi(((S \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes S) \otimes S, S \otimes S) \) (written \( \Pi(((S \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F) \otimes G, F' \otimes G') \)) admits the graph \( F \to F', \ G' \to G, \ F' \to G', \ S \circ \Lambda^2 \to G, \) and \( S \circ \Lambda^2 \to F' \).

Since \( \iota \) is invertible, so is \( \Xi_f \).

1.24. Relations between \( \kappa^\Pi_1, \Xi_f \) and \( m_{\Pi}, \Delta_0 \). Let us now study the relations of \( \Xi_f \) with \( m_{\Pi} \). In the case of a Lie bialgebra with twist \( (\alpha, f) \), since \( D(\alpha) \to D(\alpha_f) \) is a Lie algebra isomorphism, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\alpha^*))^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & S(\alpha) \otimes S(\alpha^*) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\alpha^*))^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & S(\alpha) \otimes S(\alpha^*)
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where the upper (respectively, lower) arrow is induced by the product in \( U(D(\alpha)) \) (respectively, \( U(D(\alpha_f)) \)) and the vertical arrows are given by the above automorphism of \( S(\alpha) \otimes S(\alpha^*) \). The propic version of this statement is that both terms of

\[
\kappa^\Pi_2(m_{\Pi}) = \Xi_f \circ \kappa^\Pi_1(m_{\Pi}) \circ (\Xi_f^{-1})^{\otimes 2}
\]

are defined, and the equality holds. The proof of this statement relies on the properties of a cokernel and on the commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T^{\otimes 2}(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{T^{\otimes 2}(\iota)} & T^{\otimes 2}(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}) \\
\kappa^\tau_1(m_2^{(2)}) & & \kappa^\tau_2(m_2^{(2)}) \\
T(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{T(\iota)} & T(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id})
\end{array}
\]

We now study the relation of \( \Xi_f \) with \( \Delta_0 \). In the case of a Lie bialgebra with twist \( (\alpha, f) \), the isomorphism \( U(D(\alpha)) \to U(D(\alpha_f)) \) is also compatible with
the (cocommutative) bialgebra structures, as it is induced by a Lie algebra isomorphism. The propic version of this statement is that both sides of

\[ \kappa_2^\Pi(\Delta_0) = \Sigma_f^{\otimes 2} \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_0) \circ \Sigma_f^{-1} \]

are defined, and the equality holds, which follows from the commutativity of

\[
\begin{align*}
T(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{T(\iota)} T(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}) \\
& \xrightarrow{\pi(\Delta_T(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id})))} T^{\otimes 2}(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}) \xrightarrow{T^{\otimes 2}(\iota)} T^{\otimes 2}(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}).
\end{align*}
\]

1.25. \textit{Relations between }\tau_\Pi, \ m_\Pi \text{ and } \Delta_0. \text{ Define } \omega_S \in \text{Sch}(S, S)^\times \text{ to be } \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \text{id}_S^n.

\textbf{Lemma 1.19.} We have

\[ \tau_\Pi(m_\Pi) = (\text{id}_S \otimes \omega_S) \circ m_\Pi \circ ((\text{id}_S \otimes \omega_S)^{\otimes 2})^{-1}, \]

\[ \tau_\Pi(\Delta_0) = (\text{id}_S \otimes \omega_S)^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta_0 \circ (\text{id}_S \otimes \omega_S)^{-1}. \]

\textit{Proof.} The first statement follows from the commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
\Lambda^2(\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id} \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}}} \text{id} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}.
\end{align*}
\]

The second statement follows from \( m_0^S = \omega_S \circ m_0^S \circ (\omega_S^{\otimes 2})^{-1}. \)

\textbf{Remark 1.20.} Lemma 1.19 is the propic version of the following statement. Let \( a \) be a Lie bialgebra, and let \( a' := a^{\text{cop}} \) be \( a \) with opposite coproduct. Its double \( g' \) is a Lie algebra isomorphic to \( g \), using the automorphism \( \text{id}_\alpha \otimes (-\text{id}_\alpha^*) \) of \( \alpha \oplus \alpha^* \). The bialgebras \( U(g) \) and \( U(g') \) are therefore isomorphic, the isomorphism being given by

\[ U(g) \simeq S(\alpha \oplus \alpha^*) \xrightarrow{S(\text{id}_\alpha \oplus (-\text{id}_\alpha^*))} S(\alpha \oplus \alpha^*) \simeq U(g'). \]

2. \textit{The }\mathcal{H}-\text{algebras }U_n \text{ and } U_{n,f}

2.1. \textit{The category }\mathcal{H}. \text{ Let }\mathcal{H} \text{ be the category where objects are finite sets and morphisms are partially defined functions. An }\mathcal{H}-\text{vector space (respectively, algebra)} \text{ is a contravariant functor }\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{Vect (respectively, }\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{Alg, where Alg is the category of algebras). An }\mathcal{H}-\text{vector space (respectively, algebra)} \text{ is the same as a collection }\{V_s\}_{s \geq 0} \text{ of vector spaces (respectively, algebras), together with a collection of morphisms (called insertion-coproduct morphisms) }V_s \rightarrow V_t, x \mapsto x^\phi \text{ that satisfy the chain rule for each function }\phi : [t] \rightarrow [s]. \text{ Instead of }x^\phi, \text{ we often}
write $x^{\phi^{-1}(1), \ldots, \phi^{-1}(s)}$. An example of an $\mathcal{X}$-vector space (respectively, $\mathcal{X}$-algebra) is $V_s = H^\otimes s$, where $H$ is a cocommutative coalgebra (respectively, bialgebra). Then $x^{\phi}$ is obtained from $x$ by applying the $(\phi^{-1}(\alpha))^{-1}$-st iterated coproduct to the component $\alpha$ of $x$ and plugging the result in the factors $\phi^{-1}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, s$.

2.2. The $\mathcal{X}$-algebra $U_n$. Let us set $U_n := \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S)\boxtimes^n)$. Equip it with the $\Pi$-degree, which, for a homogeneous element of $\Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G')$, is $\geq -|F| - |G|$, and therefore $U_n$ is $\mathbb{N}$-graded.

For $x, y \in U_n$, the composition $m^n_\Pi \circ (1, n + 1, 2, n + 2, \ldots) \circ (x \boxtimes y)$ is well defined. We set

$$xy := m^n_\Pi \circ (1, n + 1, 2, n + 2, \ldots) \circ (x \boxtimes y) \in U_n.$$ 

It follows from (13) and from $\deg_\Pi (m^n_\Pi) = 0$ that the map $x \otimes y \mapsto xy$ defines an associative product of degree 0 on $U_n$.

Let $\text{Coalg}_{\text{coco}}$ be the prop of cocommutative bialgebras, let $\phi : [m] \rightarrow [n]$, and let $\Delta^\phi \in \text{Coalg}_{\text{coco}}(T_n, T_m)$ be the corresponding element. We have a prop morphism $\text{Coalg}_{\text{coco}} \rightarrow (S \boxtimes S)(\Pi^\text{right})$ induced by (coproduct) $\mapsto \Delta_0$. We denote by $\Delta^\phi_0$ the image of $\Delta^\phi$ by this morphism, and we set $x^\phi := \Delta^\phi_0 \circ x$. It then follows from (15) that the family of all $(U_n)_{n \geq 0}$ equipped with these insertion-coproduct morphisms is a $\mathcal{C}$-algebra.

Remark 2.1. The element $r$ defined in Section 1.22 belongs to $U_2$. One checks that it satisfies the classical Yang-Baxter equation

$$[r_{1,2}, r_{1,3}] + [r_{1,2}, r_{2,3}] + [r_{1,3}, r_{2,3}] = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad U_3.$$

Lemma 2.2. The map $U_n \rightarrow U_n$, $x \mapsto (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)\boxtimes^n \circ \tau_\Pi(x)$ is an automorphism of an $\mathbb{N}$-graded $\mathcal{X}$-algebra.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1.19 and because $\tau_\Pi$ has degree 0.

Remark 2.3. One checks $(\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)\boxtimes^2 \circ \tau_\Pi(r) = -r$, so the above automorphism will be denoted $x \mapsto x(-r)$. As $(x(-r))(-r) = x$, it is involutive.

2.3. The $\mathcal{X}$-algebra $U_{n,f}$. We set $U_{n,f} := \Pi_f(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S)\boxtimes^n)$. The $\Pi_f$-degree induces a grading on $U_{n,f}$.

Lemma 2.4. $U_{n,f}$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space.

Proof. Let $x$ be homogeneous in the image of

$$\text{LBA}((S^k \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes F \otimes G', F' \otimes G) \rightarrow \text{LBA}_f (F \otimes G', F' \otimes G).$$

Let us show that $\deg_{\text{LBA}_f}(x) \leq k - |F| - |G'|$. Indeed, if $x$ belongs to

$$\text{LCA}(S^k \circ \Lambda^2, W_1 \otimes W_2) \otimes \text{LCA}(F, Z_1 \otimes Z_2) \otimes \text{LCA}(G', Z_3 \otimes Z_4) \otimes \text{LA}(W_1 \otimes Z_1 \otimes Z_3, F) \otimes \text{LA}(W_2 \otimes Z_2 \otimes Z_4, G),$$

...
its degree satisfies
\[ \deg_{LBA_f}(x) = k + \deg_\delta(x) \]
\[ = k + |W_1| + |W_2| + |Z_1| + \cdots + |Z_4| - |F| - |G'| - 2k \]
\[ = (|W_1| + |W_2| - 2k) + |Z_1| + \cdots + |Z_4| - |F| - |G'| + k. \]
Now \(|W_1| + |W_2| \geq 2k\), so \(\deg_{LBA_f}(x) \geq k - |F| - |G'|\). Therefore we have \(\deg_{LBA_f}(x) \geq k - |F| - |G'|\). In our case, \(F = G = 1\), so \(\deg_{LBA_f}(x) \geq 0. \qedhere\)

For \(x, y \in U_{n,f}\), we define \(xy\) and \(x^\phi\) as above, replacing \(m_\Pi\) and \(\Delta_0^\phi\) by \(\kappa_1^\Pi(m_\Pi)\) and \(\kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_0^\phi)\). This makes \(U_{n,f}\) into an \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded \(\mathcal{E}\)-algebra.

**Lemma 2.5.** The maps \(U_n \to U_{n,f}\) that are given by \(x \mapsto \kappa_1^\Pi(x)\) and \(x \mapsto (\Xi_f^{-1})^\otimes_2 \circ \kappa_2^\Pi(x)\) are morphisms of \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded \(\mathcal{E}\)-algebras.

**Proof.** This follows from (18), (19) and the fact that \(\kappa_1^\Pi(m_\Pi)\) and \(\kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_0)\) have degree 0. \(\Box\)

**Remark 2.6.** Let \(f\) be the image of
\( (\text{inj}_{1}^\otimes_2 \circ \text{can}) \otimes \text{pr}_{0}^\otimes_2 \in \text{LBA}(\Lambda^2 \otimes S^\otimes_2, S^\otimes_2) \subset \text{LBA}((S \circ \Lambda^2) \otimes S^\otimes_2, S^\otimes_2) \)
\[ \to \text{LBA}_f(S^\otimes_2, S^\otimes_2) \simeq \Pi_f(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S)^\otimes_2) = U_{n,f}, \]
where \(\text{can} : \Lambda^2 \to \text{id}^\otimes_2\) is the canonical morphism. We then have
\[ (\Xi_f^{-1})^\otimes_2 \circ \kappa_2^\Pi(r) = \kappa_1^\Pi(r) + f. \]
The morphisms \(U_n \to U_{n,f}\) given by \(x \mapsto \kappa_1^\Pi(x)\) and \(x \mapsto (\Xi_f^{-1})^\otimes_2 \circ \kappa_2^\Pi(x)\) will be denoted \(x \mapsto x(r)\) and \(x \mapsto x(r + f)\).

### 2.4. The algebras \(U_{n,f}^{c_1,\ldots,c_n}\). For \(c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \{a, b\}\), we set
\[ U_{n,f}^{c_1,\ldots,c_n} := \Pi_f(1 \boxtimes 1, F_{c_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes F_{c_n}), \]
where \(F_a = S \boxtimes 1\) and \(F_b = 1 \boxtimes S\). Then \(U_{n,f}^{c_1,\ldots,c_n} \subset U_{n,f}\) is a graded subspace. The diagrams (14) imply that it is also a subalgebra.

Diagrams (16) also imply that for \(\phi : [m] \to [n]\) partially defined, \(\Delta^\phi\) takes \(U_{n,f}^{c_1,\ldots,c_n}\) to \(U_{m,f}^{d_1,\ldots,d_m}\), where \(d_1, \ldots, d_m\) are such that \(d_k = c_{\phi(k)}\) for any \(k\) in the domain of \(\phi\).

In particular, \((U_{n,f}^{a,\ldots,a})_{n \geq 0}\) is an \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded \(\mathcal{E}\)-algebra.

### 2.5. Hochschild cohomology of \(U_{n,f}\) and \(U_{n,f}^{a,\ldots,a}\). The co-Hochschild complex of an \(\mathcal{E}\)-vector space \((V_n)_{n \geq 0}\) is given by the differentials
\[ d^1 : V_1 \to V_2, \ x \mapsto x^{12} - x^1 - x^2, \quad d^2 : V_2 \to V_3, \ x \mapsto x^{12,3} - x^{1,23} - x^{2,3} + x^{1,2}, \]
and so on. We denote the corresponding cohomology groups by \(H^n(V_\ast)\).
If \( B = (B_{\rho, \sigma}) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_2) \) and \( C \in \text{Sch} \), we set
\[
B_C := \bigoplus_{\rho, \sigma} B_{\rho, \sigma} \otimes \text{LBA}(C \otimes Z_\sigma, Z_\rho).
\]
If \( \alpha : C \to D \) is a morphism in \( \text{LBA} \), then we set
\[
B_\alpha := \text{Coker}(B_D \to B_C) = \bigoplus_{\rho, \sigma} B_{\rho, \sigma} \otimes \text{LBA}_\alpha(Z_\sigma, Z_\rho).
\]
In the case of the above morphism \( \alpha : \Lambda^3 \otimes (S \circ \Lambda^2) \to S \circ \Lambda^2 \), we define in this way spaces \( B_f \).

In particular, for \( F, G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_{11}) \), we have isomorphisms
\[
\Pi(1 \Box 1, F \Box G) \simeq (c(F) \Box c(G))_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_f(1 \Box 1, F \Box G) \simeq (c(F) \Box c(G))_f.
\]

**Lemma 2.7.** Set \( C^n_a := \text{Ker}(d : \mathbf{U}_n^{a \ldots a} \to \mathbf{U}_n^{a \ldots a}) \).

(a) \( H^n(\mathbf{U}^{a \ldots a}_*) \simeq \big( (\Lambda^n \Box 1) \big)_f \), and \( \text{Alt} = (n!)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) \sigma : \mathbf{U}^{a \ldots a}_n \to \mathbf{U}^{a \ldots a}_n \) restricts to a map \( C^n_a \to (\Lambda^n \Box 1)_f \), which factors through the above isomorphism.

(b) \( H^n(\mathbf{U}^{a \ldots a}_*) \simeq \big( (\Delta(\Lambda^n)) \big)_f = \bigoplus_{p, q} \mathbf{U}^{a \ldots a}_n \to (\Lambda^n \Box 1)_f \), and \( \text{Alt} : \mathbf{U}_n^{a \ldots a} \to \mathbf{U}_n^{a \ldots a} \) restricts to a map \( C^n \to (\Delta(\Lambda^n))_f \), which factors through the above isomorphism.

**Proof.** (a) We have a co-Hochschild complex \( S \to S \otimes^2 \to S \otimes^3 \to \cdots \) in \( \text{Sch} \). It is defined as above, where \( (x \mapsto x^{12,3}) \) is replaced by the morphism \( \Delta^S_0 \Box \text{id}_S \in \text{Sch}(S \otimes^2, S \otimes^3) \), and so on. We express it as the sum of an acyclic complex \( \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2 \to \cdots \) and a complex with zero differential \( \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^2 \to \cdots \).

The inclusion \( \Lambda^n \to S \otimes^n \) is given by the composition \( \Lambda^n \to \text{id} \otimes^n \subset S \otimes^n \).

The inclusion \( \Sigma_n \subset S \otimes^n \) is defined as
\[
\Sigma_n := \bigoplus_{k_1, \ldots, k_n \neq 1} (\bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_{k_i}) \oplus \rho_n,
\]
where \( \rho_n \subset (S^1) \otimes^n = \text{id} \otimes^n \) is the sum of all the images of the pairwise symmetrization maps \( \text{id} + (ji) : \text{id} \otimes^n \to \text{id} \otimes^n \), where \( i < j \in [n] \). Then we have a direct sum decomposition \( S \otimes^n = \Sigma_n \oplus \Lambda^n \). One checks that this is a decomposition of complexes, where \( \Lambda^n \) has zero differential.

In particular, when \( V \) is a vector space, the co-Hochschild complex \( V \to S^2(V) \to \cdots \) decomposes as the sum of the complexes \( \Lambda^n(V) \) and \( \Sigma_n(V) \). Since the cohomology is reduced to \( \Lambda^n(V) \), the complex \( \Sigma_n(V) \) is acyclic. It therefore has a homotopy and its propic version given by
\[
\Sigma_n(V) \xrightarrow{K_n(V)} \Sigma_{n-1}(V) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_n \xrightarrow{K_n} \Sigma_{n-1}.
\]
Recall that \( \mathbf{U}^{a \ldots a}_{n,f} \simeq (S \otimes^n \Box 1)_f \). The co-Hochschild complex for the latter space decomposes as the sum of \( (\Lambda^n \Box 1)_f \) with zero differential and \( (\Sigma_n \Box 1)_f \), which admits a homotopy and is therefore acyclic. It follows that \( H^n(\mathbf{U}^{a \ldots a}_*) = \)
We have the identification $F_n = (\Lambda^n \boxtimes 1)_f \oplus d((\Sigma_{n-1} \boxtimes 1)_f)$. The restriction of Alt to $C^n_a$ is then the projection on the first summand of this decomposition, which implies the second result. This proves (a).

Let us prove (b). We have $U_{n,f} \simeq (S^\otimes n \boxtimes S^\otimes n)_f \simeq (\Delta(S^\otimes n))_f$, where $\Delta : \text{Ob(Sch)} \to \text{Ob(Sch}_2)$ has been defined in Section 1.1.1.

We then have a decomposition $U_{n,f} \simeq (\Delta(\Lambda^n))_f \oplus (\Delta(\Sigma_n))_f$, in which the first complex has zero differential and the second complex admits a homotopy and is therefore acyclic. We therefore have $H^n(U_{*,f}) = (\Delta(\Lambda^n))_f$. As before, $C^n = (\Delta(\Lambda^n))_f \oplus d(\Delta(\Sigma_{n-1}))_f$, and the restriction of Alt to $C^n$ is the projection on the first summand. This proves (b). \qed

**Remark 2.8.** One can prove that for $B \in \text{Ob(Sch}_2)$, we have

$$B_1 = \bigoplus_{N \geq 0} \left( B(\text{Lie}(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \oplus \text{Lie}(b_1, \ldots, b_N)) \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i + \beta_i) \right) \otimes_n,$$

where $\text{Lie}(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ is the free Lie algebra with generators $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ and the generators $a_i, b_i$ have degrees $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^N (\mathbb{N} \alpha_i \oplus \mathbb{N} \beta_i)$. Here the index means the multilinear part in the $a_i, b_i$ (i.e., the part of degree $\sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_i + \beta_i)$), and the index $\otimes_n$ means the space of coinvariants with respect to the diagonal action of $\mathcal{G}_N$ on generators $a_i, b_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Using the symmetrization map, we then get

$$U_n \simeq \bigoplus_{N \geq 0} \left( (\mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, a_N \rangle \mathbb{k}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_N \rangle)^\otimes_n \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i + \beta_i) \right) \otimes_n,$$

where $\mathbb{k}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_N \rangle$ is the free algebra with generators $x_1, \ldots, x_N$, and the juxtaposition $\mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, a_N \rangle \mathbb{k}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_N \rangle$ is the image of the product map

$$\mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, a_N \rangle \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_N \rangle \to \mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, b_N \rangle.$$

So $U_n$ identifies with $(U(\mathfrak{g})^\otimes n)_{\text{univ}}$; see [Enr01b].

Then $U_n \subset \bigoplus_{N \geq 0} (\mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, b_N \rangle)^\otimes_n \otimes_n$, an inclusion compatible with the $\mathfrak{g}$-structure on the right side induced by the coalgebra structure of $\mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, b_N \rangle$.

We have the identification

$$U_{n}^{c_1, \ldots, c_n} \simeq \bigoplus_{N \geq 0} ((F_{c_1}^N \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{c_n}^N) \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i + \beta_i)) \otimes_n,$$

where $F_{a}^N = \mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, a_N \rangle$ and $F_{b}^N = \mathbb{k}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_N \rangle$, and if $(c_i, d_i) \neq (b, a)$ for any $i$, then the product $U_{n}^{c_1, \ldots, c_n} \otimes U_{n}^{d_1, \ldots, d_n} \to U_n$ is induced by the maps

$$F_{c}^N \otimes F_{d}^M \to \mathbb{k}\langle a_1, \ldots, b_{N+M} \rangle,$$

$$x(c_1, \ldots, c_N) \otimes x'(d_1, \ldots, d_M) \mapsto x(c_1, \ldots, c_N)x'(d_{N+1}, \ldots, d_{N+M}).$$
3. Injectivity of a map

Let $n$ and $m$ be nonnegative integers. Define $\mu_m \in \text{LA}(T_m \otimes \text{id}, T_m)$ as the propic version of the map $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_m \otimes x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes [x_i, x] \otimes \cdots \otimes x_m$. Define linear maps

$$i_{n,m} : \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m, \text{id}) \rightarrow \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}), \quad \lambda \mapsto \lambda \circ (\text{id}_{T_n} \otimes \mu_m).$$

$$c_{n',n,m} : \text{LA}(T_{n'}, T_n) \otimes \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m, \text{id}) \rightarrow \text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m, \text{id}), \quad \lambda_0 \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda \circ (\lambda_0 \otimes \text{id}_{T_m}).$$

Then $i_{n,m}$ is $\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m$-equivariant, with commutative diagram

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m, \text{id}) & & \text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) \\
\downarrow c_{n',n,m} & & \downarrow \downarrow c_{n',n,m+1} \\
\text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) & & \text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}).
\end{array}\]

**Lemma 3.1.** There exists an $\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m$-equivariant map

$$p_{n,m} : \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) \rightarrow \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m, \text{id})$$

such that $p_{n,m} \circ i_{n,m} = \text{id}$, with commutative diagram

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m, \text{id}) & & \text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) \\
\downarrow c_{n',n,m+1} & & \downarrow \downarrow c_{n',n,m} \\
\text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) & & \text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}).
\end{array}\]

**Proof.** First recall some results on free Lie algebras. Let $L(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ be the multilinear part of the the free Lie algebra generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_s$. Let $A(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ be the multilinear part of the free associative algebra they generate. Then $L(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \subset A(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$. For any $i = 1, \ldots, s$, we have an isomorphism $L(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \cong A(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_s)$ given by $L(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \ni P(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \mapsto P_{x_i}(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_s)$, where $P_{x_i}$ is the element such that $P$ decomposes as $P_{x_i} x_i$ plus the sum of terms not ending with $x_i$. The inverse isomorphism is given by $A(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_s) \ni Q \mapsto \text{ad}(Q)(x_s)$, where $\text{ad}(x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}) x_i = [x_1, [x_i, x_{i+1}, [x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, [x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}]])].$

Now, the lemma: We have an isomorphism $\text{LA}(T_n, \text{id}) \cong L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. The map $i_{n,m}$ is given by

$$i_{n,m} : L(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m}) \rightarrow L(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m+1}),$$

$$P(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} P(x_1, \ldots, [x_{n+i}, x_{n+m+1}], \ldots, x_{n+i}).$$
Define
\[ p_{n,m} : L(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m+1}) \to L(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m}), \]
\[ Q(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m+1}) \mapsto \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{ad}(Q_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}})(x_{n+i}), \]
with \( Q_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}} \) the element of \( A(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+i-1}, x_{n+i+1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}) \) such that \( Q = Q_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}}x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1} + \text{terms not ending with } x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}. \)

Let us show that \( p_{n,m} \circ i_{n,m} = \text{id}. \)

If \( Q_i := P(x_1, \ldots, [x_{n+i}, x_{n+m+1}], \ldots, x_{n+m}), \)
then \((Q_i)_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}} = 0 \) if \( i \neq j \), and equals \( P_{x_{n+j}} \) if \( i = j \). So
\[ \text{ad}((i_{n,m}(P))_{x_{n+j}x_{n+m+1}})(x_{n+j}) = \text{ad}(P_{x_{n+j}})(x_{n+j}) = P. \]

Averaging over \( i \in [m], \) we get \( p_{n,m}(i_{n,m}(P)) = P. \)

Let us show that \( p_{n,m} \) is \( \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m \)-equivariant. The \( \mathfrak{S}_n \)-equivariance is clear. Let us show the \( \mathfrak{S}_m \)-equivariance. Let \( \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_m. \) We have
\[ Q^\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m+1}) = Q(x_1, \ldots, x_n x_{n+\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{n+\tau(m)}, x_{n+m+1}), \]
so \((Q^\tau)_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}} = (Q_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}})^\tau. \) Then
\[ p_{n,m}(Q^\tau) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{ad}((Q^\tau)_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}})(x_{n+i}) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{ad}((Q^\tau)_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}})(x_{n+\tau(i)}) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{ad}((Q_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}})^\tau)(x_{n+\tau(i)}) = (p_{n,m}(Q))^\tau, \]
which proves the \( \mathfrak{S}_m \)-equivariance.

Let us prove that the announced diagram commutes. Let \( \lambda_0 \in \text{LA}(T_{n'}, T_n) \) and \( P \in \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}). \) We must show that the images of \( \lambda_0 \otimes P \) in \( \text{LA}(T_{n'} \otimes T_m, \text{id}) \) by two maps coincide. By linearity, we may assume that \( \lambda_0 \) has the form \( x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{n'} \mapsto P_1(x_i, i \in f^{-1}(1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes P_n(x_i, i \in f^{-1}(n)), \) where \( f : [n'] \to [n] \) is a map and \( P_i \in \text{F}(x_i', i' \in f^{-1}(i)). \) The commutativity of the diagram then follows from the equality
\[ P_{x_{n+i}x_{n+m+1}}(P_1(\cdot), \ldots, P_n(\cdot), x_{n'+1}, \ldots, x_{n'+m+1}) = (P(P_1(\cdot), \ldots, P_n(\cdot), x_{n'+1}, \ldots, x_{n'+m+1}))(x_{n'+i}x_{n'+m+1}), \]
where \( P_j(\cdot) = P_j(x_i, i \in f^{-1}(j)). \) \( \square \)
If \( Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}) \), we now define \( \mu_Z \in \text{LA}(Z \otimes \text{id}, Z) \) as follows. Let \( n \) be a nonnegative integer. The decomposition \( T_n = \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}), |Z| = n} Z \otimes \pi_Z \) gives rise to an isomorphism

\[
\text{LA}(T_n \otimes \text{id}, T_n) \cong \bigoplus_{Z,W \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}), |Z| = |W| = n} \text{LA}(Z \otimes \text{id}, W) \otimes \text{Vect}(\pi_Z, \pi_W).
\]

On the other hand, \( \mu_n \) has the \( S_n \)-equivariance property \( \mu_n \circ (\sigma \otimes \text{id}_W) = \sigma \circ \mu_n \) for any \( \sigma \in S_n \). It follows that \( \mu_n \) decomposes as \( \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}), |Z| = n} \mu_Z \otimes \text{id}_Z \). This defines \( \mu_Z \) for any \( Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}) \) with \( |Z| = n \).

For \( Z, W, W' \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}) \),

\[
i_{W,Z} : \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id}) \to \text{LA}(W \otimes Z \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}), \lambda \mapsto \lambda \circ (\text{id}_W \otimes \mu_Z).
\]

\[
c_{W',W,Z} : \text{LA}(W', W) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id}) \to \text{LA}(W' \otimes Z, \text{id}),
\lambda_0 \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda \circ (\lambda_0 \otimes \text{id}_Z).
\]

Then we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LA}(W', W) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id}) & \overset{\text{id} \otimes i_{W,Z}}{\longrightarrow} & \text{LA}(W', W) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) \\
\downarrow^{c_{W',W,Z}} & & \downarrow^{c_{W',W,Z} \otimes \text{id}} \\
\text{LA}(W' \otimes Z, \text{id}) & \overset{i_{W',Z}}{\longrightarrow} & \text{LA}(W' \otimes Z \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}).
\end{array}
\]

For \( W, Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}) \), define a linear map

\[
p_{W,Z} : \text{LA}(W \otimes Z \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) \to \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id})
\]

as follows. For \( n, m \) integers \( \geq 0 \), the decompositions

\[
T_n = \bigoplus_{W \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}), |W| = n} W \otimes \pi_W \quad \text{and} \quad T_m = \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}), |Z| = m} Z \otimes \pi_Z
\]

give rise to a decomposition

\[
\text{Vect}(\text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m, \text{id}), \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id})) \cong \bigoplus_{W,W',Z,Z' \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}), |W| = |W'| = n, |Z| = |Z'| = m} \text{Vect}(\pi_W \otimes \pi_Z, \pi_{W'} \otimes \pi_{Z'})
\]

which is \( S_n \times S_m \)-equivariant. Then

\[
p_{n,m} \in \text{Vect}(\text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m, \text{id}), \text{LA}(T_n \otimes T_m \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}))
\]
is $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_m$-invariant, which implies that it decomposes as

$$\sum_{W,Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}) \atop |W|=n, |Z|=m} p_{W,Z} \otimes \text{id}_W \otimes \pi_Z.$$ 

This defines $p_{W,Z}$ for $W, Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})$.

**Proposition 3.2.** We have $p_{W,Z} \circ i_{W,Z} = \text{id}$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LA}(W', W) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes p_{W,Z}} & \text{LA}(W', W) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id}) \\
c_{W', W, Z \otimes \text{id}} & & c_{W', W, Z} \\
\text{LA}(W' \otimes Z \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{p_{W', Z}} & \text{LA}(W' \otimes Z, \text{id}).
\end{array}$$

*Proof.* This is obtained by taking the isotypic components of the statements of Lemma 3.1, and using that $i_{n,m} = \sum_{W,Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch}) \atop |W|=n, |Z|=m} i_{W,Z} \otimes \text{id}_W \otimes \pi_Z$. □

**Proposition 3.3.** The map $\text{LBA}_f(\text{id}, \text{id}) \to \text{LBA}_f(\Lambda^2, \text{id})$, $x \mapsto x \circ \mu$, is injective.

*Proof.* Let $\alpha : C \to D$ be a morphism in LBA. We will prove that $i_\alpha : \text{LBA}_\alpha(\text{id}, \text{id}) \to \text{LBA}_\alpha(\Lambda^2, \text{id}) \subseteq \text{LBA}_\alpha(\text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id})$, $x \mapsto x \circ \mu$ is injective. For this, we will construct a map $p_\alpha : \text{LBA}_\alpha(\text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}) \to \text{LBA}_\alpha(\text{id}, \text{id})$ such that $p_\alpha \circ i_\alpha = \text{id}$.

The first map is the vertical cokernel of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LBA}(D \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{i_D} & \text{LBA}(D \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}) \\
\downarrow \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) & & \downarrow \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \\
\text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{i_C} & \text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}),
\end{array}$$

where $i_X(x) = x \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \mu)$ for $X = C, D$.

We will construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LBA}(D \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{p_D} & \text{LBA}(D \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) \\
\downarrow \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) & & \downarrow \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \\
\text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{p_C} & \text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}, \text{id})
\end{array}$$

such that $p_C \circ i_C = \text{id}$ and $p_D \circ i_D = \text{id}$. Then we will define $p_\alpha$ as the vertical cokernel of this diagram.
Set $A_C := \text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}, \text{id})$, $A'_C := \text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id})$. Let us study the map $i_C : A_C \to A'_C$. We have

$$A_C = \bigoplus_{W, Z \in \text{Irr(Sch)}} A_C(W, Z) \text{ and } A'_C = \bigoplus_{W, Z', Z'' \in \text{Irr(Sch)}} A'_C(W, Z', Z''),$$

where

$$A_C(W, Z) := \text{LCA}(C, W) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id}),$$

$$A'_C(W, Z', Z'') := \text{LCA}(C, W) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z') \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z'') \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z' \otimes Z'', \text{id}).$$

Set $A''_C := \bigoplus_{W, Z \in \text{Irr(Sch)}} A''_C(W, Z, \text{id})$. We have a natural projection map $A'_C \to A''_C$.

Then the composition $A_C \xrightarrow{i_C} A'_C \to A''_C$ is the direct sum over $W, Z$ of the maps $A_C(W, Z) \to A'_C(W, Z, \text{id})$ given by

$$\text{LCA}(C, W) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id})$$

$$\to \text{LCA}(C, W) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, \text{id}) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}),$$

$$\kappa_C \otimes \kappa_{\text{id}} \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda \mapsto \kappa_C \otimes \kappa_{\text{id}} \otimes 1 \otimes i_{W, Z}(\lambda).$$

Define the map $p_C : A'_C \to A_C$ as the composition $A'_C \to A''_C \to A_C$, where the first map is the natural projection and the second map is the direct sum over $W, Z$ of the maps $A_C(W, Z) \to A'_C(W, Z, \text{id})$ given by

$$\text{LCA}(C, W) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, \text{id}) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id})$$

$$\to \text{LCA}(C, W) \otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id}),$$

$$\kappa_C \otimes \kappa_{\text{id}} \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda' \mapsto \kappa_C \otimes \kappa_{\text{id}} \otimes p_{W, Z}(\lambda').$$

Then $p_{W,Z} \circ i_{W,Z} = \text{id}$ implies that $p_C \circ i_C = \text{id}$.

Let us prove that (21) commutes. For this, we will prove that

$$\text{LBA}(C, D) \otimes \text{LBA}(D \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes p_D} \text{LBA}(C, D) \otimes \text{LBA}(D \otimes \text{id}, \text{id})$$

$$\text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}) \xrightarrow{p_D} \text{LBA}(C \otimes \text{id}, \text{id})$$

commutes, where the vertical maps are $\alpha \otimes x \mapsto x \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}^{\otimes 2}})$ on the right and $\alpha \otimes x \mapsto x \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\text{id}})$ on the left.
This diagram is the same as

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bigoplus_{U,W,Z',Z'' \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \text{LCA}(C, U) \otimes \text{LA}(U, D) \\
\otimes \text{LCA}(D, W) \\
\otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z') \\
\otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z'') \\
\otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z' \otimes Z'', \text{id}) \\
\end{array}
\rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\bigoplus_{U,W,Z \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \text{LCA}(C, U) \\
\otimes \text{LA}(U, D) \\
\otimes \text{LCA}(D, W) \\
\otimes \text{LCA}(\text{id}, Z) \\
\otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z, \text{id}) \\
\end{array}
\]

where (i) vanishes on the components with $Z'' \neq \text{id}$. It takes the component $(U, W, Z, \text{id})$ to the component $(U, W, Z)$ by the map $\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes 1 \otimes p_{W,Z}$.

The map (ii) is zero on the components with $Z'' \neq 1$. It takes the component $(U, Z, \text{id})$ to the component $(U, Z)$ by the map $\text{id} \otimes 1 \otimes p_{U,Z}$.

The map (iii) is the composition of the natural map

\[
\text{LA}(U, D) \otimes \text{LCA}(D, W) \rightarrow \text{LBA}(U, W) \simeq \bigoplus_{V \in \text{Irr}(\text{Sch})} \text{LCA}(U, V) \otimes \text{LA}(V, W),
\]

of the composition $\text{LCA}(C, U) \otimes \text{LCA}(U, V) \rightarrow \text{LCA}(C, V)$ and of the map

\[
\text{LA}(V, W) \otimes \text{LA}(W \otimes Z' \otimes Z'', \text{id}) \rightarrow \text{LA}(V, \otimes Z' \otimes Z'', \text{id})
\]

\[
\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \beta \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}Z' \otimes Z'').
\]

The map (iv) is the composition of same maps, where in the last step $Z' \otimes Z''$ is replaced by $Z$.

The commutativity of the diagram formed by these maps then follows from that of (20). 

\[\square\]

4. Quantization functors

4.1. Definition. A quantization functor is a prop morphism $Q : \text{Bialg} \rightarrow S(\text{LBA})$ such that

(a) the composed morphism $\text{Bialg} \xrightarrow{Q} S(\text{LBA}) \rightarrow S(\text{Sch})$ (where the second morphism is given by the specialization $\mu = \delta = 0$) is the propic version of the bialgebra structure of the symmetric algebras $S(V)$, where the elements of $V$ are primitive, and

(b) (classical limits) $\text{pr}_1 \circ Q(m) \circ (\text{inj}_1 \otimes \text{can}) \in \text{LBA}(\Lambda^2, \text{id})$ equals $\mu$ plus terms of positive $\delta$-degree, and $(\text{Alt} \circ \text{pr}_1 \otimes \text{can}) \circ Q(\Delta) \circ \text{inj}_1 \in \text{LBA}(\text{id}, \Lambda^2)$ is equal to $\delta$ plus terms of positive $\mu$-degree.

Here $\text{inj}_1 : \text{id} \rightarrow S$ and $\text{pr}_1 : S \rightarrow \text{id}$ are the canonical injection and projection maps, and $\text{inc} : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow T_2$ and $\text{Alt} : T_2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ are the inclusion and alternation maps.
Note that (a) implies that \( Q(\eta) = \text{inj}_0 \in \text{LBA}(1, S) \) and that \( Q(e) = \text{pr}_0 \in \text{LBA}(S, 1) \), where \( \text{inj}_0 : 1 \to S \) and \( \text{pr}_0 : S \to 1 \) are the natural injection and projection.

Quantization functors \( Q \) and \( Q' \) are called equivalent if and only if there exists an inner automorphism \( \theta(\xi_0) \) of \( S(\text{LBA}) \) such that \( Q' = \theta(\xi_0) \circ Q \).

4.2. Construction of quantization functors. In [EK96; EK98], Etingof and Kazhdan constructed a quantization functor corresponding to each associator \( \hat{\Phi} \).

This construction can be described as follows [Enr05]. Let \( t_n \) be the Lie algebra with generators \( t_{ij} \) for \( 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \) and relations

\[
t_{ij} = t_{ji}, \quad [t_{ij}, t_{ik} + t_{jk}] = 0, \quad [t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0
\]

(for \( i, j, k, l \) distinct). It is graded by \( \text{deg}(t_{ij}) = 1 \). We have a graded algebra morphism \( U(t_n) \to U_n \), taking \( t_{ij} \) to \( t_{i;j} \), where \( t_{2} \) \( U_{2} \) is \( r \mapsto 2r \).

The family \( (t_n)_{n \geq 0} \) is a \( \mathcal{C} \)-Lie algebra, and \( U(t_n) \to U_n \) is a morphism of \( \mathcal{C} \)-algebras.

An associator \( \Phi \) is an element of \( \hat{U}(t_3)_1^\times \) satisfying certain relations (see [Dri90], where it is proved that associators exist over \( k \)). We fix an associator \( \hat{\Phi} \) and denote by \( \hat{\Phi} \) its image in \( (\hat{U}_3)_1^\times \).

One constructs \( J \in (\hat{U}_2)_1^\times \) such that \( J = 1 - r/2 + \cdots \) and

\[
J^{1,2} J^{12,3} = J^{2,3} J^{1,23} \Phi.
\]

Then one sets \( R := J^{2,1} e^{t/2} J^{-1} \in (\hat{U}_2)_1^\times \).

Using \( J \) and \( R \), we will define elements of the quasi-bi-multiprop \( \Pi \). We define

\[
\Delta_{\Pi} \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ad}(J) \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2).
\]

One checks that the elements

\[
m_{\Pi}^{(2)} \boxtimes m_{\Pi}^{(2)} \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 6, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2),
\]

\[
(142536) \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 6, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 6),
\]

\[
J \boxtimes \text{id}_{(S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2} \boxtimes J^{-1} \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 6)
\]

are composable, and we set

\[
\text{Ad}(J) := (m_{\Pi}^{(2)} \boxtimes m_{\Pi}^{(2)}) \circ (142536) \circ (J \boxtimes \text{id}_{(S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2} \boxtimes J^{-1})
\]

\[
\in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 2).
\]

A graph for this element is as follows. Set \( F_1 = \cdots = G_2 = S \). Then this is an element of \( \Pi((F_1 \boxtimes F_2) \boxtimes (G_1 \boxtimes G_2), (F_1' \boxtimes F'_2) \boxtimes (G_1' \boxtimes G'_2)) \), and the edges are \( F_i \to F'_j, \ G_i' \to G_j \) and \( G'_j \to F'_i \) for \( i, j = 1, 2 \).
Now \( \text{Ad}(J) \) and \( \Delta_0 \) can be composed, and we set
\[
\Delta_{\Pi} := \text{Ad}(J) \circ \Delta_0 \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}).
\]
A graph for this element is as follows. If we set \( F = \cdots = G_2' = S \), then this is an element of \( \Pi(F \boxtimes G, (F_1' \boxtimes F_2') \boxtimes (G_1' \boxtimes G_2')) \). The vertices are then \( F \to F_i', G_i' \to G_j' \) and for \( i, j = 1, 2 \).

The elements \( m_{\Pi}, \Delta_{\Pi} \) then satisfy (13). Moreover, the following elements make sense, and the identities hold:
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\Pi} \circ m_{\Pi} &= (m_{\Pi} \boxtimes m_{\Pi}) \circ (1324) \circ (\Delta_{\Pi} \boxtimes \Delta_{\Pi}), \\
(\Delta_{\Pi} \boxtimes \text{id}_{S \boxtimes S}) \circ \Delta_{\Pi} &= (\text{id}_{S \boxtimes S} \boxtimes \Delta_{\Pi}) \circ \Delta_{\Pi}.
\end{align*}

In particular,
\[
\overline{m}_{\Pi} := \Delta_{\Pi}^* \circ (21) \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}, S \boxtimes S),
\]
\[
\overline{\Delta}_{\Pi} := m_{\Pi}^* \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2})
\]
satisfy relations (13) and (23).

Moreover, \( R \in \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}) \) satisfies the quasitriangular identities
\begin{align*}
(\Delta_{\Pi} \boxtimes \text{id}_{S \boxtimes S}) \circ R &= (\text{id}_{(S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}} \boxtimes m_{\Pi}) \circ (1324) \circ (R \boxtimes R), \\
(\text{id}_{S \boxtimes S} \boxtimes \Delta_{\Pi}) \circ R &= (132) \circ (m_{\Pi} \boxtimes \text{id}_{(S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}}) \circ (1324) \circ (R \boxtimes R).
\end{align*}

Define \( \ell := (\text{id}_{S \boxtimes S} \boxtimes \text{can}_{S \boxtimes S}^*) \circ (R \boxtimes \text{id}_{S \boxtimes S}) \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, S \boxtimes S) \).

The following proposition is a consequence of the quasitriangular identities above.

** Proposition 4.1.** The following elements are defined, and the equations hold:
\[
m_{\Pi} \circ \ell^{\boxtimes 2} = \ell \circ \overline{m}_{\Pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\Pi} \circ \ell = \ell^{\boxtimes 2} \circ \overline{\Delta}_{\Pi}.
\]

The flatness statement of [Enr05] can be restated as follows.

** Proposition 4.2.** There exist elements \( R_+ \in \Pi(S \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes S) \) and \( R_- \in \Pi(1 \boxtimes S, S \boxtimes S) \) such that
\begin{equation}
R = (R_+ \boxtimes R_-) \circ \text{can}_{S \boxtimes 1}.
\end{equation}

Moreover, \( R_- \) and \( R_+ \) are right-invertible, that is, there exist
\[
R_+^{(-1)} \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, S \boxtimes 1) \quad \text{and} \quad R_-^{(-1)} \in \Pi(S \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes S)
\]
with graphs \( F \to F' \) and \( G' \to G \), where \( R_+^{(-1)} \) is viewed as an element of \( \Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes 1) \) and \( R_-^{(-1)} \) as an element of \( \Pi(F \boxtimes G, 1 \boxtimes G') \), such that \( R_+^{(-1)} \circ R_+ = \text{id}_{S \boxtimes 1} \) and \( R_-^{(-1)} \circ R_- = \text{id}_{S \boxtimes S} \) (where the compositions are well defined).
Notice that \((R_+, R_-)\) is uniquely defined only up to a transformation
\[
(R_+, R_-) \to (R_+ \circ R''', R_- \circ ((R'')^*)^{-1}),
\]
where \(R'' \in \Pi(S \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes 1)^\times\). This transformation will not change the equivalence class of \(Q\).

Equation (24) implies that \(\ell = R_+ \circ R^*\).

**Proposition 4.3.** The following elements are defined, and the equations hold:
\[
\begin{align*}
R_+^{(-1)} \circ m_\Pi \circ R_+^{(2)} &= R_* \circ m_\Pi \circ (R_-^{(-1)})^* \circ R_+^{(2)}, \\
(R_+^{(-1)})^{(2)} \circ \Delta_\Pi \circ R_+ &= (R_*)^{(2)} \circ \Delta_\Pi \circ (R_-^{(-1)})^*.
\end{align*}
\]
Let \(m_a \in \Pi(S \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 1)\) be the value of both sides of the first identity of (25), and let \(\Delta_a \in \Pi((S \boxtimes S) \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes 1)\) be the common value of both sides of the second identity. Then \(m_a\) and \(\Delta_a\) satisfy (13) and (23).

Then there is a unique morphism \(Q : \text{Bialg} \to S(\text{LBA})\) such that \(Q(m)\) is the element of \(\text{LBA}(S \boxtimes 2, S)\) corresponding to \(m_a\), \(Q(\Delta)\) is the element of \(\text{LBA}(S, S \boxtimes 2)\) corresponding to \(\Delta_a\). \(Q(\varepsilon)\) is the element of \(\text{LBA}(1, S)\) corresponding to \(1 \in k\), and \(Q(\eta)\) is the element of \(\text{LBA}(1, 1)\).

**Proof.** The proof follows that of the following statement: Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be a symmetric tensor category; let \(A, X, B \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})\). Assume that \(m_A \in \mathcal{F}(A \otimes 2, A)\), \(\Delta_A \in \mathcal{F}(A, A \otimes 2)\) is a bialgebra structure on \(A\) in the category \(\mathcal{C}\). Similarly let \((m_X, \Delta_X, \ldots)\) and \((m_B, \Delta_B, \ldots)\) be \(\mathcal{F}\)-bialgebra structures on \(X\) and \(B\). Let \(\ell_{AX} \in \mathcal{F}(A, X)\) and \(\ell_{XB} \in \mathcal{F}(X, B)\) be morphisms of \(\mathcal{F}\)-bialgebras such that \(\ell_{AX}\) is right invertible and \(\ell_{XB}\) is left invertible, that is, let \(\ell_{XA} \in \mathcal{F}(X, A)\) and \(\ell_{BX} \in \mathcal{F}(X, B)\) be such that \(\ell_{AX} \circ \ell_{XA} = \text{id}_X\) and \(\ell_{BX} \circ \ell_{XB} = \text{id}_X\). Then \(\ell_{AX} \circ m_A \circ \ell_{XA}^{(2)} = \ell_{BX} \circ m_B \circ \ell_{XB}^{(2)}\) and \(\ell_{AX} \circ \Delta_A \circ \ell_{XA} = \ell_{BX} \circ \Delta_B \circ \ell_{XB}\), etc. If we call \(m_x \in \mathcal{F}(X \otimes 2, X)\) (respectively, \(\Delta_X \in \mathcal{F}(X, X \otimes 2)\), etc.) the common value of both sides of the first (respectively, second) identity, then \((m_X, \Delta_X, \ldots)\) is an \(\mathcal{F}\)-bialgebra structure on \(X\).

According to [Enr05], \(J\) is uniquely determined by (22) only up to a gauge transformation \(U \mapsto U J = u^1 u^2 J(u^1 2)^{-1}\), where \(u \in (\hat{U}_1)^\times\).

**Lemma 4.4.** Quantization functors corresponding to \(J\) and to \(u^1 J\) are equivalent.

**Proof.** We have \(u, u^{-1} \in \hat{U}_1 \simeq \Pi(1 \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes S).\) Let us set
\[
\text{Ad}(u) := m_\Pi^{(2)} \circ (u \boxtimes \text{id}_{S \boxtimes S} \boxtimes u^{-1}) \in \Pi(S \boxtimes S, S \boxtimes S)^\times.
\]
(One checks that the right side makes sense.)

Let us view \(\text{Ad}(u)\) as an element of \(\Pi(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G')\). Then a graph for \(\text{Ad}(u)\) and \(\text{Ad}(u)^{-1} = \text{Ad}(u^{-1})\) is \(F \to F', G' \to G\) and \(G' \to F'\).
In the same way, \( \text{Ad}(u)^*, (\text{Ad}(u)^{-1})^* \in \prod (S \boxtimes S, S \boxtimes S)^\times \), and a graph for these elements is \( F \to F', \ G' \to G \) and \( F \to G \).

Let us denote by \( u^\ast R, u^\ast \ell, \ldots, u^\ast Q \) the analogues of \( R, \ell, \ldots, Q \), with \( J \) replaced by \( uJ \). These analogues can be expressed as follows: \( u^\ast m \Pi = m \Pi, \) \( u^\ast \Delta \Pi = \text{Ad}(u)^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta \Pi \circ \text{Ad}(u)^{-1} \) (one checks that the right side is well defined), and \( u^\ast R = u^1 u^2 R(u^1 u^2)^{-1} \). Therefore \( u^\ast \ell = \text{Ad}(u) \circ \ell \circ \text{Ad}(u)^* \) (one checks that the right side is well defined). We then choose \( u^\ast R_\pm = \text{Ad}(u) \circ R_\pm \) (one checks that both right sides are well defined).

We then have \( u^\ast R_{\pm}^{-1} = R_{\pm}^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(u)^{-1} \). Then
\[
u^\ast m_a = (u^\ast R_{\pm}^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ u^\ast m \Pi \circ u^\ast R_+ \\
= (R_{\pm}^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ (\text{Ad}(u)^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ m \Pi \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ R_+ \\
= (R_{\pm}^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ m \Pi \circ R_+ = m_a,
\]
and
\[
u^\ast \Delta_a = (u^\ast R_{\pm}^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ u^\ast \Delta \Pi \circ u^\ast R_+ \\
= (R_{\pm}^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ (\text{Ad}(u)^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ u^\ast \Delta \Pi \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ R_+ \\
= (R_{\pm}^{-1})^{\boxtimes 2} \circ \Delta \Pi \circ R_+ = \Delta_a,
\]
so \( u^\ast Q(m) = Q(m) \) and \( u^\ast Q(\Delta) = Q(\Delta) \). Thus \( u^\ast Q = Q \).

Here are pictures of the main graphs of the above construction. The object \( S \) is represented by black vertices, and the object \( \mathbf{1} \in \text{Sch}(\{1\}) \) is represented by white vertices.
5. Compatibility of quantization functors with twists

5.1. The category $\mathcal{Y}$. We define $\mathcal{Y}$ as the category where objects are integer numbers $\geq 0$, and $\mathcal{Y}(n,m)$ is the set of pairs $(\phi, o)$, where $\phi : [m] \to [n]$ is a partially defined function and $o = (o_1, \ldots, o_n)$, where $o_i$ is a total order on $\phi^{-1}(i)$. If $(\phi, o) \in \mathcal{Y}(n,m)$ and $(\phi', o') \in \mathcal{Y}(m, p)$, then their composition is $(\phi'', o'') \in$
\(Y(n, p)\), where \(\phi'' = \phi \circ \phi'\) and \(o'' = (o'_1, \ldots, o''_n)\), where \(o'_i\) is the lexicographic order on \((\phi'')^{-1}(i) = \bigsqcup_{j \in \phi^{-1}(i)} (\phi')^{-1}(j)\).

A \(Y\)-vector space is a functor \(Y \to \text{Vect}\), and a \(Y\)-algebra is a functor \(Y \to \text{Alg}\). The forgetful functor \(Y \to X\) gives rise to functors

\[
\{X\text{-vector spaces}\} \to \{Y\text{-vector spaces}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{X\text{-algebras}\} \to \{Y\text{-algebras}\}.
\]

A \(Y\)-vector space is therefore a collection of vector spaces \((V_n)_{n \geq 0}\) and of maps \(V_n \to V_m, x \mapsto x^{\phi, o}\) for \((\phi, o) \in Y(n, m)\).

If \(H\) is a (not necessarily cocommutative) coalgebra (respectively, bialgebra), then \((H \otimes n)_{n \geq 0}\) is a \(Y\)-vector space (respectively, \(Y\)-algebra).

5.2. \(Y\)-algebra structures on \(\hat{U}_n\) and \(\hat{U}_{n, f}\) associated with \(J\). A solution \(J\) of (22) gives rise to \(Y\)-algebra structures on \((\hat{U}_n)_{n \geq 0}\) and \((\hat{U}_{n, f})_{n \geq 0}\), which we now define. We will call them the \(J\)-twisted structures.

For \((\phi, o) \in Y(n, m)\), define \(J^{\phi, o} \in \hat{U}_n^\times\) as follows. For \(\psi: [k] \to [m]\) an injective map, we set

\[
J_{\psi} = J_{\psi(1)} J_{\psi(2)} \cdots J_{\psi(k-2)} J_{\psi(k-1)} J_{\psi(1)} J_{\psi(2)} J_{\psi(3)} \cdots J_{\psi(k)}
\]

and \(J^{\phi, o} = J_{\psi_1} \cdots J_{\psi_n}\), where \(\psi_i: [\phi^{-1}(i)] \to \phi^{-1}\) is the unique order-preserving bijection.

The \(Y\)-vector space structure on \((U_n)_{n \geq 0}\) is then defined by

\[
x \mapsto (x)^{\phi, o} := (x)^{\phi, o} := J^{\phi, o} x^{\phi} (J^{\phi, o})^{-1};
\]

the algebra structure is unchanged. In the case of \(\hat{U}_{n, f}\), the \(Y\)-algebra structure is defined by

\[
x \mapsto (x)^{\phi, o} := \kappa_1^{\Gamma} (J^{\phi, o}) x^{\phi} \kappa_1^{\Gamma} (J^{\phi, o})^{-1}.
\]

Both \((\hat{U}_n)_{n \geq 0}\) and \((\hat{U}_{n, f})_{n \geq 0}\) are \(Y\)-algebras equipped with decreasing filtrations (the \(N\)-th step consists of the elements of degree \(\geq N\)).

5.3. \(Y\)-algebra structure on \(P(1, S^{\otimes n})\). Let \(P\) be a topological prop and let \(Q: \text{Bialg} \to S(P)\) be a prop morphism. Recall that if \(H\) is a coalgebra, then \((H \otimes n)_{n \geq 0}\) is a \(Y\)-vector space. Let us denote by \(\Delta^{\phi, o}_H : H \otimes n \to H \otimes m\) the map corresponding to \((\phi, o) \in Y(n, m)\). The propic versions of the maps \(\Delta^{\phi, o}_H\) are elements \(\Delta^{\phi, o} \in \text{Coalg}(T_n, T_m)\), where Coalg is the prop of algebras (with generators \(\Delta, \eta\) with the same relations as in Bialg). We also denote by \(\Delta^{\phi, o} \in \text{Bialg}(T_n, T_m)\) the images of these elements under the prop morphism Coalg \(\to\) Bialg.

Then \((P(1, S^{\otimes n}))_{n \geq 0}\) is a \(Y\)-vector space whose map to \(P(1, S^{\otimes m})\) that corresponds to \((\phi, o) \in Y(n, m)\) is \(x \mapsto (x)^{\phi, o} := Q(\Delta^{\phi, o}) \circ x\). Each \(P(1, S^{\otimes n})\) is equipped with the algebra structure

\[
x \otimes y \mapsto x \star_Q y := \overline{Q}(m)^{\otimes n} \circ (1, n + 1, 2, n + 2, \ldots) \circ (x \otimes y).
\]
The unit for this algebra is $Q(\eta^{\otimes n})$. Then this family of algebra structures is compatible with the $\mathcal{U}$-structure, so $(\mathcal{P}(1, S^{\otimes n}))_{n\geq 0}$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-algebra.

In particular, the morphism $\kappa_1^{\Pi} \circ Q : \text{Bialg} \to S(LBA_f)$ induces a $\mathcal{U}$-algebra structure on $LBA_f(1, S^{\otimes n})$, which, using the identification $LBA_f(1, S^{\otimes n}) \simeq \Pi_f(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes n})$, is given by

$$x \ast Q y := \kappa_1^{\Pi}(m_a)^{\otimes n} \circ (1, n + 1, 2, n + 2, \ldots) \circ (x \boxtimes y).$$

The $\mathcal{U}$-vector space structure is given by $(x)^{\phi,o} := \kappa_1^{\Pi}(\Delta^o) \circ x$.

5.4. A $\mathcal{U}$-algebra morphism $I_n : LBA_f(1, S^{\otimes n}) \to \widehat{U}_{n,f}$. Define a linear map

$$I_n : LBA_f(1, S^{\otimes n}) \simeq (S^{\otimes n} \boxtimes 1)_f \simeq \Pi_f(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes n}) \to \widehat{U}_{n,f},$$

$$\Pi_f(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes n}) \ni x \mapsto \kappa_1^{\Pi}(R_+)^{\otimes n} \circ x.$$

This is a morphism of $\mathcal{U}$-algebras, where $LBA_f(1, S^{\otimes n})$ is equipped with the structure corresponding to $S(\kappa_1) \circ Q$ and $\widehat{U}_{n,f}$ is equipped with its J-twisted structure.

Then $I_n$ is a filtered map, and the associated graded map is the inclusion

$LBA_f(1, S^{\otimes n}) \simeq (S^{\otimes n} \boxtimes 1)_f \hookrightarrow (\Delta(S^{\otimes n}))_f \simeq \Pi_f(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes S)^{\otimes n}) \simeq U_{n,f}$.

5.5. Construction of $(v, F)$.

**Theorem 5.1.** There exists a pair $(v, F) \in ((\widehat{U}_{1,f})^{\otimes 1}, ((S^{\otimes 2} \boxtimes 1)_f)^{\otimes 1})$ such that

$$J(r + f) = v^1 v^2 I_2(F) J(r)(v^{12})^{-1}$$

(the equality takes place in $\widehat{U}_{2,f}$, where $v^{12}$ is defined using the $\mathcal{U}$-algebra structure on $\widehat{U}_{n,f}$).

Then

$$F_Q^{1,2} \ast Q (F_Q^{12,3}) = (F_Q^{2,3}) \ast Q (F_Q^{1,23}).$$

**Proof.** First write $v = 1 + v_1 + \cdots$, where $v_i \in U_{1,f}$ has degree $i$ and $F = 1 + F_1 + F_2 + \cdots$, where $F_i \in (S^{\otimes n} \boxtimes 1)_f$ has degree $i$.

If we set $F_1 = -f/2$ and $v_1 = 0$, then (26) holds modulo terms of degree no less than 2. Assume that we have found $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ and $F_1, \ldots, F_{n-1}$ such that (26) holds modulo terms of degree no less than $n$.

Let us set $v_{<n} = 1 + v_1 + \cdots + v_{n-1}$ and $F_{<n} = 1 + F_1 + \cdots + F_{n-1}$. We then have

$$v_{<n}^1 v_{<n}^2 J(r + f) v_{<n}^{12} J(r)^{-1} = I_2(F_{<n}) + \psi,$$
where $\psi = \psi_n + \psi_{n+1} + \cdots$ is an element of $\hat{U}_{2,f}$ of degree $\geq n$. Let us denote by $K \in (\hat{U}_{2,f})^*$ the left side of (28). Then $K$ satisfies

$$K^{1,2} J(r)^{1,2} K^{12,3} (J(r)^{1,2})^{-1} = K^{2,3} J(r)^{2,3} K^{1,23} (J(r)^{2,3})^{-1}.$$ 

This implies that

$$(29) \quad I_3((F_{\leq n})_Q^{1,2} \ast Q (F_{\leq n})_Q^{12,3} \ast Q ((F_{\leq n})_Q^{2,3} \ast Q (F_{\leq n})_Q^{1,23})^{-1}) = 1 + \psi^{2,3} + \psi^{1,23} - (\psi^{1,2} + \psi^{12,3})$$

modulo degree greater than $n$. The associated graded of $I_3$ is the composed map $(S^\otimes 3 \boxtimes 1)_f \rightarrow (\Delta(S^\otimes 3))_f \simeq U_{n,f}$, which is injective; hence so is $I_3$. Therefore, modulo degree $\geq n$,

$$(F_{\leq n})_Q^{1,2} \ast Q (F_{\leq n})_Q^{12,3} \ast Q ((F_{\leq n})_Q^{2,3} \ast Q (F_{\leq n})_Q^{1,23})^{-1} = 1.$$ 

Moreover, $(S^\otimes 3 \boxtimes 1)_f \rightarrow (\Delta(S^\otimes 3))_f \simeq U_{3,f}$ is the linear isomorphism $(S^\otimes 3 \boxtimes 1)_f \simeq U_{3,f}^{aaa}$, so $d (\psi_n) := \psi_n^{2,3} + \psi_n^{1,23} - (\psi_n^{1,2} + \psi_n^{12,3}) \in U_{3,f}^{aaa}$.

Now $d(d(\psi_n)) = 0$ and $\text{Alt}(d(\psi_n)) = 0$, so the computation of the co-Hochschild cohomology of $U_{*,f}$ in Section 2.5 implies that $d(\psi_n) = d(\bar{F}_n)$, where $\bar{F}_n \in U_{2,f}^{aaa}$. The computation of the co-Hochschild cohomology for $U_{*,f}$ then implies that $\psi_n = \bar{F}_n + (v_{12} - v_1 - v_2^2) + \lambda'$, where $v_n \in U_{1,f}$ and $\lambda' \in (\Delta(\Lambda^2))_f$ all have degree $n$.

Now $(\Delta(\Lambda^2))_f = (\Lambda^2 \boxtimes 1)_f \oplus (\text{id} \boxtimes \text{id})_f \oplus (1 \boxtimes \Lambda^2)_f$. Since $(1 \boxtimes \Lambda^2)_f = 0$, we decompose $\lambda'$ as $\lambda'' + \lambda - \lambda^{2,1}$, where $\lambda'' \in (\Lambda^2 \boxtimes 1)_f$ and $\lambda \in (\text{id} \boxtimes \text{id})_f$. Set $\bar{F}_n := \bar{F}_n + \lambda'' \in U_{2,f}^{aaa}$.

Then $\psi_n = (v_{12} + v_1 - v_2^2) + \bar{F}_n + \lambda - \lambda^{2,1}$.

Let $F_n \in (S^\otimes 2 \boxtimes 1)_f$ be the preimage of $\bar{F}_n$ under the symmetrization map $(S^\otimes 2 \boxtimes 1)_f \rightarrow U_{2,f}^{aaa}$. Let us set $v_{\leq n} = (1 + v_n)(1 + v_{n+1})$ and $F_{\leq n} = F_{\leq n} + F_n$. Then (28) is rewritten as

$$(30) \quad (v_{\leq n} v_{\leq n}^{-1}) J(r + f) v_{\leq n} J(r)^{-1} = I_2(F_{\leq n}) + \lambda - \lambda^{2,1} + \psi' ,$$

where $\psi' = \psi'_{n+1} + \cdots \in \hat{U}_{2,f}$ has degree $\geq n + 1$.

As above, we denote by $K'$ the left side of (30). We have again

$$(K')^{1,2} J(r)^{1,2} (K')^{12,3} (J(r)^{1,2})^{-1} = (K')^{1,2} J(r)^{2,3} (K')^{1,23} (J(r)^{2,3})^{-1},$$

which according to (30) can be rewritten as

$$(31) \quad I_3((F_{\leq n})_Q^{1,2} \ast Q (F_{\leq n})_Q^{12,3} \ast Q ((F_{\leq n})_Q^{2,3} \ast Q (F_{\leq n})_Q^{1,23})^{-1}) = 1 + (\psi')^{2,3} + (\psi')^{1,23} - ((\psi')^{1,2} + (\psi')^{12,3}) + [r_1^{1,2}, \lambda^{12,3} - \lambda^{3,12}] / 2 - [r_1^{2,3}, \lambda^{1,23} - \lambda^{23,1}] / 2$$
modulo terms of degree \( > n + 1 \). Here \( r_1 = \kappa_1 \Pi (r) \in U_{2,f}^{ab} \). As above, this equation implies the form

\[
(F_{\leq n})^{1,2}_Q \ast Q (F_{\leq n})^{1,2}_Q \ast Q ((F_{\leq n})^{2,3}_Q \ast Q (F_{\leq n})^{1,23}_Q)^{-1} = 1 + g_{n+1} + \cdots,
\]

where \( g_{n+1}, \ldots \) have degree \( \geq n + 1 \); its degree \( n + 1 \) part yields

\[
\bar{g}_{n+1} = (\psi'_{n+1})^{2,3} + (\psi'_{n+1})^{1,23} - ((\psi'_{n+1})^{1,2} + (\psi'_{n+1})^{1,23})
\]

\[
+ [r_1^{1,2}, \lambda^{12,3} - \lambda^{3,12}]/2 - [r_1^{2,3}, \lambda^{1,23} - \lambda^{23,1}]/2,
\]

where \( \bar{g}_{n+1} \in U_{3,f}^{aaa} \) is the image of \( g_{n+1} \) by \((S \otimes 3 \boxtimes 1) f \cong U_{2,f}^{aaa} \). Applying \( \text{Alt} \) to this equation, we get

\[
\text{Alt}([r_1^{1,2}, \lambda^{12,3} - \lambda^{3,12}] - [r_1^{2,3}, \lambda^{1,23} - \lambda^{23,1}]) \in U_{3,f}^{aaa}.
\]

Now the terms under \( \text{Alt} \) belong to \( U_{3,f}^{c_1c_2c_3} \) where \( c_1c_2c_3 \) is respectively \( aab, bba, abb, baa \). These terms are antisymmetric with respect to the pairs of repeated indices, and

\[
-[r_1^{2,3}, \lambda^{1,23}] = ([r_1^{1,2}, -\lambda^{3,12}])^{2,3,1} \quad \text{and} \quad [r_1^{2,3}, \lambda^{23,1}] = ([r_1^{1,2}, \lambda^{12,3}])^{2,3,1}.
\]

Hence \([r_1^{1,2}, \lambda^{12,3} - \lambda^{3,12}] + \text{cyclic permutations} = 0\). Since the spaces \( U_{3,f}^{c_1c_2c_3} \) are in direct sum for distinct \( c_1c_2c_3 \), we get \([r_1^{1,2}, \lambda^{12,3}] = [r_1^{2,3}, \lambda^{1,23}] = 0\). We will show that the second equality implies that \( \lambda = 0 \). This will prove the induction step, because (30) then means that (26) holds at step \( n + 1 \).

So it remains to prove this:

**Lemma 5.2.** The composition

\[
(id \boxtimes id)_f \hookrightarrow U_{2,f}^{ab} \to U_{3,f}
\]

is injective, where the first map is

\[
(id \boxtimes id)_f \cong \Pi_f (1 \boxtimes 1, id \boxtimes id) \hookrightarrow \Pi_f (1 \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes S) \cong U_{2,f}^{ab}
\]

and the second map is \( U_{2,f}^{ab} \ni \lambda \mapsto [r_1^{2,3}, \lambda^{1,23}] \in U_{3,f} \).

**Proof of Lemma 5.2.** It follows from Section 1.22 that (31) coincides with the composition \((id \boxtimes id)_f \to (id \boxtimes \Lambda^2)_f \hookrightarrow (id \boxtimes id^{\otimes 2})_f \hookrightarrow U_{3,f}^{ab} \to U_{3,f}\), where the first map is

\[
(id \boxtimes id)_f \cong \text{LBA}_f(id, id) \xrightarrow{-\circ \mu} \text{LBA}_f(\Lambda^2, id) \cong (id \boxtimes \Lambda^2)_f,
\]

the second and the fourth maps are the natural injections, and the third map is the injection

\[
(id \boxtimes id^{\otimes 2})_f \cong \Pi_f (1 \boxtimes 1, (id \boxtimes 1) \otimes (1 \boxtimes id)^{\otimes 2})
\]

\[
\hookrightarrow \Pi_f (1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes 1) \otimes (1 \boxtimes S)^{\otimes 2}) \cong U_{3,f}^{ab}.
\]
It follows from Proposition 3.3 that the first map is also injective. Therefore the map $(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})_f \to U_{3,f}$ given by (31) is injective.

This ends the proof of the first part of Theorem 5.1. Equation (27) is then obtained by taking the limit $n \to \infty$ in (29). This proves Theorem 5.1.

We prove that pairs $(v, F)$ are unique up to gauge, although this fact will not be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 5.3.** The set of pairs $(v, F)$ as in Theorem 5.1 is a torsor under the action of $((S \boxtimes \mathbb{1})_f)_1^\times$: an element $g \in ((S \boxtimes \mathbb{1})_f)_1^\times$ transforms $(v, F)$ into $(vI_1(g), ((g)_2^1 \circ (g)_2^2)^{-1} \circ F \circ (g)_Q^1)$.

**Proof.** Since $I_2(g)_Q^{12} = J(r)I_1(g)_Q^{12} J(r)^{-1}$, the pair

$$(vI_1(g), ((g)_2^1 \circ (g)_2^2)^{-1} \circ F \circ (g)_Q^1)$$

is also a solution of the equation of Theorem 5.1. Conversely, let $(v_1, F_1)$ and $(v_2, F_2)$ be solutions of this equation. Then we have $v_1^1 v_2^2 I_2(F_1) J(r)(v_1^2)_Q^{-1} = v_2^1 v_2^2 I_2(F_2) J(r)(v_2^2)_Q^{-1}$. Let $n$ be the smallest index such that the degree $n$ components of $(v_1, F_1)$ and $(v_2, F_2)$ are different. We denote with an additional index $n$ these components. Then we have

$$(v_2,n - v_1,n)^{12} - (v_2,n - v_1,n)^{1} - (v_2,n - v_1,n)^{2} = \text{sym}_2(F_2,n - F_1,n),$$

where $\text{sym}_2 : (S^{\otimes 2} \boxtimes \mathbb{1})_f \to (\Delta(S^{\otimes 2}))_f \simeq U_{2,f}$ is the canonical injection. So $d(v_2,n - v_1,n) \in U_{2,f}^a$. As above, we obtain the existence of $w \in U_{1,f}^a$ of degree $n$ such that $d(v_2,n - v_1,n) = d(w)$. Therefore

$$v_2,n - v_1,n - w \in (\Delta(\text{id}))_f = (\text{id} \otimes \mathbb{1})_f \oplus (1 \otimes \text{id})_f.$$  

Now $(1 \otimes \text{id})_f = 0$, so $v_2,n - v_1,n - w \in (\text{id} \otimes \mathbb{1})_f \subset U_{1,f}^a$. Therefore $w' := v_2,n - v_1,n \in U_{1,f}^a$. Replacing $(v_2, F_2)$ by

$$(v_2I_1(1-w'), ((1-w')^1_2 \circ (1-w')^2_2)^{-1} \circ F_2 \circ (1-w')_Q^{12}),$$

we obtain a solution equal to $(v_1, F_1)$ up to degree $n$. Proceeding inductively, we see that $(v_1, F_1)$ and $(v_2, F_2)$ are related by the action of an element of $((S \boxtimes \mathbb{1})_f)_1^\times$. \qed

5.6. **Compatibility of quantization functors with twists.** Let $P$ be a prop and $\overline{Q} : \text{Bialg} \to S(P)$ be a prop morphism. Using $\overline{Q}$, we equip the collection of all $P(1, S^{\otimes n})$ with the structure of a $\mathcal{Y}$-algebra (see Section 5.3) with unit $\overline{Q}(\eta^{\otimes n})$.

We define a twist of $\overline{Q}$ to be an element $F$ of $P(1, S^{\otimes 2})^\times$ such that the relations

$$(F)_Q^{12} \circ (F)_Q^{12} = (F)_Q^{23} \circ (F)_Q^{23} \circ (F)_Q^{12}$$

and

$$(F)_Q^{12} = (F)_Q^{12}$$

for $F = (\overline{Q}(\eta))_Q^{12}$. If $F$ is such a twist, then $\overline{Q}(\eta)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{Y}$-algebras $S(P) \to S(P)$. We denote by $\overline{Q}(\eta)$ the unit of $\mathcal{Y}$-algebra $S(P)$.
hold in $\mathbf{P}(1, S^{\otimes 3})$. Here we use the $\mathcal{Y}$-algebra structure on $\mathbf{P}(1, S^{\otimes n})$ given by $\overline{Q}$.

Then get a new prop morphism $\overline{FQ} : \text{Bialg} \to S(\mathbf{P})$ defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\overline{FQ}(m) &= \overline{Q}(m), \\
\overline{FQ}(\epsilon) &= \overline{Q}(\epsilon), \\
\overline{FQ}(\Delta) &= \text{Ad}(F) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta), \\
\overline{FQ}(\eta) &= \overline{Q}(\eta).
\end{align*}
\]

Here $\text{Ad}(F) \in S(\mathbf{P})(\text{id}^{\otimes 2}, \text{id}^{\otimes 2})$ is given by

\[
\text{Ad}(F) = \overline{Q}(m^{(2)} \otimes m^{(2)}) \circ (142536) \circ (F \otimes \text{id}_{S^{\otimes 2}} \otimes F^{-1}) \in \mathbf{P}(S^{\otimes 2}, S^{\otimes 2}),
\]

where $m^{(2)} = m \circ (m \otimes \text{id}_{id}) \in \text{Bialg}(T_{3}, \text{id})$.

We say that the prop morphisms $\overline{Q}$, $\overline{Q}' : \text{Bialg} \to S(\mathbf{P})$ are equivalent if $\overline{Q}' = \theta(\xi) \circ \overline{Q}$, where $\xi \in S(\mathbf{P})(\text{id}, \text{id})^\times$ and $\theta(\xi)$ is the corresponding inner automorphism of $S(\mathbf{P})$.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $Q : \text{Bialg} \to S(\text{LBA})$ be an Etingof-Kazhdan quantization functor. Then $S(\kappa_{1}) \circ Q : \text{Bialg} \to S(\text{LBA}_{f})$ are prop morphisms for $i = 1, 2$. There exists $i \in S(\text{LBA}_{f})(\text{id}, \text{id})^\times$ such that $\kappa_{0}(i) = S(\text{LBA})(\text{id}, \text{id})$, and a twist $F$ of $S(\kappa_{1}) \circ Q$ such that $S(\kappa_{2}) \circ Q = \theta(i) \circ F(S(\kappa_{1}) \circ Q)$.

**Proof.** We will construct $i$ such that

$\kappa_{2}^{\Pi}(m_{a}) = i \circ \kappa_{1}^{\Pi}(m_{a}) \circ (i^{\otimes 2})^{-1}$ and $\kappa_{2}^{\Pi}(\Delta_{a}) = i^{\otimes 2} \circ \text{Ad}(F) \circ \kappa_{1}^{\Pi}(\Delta_{a}) \circ i^{-1}$,

where as before $\text{Ad}(F) \in \Pi_{f}((S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes 2}, (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes 2})^\times$ and

\[
\text{Ad}(F) = (m^{(2)}_{a} \boxtimes m^{(2)}_{a}) \circ (142536) \circ (F \boxtimes \text{id}_{(S^{\boxtimes 2})} \boxtimes F^{-1}).
\]

Let us relate the two $\kappa_{i}^{\Pi}(m_{\Pi})$. Equation (18) implies that

$\kappa_{2}^{\Pi}(\text{Ad}(J)) = \Xi_{f}^{\otimes 2} \circ \text{Ad}(v)^{\otimes 2} \circ \kappa_{1}^{\Pi}(\text{Ad}(R_{+}^{\otimes 2} \circ F) \circ \text{Ad}(J)) \circ \text{Ad}(v^{12})^{-1} \circ (\Xi_{f}^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$,

and therefore (19) implies that

$\kappa_{2}^{\Pi}(\Delta_{\Pi}) = \Xi_{f}^{\otimes 2} \circ \text{Ad}(v)^{\otimes 2} \circ \kappa_{1}^{\Pi}(\text{Ad}(R_{+}^{\otimes 2} \circ F) \circ \Delta_{\Pi}) \circ \text{Ad}(v)^{-1} \circ \Xi_{f}^{-1}$.

Now

$R(r + f) = (m^{(2)}_{\Pi} \boxtimes m^{(2)}_{\Pi}) \circ (142536) \circ ((R_{+}^{\otimes 2} \circ F^{2,1}) \boxtimes R(r) \boxtimes (R_{+}^{\otimes 2} \circ F^{-1}))$,

where $F \in \Pi_{f}(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes 2})$. For $X \in \Pi_{f}(1 \boxtimes 1, (S \boxtimes 1)^{\otimes 2})$, set $X := (\text{can}_{S \boxtimes 1}^{+} \boxtimes \text{id}_{S^{\boxtimes 2}}) \circ (\text{id}_{S^{\boxtimes 2}} \boxtimes X)$. Then

\[
(\kappa_{2}^{\Pi}(R_{+}) \boxtimes \kappa_{2}^{\Pi}(R_{-})) \circ \text{can}_{S^{\boxtimes 1}} = \kappa_{2}^{\Pi}(R) = \Xi_{f}^{\otimes 2} \circ R(r + f)
\]

$= ((\Xi_{f} \circ \text{Ad}(v) \circ \kappa_{1}^{\Pi}(R_{+}))$

$\boxtimes (\Xi_{f} \circ \text{Ad}(v) \circ \kappa_{1}^{\Pi}(m^{(2)}_{\Pi} \circ (R_{+} \boxtimes R_{-} \boxtimes R_{+})) \circ (F^{2,1} \boxtimes \text{id}_{S^{\boxtimes 1}} \boxtimes F^{-1})))$

$\circ \text{can}_{S^{\boxtimes 1}}$. 

Then $\kappa_1^\Pi(R_+) = \Xi_f \circ \text{Ad}(v) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+) \circ i^{-1}$ for some $i \in \Pi_f(S \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes 1)^\times$. Therefore $\kappa_2^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) = i \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \circ \text{Ad}(v^{-1}) \circ \Xi_f^{-1}$.

Now

$$\kappa_2^\Pi(m_a) = \kappa_2^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \circ \kappa_2^\Pi(m_\Pi) \circ \kappa_2^\Pi(R_+) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 = i \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(m_\Pi) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+) \circ i^{-1} \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2$$

and

$$\kappa_2^\Pi(\Delta_a) = \kappa_2^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ \kappa_2^\Pi(\Delta_\Pi) \circ \kappa_2^\Pi(R_+) = i \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\text{Ad}(R_+^{(-1)} \circ F) \circ \Delta_\Pi) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+ \circ i^{-1}) .$$

We first prove that

$$\kappa_2^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ \text{Ad}(\kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ F) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_\Pi \circ R_+) = \text{Ad}(F) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_\Pi).$$

One checks that $\text{Ad}(\kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ F) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_\Pi \circ R_+) = \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ \text{Ad}(F)$. We have

$$\Delta_\Pi \circ R_+ = \Delta_\Pi \circ R_+ \circ R^* \circ (R_+^{(-1)})^* = \Delta_\Pi \circ \ell_\Pi(R_+^{(-1)})^*$$

Applying $\kappa_1^\Pi$ and composing from the left with $\text{Ad}(\kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ F)$, we obtain

$$\text{Ad}(\kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ F) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_\Pi \circ R_+) = \kappa_1^\Pi(R_+^{(-1)}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ \text{Ad}(F) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_\Pi).$$

It follows that $\kappa_2^\Pi(\Delta_a) = i \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ \text{Ad}(F) \circ \kappa_1^\Pi(\Delta_a) \circ i^{-1}$, as wanted. ☐

6. Quantization of coboundary Lie bialgebras

6.1. Compatibility with coopposite. Let $\Phi$ be an associator. Then $\Phi' := \Phi(-A, -B)$ is also an associator. Let $Q$ and $Q'$ be the Etingof-Kazhdan quantization functors corresponding to $\Phi$ and $\Phi'$.

Recall that $\tau_{\text{LBA}} \in \text{Aut}(\text{LBA})$ is defined by $\mu \mapsto \mu$, $\delta \mapsto -\delta$, and define $\tau_{\text{Bialg}} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Bialg})$ by $m \mapsto m$, $\Delta \mapsto (21) \circ \Delta$.

**Proposition 6.1.** There exists a $\xi_\tau \in S(\text{LBA})(\text{id}, \text{id})^\times$, with $\xi_\tau = \text{id}_{\text{id}} + \text{terms of positive degree in both } \mu \text{ and } \delta$, such that

$$Q' \circ \tau_{\text{Bialg}} = \theta(\xi_\tau) \circ S(\tau_{\text{LBA}}) \circ Q .$$

**Proof.** This means that

$$Q'(m) = \xi_\tau \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2 \circ S(\tau_{\text{LBA}})(Q(m)) \circ \xi_\tau^{-1},$$

$$Q'(\Delta) \circ (21) = \xi_\tau \circ S(\tau_{\text{LBA}})(Q(\Delta)) \circ (\xi_\tau^{-1}) \mathbin{\boxtimes}^2.$$


We will therefore construct \( \hat{\xi}_r \in \Pi(S \boxtimes \mathbf{1}, S \boxtimes \mathbf{1})^X \) such that
\[
m'_a = \hat{\xi}_r^{\mathbb{2}} \circ \tau_\Pi (m_a) \circ \hat{\xi}_r^{-1}
\quad \text{and} \quad \Delta'_a \circ (21) = \hat{\xi}_r \circ \tau_\Pi (\Delta_a) \circ (\hat{\xi}_r^{\mathbb{2}})^{-1},
\]
where \( m'_a \) and \( \Delta'_a \) are the analogues of \( m_a \) and \( \Delta_a \) for \( \Phi' \).

**Lemma 6.2.** \( \tau_\Pi (\text{Ad}(J)) = (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}} \circ \text{Ad}(J(-r)) \circ ((\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}})^{-1}. \)

This follows from Lemma 1.19.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( J' \) be the analogue of \( J \) for \( \Phi' \). There exists \( u \in \widehat{U}_1 \) of the form \( u = 1 + \text{terms of degree} \geq 1 \) such that
\[
(33) \quad (J')^{2,1} = u^1 u^2 J(-r)(u^{12})^{-1}.
\]

**Proof of Lemma 6.3.** We have
\[
J(-r)^{1,2} J(-r)^{12,3} = J(-r)^{2,3} J(-r)^{1,23} \Phi(-t_{12}, -t_{23}) = J(-r)^{2,3} J(-r)^{1,23} \Phi'
\]
(the equality takes place in \( \widehat{U}_2 \), where we use the \( \mathcal{X} \)-algebra structure on \( \widehat{U}_n \)). Let us set \( u_0 = 1 + \text{class of} \ a_1 b_1 / 2 \) and \( \tilde{J} := u_0^1 u_0^2 J(-r)^{2,1} (u_0^{12})^{-1} \). Then \( \tilde{J} \) satisfies \( J^{1,2} J^{12,3} = J^{2,3} J^{1,23} \Phi' \) (since \( (\Phi')^{3,2,1} = (\Phi')^{-1} \)) and \( \tilde{J} = 1 - r/2 \) + terms of degree \( > 1 \), and \( J' \) satisfies the same conditions. According to [Enr05], this implies the existence of \( u_1 \in \widehat{U}_1 \) of the form \( u_1 = 1 + \text{terms of degree} \geq 1 \) such that \( J' = u_1^1 u_1^2 \tilde{J}(u_1^{12})^{-1} \), so if we set \( u = u_1 u_0 \in \widehat{U}_1 \), then \( u \) has the form \( u = 1 + \text{class of} \ a_1 b_1 / 2 + \text{terms of degree} \geq 1 \), and satisfies (33).

**Lemma 6.4.** We have \( \tau_\Pi (\Delta_\Pi) = (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}} \circ \text{Ad}(u^{-1})^{\mathbb{2}} \circ ((21) \circ \Delta'_\Pi) \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{-1}. \)

This follows from Lemmas 1.19 and 6.2.

**Lemma 6.5.** \( \tau_\Pi (R) = (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}} \circ \text{Ad}(u^{-1})^{\mathbb{2}} \circ (R')^{-1}. \)

**Proof of Lemma 6.5.** We have
\[
R = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n!)^{-1} (m_\Pi^{(n+1)} \boxtimes m_\Pi^{(n+1)}) \circ (1, n + 3, 2, n + 4, \ldots) \circ (J^{2,1} \boxtimes t \boxtimes n \circ J^{-1}),
\]
so
\[
\tau_\Pi (R) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n!)^{-1} (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}} \circ (m_\Pi^{(n+1)} \boxtimes m_\Pi^{(n+1)}) \circ (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}(n+2)} \circ ((\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}(n+2)})^{-1} \circ (\tau_\Pi (J^{2,1}) \boxtimes \tau_\Pi (t) \boxtimes n \circ \tau_\Pi (J^{-1}))
\]
\[
= \sum_{n \geq 0} (n!)^{-1} (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}} \circ (m_\Pi^{(n+1)} \boxtimes m_\Pi^{(n+1)}) \circ (J(-r)^{2,1} \boxtimes (t) \boxtimes n \circ J(-r)^{-1})
\]
\[
= (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}} \circ (J(-r)^{2,1} e^{-t/2} J(-r)) = (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{\mathbb{2}} \circ R(-r).
\]
Now \( R = (u^1 u^2)(R')^{-1}(u^1 u^2)^{-1} \), whence the result. \( \square \)
Lemma 6.6. There exists $\sigma \in \Pi(1 \boxtimes S, 1 \boxtimes S)^\times$ such that
\[
R^{-1} = (R_+ \boxtimes (R_- \circ \sigma)) \circ \text{can}_S^{\otimes 1}.
\]

Proof. Set can := $\text{can}_S^{\otimes 1}$ and can+ := $\sum_{i \geq 1} \text{can}_S^{\otimes 1}$. Set $m_b := \Delta_+^a$. Then $m_\Pi \circ R^{\otimes 2} = R_- \circ m_b$. The series
\[
can' := \text{can}_1^{\otimes 1} + \sum_{i > 0} (m_a^{(i)} \boxtimes m_a^{(i)}) \circ (1, i + 1, 2, i + 2, \ldots) \circ (-\text{can}_+)^{\otimes i}
\]
is convergent and has the form $(\text{id}_S^{\otimes 1} \boxtimes \sigma) \circ \text{can}_S^{\otimes 1}$ for a suitable invertible $\sigma$. We then have $(m_a \boxtimes m_b) \circ (32) \circ (\text{can}_S^{\otimes 1} \boxtimes \text{can}') = \text{can}_1^{\otimes 1}$.

It follows that $R^{-1} = (R_+ \boxtimes R_-) \circ \text{can}' = (R_+ \boxtimes (R_- \circ \sigma)) \circ \text{can}_S^{\otimes 1}$. \hfill \Box

This concludes the proof of Proposition 6.1. \hfill \Box

The above lemmas imply
\[
\tau_\Pi(R) = ((\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S) \circ \text{Ad}(u^{-1}))^{\otimes 2} \circ (R_+ \boxtimes (R_- \circ \sigma')) \circ \text{can}_S^{\otimes 1},
\]
where $\sigma'$ is the analogue of $\sigma$ for $\Phi'$. Since $\tau_\Pi(R) = (\tau_\Pi(R_+) \boxtimes \tau_\Pi(R_-)) \circ \text{can}_S^{\otimes 1}$, there exists $\xi_\tau \in \Pi(S \boxtimes 1, S \boxtimes 1)^\times$ such that
\[
\tau_\Pi(R_+) = (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S) \circ \text{Ad}(u)^{-1} \circ R_+^{\otimes 1} \circ \xi_\tau.
\]

It follows that $\tau_\Pi(R_+^{\otimes (1)}) = \xi_\tau^{-1} \circ R_+^{\otimes (1)} \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ (\text{id}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)^{-1}$.

Then
\[
\tau_\Pi(m_a) = \tau_\Pi(R_+^{\otimes (1)} \circ m_\Pi \circ R_+^{\otimes 2})
= \xi_\tau^{-1} \circ R_+^{\otimes (1)} \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ m_\Pi \circ (\text{Ad}(u)^{-1})^{\otimes 2} \circ (R_+^{\otimes 2}) \circ \xi_\tau^{\otimes 2}
= \xi_\tau^{-1} \circ m_\tau' \circ \xi_\tau^{\otimes 2}
\]
and
\[
\tau_\Pi(\Delta_a) = \tau_\Pi((R_+^{\otimes (1)} \circ \Delta_\Pi \circ R_+^{\otimes 2})
= (\xi_\tau^{-1} \circ R_+^{\otimes (1)}) \circ (21) \circ \Delta_\Pi^{\otimes 2} \circ (R_+^{\otimes 2}) \circ \xi_\tau = (\xi_\tau^{-1})^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta_\tau \circ \xi_\tau.
\]

Moreover, the image $(\xi_\tau)|_{\mu=\delta=0} \in S(\text{Sch})(\text{id}, \text{id})$ of $\xi_\tau$ by the morphism $LBA \rightarrow \text{Sch}$, $\mu, \delta \mapsto 0$ is equal to $\text{id}_{\text{id}}$. Set $\xi' := (\xi_\tau)|_{\delta=0}$, so $\xi' \in \text{LA}(S, S)$. We have $\text{LA}(S^p, S^q) = 0$ unless $p = q$, and $\text{LA}(S^p, S^p) = k \text{id}_S$. So $\xi' = \text{id}_S$. Now $\xi_\tau = \xi' + \text{terms of positive degree in } \delta$, so $\xi_\tau = \text{id}_S + \text{terms of positive degree in } \delta$. In the same way, $\xi_\tau = \text{id}_S + \text{terms of positive degree in } \mu$. So $\xi_\tau = \text{id}_S + \text{terms of positive degree in both } \delta$ and $\mu$.

This ends the proof of Proposition 6.1. \hfill \Box

Remark 6.7. We take this opportunity to correct a mistake in [Enr05, Th. 2.1]. Let $J = 1 - r/2 + \cdots$ be a solution of (22). Then the set of solutions of (22) of the form $1 + \text{terms of degree } \geq 1$ consists of the disjoint union of two gauge orbits
and not one), that of \( J \) and that of \( J^{2,1} \). The degree one term of the solution has the form \( \alpha r + \beta r^{2,1} \), where \( \alpha - \beta = \pm 1/2 \); the solution is in the gauge class of \( J \) (respectively, \( J^{2,1} \)) if and only if \( \alpha - \beta = -1/2 \) (respectively, 1/2). This follows from a more careful analysis in degree one in the proof of [Enr05, Th. 2.1].

6.2. Quantization functors for coboundary Lie bialgebras. A quantization functor of coboundary Lie bialgebras is a prop morphism \( Q : COB \to S(\text{Cob}) \) such that

(a) the composed morphism \( \text{Bialg} \to COB \xrightarrow{Q} S(\text{Cob}) \xrightarrow{\mu, r \mapsto 0} S(\text{Sch}) \) is the propic version of the bialgebra structure on the symmetric algebras, and

(b) \( Q(R) = \text{inj}_0^{\otimes 2} + \text{terms of degree } \geq 1 \text{ in } \rho, \) and \( Q(R) - (21) \circ Q(R) = \text{inj}_1^{\otimes 2} \circ \rho + \text{terms of degree } \geq 2 \text{ in } \rho, \) where

\[
\text{inj}_0 \in \text{Sch}(1, S) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{inj}_1 \in \text{Sch}(\text{id}, S)
\]

are the canonical injection maps. (Recall that Cob has a grading in which \( \mu \) has degree 0 and \( \rho \) has degree 1.)

As in the case of quantization functors of Lie bialgebras, \( Q \) necessarily satisfies \( Q(\eta) = \text{inj}_0 \) and \( Q(\varepsilon) = \text{pr}_0 \). As we explained, each such morphism \( Q \) yields a solution of the quantization problem of coboundary Lie bialgebras.

6.3. Construction of quantization functors of coboundary Lie bialgebras.

THEOREM 6.8. Any even associator defined over \( k \) gives rise to a quantization functor of coboundary Lie bialgebras.

Remark 6.9. In [BN98], the existence of rational even associators is proved. This implies the existence of quantization functors of coboundary Lie bialgebras over any field \( k \) of characteristic 0.

Proof. There is a unique automorphism \( \tau_{\text{Cob}} \) of Cob, defined by \( \mu \mapsto \mu \) and \( \rho \mapsto -\rho \). Then the following diagrams of prop morphisms commute:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LBA} \xrightarrow{\kappa^{\otimes 1}} \text{Cob} \\
\text{LBA} \xrightarrow{\kappa^{\otimes 1}} \text{Cob}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LBA} \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} \text{Cob} \\
\text{LBA} \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} \text{Cob}
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( Q : \text{Bialg} \to S(\text{LBA}) \) be a quantization functor corresponding to an even associator. Then \( \overline{Q} := S(\kappa \circ \kappa_1) \circ Q : \text{Bialg} \to S(\text{Cob}) \) is a prop morphism. We
have
\[ S(\tau_{\text{Cob}}) \circ S(\kappa \circ \kappa_1) \circ Q = S(\kappa \circ \kappa_1) \circ S(\tau_{\text{LBA}}) \circ Q \]
\[ = S(\kappa \circ \kappa_1) \circ \theta(\xi^{-1}_\tau) \circ Q \circ \tau_{\text{Bialg}} \]
\[ = \theta(S(\kappa \circ \kappa_1)(\xi^{-1}_\tau)) \circ S(\kappa \circ \kappa_1) \circ Q \circ \tau_{\text{Bialg}}, \]
where the first equality uses the first diagram of (34), and the second equality uses Proposition 6.1. Therefore
\[ S(\tau_{\text{Cob}}) \circ \overline{Q} = \theta(\xi'_\tau) \circ \overline{Q} \circ \tau_{\text{Bialg}} \] where \( \xi'_\tau = S(\kappa \circ \kappa_1)(\xi^{-1}_\tau) \).

On the other hand, there exists \( F \in LBA_f(1, S^{\otimes 2}) \times \) and \( i \in S(LBA_f)(\text{id, id}) \times \) such that \( S(\kappa_2) \circ Q = \theta(i) \circ S(\kappa_1) \circ Q \). Composing this equality with \( S(\kappa) \), we get \( S(\kappa \circ \kappa_2) \circ Q = \theta(S(\kappa)(i)) \circ S^2(\kappa)(F)(\overline{Q}) \). Now \( S(\kappa \circ \kappa_2) \circ Q = S(\tau_{\text{Cob}}) \circ \overline{Q} \) (using the second diagram in (34)), so
\[ S(\tau_{\text{Cob}}) \circ \overline{Q} = \theta(S(\kappa)(i)) \circ S^2(\kappa)(F)(\overline{Q}), \]

We therefore get \( \theta(\xi'_\tau) \circ \overline{Q} \circ \tau_{\text{Bialg}} = \theta(S(\kappa)(i)) \circ S^{\otimes 2}(\kappa)(F)(\overline{Q}), \)
so
\[ F' \overline{Q} = \theta(\xi''_\tau) \circ \overline{Q} \circ \tau_{\text{Bialg}} \]
where \( \xi''_\tau = S(\kappa)(i)^{-1} \circ \xi'_\tau \) and \( F' = S^{\otimes 2}(\kappa)(F) \). Here \( \xi''_\tau \in S(\text{Cob})(\text{id, id}) \times \) has the form \( \text{id}_S + \text{terms of positive degree in } \rho \), and \( F' \in \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 2}) \) satisfies
\[ (F')^{1, 2}_\overline{Q} \ast \overline{Q} (F')^{12, 3}_\overline{Q} = (F')^{2, 3}_\overline{Q} \ast \overline{Q} (F')^{12, 3}_\overline{Q} \] and \( (F')^{\otimes 1}_\overline{Q} = (F')^{1, \otimes}_\overline{Q} = \text{inj}_0 \),
and
\[ F' = \text{inj}_0^{\otimes 2} + \rho + \text{terms of degree } \geq 2 \text{ in } \rho. \]

We will prove this:

**Proposition 6.10.** There exists \( G \in \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 2}) \) satisfying (36) (where \( \overline{Q} \) is also used), (37),
\[ G \ast \overline{Q} G^{2, 1} = G^{2, 1} \ast \overline{Q} G = \text{inj}_0^{\otimes 2}, \] and \( (21) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta) = \text{Ad}(G) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta). \)

*(Recall that the definition of \( \text{Ad}(G) \) involves \( \overline{Q}(m) \).*

This proposition implies the theorem, since we now have a prop morphism \( \text{COB} \rightarrow S(\text{Cob}) \), obtained by extending \( \overline{Q} : \text{Bialg} \rightarrow S(\text{Cob}) \) by \( R \mapsto G \).
Proof. We start by making (35) explicit, which means that
\[
\overline{Q}(m) = (\xi'')^\otimes 2 \circ \overline{Q}(m) \circ (\xi'')^{-1},
\]
\[
\overline{Q}(\eta) = \xi'' \circ \overline{Q}(\eta),
\]
\[
\overline{Q}(\varepsilon) = \overline{Q}(\varepsilon) \circ \xi'', \quad (\xi'')^\otimes 2 \circ (21) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta) \circ (\xi'')^{-1} = \text{Ad}(F') \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta).
\]

We first prove a lemma.

**Lemma 6.11.** There exists a unique \( H \in \text{Cob}(1, S^\otimes 2)^\times \) such that
\[
H = \text{inj}_0^\otimes + \text{terms of degree} \geq 1 \text{ in } \rho, \quad ((\xi'')^\otimes 2 \circ H) \ast \overline{Q} H = ((\xi'')^\otimes 2 \circ (F')^2 \cdot 1) \ast \overline{Q} F'.
\]

Then \( (\xi'')^\otimes 2 \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta) \circ (\xi'')^{-1} = \text{Ad}(H) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta), \) \( H \) satisfies the identities (36), and
\[
H = \text{inj}_0^\otimes + \text{terms of degree} \geq 2 \text{ in } \rho.
\]

**Proof.** The existence of \( H \) is a consequence of the following statement. Let \( A = A^0 \supset A^1 \supset \cdots \) be a filtered algebra that is complete and separated for this filtration. Let \( \theta \) be a topological automorphism of \( A \) such that \( (\theta - \text{id}_A)(A^n) \subset A^{n+1} \) for any \( n \). Let \( u \in A \) be such that \( u \equiv 1 \) modulo \( A^1 \). Then there exists a unique \( v \in A \) with \( v \equiv 1 \) modulo \( A^1 \) and \( v\theta(v) = u \). We will apply this statement to \( A = \text{Cob}(1, S^\otimes 2) \) equipped with the product given by \( \overline{Q}(m) \). The filtration is given by the degree in \( \rho \), and \( \theta(F) = (\xi'')^\otimes 2 \circ F \).

To prove the existence of \( v \), we construct inductively the class \([v]_n \) of \( v \) in \( A/A^n \): assume that \([v]_n \) has been found such that \([v]_n \theta([v]_n) = [u]_n \) in \( A/A^n \), and let \( v' \) be a lift of \([v]_n \) to \( A/A^{n+1} \). Then \( v\theta(v') \equiv [u]_{n+1} \) modulo \( A^n/A^{n+1} \). Then we set \([v]_{n+1} = v' - (1/2)(v\theta(v') - [u]_{n+1}) \) in \( A/A^{n+1} \).

Let us prove the uniqueness of \( v \). Letting \( v \) and \( v' \) be solutions, we prove by induction on \( n \) that \([v]_n = [v']_n \). Assuming that this has been proved up to order \( n-1 \), let us prove it at order \( n \). We have \( v\theta(v) - v'\theta(v') = (v - v')\theta(v) + v'(\theta(v) - \theta(v')) \). Then we have \( v - v' \in A^{n-1} \) and \( \theta(v) - \theta(v') \in A^{n-1} \), and the classes of these elements are equal in \( A^{n-1}/A^n \). So the class of \( v\theta(v) - v'\theta(v') \) in \( A^{n-1}/A^n \) is equal to twice the class of \( v - v' \) in \( A^{n-1}/A^n \). Since \( v\theta(v) = v'\theta(v') \), the latter class is 0, so \( v - v' \in A^n \).

Before we prove the properties of \( H \), we construct the following propic version of the theory of twists. Let us denote by \( \Delta \) the set of all \( \Delta \in \text{Cob}(S, S^\otimes 2) \) such that there exists a prop morphism \( \overline{Q}_\Delta : \text{Balg} \to S(\text{Cob}) \) such that
\[
\overline{Q}_\Delta(\Delta) = \Delta, \quad \overline{Q}_\Delta(m) = \overline{Q}(m), \quad \overline{Q}_\Delta(\varepsilon) = \overline{Q}(\varepsilon), \quad \overline{Q}_\Delta(\eta) = \overline{Q}(\eta).
\]

For \( \Delta_1 \in \Delta \), we denote by \( \text{Tw}(\Delta_1, \cdot) \) the set of all \( F_1 \in \text{Cob}(1, S^\otimes 2)^\times \) satisfying (36), where the underlying structure is that given by \( \overline{Q}_{\Delta_1} \). Then if \( \Delta_2 := \text{Ad}(F_1) \circ \overline{Q}_{\Delta_1} \)
\( \overline{\Delta}_1 \), we have \( \overline{\Delta}_2 \in \Delta \). If \( \overline{\Delta}_1, \overline{\Delta}_2 \in \Delta \), let us denote by \( \text{Tw}(\overline{\Delta}_1, \overline{\Delta}_2) \subset \text{Tw}(\overline{\Delta}_1, \cdot) \) the set of all \( F \) such that \( \overline{\Delta}_2 = \text{Ad}(F_1) \circ \overline{\Delta}_1 \).

Then if \( \overline{\Delta}_i \in \Delta \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), the map \( (F_1, F_2) \mapsto F_2 F_1 \) (which is the product in \( \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 2}) \) using \( \overline{\Omega}(m) \)) defines a map \( \text{Tw}(\overline{\Delta}_1, \overline{\Delta}_2) \times \text{Tw}(\overline{\Delta}_2, \overline{\Delta}_3) \rightarrow \text{Tw}(\overline{\Delta}_1, \overline{\Delta}_3) \).

Let us now prove the properties of \( H \). We have

\[
H(n) = ((\xi''(n-1) \circ \overline{\Omega} H)) \ast \overline{\Omega} \cdots \overline{\Omega} \left( (\xi''(n) \circ \overline{\Omega} H) \ast \overline{\Omega} \right).
\]

Then we have a unique formal map \( t \mapsto (\xi'')^n \circ \overline{\Omega} H \) for \( n = 1/2 \). The specialization of \( (\xi'')^n \circ \overline{\Omega} H \) for \( n = 1/2 \) is \( \xi'' \).

We now prove that \( \overline{\Omega}(1/2) = H \). Let us set

\[
H(n) = ((\xi''(n-1) \circ H)) \ast \overline{\Omega} \cdots \overline{\Omega} \left((\xi''(n) \circ \overline{\Omega} H) \ast \overline{\Omega} \right).
\]

Then we have a unique formal map \( t \mapsto H(t) \) with values in \( \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 2}) \) such that the induced map \( k \mapsto \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 2})/(\text{its part of degree} > k) \) is polynomial for any \( k \geq 0 \) and coincides with the maps \( n \mapsto (\text{class of} (\xi'')^n) \) for \( t \in \mathbb{N} \).

It follows that (38) also holds when \( n \) is replaced by the formal variable \( t \). The resulting identity can be specialized for \( n = 1/2 \). The specialization of \( (\xi'')^n \circ \overline{\Omega} H \) for \( t = 1/2 \) is \( \xi'' \).

We now prove that \( \overline{\Omega}(1/2) = H \). Let us set

\[
H(n) = ((\xi''(n-1) \circ H)) \ast \overline{\Omega} \cdots \overline{\Omega} \left((\xi''(n) \circ \overline{\Omega} H) \ast \overline{\Omega} \right).
\]

Then we have a unique formal map \( t \mapsto H(t) \) with values in \( \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 2}) \) such that the induced map \( k \mapsto \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 2})/(\text{its part of degree} > k) \) is polynomial for any \( k \geq 0 \) and coincides with the maps \( n \mapsto (\text{class of} H(n)) \) for \( t \in \mathbb{N} \).

We have \( H(2n) = \overline{\Omega}(n) \) for any integer \( n \), so this identity also holds when \( n \) is replaced by the formal variable \( t \). Specializing the resulting identity for \( t = 1/2 \), we get \( \overline{\Omega}(1/2) = H(1) = H \).

The specialization of the formal version of (38) for \( t = 1/2 \) then gives

\[
\xi'' \circ \overline{\Omega} H = \text{Ad}(H) \circ \overline{\Omega} H.
\]
Let us now prove the identities (36) in \( H \). We have
\[
(\xi'' \circ (H^{\otimes,1})) \star \nu H^{\otimes,1} = \text{inj}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^{\otimes,1} = \text{inj}_0 + \text{terms of positive degree in } \rho.
\]
Hence, by the uniqueness result proved above, \( H^{\otimes,1} = \nu H(\eta) \). In the same way, \( H^{1,\otimes} = \text{inj}_0 \).

We now prove that
\[
(40) \quad (H)_{12}^{1,2} \star \nu (H)_{12,3}^{1,2} = (H)_{12}^{2,3} \star \nu (H)_{1,23}^{1,2}.
\]
Let \( \Psi \in \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 3})^* \) be such that \( (H)_{12}^{1,2} \star \nu (H)_{12,3}^{1,2} = (H)_{12}^{2,3} \star \nu (H)_{1,23}^{1,2} \star \nu \Psi \).

We have \( (\mathcal{F})_{12}^{1,2} \star \nu (\mathcal{F})_{12,3}^{1,2} = (\mathcal{F})_{12}^{2,3} \star \nu (\mathcal{F})_{1,23}^{1,2} \), that is
\[
(\mathcal{F} \otimes \nu (\mathcal{F})(\eta)) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F}) = (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F}) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \eta \otimes \Delta) \circ \mathcal{F}).
\]
This is rewritten
\[
((\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ (H \otimes \nu (\mathcal{F})(\eta)) \star \nu (H \otimes \nu (\mathcal{F})(\eta)) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \xi''^{\otimes 2} \circ \mathcal{F}) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F})
\]
\[
= ((\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F})) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F}) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F}).
\]
Using \( \xi'' \circ \text{inj}_0 = \text{inj}_0 \) and (39), we get
\[
((\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ (H \otimes \nu (\mathcal{F})(\eta)) \star \nu (\xi''^{\otimes 2} \circ \mathcal{F}) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F})
\]
\[
= ((\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F})) \star \nu (\xi''^{\otimes 2} \circ \mathcal{F}) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F}).
\]
Since \( X \mapsto (\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ X \) is an automorphism of \( \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 3}) \), we get
\[
((\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ ((H \otimes \nu (\mathcal{F})(\eta)) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F}))) \star \nu (\xi''^{\otimes 2} \circ \mathcal{F}) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F})
\]
\[
= ((\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ ((\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F})) \star \nu (\xi''^{\otimes 2} \circ \mathcal{F}) \star \nu (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{\Delta} \otimes \eta \circ \mathcal{F}),
\]
that is,
\[
((\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ \Psi) \star \nu ( (H)_{12}^{2,3} \star \nu (H)_{1,23}^{1,2} ) \star \nu \Psi = ((H)_{12}^{2,3} \star \nu (H)_{1,23}^{1,2}).
\]

We now prove that this implies that \( \Psi = \text{inj}_0^{\otimes 3} \). For this, we apply a general statement: Let \( A = A^0 \supset A^1 \supset \cdots \) be an algebra equipped with a decreasing filtration, complete and separated for this filtration. Let \( \theta \) be a topological automorphism of \( A \) such that \( (\theta - \text{id}_A)(A^n) \subset A^{n+1} \). Let \( X \in A \) be such that \( X \equiv 1 \) modulo \( A^1 \). Let \( x \in A \) be such that \( x \equiv 1 \) modulo \( A^1 \) and \( \theta(x)X = X \). Then \( x = 1 \). This is proved by induction. Assume that we have proved that \( x \equiv 1 \) modulo \( A^{n-1} \). Then \( \theta(x)Xx^{-1} \equiv 1 + 2(x - 1) \) modulo \( A^n \). Therefore \( x \equiv 1 \) modulo \( A^n \). Finally \( x = 1 \).

Applying the statement to \( A = \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes 3}) \) and \( \theta : X \mapsto (\xi'')^{\otimes 3} \circ X \), we get \( \Psi = \text{inj}_0^{\otimes 3} \). This implies (40). This ends the proof of Lemma 6.11. \( \square \)
We now finish the proof of Proposition 6.10. Lemma 6.11 says that
\[ H \in \text{Tw}(\overline{Q}(\Delta), (\xi'')^2 \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta) \circ (\xi'')^{-1}), \]
and since \( F' \in \text{Tw}(\overline{Q}(\Delta), (\xi'')^2 \circ (21) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta) \circ (\xi'')^{-1}), \) we have
\[ G' := ((21) \circ H^{-1}) \ast \overline{Q} F' \in \text{Tw}(\overline{Q}(\Delta), (21) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta)). \]

Let us set \( G' := (G')^{2,1} \ast \overline{Q} G'. \) Then \( G' \in \text{Tw}(\overline{Q}(\Delta), \overline{Q}(\Delta)) \). For any integer \( n \geq 0 \), we then have \( (G')^n \in \text{Tw}(\overline{Q}(\Delta), \overline{Q}(\Delta)) \). As before, there exists a unique formal map \( t \mapsto (G')^t \) such that the map \( t \mapsto (\text{class of } (G')^t) \) in \( \text{Cob}(1, S^{\otimes n})/(\text{its part of degree } \geq k) \) is polynomial and extends \( n \mapsto (G')^n \). Specializing for \( t = -1/2 \), we get \( (G')^{-1/2} \in \text{Tw}(\overline{Q}(\Delta), \overline{Q}(\Delta)) \). Set
\[ G := G' \ast \overline{Q} (G')^{-1/2} = G' \ast \overline{Q} (G^{2,1} \ast \overline{Q} G')^{-1/2}. \]

Then \( G \in \text{Tw}(\overline{Q}(\Delta), (21) \circ \overline{Q}(\Delta)) \).

Then we have \( G \ast \overline{Q} (G^{2,1} \ast \overline{Q} G')^n = (G' \ast \overline{Q} G^{2,1})^n \ast \overline{Q} G' \) for any integer \( n \geq 0 \), so this identity also holds when \( n \) is replaced by a formal variable \( t \). Specializing the latter identity to \( t = 1/2 \), we get \( G = (G' \ast \overline{Q} G^{2,1})^{-1/2} \ast \overline{Q} G' \). Then
\[ G \ast \overline{Q} G^{2,1} = G' \ast \overline{Q} (G^{2,1} \ast \overline{Q} G')^{-1} \ast \overline{Q} G^{2,1} = \text{inj}_0^{2,2}, \]
so we also have \( G^{2,1} \ast \overline{Q} G = \text{inj}_0^{2,2} \). This ends the proof of Proposition 6.10 and therefore also of Theorem 6.8. \( \square \)

**Remark 6.12.** The proof of Proposition 6.10 is a propic version of the proof of the following statement. Let \((U, m_U, \eta_U)\) be a formal deformation over \(k[[h]]\) of an enveloping algebra \(U(a)\) (as an algebra). Let \(\Delta_U\) be the set of all morphisms \(\Delta : U \to U \otimes U\) such that \((U, m_U, \Delta, \eta_U, \varepsilon_U)\) is a QUE algebra formally deforming the bialgebra \(U(a)\). If \(\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \Delta_U\), then we say that \(F_U \in \text{Tw}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)\) if and only if \(F_U \in (U \otimes U)^{\times}\),
\[ (\varepsilon_U \otimes \text{id}_U)(F_U) = (\text{id}_U \otimes \varepsilon_U)(F_U) = 1_U, \]
and \(\Delta_2 = \text{Ad}(F_U) \circ \Delta_1\), where \(\text{Ad}(F_U) : U \otimes U \to U \otimes U\) is given by \(x \mapsto F_U x F_U^{-1}\) (and \(1_U = \eta_U(1)\)). For \(\Delta \in \Delta_U\) and \(\theta_U \in \text{Aut}(U, m_U, \eta_U)\) such that \(\theta_U = \text{id}_U + O(h)\), we also have \(\Delta^{21} \in \Delta_U\) and \(\theta_U^{2} \circ \Delta^{21} \circ \theta_U^{-1} = \Delta_U\). The statement is that if for such \(\Delta\) and \(\theta_U\) there exists an \(F_U \in \text{Tw}(\Delta, \theta_U^{2} \circ \Delta^{21} \circ \theta_U^{-1})\), then there exists a \(G_U \in \text{Tw}(\Delta, \Delta^{21})\) such that \(G_U G_U^{2,1} = 1_U^{2,2}\).

6.4. *Relation with quasi-Poisson manifolds.* Define a coboundary quasi-Lie bialgebra (QLBA) as a set \((g, \mu_g, \delta_g, Z_g, r_g)\), where \((g, \mu_g, \delta_g, Z_g)\) is a quasi-Lie bialgebra and \(r_g \in \Lambda^2(g)\) is such that \(\delta_g(x) = [r_g, x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x]\). In [Dri89],
coboundary QUE quasi-Hopf algebras were introduced; the classical limit of this structure is a coboundary QLBA.

According to [Dri89, Prop. 3.13], a coboundary QUE quasi-Hopf algebra with classical limit the coboundary QLBA $g, \mu_g, \delta_g, Z_g, r_g$ is twist-equivalent to a coboundary QUE Hopf algebra of the form

$$(U(g_h), m_0, \Delta_0, R = 1, \Phi = \mathcal{E}(h^2 Z_h)),$$

where $g_h$ is a deformation of $g$ (as a Lie algebra) in the category of topologically free $k[[h]]$-modules, $Z_h \in \Lambda^3(g_h)_0^h$ is a deformation of

$$Z_g + (\delta_g \otimes \text{id})(r_g) + c. p. - \text{CYB}(r_g),$$

and $\mathcal{E}(Z) = 1 + Z/6 + \cdots$ is a series introduced in [Dri89]. (Here $m_0$ and $\Delta_0$ are the undeformed operations.)

Let now $(a, r_a)$ be a coboundary Lie bialgebra. Let $(U_h(a), R_a)$ be a quantization of it: this is a coboundary QUE Hopf algebra. Applying to it the above result, we obtain that

(a) there exists a deformation $a_h$ of $a$ in the category of topologically free $k[[h]]$-Lie algebras such that $U_h(a)$ is isomorphic to $U(a_h)$ as an algebra;

(b) there exists a $J \in U(a_h)^{\otimes 2}$ of the form $J = 1 + h r_a/2 + O(h^2)$ such that

$$J^{2,3} J^{1,23} \mathcal{E}(h^2 Z_h) = J^{1,2} J^{12,3},$$

where $Z_h \in \Lambda^3(a_h)_{0^h}$ is a deformation of $Z_a$.

If $A$ is a Lie group with Lie algebra $a$, then $r_a$ induces the following quasi-Poisson homogeneous structure on $A$ under the quasi-Lie bialgebra $(a, \delta_a = 0, Z_a)$: The action of $a$ is the regular left action, and the quasi-Poisson structure is $\{f, g\} = m \circ L^{\otimes 2}(r_a)(f \otimes g)$, where $f$ and $g$ are functions on $A$ and $m$ is the product of functions. As explained in [EE03], $J$ constructed above gives rise to a quantization of this quasi-Poisson homogeneous space, compatible with the quasi-Hopf algebra $(U(a_h), m_0, \Delta_0, R = 1, \Phi = \mathcal{E}(h^2 Z_h))$.

Notice that the deformation class of $(a_h, Z_h)$ is a priori dictated by $r_a$.
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