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#### Abstract

We prove that a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$ can have at most one Cartan subalgebra $A$ satisfying a combination of rigidity and compact approximation properties. We use this result to show that within the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ of factors $M$ having such Cartan subalgebras $A \subset M$, the Betti numbers of the standard equivalence relation associated with $A \subset M$ ([G2]), are in fact isomorphism invariants for the factors $M, \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M), n \geq 0$. The class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is closed under amplifications and tensor products, with the Betti numbers satisfying $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) / t$, $\forall t>0$, and a Künneth type formula. An example of a factor in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is given by the group von Neumann factor $M=L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$, for which $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=\beta_{1}(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}))=1 / 12$. Thus, $M^{t} \not 千 M, \forall t \neq 1$, showing that the fundamental group of $M$ is trivial. This solves a long standing problem of R. V. Kadison. Also, our results bring some insight into a recent problem of A. Connes and answer a number of open questions on von Neumann algebras.
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## 0. Introduction

We consider in this paper the class of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors with maximal abelian *-subalgebras satisfying both a weak rigidity property, in the spirit of Kazhdan, Margulis ([Ka], [Ma]) and Connes-Jones ([CJ]), and a weak amenability property, in the spirit of Haagerup's compact approximation property ( $[\mathrm{H}]$ ). Our main result shows that a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$ can have at most one such maximal abelian *-subalgebra $A \subset M$, up to unitary conjugacy. Moreover, we prove that if $A \subset M$ satisfies these conditions then $A$ is automatically a Cartan subalgebra of $M$, i.e., the normalizer of $A$ in $N, \mathcal{N}(A)=\left\{u \in M \mid u u^{*}=1, u A u^{*}=A\right\}$, generates all the von Neumann algebra $M$. In particular, $\mathcal{N}(A)$ implements an ergodic measure-preserving equivalence relation on the standard probability space $(X, \mu)$, with $A=L^{\infty}(X, \mu)([\mathrm{FM}])$, which up to orbit equivalence only depends on the isomorphism class of $M$.

We call HT the Cartan subalgebras satisfying the combination of the rigidity and compact approximation properties and denote by $\mathcal{H T}$ the class of factors having HT Cartan subalgebras. Thus, our theorem implies that if $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) ergodic measurepreserving equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ on $(X, \mu)$ associated with it, implemented by the HT Cartan subalgebra of $M$. In particular, any invariant for $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ is an invariant for $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.

In a recent paper ([G2]), D. Gaboriau introduced a notion of $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers for arbitrary countable measure-preserving equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$, $\left\{\beta_{n}(\mathcal{R})\right\}_{n \geq 0}$, starting from ideas of Atiyah ([A]) and Connes ([C4]), and generalizing the notion of $L^{2}$-Betti numbers for measurable foliations defined in [C4]. His notion also generalizes the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers for discrete groups $\Gamma_{0}$ of Cheeger-Gromov ([ChGr]), $\left\{\beta_{n}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$, as Gaboriau shows that $\beta_{n}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=$ $\beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)$, for any countable equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_{0}}$ implemented by a free, ergodic, measure-preserving action of the group $\Gamma_{0}$ on a standard probability space $(X, \mu)([\mathrm{G} 2])$.

We define in this paper the Betti numbers $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)\right\}_{n>0}$ of a factor $M$ in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ as the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers ([G2]) of the corresponding equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}},\left\{\beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)\right\}_{n}$.

Due to the uniqueness of the HT Cartan subalgebra, the general properties of the Betti numbers for countable equivalence relations proved in [G2] entail similar properties for the Betti numbers of the factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. For instance, after proving that $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is closed under amplifications by arbitrary $t>0$, we use the formula $\beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}^{t}\right)=\beta_{n}(\mathcal{R}) / t$ in [G2] to deduce that $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=$ $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) / t, \forall n$. Also, we prove that $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is closed under tensor products and that a Künneth type formula holds for $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2}\right)$ in terms of the Betti numbers for $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, as a consequence of the similar formula for groups and equivalence relations ([B], [ChGr], [Lu], [G2]).

Our main example of a factor in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is the group von Neumann algebra $L\left(G_{0}\right)$ associated with $G_{0}=\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, regarded as the groupmeasure space construction $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mu\right)=A_{0} \subset A_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ is regarded as the dual of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{0}$ is the action implemented by $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on it. More generally, since our HT condition on the Cartan subalgebra $A$ requires only part of $A$ to be rigid in $M$, we show that any crossed product factor of the form $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, with $A=A_{0} \bar{\otimes} A_{1}, \sigma=\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ an arbitrary ergodic action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on an abelian algebra $A_{1}$, is in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. By a recent result in $[\mathrm{Hj}]$, based on the notion and results on tree-ability in [G1], all these factors are in fact amplifications of group-measure space factors of the form $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is the free group on $n$ generators, $n=2,3, \ldots$.

To prove that $M$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, with $A$ its corresponding HT Cartan subalgebra, we use the Kazhdan-Margulis rigidity of the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \subset$ $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})([\mathrm{Ka}],[\mathrm{Ma}])$ and Haagerup's compact approximation property of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ([Ha]). The same arguments are actually used to show that if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C},|\alpha|=1$, and $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ denotes the corresponding "twisted" group algebra (or "quantized" 2-dimensional thorus), then $M_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of unity.

Since the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ implemented by $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $A$ has exactly one nonzero Betti number, namely $\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)=\beta_{1}(\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}))=1 / 12$ ([B], [ChGr], [G2]), it follows that the factors $M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=1 / 12$ and $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0, \forall n \neq 1$. More generally, if $\alpha$ is an $n^{\mathrm{th}}$ primitive root of 1 , then the factors $M_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=$ $n / 12, \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=0, \forall k \neq 1$. We deduce from this that if $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ are primitive roots of unity of order $n$ respectively $n^{\prime}$ then $M_{\alpha} \simeq M_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ if and only if $n=n^{\prime}$.

Other examples of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ are obtained by taking discrete groups $\Gamma_{0}$ that can be embedded as arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(m, 1)$, together with suitable actions $\sigma$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on abelian von Neumann algebras $A \simeq$ $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{N}\right)$. Indeed, these groups $\Gamma_{0}$ have the Haagerup approximation property by $[\mathrm{dCaH}]$, $[\mathrm{CowH}]$ and their action $\sigma$ on $A$ can be taken to be rigid by a recent result of Valette ([Va]). In each of these cases, the Betti numbers have been calculated in $[B]$. Yet another example is offered by the action of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ on $\mathbb{Q}^{2}$ : Indeed, the rigidity of the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (regarded as a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q}))$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ (regarded as a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^{2}$ ), as well as the property H of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ proved in [CCJJV], are enough to insure that $L\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})\right)$ is in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.

As a consequence of these considerations, we are able to answer a number of open questions in the theory of type $I_{1}$ factors. Thus, the factors $M=$ $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (more generally, $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ with $\Gamma_{0}, \sigma$ as above) provide the first class of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors with trivial fundamental group, i.e.

$$
\mathscr{F}(M) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{t>0 \mid M^{t} \simeq M\right\}=\{1\}
$$

Indeed, we mentioned that $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) / t, \forall n$, so that if $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \neq 0$ or $\infty$ for some $n$ then $\mathscr{F}(M)$ is forced to be equal to $\{1\}$.

In particular, the factors $M$ are not isomorphic to the algebra of $n$ by $n$ matrices over $M$, for any $n \geq 2$, thus providing an answer to Kadison's Problem 3 in [K1] (see also Sakai's Problem 4.4.38 in [S]). Also, through appropriate choice of actions of the form $\sigma=\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1}$, we obtain factors of the form $M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ having the property $\Gamma$ of Murray and von Neumann, yet trivial fundamental group.

The fundamental group $\mathscr{F}(M)$ of a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$ was defined by Murray and von Neumann in the early 40 's, in connection with their notion of continuous dimension. They noticed that $\mathscr{F}(M)=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ when $M$ is isomorphic to the hyperfinite type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $R$, and more generally when $M$ "splits off" $R$.

The first examples of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $M$ with $\mathscr{F}(M) \neq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, and the first occurrence of rigidity in the von Neumann algebra context, were discovered by Connes in [C1]. He proved that if $G_{0}$ is an infinite conjugacy class discrete group with the property ( T ) of Kazhdan then its group von Neumann algebra $M=L\left(G_{0}\right)$ is a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with countable fundamental group. It was then proved in [Po1] that this is still the case for factors $M$ which contain some irreducible copy of such $L\left(G_{0}\right)$. It was also shown that there exist type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $M$ with $\mathscr{F}(M)$ countable and containing any prescribed countable set of numbers ([GoNe], [Po4]). However, the fundamental group $\mathscr{F}(M)$ could never be computed exactly, in any of these examples.

In fact, more than proving that $\mathscr{F}(M)=\{1\}$ for $M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the calculation of the Betti numbers shows that $M^{t_{1}} \bar{\otimes} M^{t_{2}} \ldots \bar{\otimes} M^{t_{n}}$ is isomorphic to $M^{s_{1}} \bar{\otimes} M^{s_{2}} \ldots \bar{\otimes} M^{s_{m}}$ if and only if $n=m$ and $t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{n}=s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{m}$. In particular, all tensor powers of $M, M^{\bar{\otimes} n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$, are mutually nonisomorphic and have trivial fundamental group. (N.B. The first examples of factors having nonisomorphic tensor powers were constructed in [C4]; another class of examples was obtained in [CowH]). In fact, since $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{\bar{\otimes} n}\right) \neq 0$ if and only if $k=n$, the factors $\left\{M^{\bar{\otimes} n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ are not even stably isomorphic.

In particular, since $M^{t} \simeq L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n}$ for $t=(12(n-1))^{-1}(c f .[H j])$, it follows that for each $n \geq 2$ there exists a free ergodic action $\sigma_{n}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ on the standard probability space $(X, \mu)$ such that the factors $M_{n}=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma_{n}}$ $\mathbb{F}_{n}, n=2,3, \ldots$, satisfy $M_{k_{1}} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} M_{k_{p}} \simeq M_{l_{1}} \bar{\otimes} \ldots \bar{\otimes} M_{l_{r}}$ if and only if $p=r$ and $k_{1} k_{2} \ldots k_{p}=l_{1} l_{2} \ldots l_{r}$. Also, since $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{n}\right) \neq 0$, the Künneth formula shows that the factors $M_{n}$ are prime within the class of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors in $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.

Besides being closed under tensor products and amplifications, the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is closed under finite index extensions/restrictions, i.e., if $N \subset M$ are type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors with finite Jones index, $[M: N]<\infty$, then $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $N \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. In fact, factors in the class $\mathcal{H T}$ have a remarkably rigid "subfactor picture".

Thus, if $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $N \subset M$ is an irreducible subfactor with $[M: N]$ $<\infty$ then $[M: N]$ is an integer. More than that, the graph of $N \subset M$, $\Gamma=\Gamma_{N, M}$, has only integer weights $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k}$. Recall that the weights $v_{k}$ of the graph of a subfactor $N \subset M$ are given by the "statistical dimensions" of the irreducible $M$-bimodules $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ in the Jones tower or, equivalently, as the square roots of the indices of the corresponding irreducible inclusions of factors, $M \subset M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)$. They give a Perron-Frobenius type eigenvector for $\Gamma$, satisfying $\Gamma \Gamma^{t} \vec{v}=[M: N] \vec{v}$. We prove that if $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \neq 0$ or $\infty$ then

$$
v_{k}=\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)\right) / \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M), \quad \forall k
$$

i.e., the statistical dimensions are proportional to the Betti numbers. As an application of this subfactor analysis, we show that the non- $\Gamma$ factor $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes\right.$ $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ) has two nonconjugate period 2-automorphims.

We also discuss invariants that can distinguish between factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ which have the same Betti numbers. Thus, we show that if $\Gamma_{0}=$ $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{F}_{n}$, or if $\Gamma_{0}$ is an arithmetic lattice in some $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1), \mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$, for some $n \geq 2$, then there exist three nonorbit equivalent free ergodic measurepreserving actions $\sigma_{i}$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $(X, \mu)$, with $M_{i}=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma_{i}} \Gamma_{0} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ nonisomorphic for $i=1,2,3$. Also, we apply Gaboriau's notion of approximate dimension to equivalence relations of the form $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ to distinguish between $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ factors of the form $M_{k}=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_{k}} \times S_{\infty}$, with $S_{\infty}$ the infinite symmetric group and $k=1,2, \ldots$, which all have only 0 Betti numbers.

As for the "size" of the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, note that we could only produce examples of factors $M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ in $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ for certain property $H$ groups $\Gamma_{0}$, and for certain special actions $\sigma$ of such groups. We call $\mathrm{H}_{T}$ the groups $\Gamma_{0}$ for which there exist free ergodic measure-preserving actions $\sigma$ on the standard probability space $(X, \mu)$ such that $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. Besides the examples $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{F}_{n}$, or $\Gamma_{0}$ an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1), \mathrm{SO}(n, 1), n \geq 2$, mentioned above, we show that the class of $\mathrm{H}_{T}$ groups is closed under products by arbitrary property H groups, crossed product by amenable groups and finite index restriction/extension.

On the other hand, we prove that the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ does not contain factors of the form $M \simeq M \bar{\otimes} R$, where $R$ is the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor. In particular, $R \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. Also, we prove that the factors $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ cannot contain property ( T ) factors and cannot be embedded into free group factors (by using arguments similar to [CJ]). In the same vein, we show that if $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ is not a root of unity, then the factors $M_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})=R \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ cannot be embedded into any factor in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. In fact, such factors $M_{\alpha}$ belong to a special class of their own, that we will study in a forthcoming paper.

Besides these concrete applications, our results give a partial answer to a challenging problem recently raised by Alain Connes, on defining a notion of Betti numbers $\beta_{n}(M)$ for type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $M$, from similar conceptual
grounds as in the case of measure-preserving equivalence relations in [G2] (simplicial structure, $\ell^{2}$ homology/cohomology, etc), a notion that should satisfy $\beta_{n}\left(L\left(G_{0}\right)\right)=\beta_{n}\left(G_{0}\right)$ for group von Neumann factors $L\left(G_{0}\right)$. In this respect, note that our definition is not the result of a "conceptual approach", relying instead on the uniqueness result for the HT Cartan subalgebras, which allows reduction of the problem to Gaboriau's work on invariants for equivalence relations and, through it, to the results on $\ell^{2}$-cohomology for groups in $[\mathrm{ChGr}]$, [B], [Lu]. Thus, although they are invariants for "global factors" $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, the Betti numbers $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ are "relative" in spirit, a fact that we have indicated by adding the upper index ${ }^{\mathrm{HT}}$. Also, rather than satisfying $\beta_{n}\left(L\left(G_{0}\right)\right)=\beta_{n}\left(G_{0}\right)$, the invariants $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ satisfy $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(A \rtimes \Gamma_{0}\right)=\beta_{n}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$. In fact, if $A \rtimes \Gamma_{0}=L\left(G_{0}\right)$, where $G_{0}=\mathbb{Z}^{N} \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$, then $\beta_{n}\left(G_{0}\right)=0$, while $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(L\left(G_{0}\right)\right)=\beta_{n}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ may be different from 0 .

The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 consists of preliminaries: we first establish some basic properties of Hilbert bimodules over von Neumann algebras and of their associated completely positive maps; then we recall the basic construction of an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras and study their compact ideal space; we also recall the definitions of normalizer and quasinormalizer of a subalgebra, as well as the notions of regular, quasi-regular, discrete and Cartan subalgebras, and discuss some of the results in [FM] and [PoSh]. In Section 2 we consider a relative version of Haagerup's compact approximation property for inclusions of von Neumann algebras, called relative property H (cf. also [Bo]), and prove its main properties. In Section 3 we give examples of property H inclusions and use [PoSh] to show that if a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$ has the property H relative to a maximal abelian subalgebra $A \subset M$ then $A$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $M$. In Section 4 we define a notion of rigidity (or relative property (T)) for inclusions of algebras and investigate its basic properties. In Section 5 we give examples of rigid inclusions and relate this property to the co-rigidity property defined in [Zi], [A-De], [Po1]. We also introduce a new notion of property ( T ) for equivalence relations, called relative property $(\mathrm{T})$, by requiring the associated Cartan subalgebra inclusion to be rigid.

In Section 6 we define the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ of factors $M$ having HT Cartan subalgebras $A \subset M$, i.e., maximal abelian *-subalgebras $A \subset M$ such that $M$ has the property H relative to $A$ and $A$ contains a subalgebra $A_{0} \subset A$ with $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=A$ and $A_{0} \subset M$ rigid. We then prove the main technical result of the paper, showing that HT Cartan subalgebras are unique. We show the stability of the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ with respect to various operations (amplification, tensor product), and prove its rigidity to perturbations. Section 7 studies the lattice of subfactors of $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ factors: we prove the stability of the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ to finite index, obtain a canonical decomposition for subfactors in $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and prove that the index is always an integer. In Section 8 we define the Betti numbers $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)\right\}_{n}$
for $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and use the previous sections and [G2] to deduce various properties of this invariant. We also discuss some alternative invariants for factors $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, such as the outomorphism group $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \xlongequal{\text { def }} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right) / \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)$, which we prove is discrete countable, or $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$, defined to be Gaboriau's approximate dimension ([G2]) of $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$. We end with applications, as well as some remarks and open questions. We have included an appendix in which we prove some key technical results on unitary conjugacy of von Neumann subalgebras in type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors. The proof uses techniques from $[\mathrm{Chr}]$, $[\mathrm{Po} 2,3,6]$, [K2].
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## 1. Preliminaries

1.1. Pointed correspondences. By using the GNS construction as a link, a representation of a group $G_{0}$ can be viewed in two equivalent ways: as a group morphism from $G_{0}$ into the unitary group of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, or as a positive definite function on $G_{0}$.

The discovery of the appropriate notion of representations for von Neumann algebras, as so-called correspondences, is due to Connes ([C3,7]). In the vein of group representations, Connes introduced correspondences in two alternative ways, both of which use the idea of "doubling" - a genuine conceptual breakthrough. Thus, correspondences of von Neumann algebras $N$ can be viewed as Hilbert $N$-bimodules $\mathcal{H}$, the quantized version of group morphisms into $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$; or as completely positive maps $\phi: N \rightarrow N$, the quantized version of positive definite functions on groups (cf. [C3,7] and [CJ]). The equivalence of these two points of view is again realized via a version of the GNS construction ([CJ], [C7]).

We will in fact need "pointed" versions of Connes's correspondences, adapted to the case of inclusions $B \subset N$, as introduced in [Po1] and [Po5]. In this section we detail the two alternative ways of viewing such pointed correspondences, in the same spirit as [C7]: as " $B$-pointed bimodules" or as " $B$-bimodular completely positive maps". This is a very important idea, to appear throughout this paper.
1.1.1. Pointed Hilbert bimodules. Let $N$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a fixed normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra of $N$. A Hilbert $(B \subset N)$-bimodule $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ is a Hilbert $N$-bimodule with a fixed unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $b \xi=\xi b, \forall b \in B$. When $B=\mathbb{C}$, we simply call $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ a pointed Hilbert $N$-bimodule.

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $N$-bimodule then $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a cyclic vector if $\overline{\operatorname{sp}} N \xi N=\mathcal{H}$.
To relate Hilbert $(B \subset N)$-bimodules and $B$-bimodular completely positive maps on $N$ one uses a generalized version of the GNS construction, due to Stinespring, which we describe below:
1.1.2. From completely positive maps to Hilbert bimodules. Let $\phi$ be a normal, completely positive map on $N$, normalized so that $\tau(\phi(1))=1$. We associate to it the pointed Hilbert $N$-bimodule $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \xi_{\phi}\right)$ in the following way:

Define on the linear space $\mathcal{H}_{0}=N \otimes N$ the sesquilinear form $\left\langle x_{1} \otimes y_{1}, x_{2} \otimes\right.$ $\left.y_{2}\right\rangle_{\phi}=\tau\left(\phi\left(x_{2}^{*} x_{1}\right) y_{1} y_{2}^{*}\right), x_{1,2}, y_{1,2} \in N$. The complete positivity of $\phi$ is easily seen to be equivalent to the positivity of $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\phi}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{H}_{0} / \sim$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence modulo the null space of $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\phi}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. Also, let $\xi_{\phi}$ be the class of $1 \otimes 1$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$. Note that $\left\|\xi_{\phi}\right\|^{2}=\tau(\phi(1))=1$.

If $p=\Sigma_{i} x_{i} \otimes y_{i} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$, then by use again of the complete positivity of $\phi$ it follows that $N \ni x \rightarrow \Sigma_{i, j} \tau\left(\phi\left(x_{j}^{*} x x_{i}\right) y_{i} y_{j}^{*}\right)$ is a positive normal functional on $N$ of norm $\langle p, p\rangle_{\phi}$. Similarly, $N \ni y \rightarrow \Sigma_{i, j} \tau\left(\phi\left(x_{j}^{*} x_{i}\right) y_{i} y y_{j}^{*}\right)$ is a positive normal functional on $N$ of norm $\langle p, p\rangle_{\phi}$. Note that the latter can alternatively be viewed as a functional on the opposite algebra $N^{\text {op }}$ (which is the same as $N$ as a vector space but has multiplication inverted, $x \cdot y=y x)$. Moreover, $N$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ on the left and right by $x p y=x\left(\Sigma_{i} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right) y=\Sigma_{i} x x_{i} \otimes y_{i} y$. These two actions clearly commute and the complete positivity of $\phi$ entails:

$$
\langle x p, x p\rangle_{\phi}=\left\langle x^{*} x p, p\right\rangle_{\phi} \leq\left\|x^{*} x\right\|\langle p, p\rangle_{\phi}=\|x\|^{2}\langle p, p\rangle_{\phi}
$$

Similarly

$$
\langle p y, p y\rangle_{\phi} \leq\|y\|^{2}\langle p, p\rangle_{\phi}
$$

Thus, the above left and right actions of $N$ on $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ pass to $\mathcal{H}_{0} / \sim$ and then extend to commuting left-right actions on $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$. By the normality of the forms $x \rightarrow\langle x p, p\rangle_{\phi}$ and $y \rightarrow\langle p y, p\rangle_{\phi}$, these left-right actions of $N$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ are normal (i.e., weakly continuous).

This shows that $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \xi_{\phi}\right)$ with the above $N$-bimodule structure is a pointed, Hilbert $N$-bimodule, which in addition is clearly cyclic. Moreover, if $B \subset N$ is a von Neumann subalgebra and the completely positive map $\phi$ is $B$-bimodular, then it is immediate to check that $b \xi_{\phi}=\xi_{\phi} b, \forall b \in B$. Thus, if $\phi$ is $B$-bimodular, then $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \xi_{\phi}\right)$ is a $\operatorname{Hilbert}(B \subset N)$-bimodule.

Let us end this paragraph with some useful inequalities which show that elements that are almost fixed by a $B$-bimodular completely positive map $\phi$ on $N$ are almost commuting with the associated vector $\xi_{\phi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ :

Lemma. $1^{\circ}$. $\|\phi(x)\|_{2} \leq\|\phi(1)\|_{2}, \forall x \in N,\|x\| \leq 1$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $a=1 \vee \phi(1)$ and $\phi^{\prime}(\cdot)=a^{-1 / 2} \phi(\cdot) a^{-1 / 2}$, then $\phi^{\prime}$ is completely positive, $B$-bimodular and satisfies $\phi^{\prime}(1) \leq 1, \tau \circ \phi^{\prime} \leq \tau \circ \phi$ and the estimate:

$$
\left\|\phi^{\prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+2\|\phi(1)-1\|_{1}^{1 / 2}\|x\|, \forall x \in N
$$

$3^{\circ}$. Assume $\phi(1) \leq 1$ and define $\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\phi\left(b^{-1 / 2} x b^{-1 / 2}\right)$, where $b=$ $1 \vee(\mathrm{~d} \tau \circ \phi / \mathrm{d} \tau) \in L^{1}(N, \bar{\tau})_{+}$. Then $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ is completely positive, $B$-bimodular and satisfies $\phi^{\prime \prime}(1) \leq \phi(1) \leq 1, \tau \circ \phi^{\prime \prime} \leq \tau$, as well as the estimate:

$$
\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+5\|b-1\|_{1}^{1 / 2}, \forall x \in N,\|x\| \leq 1
$$

$4^{\circ} .\left\|x \xi_{\phi}-\xi_{\phi} x\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}^{2}+2\|\phi(1)\|_{2}\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}, \forall x \in N,\|x\| \leq 1$.
Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Since any $x \in N$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ is a convex combination of two unitary elements, it is sufficient to prove the inequality for unitary elements $u \in N$. By continuity, it is in fact sufficient to prove it in the case the unitary elements $u$ have finite spectrum. If $u=\Sigma_{i} \lambda_{i} p_{i}$ for some scalars $\lambda_{i}$ with $\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and some partition of the identity exists with projections $p_{i} \in N$, then $\tau\left(\phi\left(p_{i}\right) \phi\left(p_{j}\right)\right) \geq 0, \forall i, j$. Taking this into account, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(\phi(u) \phi\left(u^{*}\right)\right) & =\Sigma_{i, j} \lambda_{i} \overline{\lambda_{j}} \tau\left(\phi\left(p_{i}\right) \phi\left(p_{j}\right)\right) \leq \Sigma_{i, j}\left|\lambda_{i} \overline{\lambda_{j}}\right| \tau\left(\phi\left(p_{i}\right) \phi\left(p_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\Sigma_{i, j} \tau\left(\phi\left(p_{i}\right) \phi\left(p_{j}\right)\right)=\tau(\phi(1) \phi(1))
\end{aligned}
$$

$2^{\circ}$. Since $a \in B^{\prime} \cap N, \phi^{\prime}$ is $B$-bimodular. We clearly have $\phi^{\prime}(1)=$ $a^{-1 / 2} \phi(1) a^{-1 / 2} \leq 1$. Since $a^{-1} \leq 1$, for $x \geq 0$ we get $\tau\left(\phi^{\prime}(x)\right)=\tau\left(\phi(x) a^{-1}\right) \leq$ $\tau(\phi(x))$. Also, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi^{\prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|a^{-1 / 2} \phi(x) a^{-1 / 2}-a^{-1 / 2} x a^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{2}+\left\|a^{-1 / 2} x a^{-1 / 2}-x\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+2\left\|a^{-1 / 2}-1\right\|_{2}\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{-1 / 2}-1\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|a^{-1}-1\right\|_{1}^{1 / 2}=\left\|a^{-1}-a a^{-1}\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq\|a-1\|_{1}\left\|a^{-1}\right\| \leq\|a-1\|_{1} \leq\|\phi(1)-1\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|\phi^{\prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+2\|\phi(1)-1\|_{1}^{1 / 2}\|x\|
$$

$3^{\circ}$. The first properties are clear by the definitions. Then note that $\|y\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|y\|\|y\|_{1}$ and $\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right\|_{1} \leq\|y\|_{1}$. (Indeed, because if $\phi^{\prime \prime *}$ is as defined in Lemma 1.1.5, then for $z \in N$ with $\|z\| \leq 1$ we have $\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime *}(z)\right\| \leq 1$ so that $\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right\|_{1}=\sup \left\{\left|\tau\left(\phi^{\prime \prime}(y) z\right)\right| \mid z \in N,\|z\| \leq 1\right\}=\sup \left\{\left|\tau\left(y \phi^{\prime \prime *}(z)\right)\right| \mid z \in N\right.$, $\|z\| \leq 1\} \leq \sup \{\mid \tau(y z))| | z \in N,\|z\| \leq 1\}=\|y\|_{1}$.) Note also that $\tau(b) \leq$
$1+\tau(\phi(1)) \leq 2$. Thus, for $x \in N,\|x\| \leq 1$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leq 2\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)-x\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq 2\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)-\phi^{\prime \prime}\left(b^{1 / 2} x b^{1 / 2}\right)\right\|_{1}+2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{1} \\
& \leq 2\left\|x-b^{1 / 2} x b^{1 / 2}\right\|_{1}+2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{1} \\
& \leq 2\left\|x-x b^{1 / 2}\right\|_{1}+2\left\|x b^{1 / 2}-b^{1 / 2} x b^{1 / 2}\right\|_{1}+2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\|x\|_{2} \leq 1$ and $\left\|x b^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \tau(b) \leq 2$, so by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality the above is majorized by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\|x\|_{2}\left\|1-b^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}+2\left\|1-b^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}\left\|x b^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}+2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(2+2^{3 / 2}\right)\left\|b^{1 / 2}-1\right\|_{2}+2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq 5\|b-1\|_{1}^{1 / 2}+2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$4^{\circ}$. Since by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$
\pm \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(x)\left(\phi(x)^{*}-x^{*}\right)\right) \leq\|\phi(x)\|_{2}\left\|\phi\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}\right\|_{2}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}^{2} & =\tau\left(\phi(x) \phi(x)^{*}\right)+1-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(x) x^{*}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(x) x^{*}\right)+\operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(x)\left(\phi(x)^{*}-x^{*}\right)\right)+1-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(x) x^{*}\right) \\
& \geq 1-\operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(x) x^{*}\right)-\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}\|\phi(x)\|_{2} \\
& =\left\|x \xi_{\phi}-\xi_{\phi} x\right\|_{2}^{2} / 2-\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}\|\phi(x)\|_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which by part $1^{\circ}$ proves the statement.
The inequalities in the previous lemmas show in particular that if $\phi$ almost fixes some $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, then $\phi(u x)$ is close to $u \phi(x)$, uniformly in $x \in N,\|x\| \leq 1$, whenever we have control over $\|\phi\|$ :

Corollary. For any unitary element $u \in N$ and $x \in N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(u x)-u \phi(x)\|_{2} & \leq\|\phi\|^{1 / 2}\|x\|\left\|\left[u, \xi_{\phi}\right]\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq\|\phi\|^{1 / 2}\|x\|\left(2\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2}^{2}+2\|\phi(1)\|_{2}\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By using the fact that

$$
\|\phi(u x)-u \phi(x)\|_{2}=\sup \left\{|\tau((\phi(u x)-u \phi(x)) y)| \mid y \in N,\|y\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}
$$

we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(u x)-u \phi(x)\|_{2} & =\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle u x \xi_{\phi} y, \xi_{\phi}\right\rangle-\left\langle x \xi_{\phi} y u, \xi_{\phi}\right\rangle\right| \mid y \in N,\|y\|_{2} \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle x \xi_{\phi} y,\left[u^{*}, \xi_{\phi}\right]\right\rangle\right| \mid y \in N,\|y\|_{2} \leq 1\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\left\|x \xi_{\phi} y\right\|_{2} \mid y \in N,\|y\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}\left\|\left[u^{*}, \xi_{\phi}\right]\right\|_{2} \\
& =\left\|\phi\left(x^{*} x\right)\right\|^{1 / 2}\left\|\left[u, \xi_{\phi}\right]\right\|_{2} \leq\|\phi\|^{1 / 2}\|x\|\left\|\left[u, \xi_{\phi}\right]\right\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.1.3. From Hilbert bimodules to completely positive maps. Conversely, let $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ be a pointed Hilbert $(B \subset N)$-bimodule, with $\langle\xi \cdot, \xi\rangle \leq c \tau$, for some $c>0$. Let $T: L^{2}(N, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the unique bounded operator defined by $T \hat{y}=\xi y, y \in N$. Then $\langle\xi y, \xi y\rangle \leq c \tau\left(y y^{*}\right)=c\|\hat{y}\|_{2}^{2}$, so that $\|T\| \leq c^{1 / 2}$.

It is immediate to check that if for clarity we denote by $L(x)$ the operator of left multiplication by $x$ on $\mathcal{H}$, then $T$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T^{*} L(x) T\left(J_{N} y J_{N}\left(\hat{y_{1}}\right)\right), \hat{y_{2}}\right\rangle_{\tau} & =\left\langle L(x)\left(\xi y_{1} y^{*}\right), \xi y_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\left\langle L(x) \xi y_{1}, \xi y_{2} y\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\langle J_{N} y J_{N}\left(T^{*} L(x) T\right) \hat{y_{1}}, \hat{y_{2}}\right\rangle_{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the operator $\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} T^{*} L(x) T$ commutes with the right multiplication on $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ by elements $y \in N$. Thus, $\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}(x)$ belongs to $\left(J_{N} N J_{N}\right)^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(N, \tau)\right)=N$, showing that $\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}$ defines a map from $N$ into $N$, which is obviously completely positive and $B$-bimodular, by the definitions. Furthermore, if we denote by $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ the closed linear span of $N \xi N$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $U: \mathcal{H}_{\phi} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\prime}, U(x \otimes y)=x \xi y$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism of Hilbert ( $B \subset N$ )-bimodules.

The assumption that $\xi$ is "bounded from the right" by $c$ is not really a restriction for this construction, since if we put $\mathcal{H}^{0}=\{\xi \in \mathcal{H} \mid b \xi=\xi b, \forall b \in B$, $\xi$ bounded from the left and from the right $\}$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}^{0}$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{0} \subset \mathcal{H}$ of all $B$-central vectors in $\mathcal{H}$. This actually implies that any $(B \subset N)$ Hilbert bimodule $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ is a direct sum of some $(B \subset N)$ Hilbert bimodules $\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)$ with $\xi_{i}$ bounded both from left and right (hint: just use the above density and a maximality argument).

Note that if $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ comes itself from a completely positive $B$-bimodular $\operatorname{map} \phi$, i.e., $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)=\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \xi_{\phi}\right)$ as in 1.1.2, then $\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}=\phi$. Similarly, if $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ is a cyclic pointed $(B \subset N)$-Hilbert bimodule and $\phi=\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}$, then $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \xi_{\phi}\right) \simeq$ $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$.

Let us also note a converse to Lemma 1.1.3, showing that if $\xi$ almost commutes with a unitary element $u \in N$ then $u$ is almost fixed by $\phi=\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}$, provided we have some control over $\|\phi(1)\|_{2}$ :

Lemma. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ be a vector bounded from the right and denote $\phi=\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}$.
$1^{\circ}$. Let $a_{0}, b_{0} \in L^{1}(N, \tau)_{+}$be such that $\langle\cdot \xi, \xi\rangle=\tau\left(\cdot b_{0}\right),\langle\xi \cdot, \xi\rangle=\tau\left(\cdot a_{0}\right)$ and put $a=1 \vee a_{0}, b=1 \vee b_{0}, \xi^{\prime}=b^{-1 / 2} \xi a^{-1 / 2}$. Then $\phi(1)=a_{0}$ and

$$
\left\|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq 4\left\|a_{0}-1\right\|_{1}+4\left\|b_{0}-1\right\|_{1}
$$

$2^{\circ}$. If $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, then

$$
\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|[u, \xi]\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\|\phi(1)\|_{2}^{2}-1\right) .
$$

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2} & \leq 2\left\|\xi-b^{-1 / 2} \xi\right\|^{2}+2\left\|\xi-\xi a^{-1 / 2}\right\|^{2} \\
& =2 \tau\left(\left(1-b^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} b_{0}\right)+2 \tau\left(\left(1-a^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} a_{0}\right) \\
& \leq 4\left\|b_{0}-1\right\|_{1}+4\left\|a_{0}-1\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$2^{\circ}$. By part $1^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.1.2 we have $\tau\left(\phi\left(u^{*}\right) \phi(u)\right) \leq \tau(\phi(1) \phi(1))$, so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2}^{2} & =\tau\left(\phi(u) \phi\left(u^{*}\right)\right)+1-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(u) u^{*}\right) \\
& \leq \tau(\phi(1) \phi(1))+1-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(u) u^{*}\right) \\
& =2-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(u) u^{*}\right)+(\tau(\phi(1) \phi(1))-1) \\
& =\|[u, \xi]\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\|\phi(1)\|_{2}^{2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

1.1.4. Correspondences from representations of groups. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be a discrete group, $\left(B, \tau_{0}\right)$ a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state and $\sigma$ a cocycle action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\left(B, \tau_{0}\right)$ by $\tau_{0}$-preserving automorphisms. Denote by $N=B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ the corresponding crossed product algebra and by $\left\{u_{g}\right\}_{g} \subset N$ the canonical unitaries implementing the action $\sigma$ on $B$.

Let $\left(\pi_{0}, \mathcal{H}_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$ be a pointed, cyclic representation of the group $\Gamma_{0}$. We denote by $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{0}}, \xi_{\pi_{0}}\right)$ the pointed Hilbert space $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}, \xi_{0}\right) \bar{\otimes}\left(L^{2}(N, \tau), \hat{1}\right)$. We let $N$ act on the right on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{0}}$ by $(\xi \otimes \hat{x}) y=\xi \otimes(\hat{x y}), x, y \in N, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ and on the left by $b(\xi \otimes \hat{x})=\xi \otimes \hat{b x}, u_{g}(\xi \otimes \hat{x})=\pi_{0}(g)(\xi) \otimes \hat{u_{g}} x, b \in B, x \in N, g \in \Gamma_{0}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$.

It is easy to check that these are indeed mutually commuting left-right actions of $N$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{0}}$. Moreover, the vector $\xi_{\pi_{0}}=\xi_{0} \otimes \hat{1}$ implements the trace $\tau$ on $N$, both from left and right. Also, $\xi_{\pi_{0}}$ is easily seen to be $B$-central. Thus, $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{0}}, \xi_{\pi_{0}}\right)$ is a Hilbert $(B \subset N)$-bimodule.

Let now $\varphi$ be a positive definite function on $\Gamma_{0}$ and denote by $\left(\pi_{\varphi}, \mathcal{H}_{\varphi}, \xi_{\varphi}\right)$ the representation obtained from it through the GNS construction. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ denote the $\left(B \subset B \rtimes \Gamma_{0}\right)$-Hilbert bimodule constructed out of the representation $\pi_{\varphi}$ as above and $\phi$ the completely positive $B$-bimodular map associated with $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ as in 1.1.3. An easy calculation shows that $\phi$ acts on $B \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ by $\phi\left(\Sigma_{g} b_{g} u_{g}\right)=\Sigma_{g} \varphi(g) b_{g} u_{g}$.

Conversely, if $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ is a $(B \subset N)$ Hilbert bimodule, then we can associate to it the representation $\pi_{0}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{0}=\overline{\operatorname{sp}}\left\{u_{g} \xi u_{g}^{*} \mid g \in \Gamma_{0}\right\}$ by $\pi_{0}(g) \xi^{\prime}=$ $u_{g} \xi^{\prime} u_{g}^{*}, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$. Equivalently, if $\phi$ is the $B$-bimodular completely positive map associated with $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ then $\varphi(g)=\tau\left(\phi\left(u_{g}\right) u_{g}^{*}\right), g \in \Gamma_{0}$, is a positive definite function on $\Gamma_{0}$.
1.1.5. The adjoint of a bimodule. Let $\left(\mathcal{H}, \xi_{0}\right)$ be a $(B \subset N)$ Hilbert bimodule. Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ be the conjugate Hilbert space of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., $\overline{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H}$ as a set, the sum of vectors in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is the same as in $\mathcal{H}$, but the multiplication by scalars is given by $\lambda \cdot \xi=\bar{\lambda} \xi$ and $\langle\xi, \eta\rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}=\langle\eta, \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. Denote by $\bar{\xi}$ the element $\xi$ regarded as a vector in the Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Define on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ the left and right multiplication
operations by $x \cdot \bar{\xi} \cdot y=\overline{y^{*} \xi x^{*}}$, for $x, y \in N, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that they define an $N$ Hilbert bimodule structure on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Moreover, $\overline{\xi_{0}}$ is clearly $B$-central. We call $\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \overline{\xi_{0}}\right)$ the adjoint of $\left(\mathcal{H}, \xi_{0}\right)$. Note that we clearly have $\left(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}, \overline{\overline{\xi_{0}}}\right)=\left(\mathcal{H}, \xi_{0}\right)$.

Lemma. Let $\phi$ be a normal $B$-bimodular completely positive map on $N$. For each $x \in N$ let $\phi^{*}(x) \in L^{1}(N, \tau)$ denote the Radon-Nykodim derivative of $N \ni y \mapsto \tau(\phi(y) x)$ with respect to $\tau$.
$1^{\circ}$. $\phi^{*}(N) \subset N$ if and only if $\tau \circ \phi \leq c \tau$ for some $c>0$, i.e., if and only if the Radon-Nykodim derivative $b_{0}=\mathrm{d} \tau \circ \phi / \mathrm{d} \tau$ is a bounded operator. Moreover, if the condition is satisfied then $\phi^{*}$ defines a normal, $B$-bimodular, completely positive map of $N$ into $N$ with $\phi^{*}(1)=b_{0}$ and

$$
\left\|\phi^{*}\right\|=\left\|b_{0}\right\|=\inf \{c>0 \mid \tau \circ \phi \leq c \tau\} .
$$

$2^{\circ}$. If $\phi$ satisfies condition $1^{\circ}$ then $\phi^{*}$ also satisfies it, and $\left(\phi^{*}\right)^{*}=\phi$. Also, $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi^{*}}, \xi_{\phi^{*}}\right)=\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}_{\phi}}, \overline{\xi_{\phi}}\right)$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ then for any unitary element $u \in N$,

$$
\left\|\phi^{*}(u)-u\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2} .
$$

Proof. Parts $1^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$ are trivial by the definition of $\phi^{*}$.
To prove $3^{\circ}$, note that by part $1^{\circ}, \tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ implies $\phi^{*}(1) \leq 1$ and so by Lemma 1.1.2 we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi^{*}(u)-u\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\tau\left(\phi^{*}(u) \phi^{*}(u)^{*}\right)+1-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi^{*}(u) u^{*}\right) \\
& \leq \tau\left(\phi^{*}(1) \phi^{*}(1)\right)+1-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(u) u^{*}\right) \leq 2-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(u) u^{*}\right) \\
& =2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left((u-\phi(u)) u^{*}\right) \leq 2\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.2. Completely positive maps as Hilbert space operators. We now show that if a completely positive map $\phi$ on the finite von Neumann algebra $N$ is sufficiently smooth with respect to the normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ on $N$, then it can be extended to the Hilbert space $L^{2}(N, \tau)$. In case $\phi$ is $B$ bimodular, for some von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset N$, these operators belong to the algebra of the basic construction associated with $B \subset N$, defined in the next paragraph.
1.2.1. Lemma. $1^{\circ}$. If there exists $c>0$ such that $\|\phi(x)\|_{2} \leq c\|x\|_{2}, \forall x \in N$, then there exists a bounded operator $T_{\phi}$ on $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ such that $T_{\phi}(\hat{x})=\phi \hat{(x)}$. The operator $T_{\phi}$ commutes with the canonical conjugation $J_{N}$. Also, if $B \subset N$ is a von Neumann subalgebra, then $T_{\phi}$ commutes with the operators of left and right multiplication by elements in $B$ (i.e., $\left.T_{\phi} \in B^{\prime} \cap(J B J)^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if the completely positive map $\phi$ is $B$-bimodular.
$2^{\circ}$. If $\tau \circ \phi \leq c_{0} \tau$, for some constant $c_{0}>0$, then $\phi$ satisfies condition $1^{\circ}$ above, and so there exists a bounded operator $T_{\phi}$ on the Hilbert space $L^{2}(N, \tau)$
such that $T_{\phi}(\hat{x})=\phi \hat{(x)}$, for $x \in N$. Moreover, if $\phi^{*}: N \rightarrow N$ is the adjoint of $\phi$, as defined in 1.1.5, then $\left\|T_{\phi}\right\|^{2} \leq\|\phi(1)\|\left\|\phi^{*}(1)\right\|$. Also, $\phi^{*}$ satisfies $\tau \circ \phi^{*} \leq$ $\|\phi(1)\| \tau$ and so $T_{\phi^{*}}=T_{\phi}^{*}$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $\phi$ is $B$-bimodular then $\phi(1) \in B^{\prime} \cap N$. Thus, if $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathcal{Z}(B)$ then $\phi(1) \in \mathcal{Z}(B), \tau \circ \phi \leq\|\phi(1)\| \tau$ and the bounded operator $T_{\phi}$ exists by $2^{\circ}$. If in addition $\phi(1)=1$, then $\phi$ is trace-preserving as well.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. The existence of $T_{\phi}$ is trivial. Also, for $x \in N$ we have

$$
T_{\phi}\left(J_{N}(\hat{x})\right)=\phi\left(\hat{x}^{*}\right)=\phi(\hat{x})^{*}=J_{N}\left(T_{\phi}(\hat{x})\right) .
$$

If $\phi$ is $B$-bimodular and $b \in B$ is regarded as an operator of left multiplication by $b$ on $L^{2}(N, \tau)$, then

$$
b T_{\phi}(\hat{x})=b \hat{\phi}(x)=\phi(\hat{b} x)=T_{\phi}(b \hat{x}) .
$$

Thus, $T_{\phi} \in B^{\prime}$.
Similarly,

$$
J b J\left(T_{\phi}(\hat{x})\right)=\phi(x) b=\phi(x b)=T_{\phi}(J b J(\hat{x}))
$$

showing that $T_{\phi} \in J B J^{\prime}$ as well. Conversely, if $T_{\phi} \in B^{\prime} \cap J B J^{\prime}$, then by exactly the same equalities, $\phi(b x)=b \phi(x), \phi(x b)=\phi(x) b, \forall x \in N, b \in B$.
$2^{\circ}$. By Kadison's inequality, for $x \in M$,

$$
\left\langle T_{\phi}(\hat{x}), T_{\phi}(\hat{x})\right\rangle=\tau\left(\phi(x)^{*} \phi(x)\right) \leq\|\phi(1)\| \tau\left(\phi\left(x^{*} x\right)\right), \forall x \in N .
$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.1.5 we have $\left\|T_{\phi}\right\|^{2} \leq\|\phi(1)\|\left\|\phi^{*}(1)\right\|$. The last part is now trivial, by 1.1.5 and the definitions of $T_{\phi}, \phi^{*}$ and $T_{\phi^{*}}$.
$3^{\circ}$. The $B$-bimodularity of $\phi$ implies $u \phi(1) u^{*}=\phi(1), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$; thus $\phi(1) \in B^{\prime} \cap N$.

Using again the bimodularity, as well as the normality of $\phi$, for each fixed $x \in N$ we have

$$
\tau(\phi(x))=\tau\left(u \phi(x) u^{*}\right)=\tau\left(\phi\left(u x u^{*}\right)\right)=\tau(\phi(y))
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ and all $y$ in the weak closure of the convex hull of $\left\{u x u^{*} \mid u \in\right.$ $\mathcal{U}(N)\}$. The latter set contains $E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}(x) \in B^{\prime} \cap N \subset B$ (see e.g. [Po6]); thus

$$
\tau(\phi(x))=\tau\left(\phi\left(E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}(x)\right)\right)=\tau\left(E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}(x) \phi(1)\right) .
$$

This shows that if $x \geq 0$ then $\tau(\phi(x)) \leq\|\phi(1)\| \tau(x)$. It also shows that in case $\phi(1)=1$ then $\tau(\phi(x))=\tau(x), \forall x \in N$.
1.3. The basic construction and its compact ideal space. We now recall from [Chr], [J1], [Po2,3] some well known facts about the basic construction for an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras $B \subset N$ with a normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ on it. Also, we establish some properties of the ideal generated
by finite projections in the semifinite von Neumann algebra $\langle N, B\rangle$ of the basic construction.
1.3.1. Basic construction for $B \subset N$. We denote by $\langle N, B\rangle$ the von Neumann algebra generated in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(N, \tau)\right)$ by $N$ (regarded as the algebra of left multiplication operators by elements in $N$ ) and by the orthogonal projection $e_{B}$ of $L^{2}(M, \tau)$ onto $L^{2}(B, \tau)$.

Since $e_{B} x e_{B}=E_{B}(x) e_{B}, \forall x \in N$, where $E_{B}$ is the unique $\tau$-preserving conditional expectation of $N$ onto $B$, and $\vee\left\{x\left(e_{B}\left(L^{2}(N)\right)\right) \mid x \in N\right\}=L^{2}(N)$, it follows that $\operatorname{sp} N e_{B} N$ is a *-algebra with support equal to 1 in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(N, \tau)\right)$. Thus, $\langle N, B\rangle=\overline{\mathrm{sp}}^{\mathrm{w}}\left\{x e_{B} y \mid x, y \in N\right\}$ and $e_{B}\langle N, B,\rangle e_{B}=B e_{B}$.

One can also readily see that if $J=J_{N}$ denotes the canonical conjugation on the Hilbert space $L^{2}(N, \tau)$, given on $\hat{N}$ by $J(\hat{x})=\hat{x^{*}}$, then $\langle N, B\rangle=$ $J B J^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(N, \tau)\right)$. This shows in particular that $\langle N, B\rangle$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra. It also shows that the isomorphism of $N \subset\langle N, B\rangle$ only depends on $B \subset N$ and not on the trace $\tau$ on $N$ (due to the uniqueness of the standard representation).

As a consequence, if $\phi$ is a $B$-bimodular completely positive map on $N$ satisfying $\|\phi(x)\|_{2} \leq c\|x\|_{2}, \forall x \in N$, for some constant $c>0$, as in Lemma 1.2.1, then the corresponding operator $T_{\phi}$ on $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ defined by $T_{\phi}(\hat{x})=$ $\phi \hat{(x)}, x \in N$ belongs to $B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$.

We endow $\langle N, B\rangle$ with the unique normal semifinite faithful trace $\operatorname{Tr}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Tr}\left(x e_{B} y\right)=\tau(x y), \forall x, y \in N$. Note that there exists a unique $N$ bimodule map $\Phi$ from $\operatorname{sp} N e_{B} N \subset\langle N, B\rangle$ into $N$ satisfying $\Phi(x e y)=x y, \forall x$, $y \in N$, and $\tau \circ \Phi=\operatorname{Tr}$. In particular this entails $\|\Phi(X)\|_{1} \leq\|X\|_{1, \operatorname{Tr}}, \forall X \in$ $\operatorname{sp} N e_{B} N$. Note that the map $\Phi$ extends uniquely to an $N$-bimodule map from $L^{1}(\langle N, B\rangle, \operatorname{Tr})$ onto $L^{1}(N, \tau)$, still denoted $\Phi$. This $N$-bimodule map satisfies the "pull down" identity $e X=e \Phi(e X), \forall X \in\langle N, B\rangle$ (see [PiPo], or [Po2]). Note that $\Phi(e X)$ actually belongs to $L^{2}(N, \tau) \subset L^{1}(N, \tau)$, for $X \in\langle N, B\rangle$.
1.3.2. The compact ideal space of a semifinite algebra. In order to define the compact ideal space of the semifinite von Neumann algebra $\langle N, B\rangle$, it will be useful to first mention some remarks about the compact ideal space of an arbitrary semifinite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$.

Thus, we let $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ be the norm-closed two-sided ideal generated in $\mathcal{N}$ by the finite projections of $\mathcal{N}$, and call it the compact ideal space of $\mathcal{N}$ (see e.g., [KafW], [PoRa]). Note that $T \in \mathcal{N}$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if all the spectral projections $e_{[s, \infty)}(|T|), s>0$, are finite projections in $\mathcal{N}$. As a consequence, it follows that the set $\mathcal{J}^{0}(\mathcal{N})$ of all elements supported by finite projections (i.e., the finite rank elements in $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ ) is a norm dense ideal in $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$.

Further, let $e \in \mathcal{N}$ be a finite projection with central support equal to 1 and denote by $\mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$ the norm-closed two-sided ideal generated by $e$ in $\mathcal{N}$. It is
easy to see that an operator $T \in \mathcal{N}$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if there exists a partition of 1 with projections $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}$ in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $T z_{i} \in \mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N}), \forall i$. In particular, if $p \in \mathcal{N}$ is a finite projection then there exists a net of projections $z_{i} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $z_{i} \uparrow 1$ and $p z_{i} \in \mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N}), \forall i$ (see e.g., 2.1 in [PoRa]). Also, $T \in \mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if $e_{[s, \infty)}(|T|) \in \mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N}), \forall s>0$. In turn, a projection $f \in \mathcal{N}$ lies in $\mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(f z) \leq c \operatorname{Tr}(e z)$, for any normal semifinite trace $\operatorname{Tr}$ on $\mathcal{N}$ and any projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$.

The next result, whose proof is very similar to some arguments in [Po7], shows that one can "push" elements of $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ into the commutant of a subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{N}$, while still staying in the ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$, by averaging by unitaries in $\mathcal{B}$. We include a complete proof, for convenience.

Proposition. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{N}$. For $x \in \mathcal{N}$ denote $K_{x}=\overline{\operatorname{Co}}^{w}\left\{u x u^{*} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})\right\}$. If $x \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ then $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap K_{x}$ consists of exactly one element, denoted $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x)$, which belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$. Moreover, the application $x \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x)$ is a conditional expectation of $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ onto $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$. Also, if $x \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})$ for some finite projection $e \in \mathcal{N}$ of central support 1 , then $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x) \in \mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. If $x=f$ is a projection in $\mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$ then there exists $c>0$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(f z) \leq c \operatorname{Tr}(e z)$, for any normal semifinite trace $\operatorname{Tr}$ on $\mathcal{N}$ and any projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$. By averaging with unitaries and taking weak limits, this implies that $\operatorname{Tr}(y z) \leq c \operatorname{Tr}(e z), \forall y \in K_{f}$, so that $\operatorname{Tr}(p z) \leq s^{-1} c \operatorname{Tr}(e z)$, for any spectral projection $p=e_{[s, \infty)}(y), s>0$ and $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$. Thus, $K_{f} \subset \mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$. Since any $x \in \mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$ is a norm limit of linear combinations of projections $f$ in $\mathcal{J}_{e}(\mathcal{N})$, this shows that the very last part of the statement follows from the first part.

To prove the first part, consider first the case when $\mathcal{N}$ has a normal semifinite faithful trace Tr . Assume first that $x \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ actually belongs to $\mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})(\subset \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N}))$. Note that in this case all $K_{x} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is a subset of the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$, where it is convex and weakly closed. Let then $x_{0} \in K_{x}$ be the unique element of minimal Hilbert norm \| $\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}$ in $K_{x}$. Since $\left\|u x_{0} u^{*}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}=\left\|x_{0}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$, it follows that $u x_{0} u^{*}=x_{0}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus, $x_{0} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$. In particular, $x_{0} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$.

If we now denote by $p$ the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$ onto the space of fixed points of the representation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$ on it given by $\xi \mapsto u \xi u^{*}$, then $x_{0}$ coincides with $p(x)$. Since $p\left(u x u^{*}\right)=p(x)$, this shows that $x_{0}=p(x)$ is in fact the unique element $y$ in $K_{x}$ with $u y u^{*}=y, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus, if for each $x \in \mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$ we put $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} p(x)$, then we have proved the statement for the subset $\mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$.

Since $\|y\| \leq\|x\|, \forall y \in K_{x}$, it follows that if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset \mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$ is a Cauchy sequence (in the uniform norm), then so is $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n}$. Thus, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}$ extends uniquely by continuity to a linear, norm one projection from $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$
onto $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$, which by the above remarks takes the norm dense subspace $\mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$ into itself.

Let us now prove that $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap K_{x} \neq \emptyset, \forall x \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$. To this end, let $x$ be an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Let $x_{1} \in \mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$ with $\left\|x-x_{1}\right\|$ $\leq \varepsilon$. Write $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}\left(x_{1}\right)$ as a weak limit of a net $\left\{T_{u_{\alpha}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}_{\alpha}$, for some finite tuples $u_{\alpha}=\left(u_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, u_{n_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$, where $T_{u_{\alpha}}(y)=n_{\alpha}^{-1} \sum_{i} u_{i}^{\alpha} y u_{i}^{\alpha *}, y \in \mathcal{N}$. By passing to a subnet if necessary, we may assume $\left\{T_{u_{\alpha}}(x)\right\}_{\alpha}$ is also weakly convergent, to some element $x^{\prime} \in K_{x}$. Since, $\left\|T_{u_{\alpha}}(x)-T_{u_{\alpha}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|x-x_{1}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$, it follows that $\left\|x^{\prime}-\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$. This shows that the weakly-compact set $K_{x}$ contains elements which are arbitrarily close to $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}$. Since there is a weak limit of such elements it follows that $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap K_{x} \neq \emptyset$.

Finally, let $x \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ and assume $x^{0}$ is an element in $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap K_{x}$. To prove that $x^{0}=\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x)$, let $\varepsilon>0$ and $x_{1} \in \mathcal{N} \cap L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Tr})$ with $\left\|x-x_{1}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$, as before. Write $x^{0}$ as a weak limit of a net $\left\{T_{v_{\beta}}(x)\right\}_{\beta}$, for some finite tuples $v_{\beta}=\left(v_{1}^{\beta}, \ldots, v_{m_{\beta}}^{\beta}\right) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$. By passing to a subnet if necessary, we may assume $\left\{T_{v_{\beta}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}_{\beta}$ is also weakly convergent, to some element $x_{1}^{0} \in K_{x_{1}}$. Since, $\left\|T_{v_{\beta}}(x)-T_{v_{\beta}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|x-x_{1}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$, it follows that $\left\|x^{0}-x_{1}^{0}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$. But $p\left(x_{1}^{0}\right)=p\left(x_{1}\right)=\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}\left(x_{1}\right)$, and $p\left(x_{1}^{0}\right)$ is obtained as a weak limit of averaging by unitaries in $\mathcal{B}$, which commute with $x^{0}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{0}-\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x)\right\| \leq & \left\|x^{0}-\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}\left(x_{1}\right)-\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x)\right\| \leq \varepsilon+\left\|x_{1}-x\right\| \leq 2 \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary, this shows that $x^{0}=\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x)$.
This finishes the proof of the case when $\mathcal{N}$ has a faithful trace Tr. The general case follows now readily, because if $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i}$ is an increasing net of projections in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $K_{z_{i} x} \cap\left(\mathcal{B} z_{i}\right)^{\prime}$ consists of exactly one element, which belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N}) z_{i}=\mathcal{J}\left(\mathcal{N} z_{i}\right), \forall x \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$, then the same holds true for the projection $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} z_{i}$.
1.3.3. The compact ideal space of $\langle N, B\rangle$. In particular, if $B \subset N$ is an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras as in 1.3.1, then we denote by $\mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ the compact ideal space of $\langle N, B\rangle$. Noticing that $e_{B}$ has central support 1 in $\langle N, B\rangle$, we denote $\mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ the norm closed two sided ideal $\mathcal{J}_{e_{B}}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ generated by $e_{B}$ in $\langle N, B\rangle$. Note that if $B=\mathbb{C}$ then $\mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)=$ $\mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ is the usual ideal of compact operators $\mathcal{K}\left(L^{2}(N)\right)$.

It will be useful to have the following alternative characterizations of the compact ideal spaces $\mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle), \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$.

Proposition. Let $N$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with countably decomposable center and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra. Let $T \in\langle N, B\rangle$. The following conditions are equivalent:

$$
1^{\circ} . T \in \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle) .
$$

$2^{\circ}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a finite projection $p \in\langle N, B\rangle$ such that $\|T(1-p)\|<\varepsilon$.
$3^{\circ}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $z \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{Z}\left(J_{N} B J_{N}\right)\right)$ such that $\tau(1-z) \leq \varepsilon$ and $T z \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$.
$4^{\circ}$. For any given sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}_{n} \in L^{2}(N)$ with the properties $E_{B}\left(\eta_{n}^{*} \eta_{n}\right)$ $\leq 1, \forall n \geq 1$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|E_{B}\left(\eta_{n}^{*} \eta_{m}\right)\right\|_{2}=0, \forall m, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T \eta_{n}\right\|_{2}=0$.
5. For any given sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n} \in N$ with the properties $E_{B}\left(x_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right)$ $\leq 1, \forall n \geq 1$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|E_{B}\left(x_{n}^{*} x_{m}\right)\right\|_{2}=0, \forall m, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T x_{n}\right\|_{2}=0$.

Moreover, $T \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ if and only if condition $2^{\circ}$ above holds true with projections $p$ in $\mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$.

Proof. The equivalence of $1^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$ (resp. the equivalence in the last part of the statement) is trivial by the following fact, noted in 1.3.2: $T \in$ $\mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.T \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)\right)$ if and only if $e_{[s, \infty)}(|T|) \in \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ (resp. $\left.\in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)\right), \forall s>0$.
$3^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 2^{\circ}$ is trivial by the general remarks in 1.3.2. To prove $2^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 3^{\circ}$, for each $n \geq 1$ let $T_{n}$ be a linear combination of finite projections in $\langle N, B\rangle$ such that $\left\|T-T_{n}\right\| \leq 2^{-n}$. We see that for any finite projection $e \in\langle N, B\rangle$ and $\delta>0$ there exists a projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\langle N, B\rangle)=J_{N} \mathcal{Z}(B) J_{N}$ such that $\tau(1-z) \leq \delta$ and $e z \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$. It follows that for each $n$ there exists a projection $z_{n} \in J_{N} \mathcal{Z}(B) J_{N}$ such that $\tau\left(1-z_{n}\right) \leq 2^{-n} \varepsilon$ and $T_{n} z_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$. Let $z=\wedge z_{n}$. Then $\tau(1-z) \leq \Sigma_{n} 2^{-n} \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon, T_{n} z \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ and $\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) z\right\| \leq$ $\left\|T-T_{n}\right\| \leq 2^{-n}, \forall n$. Thus, $T z \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ as well.
$3^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 4^{\circ}$ is just a particular case of $\left(2.5\right.$ in [PoRa]). To prove $4^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 1^{\circ}$, assume by contradiction that there exists $s>0$ such that the spectral projection $e=e_{s}(|T|)$ is properly infinite. It follows that there exist mutually orthogonal, mutually equivalent projections $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots \in\langle N, B\rangle$ such that $\Sigma_{n} p_{n} \leq e$ with $p_{n}$ majorised by $e_{B}, \forall n$. Thus, for each $n \geq 1$ there exists $\eta_{n} \in L^{2}(N)$ such that $p_{n}=\eta_{n} e_{B} \eta_{n}^{*}$. It then follows that $E_{B}\left(\eta_{n}^{*} \eta_{m}\right)=0$ for $n \neq m$, with $E_{B}\left(\eta_{n}^{*} \eta_{n}\right)$ mutually equivalent projections in $B$. In particular, $\left\|\eta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\tau\left(\eta_{n}^{*} \eta_{n}\right)=c>0$ is constant, $\forall n$. Thus,

$$
s^{-1}\left\|T \eta_{n}\right\|_{2} \geq\left\|e\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right\|_{2} \geq\left\|p_{n}\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}=\left\|\eta_{n}\right\|_{2}=c^{1 / 2}, \forall n
$$

a contradiction.
$4^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 5^{\circ}$ is trivial. To prove $5^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 4^{\circ}$ assume $5^{\circ}$ holds true and let $\eta_{n}$ be a sequence satisfying the hypothesis in $4^{\circ}$. For each $n$ let $q_{n}$ be a spectral projection corresponding to some interval $\left[0, t_{n}\right]$ of $\eta_{n} \eta_{n}^{*}$ (the latter regarded as a positive, unbounded, summable operator in $\left.L^{1}(N)\right)$ such that $\left\|\eta_{n}-q_{n} \eta_{n}\right\|_{2}<2^{-n}$. Thus, $x_{n}=q_{n} \eta_{n}$ lies in $N$. One can easily check
$E_{B}\left(x_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right) \leq E_{B}\left(\eta_{n}^{*} \eta_{n}\right) \leq 1$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|E_{B}\left(x_{n}^{*} x_{m}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(q_{n} \eta_{n} e_{B} \eta_{n}^{*} q_{n}\right)\left(q_{m} \eta_{m} e_{B} \eta_{m}^{*} q_{m}\right)\right)=0
$$

Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T x_{n}\right\|_{2}=0$. But

$$
\left\|T \eta_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|T x_{n}\right\|_{2}+\|T\|\left\|\eta_{n}-x_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|T x_{n}\right\|_{2}+2^{-n}\|T\|,
$$

showing that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T \eta_{n}\right\|_{2}=0$ as well.
1.4. Discrete embeddings and bimodule decomposition. If $B \subset N$ is an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras with a faithful normal tracial state $\tau$ as before, then we often consider $N$ as an (algebraic) (bi)module over $B$ and $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ as a Hilbert (bi)module over $B$. In fact any vector subspace $H$ of $N$ which is invariant under left (resp. right) multiplication by $B$ is a left (resp. right) module over $B$. Similarly, any Hilbert subspace of $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ which is invariant under multiplication to the left (resp. right) by elements in $B$ is a left (resp. right) Hilbert module. Also, the closure in $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ of a $B$-module $H \subset N$ is a Hilbert $B$-module.
1.4.1. Orthonormal basis. An orthonormal basis for a right (respectively left) Hilbert $B$-module $\mathcal{H} \subset L^{2}(N, \tau)$ is a subset $\left\{\eta_{i}\right\}_{i} \subset L^{2}(N)$ such that $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\Sigma_{k} \eta_{k} B}$ (respectively $\left.\mathcal{H}=\overline{\Sigma_{k} B \eta_{k}}\right)$ and $E_{B}\left(\eta_{i}^{*} \eta_{i^{\prime}}\right)=\delta_{i i^{\prime}} p_{i} \in \mathcal{P}(B), \forall i, i^{\prime}$, (respectively $\left.E_{B}\left(\eta_{j^{\prime}} \eta_{j}^{*}\right)=\delta_{j^{\prime} j} q_{j} \in \mathcal{P}(B), \forall j, j^{\prime}\right)$. Note that if this is the case, then $\xi=\Sigma_{i} \eta_{i} E_{B}\left(\eta_{i}^{*} \xi\right), \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\left.\xi=\Sigma_{j} E_{B}\left(\xi \eta_{j}^{*}\right) \eta_{j}, \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}\right)$.

A set $\left\{\eta_{j}\right\}_{j} \subset L^{2}(N, \tau)$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_{B}$ if and only if the orthogonal projection $f$ of $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies $f=\Sigma_{j} \eta_{j} e_{B} \eta_{j}^{*}$ with $\eta_{j} e_{B} \eta_{j}^{*}$ projection $\forall j$. A simple maximality argument shows that any left (resp. right) Hilbert $B$-module $\mathcal{H} \subset L^{2}(N, \tau)$ has an orthonormal basis (see [Po2] for all this). The Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}_{B}$ (resp. ${ }_{B} \mathcal{H}$ ) is finitely generated if it has a finite orthonormal basis.
1.4.2. Quasi-regular subalgebras. Recall from [D] that if $B \subset N$ is an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras then the normalizer of $B$ in $N$ is the set $\mathcal{N}(B)=\mathcal{N}(B)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{U}(N) \mid u B u^{*}=B\right\}$. The von Neumann algebra $B$ is called regular in $N$ if $\mathcal{N}(B)^{\prime \prime}=N$.

In the same spirit, the quasi-normalizer of $B$ in $N$ is defined to be the set $q \mathcal{N}(B) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{x \in N \mid \exists x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in N\right.$ such that $x B \subset \sum_{i=1}^{n} B x_{i}$ and $B x \subset$ $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} B\right\}$ (cf. [Po5], $\left.[\mathrm{PoSh}]\right)$. The condition " $x B \subset \sum B x_{i}, B x \subset \sum x_{i} B$ " is equivalent to " $B x B \subset\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B x_{i}\right) \cap\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} B\right)$ " and also to " $\operatorname{sp} B x B$ is finitely generated both as a left and as a right $B$-module." It then follows readily that $\operatorname{sp}\left(q \mathcal{N}_{N}(B)\right)$ is a ${ }^{*}$-algebra. Thus, $P \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \overline{\operatorname{sp}}\left(q \mathcal{N}_{N}(B)\right)=q \mathcal{N}_{N}(B)^{\prime \prime}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $N$ containing $B$. In case the von Neumann algebra $P=q \mathcal{N}_{B}(N)^{\prime \prime}$ is equal to all $N$, then $B$ is quasi-regular in $N([\operatorname{Po5}])$.

The most interesting case of inclusions $B \subset N$ for which one considers the normalizer $\mathcal{N}(B)$ and the quasi-normalizer $q \mathcal{N}_{N}(B)$ of $B$ in $N$ is when the subalgebra $B$ satisfies the condition $B^{\prime} \cap N \subset B$, or equivalently $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathcal{Z}(B)$, notably when $B$ and $N$ are factors (i.e., when $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$ ) and when $B$ is a maximal abelian ${ }^{*}$-subalgebra (i.e., when $B^{\prime} \cap N=B$ ).

The next lemma lists some useful properties of $q \mathcal{N}(B)$. In particular, it shows that if a Hilbert $B$-bimodule $\mathcal{H} \subset L^{2}(N, \tau)$ is finitely generated both as a left and as a right Hilbert $B$ module, then it is "close" to a bounded finitely generated $B$-bimodule $H \subset P$.

Lemma. (i) Let $N$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal finite faithful trace $\tau$ and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra. Let $p \in B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$ be a finite projection such that $J_{N} p J_{N}$ is also a finite projection. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset L^{2}(N, \tau)$ be the Hilbert space on which $p$ projects (which is thus a Hilbert B-bimodule). Then there exists an increasing sequence of central projections $z_{n} \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$ such that $z_{n} \uparrow 1$ and such that the Hilbert $B$-bimodules $z_{n} \mathcal{H} z_{n} \subset L^{2}(N)$ are finitely generated both as left and as right Hilbert $B$-modules.
(ii) If $B \subset N$ are as in (i) and $\mathcal{H}^{0} \subset L^{2}(N)$ is a Hilbert $B$-bimodule such that $\mathcal{H}_{B}^{0},{ }_{B} \mathcal{H}^{0}$ are finitely generated Hilbert modules, with $\left\{\xi_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$, $\left\{\zeta_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}$ their corresponding orthonormal basis, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a projection $q \in B^{\prime} \cap N$ such that $\tau(1-q)<\varepsilon$ and $x_{i}=q \xi_{i} q$ $\in N, y_{j}=q \zeta_{j} q \in N, \forall i, j$. In particular, $\Sigma_{i} x_{i} B=\Sigma_{j} B y_{j}=q \mathcal{H}^{0} q \cap N$ is dense in $q \mathcal{H}^{0} q$ and is finitely generated both as left and right $B$-module.
(iii) If $p$ is a projection as in (i) then $p \leq e_{P}$. Also, $B$ is quasiregular in $N$ if and only if $B$ is discrete in $N$, i.e., $B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$ is generated by projections which are finite in $\langle N, B\rangle$ ([ILP]).

Proof. (i) and (ii) are trivial consequences of 1.4.1 and of the definitions.
The first part of (iii) is trivial by (i), (ii). Thus, $e_{P}$ is the supremum of all projections $p \in B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$ such that both $p$ and $J_{N} p J_{N}$ are finite in $\langle N, B\rangle$. Thus, if $q \in\langle N, B\rangle$ is a nonzero finite projection orthogonal to $e_{P}$ then any projection $q^{\prime} \in B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$ with $q^{\prime} \leq J_{N} q J_{N}$ must be infinite (or else the maximality of $e_{P}$ would be contradicted). But if $q$ satisfies this property then $B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$ cannot be generated by finite projections.
1.4.3. Cartan subalgebras. Recall from [D] that a maximal abelian ${ }^{*}$-subalgebra $A$ of a finite von Neumann factor $M$ is called semiregular if $\mathcal{N}(A)$ generates a factor, equivalently, if $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$. Also, while maximal abelian $*$-subalgebras $A$ with $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\prime \prime}=M$ were called regular in [D], as mentioned before, they were later called Cartan subalgebras in [FM], a terminology that seems to prevail and which we therefore adopt.

By results of Feldman and Moore ( $[\mathrm{FM}]$ ), in case a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$ is separable in the norm $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ given by the trace, to each Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$ corresponds a countable, measure-preserving, ergodic equivalence
relation $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A \subset M)$ on the standard probability space $(X, \mu)$, where $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \simeq\left(A, \tau_{\mid A}\right)$, given by orbit equivalence under the action of $\mathcal{N}(A)$. In fact, $\mathcal{N}(A)$ also gives rise to an $A$-valued 2-cocycle $v=v(A \subset M)$, reflecting the associativity $\bmod A$ of the product of elements in the normalizing pseudogroup $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{N} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{p u \mid u \in \mathcal{N}(A), p \in \mathcal{P}(A)\}$.

Conversely, given any pair $(\mathcal{R}, v)$, consisting of a countable, measurepreserving, ergodic equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on the standard probability space ( $X, \mu$ ) and an $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$-valued 2-cocycle $v$ for the corresponding pseudogroup action (N.B.: $v \equiv 1$ is always a 2 -cocycle, $\forall \mathcal{R}$ ), there exists a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with a Cartan subalgebra $(A \subset M)$ associated with it, via a groupmeasure space construction "à la" Murray-von Neumann. The association $(A \subset M) \rightarrow(\mathcal{R}, v) \rightarrow(A \subset M)$ is one-to-one, modulo isomorphisms of inclusions $(A \subset M)$ and respectively measure-preserving orbit equivalence of $\mathcal{R}$ with equivalence of the 2 -cocycles $v$ (see [FM] for all this).

Examples of countable, measure-preserving, ergodic equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$ are obtained by taking free ergodic measure-preserving actions $\sigma$ of countable groups $\Gamma_{0}$ on the standard probability space $(X, \mu)$, and letting $x \mathcal{R} y$ whenever there exists $g \in \Gamma_{0}$ such that $y=\sigma_{g}(x)$.

If $t>0$ then the amplification of a Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$ by $t$ is the Cartan subalgebra $A^{t} \subset M^{t}$ obtained by first choosing some $n \geq t$ and then compressing the Cartan subalgebra $A \otimes D \subset M \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ by a projection $p \in A \otimes D$ of (normalized) trace equal to $t / n$. (N.B. This Cartan subalgebra is defined up to isomorphism.) Also, the amplification of a measurable equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ by $t$ is the equivalence relation obtained by reducing the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{D}_{n}$ to a subset of measure $t / n$, where $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is the ergodic equivalence relation on the $n$ points set. Note that if $A \subset M$ induces the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ then $A^{t} \subset M^{t}$ induces the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}^{t}$. Also, $v_{A \subset M} \equiv 1$ implies $v_{A^{t} \subset M^{t}} \equiv 1, \forall t>0$.

By using Lemma 1.4.2, we can reformulate a result from [PoSh], based on prior results in [FM], in a form that will be more suitable for us:

Proposition. Let $M$ be a separable type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor.
(i) A maximal abelian *-subalgebra $A \subset M$ is a Cartan subalgebra if and only if $A \subset M$ is discrete, i.e., if and only if $A^{\prime} \cap\langle M, A\rangle$ is generated by projections that are finite in $\langle M, A\rangle$.
(ii) Let $A_{1}, A_{2} \subset M$ be two Cartan subalgebras of $M$. Then $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are conjugate by a unitary element of $M$ if and only if $A_{1}^{\prime} \cap\left\langle M, A_{2}\right\rangle$ is generated by finite projections of $\left\langle M, A_{2}\right\rangle$ and $A_{2}^{\prime} \cap\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle$ is generated by finite projections of $\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle$. Equivalently, $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are unitary conjugate if and only if ${ }_{A_{1}} L^{2}(M, \tau)_{A_{2}}$ is a direct sum $A_{1}-A_{2}$ Hilbert bimodules that are finite dimensional both as left $A_{1}$-Hilbert modules and as right $A_{2}$-Hilbert modules.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 1.4.2, the discreteness condition on $A$ is equivalent to the quasi-regularity of $A$ in $N$. By [PoSh], the latter is equivalent to $A$ being Cartan.
(ii) If $A_{i}^{\prime} \cap\left(J_{N} A_{j} J_{N}\right)^{\prime}$ is generated by finite projections of the semifinite von Neumann algebra $\left(J_{N} A_{j} J_{N}\right)^{\prime}$, for $i, j=1,2$, and we denote $M=M_{2}(N)$ the algebra of 2-by- 2 matrices over $N$ and $A=A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ then $A^{\prime} \cap\left(J_{M} A J_{M}\right)^{\prime}$ is also generated by finite projections of $J_{M} A J_{M}$. By part (i), this implies $A$ is Cartan in $M$. By [Dy] this implies there exists a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $v v^{*}=e_{11}, v^{*} v=e_{22}$, where $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1,2}$, is a system of matrix units for $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus, if $u \in N$ is the unitary element with $u e_{12}=v$ then $u A_{1} u^{*}=A_{2}$.

## 2. Relative Property H: Definition and examples

In this section we consider a "co-type" relative version of Haagerup's compact approximation property for inclusions of von Neumann algebras. This property can be viewed as a "weak co-amenability" property; see the next section (see 3.5, 3.6). It is a property that excludes "co-rigidity", as later explained (see 5.6, 5.7). We first recall the definition for groups and for single von Neumann algebras, for completeness.
2.0.1. Property H for groups. In [H1] Haagerup proved that the free groups $\Gamma_{0}=\mathbb{F}_{n}, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$, satisfy the following condition: There exist positive definite functions $\varphi_{n}$ on $\Gamma_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(g)=0, \quad \forall n, \text { (equivalently, } \varphi_{n} \in c_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(g)=1, \quad \forall g \in \Gamma_{0} \tag{2.0.1"}
\end{align*}
$$

Many more groups $\Gamma_{0}$ were shown to satisfy conditions (2.0.1) in $[\mathrm{dCaH}]$, [CowH], [CCJJV]. This property is often refered to as Haagerup's approximation property, or property H (see e.g., [Cho], [CJ], [CCJJV]). By a result of Gromov, a group has property H if and only if it satisfies a certain embeddability condition into a Hilbert space, a property he called a-T-menability ([Gr]). There has been a lot of interest in studying these groups lately. We refer the reader to the recent book ([CCJJV]) for a comprehensive account on this subject. Note that property H is a hereditary property, so if a group $\Gamma_{0}$ has it, then any subgroup $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$ has it as well.
2.0.2. Property H for algebras. A similar property H , has been considered for finite von Neumann algebras $N([\mathrm{C} 3]$, [Cho], [CJ]): It requires the existence of a net of normal completely positive maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ satisfying the conditions:

$$
\tau \circ \phi_{\alpha} \leq \tau \text { and } \phi_{\alpha}\left(\left\{x \in N \mid\|x\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}\right) \text { is }\|\quad\|_{2} \text {-precompact, } \forall \alpha,
$$

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{\alpha}(x)-x\right\|_{2}=0, \forall x \in N
$$

with respect to some fixed normal faithful trace $\tau$ on $N$. The net can of course be taken to be a sequence in case $N$ is separable in the $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$-topology.

It was shown in [Cho] that if $N$ is the group von Neumann algebra $L\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ associated to some group $\Gamma_{0}$, then $L\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ has the property H (as a von Neumann algebra) if and only if $\Gamma_{0}$ has the property H (as a group). It was further shown in [Jo1] that the set of properties (2.0.2) does not depend on the normal faithful trace $\tau$ on $N$, i.e., if there exists a net of completely positive maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ satisfying conditions (2.0.2'), (2.0.2") with respect to some faithful normal trace $\tau$, then given any other faithful normal trace $\tau^{\prime}$ on $N$ there exists a net of completely positive maps $\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ on $N$ satisfying the conditions with respect to $\tau^{\prime}$. It was also proved in [Jo1] that if $N$ has property H then given any faithful normal trace $\tau$ on $N$ the completely positive maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ satisfying (2.0.2) with respect to $\tau$ can be taken $\tau$-preserving and unital.

We now extend the definition of the property H from the above single algebra case to the relative ("co-type") case of inclusions of von Neumann algebras, by using a similar strategy to the way the notions of amenabilty and property ( T ) were extended from single algebras to inclusions of algebras in [Po1,10]; see Remarks 3.5, 3.6, 5.6 hereafter.
2.1. Definition. Let $N$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with countable decomposable center and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra. $N$ has property H relative to $B$ if there exists a normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ on $N$ and a net of normal completely positive $B$-bimodular maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ satisfying the conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau \circ \phi_{\alpha} \leq \tau  \tag{2.1.0}\\
T_{\phi_{\alpha}} \in J(\langle N, B\rangle), \forall \alpha  \tag{2.1.1}\\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{\alpha}(x)-x\right\|_{2}=0, \forall x \in N \tag{2.1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ are the operators in the semifinite von Neumann algebra $\langle N, B\rangle \subset$ $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(N, \tau)\right)$ defined out of $\phi_{\alpha}$ and $\tau$, as in 1.2.1.

Following [Gr], one can also use the terminology: $N$ is a-T-menable relative to $B$.

Note that the finite von Neumann algebra $N$ has the property H as a single von Neumann algebra if and only if $N$ has the property H relative to $B=\mathbb{C}$.

Note that a similar notion of "relative Haagerup property" was considered by Boca in [Bo], to study the behaviour of the Haagerup property under amalgamated free products. The definition in [Bo] involved a fixed trace and it required the completely positive maps to be unital and trace preserving.

The next proposition addresses some of the differences between his definition and 2.1:
2.2. Proposition. Let $N$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with countably decomposable center and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra.
$1^{\circ}$. If $N$ has the property H relative to $B$ and $\left\{\phi_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ satisfy (2.1.0)-(2.1.2) with respect to the trace $\tau$ on $N$, then there exists a net of completely positive maps $\left\{\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}_{\alpha}$ on $N$, which still satisfy (2.1.0)-(2.1.2) with respect to the trace $\tau$, but also $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \in J_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ and $\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(1) \leq 1, \forall \alpha$.
$2^{\circ}$. Assume $B^{\prime} \cap N \subset B$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $N$ has the property H relative to $B$.
(ii) Given any faithful normal tracial state $\tau_{0}$ on $N$, there exists a net of unital, $\tau_{0}$-preserving, B-bimodular completely positive maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ such that $T_{\phi_{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle), \forall \alpha$, and such that condition (2.1.2) is satisfied for the norm $\|\quad\|_{2}$ given by $\tau_{0}$.
(iii) There exists a normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ and a net of normal, $B$-bimodular completely positive maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ such that $\phi_{\alpha}$ can be extended to bounded operators $T_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ on $L^{2}(N, \tau)$, such that $T_{\phi_{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ and (2.1.2) is satisfied for the trace $\tau$.

Moreover, in case $N$ is countably generated as a B-module, i.e., there exists a countable set $S \subset N$ such that $\overline{\mathrm{sp}} S B=N$, the closure being taken in the norm $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$, then the net $\phi_{\alpha}$ in either $1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}$ or $3^{\circ}$ can be taken to be a sequence.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. By part $3^{\circ}$ of Proposition 1.3.3, we can replace if necessary $\phi_{\alpha}$ by $\phi_{\alpha}\left(z_{\alpha} \cdot z_{\alpha}\right)$, for some $z_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}(B))$ with $z_{\alpha} \uparrow 1$, so that the corresponding operators on $L^{2}(N, \tau)$ belong to $\mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle), \forall \alpha$.

By using continuous functional calculus for $\phi_{\alpha}(1)$, let $b_{\alpha}=\left(1 \vee \phi_{\alpha}(1)\right)^{-1 / 2} \in$ $B^{\prime} \cap N$. Then $b_{\alpha} \leq 1,\left\|b_{\alpha}-1\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)=b_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(x) b_{\alpha}, x \in N,
$$

still defines a normal completely positive map on $N$ with $\left\|\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$, $\forall x \in N$. Moreover, if $x \geq 0$ then

$$
\tau\left(\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)\right)=\tau\left(\phi_{\alpha}(x) b_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \leq \tau\left(\phi_{\alpha}(x)\right) .
$$

Also, since $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}}=L\left(b_{\alpha}\right) R\left(b_{\alpha}\right) T_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ and $L\left(b_{\alpha}\right) \in N \subset\langle N, B\rangle, R\left(b_{\alpha}\right) \in$ $J\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right) J \subset\langle N, B\rangle$ and $T_{\phi_{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)$, it follows that $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)$.
$2^{\circ}$. We clearly have $($ ii $) \Longrightarrow($ i $) \Longrightarrow$ (iii).
Assume now (iii) holds true for the trace $\tau$ and let $\tau_{0}$ be an arbitrary normal, faithful tracial state on $N$. Thus, $\tau_{0}=\tau\left(\cdot a_{0}\right)$, for some $a_{0} \in \mathcal{Z}(N)_{+}$
with $\tau\left(a_{0}\right)=1$. Since $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathcal{Z}(B)$, by part $3^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.2 .1 we have $a_{\alpha}=\phi_{\alpha}(1) \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$. Also, (2.1.2) implies

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a_{\alpha}-1\right\|_{2}=0
$$

where $\|\quad\|_{2}$ denotes the norm given by $\tau$.
Let $p_{\alpha}$ be the spectral projection of $a_{\alpha}$ corresponding to $[1 / 2, \infty)$. Since $a_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{Z}(B), p_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$. Also, condition (2.2.2') implies $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{\alpha}-1\right\|_{2}=$ $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a_{\alpha}^{-1} p_{\alpha}-p_{\alpha}\right\|_{2}=0$. Furthermore, by condition $3^{\circ}$ of Proposition 1.3.3, there exists $p_{\alpha}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ with $p_{\alpha}^{\prime} \leq p_{\alpha}$, such that $T_{\phi_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{\alpha}^{\prime}-1\right\|_{2}=0, \quad \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a_{\alpha}^{-1} p_{\alpha}-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=0
$$

Define $\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ on $N$ by

$$
\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)=a_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} p_{\alpha}^{\prime} \phi_{\alpha}(x) p_{\alpha}^{\prime} a_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}+\left(1-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) E_{B}(x)\left(1-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right), x \in N .
$$

Then we clearly have $\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(1)=1, \phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ are $B$-bimodular and $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$. Since $B^{\prime} \cap N \subset B$, by part $2^{\circ}$ in Lemma 1.2.1, this also implies $\tau \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\tau$, $\tau_{0} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\tau_{0}$. Moreover, since $a_{\alpha}^{-1} p_{\alpha} \leq 2$, it follows that for each $x \in N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq & \left\|a_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} p_{\alpha}^{\prime} \phi_{\alpha}(x) a_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} p_{\alpha}^{\prime}-p_{\alpha}^{\prime} x p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
& +\left\|\left(1-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) x p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\left\|p_{\alpha}^{\prime} x\left(1-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
& +\left\|\left(1-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\left(x-E_{B}(x)\right)\left(1-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
\leq & 2\left\|\phi_{\alpha}(x)-x\right\|_{2}+2\left\|a_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} p_{\alpha}^{\prime} x a_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} p_{\alpha}^{\prime}-p_{\alpha}^{\prime} x p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
& +3\left\|1-p_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\|x\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the latter tending to 0 for all $x \in N$, by ( $2.2 .2^{\prime \prime}$ ). Since this convergence holds true for one faithful normal trace, it holds true in the $s$-topology, thus for the normal trace $\tau_{0}$ as well.

The last part of $2^{\circ}$ is trivial.
We now prove some basic properties of the relative property H, showing that it is well behaved to simple operations such as tensor products, amplifications, finite index extensions/restrictions.
2.3. Proposition. $1^{\circ}$. If $N$ has property H relative to $B$ and $B_{0} \subset N_{0}$ is embedded into $B \subset N$ with commuting squares, i.e., $N_{0} \subset N, B_{0} \subset B$, $B_{0}=N_{0} \cap B$ and $E_{N_{0}} \circ E_{B}=E_{B} \circ E_{N_{0}}=E_{B_{0}}$, then $N_{0}$ has property H relative to $B_{0}$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $B_{1} \subset N_{1}$ and $B_{2} \subset N_{2}$ then $N_{1} \bar{\otimes} N_{2}$ has property H relative to $B_{1} \bar{\otimes} B_{2}$ if and only if $N_{i}$ has property H relative to $B_{i}, i=1,2$.
$3^{\circ}$. Let $B \subset N_{0} \subset N$. If $N$ has property H relative to $B$, then $N_{0}$ has property H relative to $B$. Conversely, if $N_{0} \subset N$ has a finite orthonormal basis $\left\{u_{j}\right\}_{j}$ with $u_{j}$ unitary elements such that $u_{j} B u_{j}^{*}=B, \forall j$, and $N_{0}$ has property

H relative to $B$, with respect to $\tau_{N_{0}}$ for some normal faithful trace $\tau$ on $N$, then $N$ has from property H relative to $B$, with respect to $\tau$.
$4^{\circ}$. Assume $B \subset B_{0} \subset N$ and $B \subset B_{0}$ has a finite orthonormal basis. If $N$ has from property H relative to $B_{0}$ then $N$ has property H relative to $B$. If in addition $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap N \subset B_{0}$ then, conversely, if $N$ has from property H relative to $B$, then $N$ has property H relative to $B_{0}$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. If $\phi_{\alpha}: N \rightarrow N$ are $B$-bimodular completely positive maps approximating the identity on $N$, then by the commuting square relation $E_{N_{0}} \circ$ $E_{B}=E_{B} \circ E_{N_{0}}=E_{B_{0}}$, it follows that $\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}=E_{N_{0}} \circ \phi_{\alpha \mid N_{0}}$ approximate the identity on $N_{0}$ and are $B_{0}$-bimodular. Moreover, by commuting squares, if $T_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ satisfy condition $5^{\circ}$ in 1.3 .3 then so do $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}}$.
$2^{\circ}$. The implication from left to right follows by applying $1^{\circ}$ to $(B \subset N)$ $=\left(B_{1} \bar{\otimes} B_{2} \subset N_{1} \bar{\otimes} N_{2}\right)$ and $\left(B_{0} \subset N_{0}\right)=\left(B_{i} \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset N_{i} \otimes \mathbb{C}\right), i=1,2$. The implication from right to left follows from the fact that $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{i}} \in \mathcal{J}\left(\left\langle N_{i}, B_{i}\right\rangle\right)$, $i=1,2$, implies $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{1} \otimes \phi_{\alpha}^{2}} \in \mathcal{J}\left(\left\langle N_{1} \bar{\otimes} N_{2}, B_{1} \bar{\otimes} B_{2}\right)\right.$ (since the tensor product of finite projections is a finite projection).
$3^{\circ}$. For the first implication, let $\phi_{\alpha}$ be completely positive maps on $N$ that satisfy (2.1.0)-(2.1.2) for $B \subset N$ and for the trace $\tau$ on $N$. Define $\phi_{\alpha}^{0}(x)=E_{N_{0}}\left(\phi_{\alpha}(x)\right), x \in N_{0}$. Then $\phi_{\alpha}^{0}$ are completely positive, $B$-bimodular maps which still satisfy $\tau \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{0} \leq \tau$. Moreover, since $T_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ satisfy condition $5^{\circ}$ in Proposition 1.3.3, then clearly $\phi_{\alpha}^{0}$ do as well.

For the converse, assuming $\phi_{\alpha}^{0}$ are completely positive maps on $N_{0}$ that satisfy (2.1.0)-(2.1.2) for $B \subset N_{0}$, define $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}$ on $\left\langle N, e_{N_{0}}\right\rangle$ by

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}\left(\Sigma_{i, j} u_{i} x_{i j} e_{N_{0}} u_{j}^{*}\right)=\Sigma_{i, j} u_{i} \phi_{\alpha}^{0}\left(x_{i j}\right) e_{N_{0}} u_{j}^{*},
$$

where $x_{i j} \in N_{0}$. It is then immediate to check that $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}$ are completely positive, $B$-bimodular and check (2.1.0)-(2.1.2) with respect to the canonical trace $\tilde{\tau}$ on $\left\langle N, e_{N_{0}}\right\rangle$ implemented by the trace $\tau$ on $N$ (which is clearly Markov by hypothesis). Thus, $\left\langle N, e_{N_{0}}\right\rangle$ has property H relative to $B$, so that by the first part $N$ has property H relative to $B$ as well (with respect to $\tilde{\tau}_{\left.\right|_{N}}=\tau$ ).
$4^{\circ}$. For the first implication, note that the condition that $B_{0}$ has a finite orthonormal basis over $B$ implies $\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle\right) \subset \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$. Indeed, this follows by first approximating $T \in \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ by linear combination of projections in $J_{0}\left(\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ then noticing that if $\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{0} \mathcal{H}\right)<\infty$ (respectively, $\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{H}_{B_{0}}\right)<\infty\right)$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left({ }_{B} \mathcal{H}\right)<\infty\left(\right.$ respectively, $\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{H}_{B}\right)<\infty\right)$.

For the opposite implication, let $\left\{m_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j}$ be a finite orthonormal basis of $B_{0}$ over $B$ and recall from ([Po2]) that $b=\Sigma_{j} m_{j}^{\prime} m_{j}^{\prime *} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right)$ and $b \geq 1$. Also, since for any $T \in B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$,

$$
\Sigma_{i, j} L\left(m_{j}^{\prime}\right) R\left(m_{i}^{\prime *}\right) \circ T \circ L\left(m_{j}^{\prime *}\right) R\left(m_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle
$$

(cf. [Po2]), it follows that if we put $m_{j}=b^{-1 / 2} m_{j}^{\prime}$ then

$$
T^{0}=\Sigma_{i, j} L\left(m_{j}\right) R\left(m_{i}^{*}\right) \circ T \circ L\left(m_{j}^{*}\right) R\left(m_{i}\right) \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle
$$

This shows that if $\phi_{\alpha}^{0}=\Sigma_{i, j} m_{j} \phi_{\alpha}\left(m_{j}^{*} \cdot m_{i}\right) m_{i}^{*}$, then $T^{0}=T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{o}} \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle$. Also, if in the above we take $T$ to be a projection with the property that $\mathcal{H}=e\left(L^{2}(N, \tau)\right)$ is a finitely generated left-right Hilbert $B$-module, then the support projection of the corresponding operator $T^{0}$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}^{0}=$ $\overline{\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i} \mathcal{H} m_{j}^{*}}$. To prove that $T^{0}$ is contained in $\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{H}^{0}$ is a finitely generated left-right Hilbert $B_{0}$-bimodule.

To do this, write first $\mathcal{H}$ as the closure of a finite sum $\Sigma_{k} \eta_{k} B$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{0}$ follows the closure of

$$
\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i}\left(\Sigma_{k} \eta_{k} B\right) m_{j}^{*}=\Sigma_{i, k}\left(m_{i} \eta_{k}\left(\Sigma_{j} B m_{j}^{*}\right)=\Sigma_{i, k} m_{i} \eta_{k} B_{0} .\right.
$$

This shows that $\operatorname{dim}_{B_{0}} \mathcal{H}^{0}<\infty$. Similarly, $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{B_{0}}^{0}<\infty$.
Taking linear combinations and norm limits, we get that $T \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ implies $T^{0} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle\right)$.

Finally, since $\Sigma_{j} m_{j} m_{j}^{*}=1$, by Corollary 1.1.2 the convergence to $\mathrm{id}_{N}$ of $\phi_{\alpha}$ implies convergence to $\operatorname{id}_{N}$ of $\phi_{\alpha}^{0}$. By condition (iii) in $2.3 .2^{\circ}$, this implies $N$ has the property H relative to $B_{0}$.
2.4. Proposition. $1^{\circ}$. If $N$ has property H relative to $B$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ or $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$, then $p N p$ has property H relative to $p B p$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ or $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$ are such that $p_{n} \uparrow 1$ and $p_{n} N p_{n}$ has property H relative to $p_{n} B p_{n}, \forall n$, then $N$ has property H relative to $B$.
$3^{\circ}$. Assume there exist partial isometries $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \subset N$ such that $v_{n}^{*} v_{n} \in$ $p B p, v_{n} v_{n}^{*} \in B, v_{n} B v_{n}^{*}=v_{n} v_{n}^{*} B v_{n} v_{n}^{*}, \forall n \geq 0, \Sigma_{n} v_{n} v_{n}^{*}=1$ and $B \subset\left(\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n} \cup\right.$ $p B p)^{\prime \prime}$. If $p N p$ has property H relative to $p B p$ then $N$ has property H relative to $B$.
$4^{\circ}$. If $B \subset N_{0} \subset N_{1} \subset \ldots$, then $N=\overline{\cup_{k} N_{k}}$ has property H relative to $B$ (with respect to a trace $\tau$ on $N$ ) if and only if $N_{k}$ has property H relative to $B$ (with respect to $\tau_{\mid N_{k}}$ ), $\forall k$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. In both cases, if $\phi$ is $B$-bimodular completely positive on $N$ then $p \phi(p \cdot p) p$ is a $p B p$-bimodular completely positive map on $p N p$. Also, $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ implies $\tau_{p} \circ(p \phi(p \cdot p) p) \leq \tau_{p}$, where $\tau_{p}(x)=\tau(x) / \tau(p), x \in p N p$. Finally, if $T_{\phi}$ satisfies condition $5^{\circ}$ in 1.3.3 as an element in $\langle N, B\rangle$ then clearly $T_{p \phi(p \cdot p) p}$ satisfies the condition as an element in $\langle p N p, p B p\rangle$.

The case $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$ of $2^{\circ}$ follows because if $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$ and $\phi_{p}$ is $B p$-bimodular completely positive map on $p N p$, with $\tau_{p} \circ \phi_{p} \leq \tau_{p}$, $\tau(1-p) \leq \delta,\left\|\phi_{p}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall x \in p F p$, for some finite set $F \subset N$, and $T_{\phi_{p}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle p N p, B p\rangle)$, then $\phi(y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \phi_{p}(p y p)+E_{B}((1-p) y(1-p)), \forall y \in N$
is $B$-bimodular and satisfies $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau,\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon(\delta), \forall x \in F$ and $T_{\phi} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$, where $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(\delta)=0$.

To prove $3^{\circ}$, let $\phi_{\alpha}^{p}$ be $p B p$-bimodular, completely positive maps on $p N p$ with $\tau_{p} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{p} \leq \tau_{p}, T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{p}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle p N p, p B p\rangle)$ and $\phi_{\alpha}^{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{id}_{p N p}$. Define $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ by

$$
\phi_{\alpha}(x)=\Sigma_{i, j} v_{i} \phi_{\alpha}^{p}\left(v_{i}^{*} x v_{j}\right) v_{j}^{*}, x \in N .
$$

Now, $\tau \circ \phi_{\alpha} \leq \tau$ and $\phi_{\alpha} \rightarrow \operatorname{id}_{N}$. Also, if $b \in p B p$ or $b=v_{i} v_{j}^{*}$ then $b \phi_{\alpha}(x)=\phi_{\alpha}(b x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) b=\phi_{\alpha}(x b), \forall x \in N$. Thus, if we denote by $B_{1}$ the von Neumann algebra generated by $p B p$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n}$ then $\phi_{\alpha}$ is $B_{1}$-bimodular.

Also, the same argument as in the last part of the proof of $2.3 .4^{\circ}$ shows that $T_{\phi_{\alpha}^{p}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle p N p, p B p\rangle)$ implies $T_{\phi_{\alpha}\left(p_{n} \cdot p_{n}\right)} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\left\langle p_{n} N p_{n}, p_{n} B_{1} p_{n}\right\rangle\right)$, where $p_{n}=\Sigma_{0 \leq k \leq n} v_{k}^{*} v_{k}$. Thus, $p_{n} N p_{n}$ has property H relative to $p_{n} B_{1} p_{n}$. Since $p_{n} B p_{n} \subset p_{n} B_{1} p_{n}$ and $p_{n} B_{1} p_{n}$ has finite orthonormal basis over $p_{n} B p_{n}$, by 2.4. $1^{\circ}$ above and the first implication in 2.3.4 ${ }^{\circ}$, it follows that $p_{n} N p_{n}$ has property H relative to $p_{n} B p_{n}, \forall n$.

For each $n$ let $\left\{z_{k}^{n}\right\}_{k}$ be a partition of the identity with projections in $\mathcal{Z}(B)$ such that $z_{k}^{n}$ has a finite partition into projections in $B$ that are majorized by $p_{n} z_{k}^{n}$. Thus, there exist finitely many partial isometries $v_{0}^{n}=p_{n} z_{k}^{n}, v_{1}^{n}, v_{2}^{n}, \ldots$ in $B$ such that $v_{i}^{n *} v_{i}^{n} \geq v_{i+1}^{n}{ }^{*} v_{i+1}^{n}, \forall i \geq 0$ and such that $\Sigma_{i} v_{i}^{n} v_{i}^{n *}=z_{k}^{n}$. By the first part of the proof, $z_{k}^{n} N z_{k}^{n}$ has property H relative to $B z_{k}^{n}$. By the case of $2^{\circ}$ that we have already proved, it follows that $N$ has property H relative to $B$.

The case $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset B$ in $2^{\circ}$ now follows by using $3^{\circ}$, to reduce the problem to the case where $p_{n}$ are central in $B$ (as in the proof of the last part of $3^{\circ}$ ).
$4^{\circ}$. The implication $\Longrightarrow$ follows by condition $2.3 .3^{\circ}$. The reverse implication follows immediately once we note that if $\phi$ is a completely positive map on $N_{k}$ such that $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ and $T_{\phi} \in \mathcal{J}\left(\left\langle N_{k}, B\right\rangle\right)$, then the completely positive $\operatorname{map} \phi^{k}=\phi \circ E_{N_{k}}$ on $N$ satisfies $\tau \circ \phi^{k} \leq \tau$ and $T_{\phi^{k}} \in \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ (for instance, by $5^{\circ}$ in 1.3.3).
2.5. Corollary. Let $A \subset M$ be a Cartan subalgebra of the type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$. If $t>0$ then $M^{t}$ has property H relative to $A^{t}$ if and only if $M$ has property H relative to $A$ (see 1.4 for the definition of the amplification by $t$ of a Cartan subalgebra).

Proof. Since the amplification by $1 / t$ of $A^{t} \subset M^{t}$ is $A \subset M$, it is sufficient to prove one of the implications. Assume $M$ has property H relative to $A$ and let $n \geq t$. By $2.3 .2^{\circ}$ it follows that $M \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ has property H relative to $A \otimes D_{n}$, where $D_{n}$ is the diagonal algebra in $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. If $p \in A \otimes D_{n}$ is a projection with $\tau(p)=t / n$ then, by $2.4 .1^{\circ}, M^{t}=p\left(M \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})\right) p$ has property H relative to $A^{t}=\left(A \otimes D_{n}\right) p$.
2.6. Remark. We do not know whether the "smoothness" condition (2.1.0) on the $B$-bimodular, completely positive, compact maps $\phi_{n}$ approximating the identity on $N$ in Definition 2.1 can be removed. This is not known even in the
case $B=\mathbb{C} 1_{N}$. In this respect, we mention that in fact, for all later applications, the following weaker "property H "-type condition will be sufficient:
(2.6.1) There exists a net of completely positive $B$-bimodular maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ which satisfy condition (2.2.2) so that for all $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset \mathcal{U}(N)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|E_{B}\left(u_{n}^{*} u_{m}\right)\right\|_{2}=0, \forall m$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}=0$.

We do not know whether (2.6.1) implies conditions (2.1.0)-(2.1.2), not even in the case $N$ is a factor and $B=\mathbb{C} 1_{N}$.

We mention however that for type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $N$ without the property $\Gamma$ of Murray and von Neumann ( $[\mathrm{MvN}]$ ), the smoothness condition (2.1.0) is automatically satisfied, in case the completely positive map $\phi$ is sufficiently close to the identity, thus making condition (2.1.0) redundant. Indeed, we have the following observation, essentially due to Connes and Jones ([CJ]):
2.7. Lemma. If $N$ is a non- $\Gamma$ type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $\delta>0$ and a finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that the following conditions hold true:
$1^{\circ}$. If $\phi$ is a completely positive map satisfying $\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall u \in F$, then there exists a normal completely positive map $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ on $N$ such that $\phi^{\prime \prime}(1)$ $\leq 1, \tau \circ \phi^{\prime \prime} \leq \tau,\left\|\tau \circ \phi^{\prime \prime}-\tau\right\| \leq \varepsilon, \Phi^{\prime \prime} \leq a_{0} \Phi\left(b_{0} \cdot b_{0}\right) a_{0}$, for some $0 \leq a_{0}, b_{0} \leq 1$ in $N$, and $\left\|\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+\varepsilon, \forall x \in N,\|x\| \leq 1$. Moreover, if $\phi$ is $B$-bimodular for some $B \subset N$, then $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ can be taken $B$-bimodular.
$2^{\circ}$. If $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ is a $(B \subset N)$ Hilbert bimodule with $\|u \xi-\xi u\| \leq \delta, \forall u \in F$ then $\|\langle\cdot \xi, \xi\rangle-\tau\| \leq \varepsilon,\|\langle\xi \cdot, \xi\rangle-\tau\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Since $N$ is non- $\Gamma$, by [C2] there exist unitary elements $u_{1}, u_{2}$, $\ldots, u_{n}$ in $N$ such that if a state $\varphi \in N^{*}$ satisfies $\left\|\varphi-\varphi\left(u \cdot u^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \delta$ then $\|\varphi-\tau\| \leq \varepsilon^{2} / 9$.

Let $F=\{1\} \cup\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i}$. Assume $\phi$ is a completely positive map on $N$ such that $\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2} \leq \delta^{4} / 200, \forall u \in F$. Let $a=1 \vee \phi(1)$ and first define $\phi^{\prime}$ on $N$ as in part $2^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.1.2, i.e., $\phi^{\prime}(x)=a^{-1 / 2} \phi(x) a^{-1 / 2}, x \in N$. By 1.1.2, $\phi^{\prime}(1) \leq 1$ and

$$
\left\|\phi^{\prime}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+2\|\phi(1)-1\|_{2}^{1 / 2}\|x\|
$$

Thus, by Corollary 1.1.2 we have for all $x \in N$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ the estimates:

$$
\left\|\phi^{\prime}\left(u x u^{*}\right)-u \phi^{\prime}(x) u^{*}\right\|_{2} \leq 2\left(2\left\|\phi^{\prime}(u)-u\right\|_{2}^{2}+2\left\|\phi^{\prime}(u)-u\right\|_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \delta .
$$

Thus, if $\varphi=\tau \circ \phi^{\prime}$ then $\left\|\varphi-\varphi\left(u_{i} \cdot u_{i}^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \delta, \forall i$, implying that $\|\varphi-\tau\| \leq \varepsilon^{2} / 9$.
Thus, if we now take $\phi_{1}$ to be the normal part of $\phi^{\prime}$ then we still have $\phi_{1}(1) \leq 1,\left\|\tau \circ \phi_{1}-\tau\right\| \leq \varepsilon^{2} / 9$ and

$$
\left\|\phi_{1}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+2\|\phi(1)-1\|_{2}^{1 / 2} \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}+\delta^{2} / 6,
$$

for all $x \in N,\|x\| \leq 1$. Finally, let $b_{1} \in L^{1}(N, \tau)$ be the Radon-Nykodim derivative of $\tau \circ \phi_{1}$ with respect to $\tau$ and define $b=1 \vee b_{1}, \phi^{\prime \prime}=\phi_{1}\left(b^{-1 / 2} \cdot b^{-1 / 2}\right)$,
as in Lemma 1.1.2. Thus, by part $3^{\circ}$ of that lemma, all the required conditions are satisfied, by letting $a_{0}=a^{-1 / 2}, b_{0}=b^{-1 / 2}$.
$2^{\circ}$. This part is now trivial, by part $1^{\circ}$ above and 1.1.3.

## 3. More on property $\mathbf{H}$

In this section we provide examples of inclusions of finite von Neumann algebras with property H . We also prove that if a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $N$ has property H relative to a maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra $B$ then $B$ is necessarily a Cartan subalgebra of $N$. Finally, we relate relative property H with notions of relative amenability considered in [Po1,5].

The examples we construct arise from crossed product constructions, being a consequence of the following relation between groups and inclusions of algebras with property H :
3.1. Proposition. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be a discrete group and $\left(B, \tau_{0}\right)$ a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state. Let $\sigma$ be a cocycle action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\left(B, \tau_{0}\right)$ by $\tau_{0}$-preserving automorphisms. Then $N=B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ has property H relative to $B$ if and only if the group $\Gamma_{0}$ has property H .

Proof. First assume that $\Gamma_{0}$ has property H and let $\varphi_{\alpha}: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be unital positive definite functions such that $\varphi_{\alpha} \in c_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}(g) \rightarrow 1, \forall g \in \Gamma_{0}$. Also, without loss of generality, we may assume $\varphi_{\alpha}(e)=1, \forall \alpha$. For each $\alpha$, let $\phi_{\alpha}$ be the associated completely positive map on $N=B \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ defined as in Section 1.4, by $\phi\left(\Sigma_{g} b_{g} u_{g}\right)=\Sigma_{g} \varphi(g) b_{g} u_{g}$. Note that $\phi_{\alpha}$ are unital, tracepreserving and $B$-bimodular (cf. 1.4).

Also, since $T_{\phi_{\alpha}}=\Sigma \varphi(g) u_{g} e_{B} u_{g}^{*}$, it follows that $T_{\phi_{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ if and only if $\varphi_{\alpha} \in c_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$. Finally, since $\left|1-\varphi_{\alpha}(g)\right|=\left\|\phi\left(u_{g}\right)-u_{g}\right\|_{2}$, it follows that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\alpha}(g)=1, \forall g \in \Gamma_{0}$, if and only if $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{\alpha}(x)-x\right\|_{2}=0$, $\forall x \in N$.

In particular, this shows that $N$ has property H relative to $B$.
Conversely, assume $N$ has property H relative to $B$ and let $\phi_{\alpha}: N \rightarrow N$ be a net of completely positive maps satisfying (2.3.0)-(2.3.2). Let $\varphi_{\alpha}: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined out of $\phi_{\alpha}$, as in Section 1.4, i.e., by $\varphi_{\alpha}(g)=\tau\left(\phi_{\alpha}\left(u_{g}\right) u_{g}^{*}\right), \forall g \in \Gamma_{0}$. By 2.6.1 ${ }^{\circ}$,

$$
\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{\alpha}\left(u_{g}\right)\right\|_{2}=0, \forall \alpha
$$

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$
\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\alpha}(g)=0, \forall \alpha
$$

Similarly, $\lim _{\alpha}\left\|\phi_{\alpha}\left(u_{g}\right)-u_{g}\right\|_{2}=0$ implies $\lim _{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}(g)=1$, thus showing that $\Gamma_{0}$ has property H .
3.2. Examples of groups with property H . The following groups $\Gamma_{0}$ (and thus, by heredity, any of their subgroups as well) are known to have property $H$, thus giving rise to property H inclusions $B \subset B \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ whenever acting (possibly with a cocycle) on a finite von Neumann algebra ( $B, \tau_{0}$ ), by trace-preserving automorphisms, as in 3.1:
3.2.0. Any amenable group $\Gamma_{0}$ (cf. [BCV]; see also 3.5 below).
3.2.1. $G=\mathbb{F}_{n}$, for some $2 \leq n \leq \infty$, more generally $\mathbb{F}_{S}$, for $S$ an arbitrary set of generators (cf. $[\mathrm{H}]$ ).
3.2.2. $\Gamma_{0}$ a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{SO}(n, 1)$, for some $n \geq 2$ (cf. $\left.[\mathrm{dCaH}]\right)$.
3.2.3. $\Gamma_{0}$ a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{SU}(n, 1)$, for some $n \geq 2$ (cf. [CowH]).
3.2.4. $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$, more generally $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{K})$ for any field $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$ which is a finite extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ (by a result of Jolissaint, Julg and Valette, cf. [CCJJV]).
3.2.5. $\Gamma_{0}=G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$, where $G_{1}, G_{2}$ have property H and $H \subset G_{1}, H \subset G_{2}$ is a common finite subgroup (cf. [CCJJV]). In particular $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.
3.2.6. $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \times \Gamma_{1}$, with $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}$ property H groups. Also, $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \rtimes_{\gamma} \Gamma_{1}$, with $\Gamma_{0}$ a property H group and $\Gamma_{1}$ an amenable group acting on it (cf. [CCJJV]).

We refer the reader to the book ([CCJJV]) for a more comprehensive list of groups with the property H . As pointed out there, the only known examples of groups which do not have the Haagerup property are the groups $G_{0}$ containing infinite subgroups $G \subset G_{0}$ such that $\left(G_{0}, G\right)$ has the relative property (T) in the sense of ([Ma, dHVa]; see also the next section).
3.3. Examples of actions. We are interested in constructing examples of cocycle actions $\sigma$ of (property $H$ ) groups $\Gamma_{0}$ on finite von Neumann algebras $(B, \tau)$ (see e.g. [CJ] for the def. of cocycle actions) that are ergodic (i.e., $\sigma_{g}(b)=b, \forall g \in \Gamma_{0}$ implies $b \in \mathbb{C} 1$ ) and properly outer (i.e., $\sigma_{g}(b) b_{0}=b_{0} b$, $\forall b \in B$, implies $g=e$ or $b_{0}=0$ ). Also, we consider the condition of weak mixing, which requires that $\forall F \subset B$ finite and $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists g \in \Gamma_{0}$ such that $\left|\tau\left(\sigma_{g}(x) y\right)-\tau(x) \tau(y)\right| \leq \varepsilon, \forall x, y \in F$. Weakly mixing actions are clearly ergodic.

Recall that the proper outernes of $\sigma$ is equivalent to the condition $B^{\prime} \cap$ $B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}=\mathcal{Z}(B)$. Also, if $\sigma$ is a properly outer action, then $\sigma$ acts ergodically on the center of $B$ if and only if $B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is a factor. Finally, weak-mixing is equivalent to the fact that $L^{2}(B, \tau)$ has no $\sigma$-invariant finite dimensional subspaces other than $\mathbb{C} 1$.

Yet another property of actions to be considered is the action $\sigma$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $(B, \tau)$ which is strongly ergodic if $B$ has no nontrivial approximately $\sigma$-invariant sequences; i.e., if $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n} \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, B)$ satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\sigma_{g}\left(b_{n}\right)-b_{n}\right\|_{2}=0$,
$\forall g \in \Gamma_{0}$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|b_{n}-\tau\left(b_{n}\right) 1\right\|_{2}=0$. Note that if we denote $N=B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ and take $\omega$ to be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, then this condition is equivalent to $N^{\prime} \cap B^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$.
3.3.1. Bernoulli shifts. Given any countable discrete group $\Gamma_{0}$ and any finite von Neumann algebra $\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right), \Gamma_{0}$ acts on

$$
(B, \tau)=(B, \tau)=\underset{g \in \Gamma_{0}}{\bar{\otimes}}\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)_{g}
$$

by Bernoulli shifts $\sigma_{g}$; namely, $\sigma_{g}\left(\otimes_{h} x_{h}\right)=x_{h}^{\prime}$, where $x_{h}^{\prime}=x_{g^{-1} h}$.
If $B_{0}$ has no atoms or if $\Gamma_{0}$ is an infinite group, then $\sigma$ is known to be properly outer. Also, if $\Gamma_{0}$ is infinite, then $\sigma$ is ergodic, in fact even mixing. A Bernoulli shift action is strongly ergodic if and only if $\Gamma_{0}$ is nonamenable (cf. [J2]).
3.3.2. Actions induced by automorphisms of groups. Let $\gamma$ be an action of an infinite group $\Gamma_{0}$ on a group $G$, by automorphisms. Let also $\nu$ be a (normalized) scalar 2 -cocycle on $G$ such that $\nu_{\gamma_{h}\left(g_{1}\right), \gamma_{h}\left(g_{2}\right)}=\nu_{g_{1}, g_{2}}, \forall g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$, $h \in \Gamma_{0}$. Then $\gamma$ implements an action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on the "twisted" group von Neumann algebra $L_{\nu}(G)$, denoted $\sigma_{\gamma}$, defined by $\sigma_{\gamma}(h)(\lambda(g))=\lambda\left(\gamma_{h}(g)\right)$, $\forall g \in G, h \in \Gamma_{0}$. Note that $\sigma_{\gamma}$ preserves the canonical trace $\tau$ of $L_{\nu}(G)$.

Lemma. (i) The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\sigma_{\gamma}$ is ergodic;
(b) $\sigma_{\gamma}$ is weakly mixing;
(c) $\gamma$ has no finite invariant subsets $\neq\{e\}$;
(d) For any finite subset $S \subset G$ there exists $h \in \Gamma_{0}$ such that $\gamma_{h}(S) \cap S=\emptyset$.
(ii) If $G_{1} \subset G$ is so that $\left\{g_{1}^{-1} g_{0} \gamma_{h}\left(g_{1}\right) \mid g_{1} \in G_{1}\right\}$ is infinite, $\forall h \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash\{e\}$, $\forall g_{0} \in G$ then $L_{\nu}\left(G_{1}\right)^{\prime} \cap L_{\nu}(G) \rtimes_{\sigma_{\gamma}} \Gamma_{0} \subset L_{\nu}(G)$. In particular, if this holds true for $G_{1}=G$ then $\sigma_{\gamma}$ is properly outer. If $\nu=1$ then the converse holds true as well.
(iii) Let $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}, G_{1} \subset G$ be subgroups of finite index such that $G_{1}$ is invariant to the restriction of $\gamma$ to $\Gamma_{1}$. If $\gamma, \Gamma_{0}, G$ satisfy either of the conditions (c), (d) in (i), or (ii) then $\gamma_{\mid \Gamma_{1}}, \Gamma_{1}, G_{1}$ satisfy that condition as well.

Proof. (i). (b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) is trivial.
(a) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$. If $\gamma_{h}(S)=S, \forall h \in \Gamma_{0}$ for some finite set $S \subset G$ with $e \notin S$, then $x=\Sigma_{g \in S} \lambda(g) \notin \mathbb{C} 1$ satisfies $\sigma_{\gamma}(h)(x)=x, \forall h \in \Gamma_{0}$, implying that $\sigma_{\gamma}$ is not ergodic.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{d})$. If $\gamma_{h}(S) \cap S \neq \emptyset, \forall h \in \Gamma_{0}$, for some finite set $S \subset G \backslash\{e\}$, then denote by $f$ the characteristic function of $S$ regarded as an element of $\ell^{2}(G)$.

If we denote by $\tilde{\gamma}$ the action (=representation) of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\ell^{2}(G)$ implemented by $\gamma$, then $\left\langle\tilde{\gamma}_{h}(f), f\right\rangle \geq 1 /|S|, \forall h \in \Gamma_{0}$. Thus, the element $a$ of minimal norm $\|\quad\|_{2}$ in the weak closure of $\operatorname{co}\left\{\tilde{\gamma}_{h}(f) \mid h \in \Gamma_{0}\right\} \subset \ell^{2}(G)$ is nonzero. But then any "level set" of $a \geq 0$ is invariant to $\gamma$, showing that (c) doesn't hold true.
$(\mathrm{d}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. Let $E_{0}$ be a finite set in the unit ball of $L_{\nu}(G), \varepsilon>0$ and $F_{0} \subset \Gamma_{0} \backslash\{e\}$ a finite set as well. Let $S_{0} \subset G \backslash\{e\}$ be finite and such that $\left\|(x-\tau(x) 1)-x_{S_{0}}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon / 2, \forall x \in E_{0}$. By applying the hypothesis to $S=\cup\left\{\gamma_{h}\left(S_{0}\right) \mid h \in F_{0}\right\}$, we see that there exists $h \in \Gamma_{0}$ such that $\gamma_{h}(S) \cap S=\emptyset$. But then $h \notin F_{0}$ and $\gamma_{h}\left(S_{0}\right) \cap S_{0}=\emptyset$. Also, by Cauchy-Schwartz, for each $x, y \in E_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\tau\left(\sigma_{\gamma}(h)(x) y\right)-\tau(x) \tau(y)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|(x-\tau(x) 1)-x_{S_{0}}\right\|_{2}\|y\|_{2} \\
& \quad+\left\|(y-\tau(y) 1)-y_{S_{0}}\right\|_{2}\|x\|_{2}+\left|\tau\left(\sigma_{\gamma}(h)\left(x_{S_{0}}\right) y_{S_{0}}\right)\right| \\
&=\left\|(x-\tau(x) 1)-x_{S_{0}}\right\|_{2}\|y\|_{2}+\left\|(y-\tau(y) 1)-y_{S_{0}}\right\|_{2}\|x\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $y_{0} \in L_{\nu}(G) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ satisfies $y_{0} x=y_{0} x, \forall x \in L_{\nu}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $y_{0} \notin L_{\nu}(G)$ then there exists $h \in \Gamma_{0}, h \neq e$, such that $\sigma_{\gamma}(h)(x) a=a x, \forall x \in L_{\nu}(G)$, for some $a \in L_{\nu}(G), a \neq 0$. This implies $\lambda\left(\gamma_{h}\left(g_{1}\right)\right) a \lambda\left(g_{1}^{-1}\right)=a, \forall g_{1} \in G_{1}$. But if this holds true then $\left\{\gamma_{h}\left(g_{1}\right) g^{\prime} g_{1}^{-1} \mid g_{1} \in G_{1}\right\}$ must be finite, for any $g^{\prime} \in G$ in the support of $a$. When $G_{1}=G$ and $\nu=1$, reversing the implications proves the converse.
(iii) Note first that if $S \subset G_{1}$ is a finite subset such that $\gamma_{h}(S)=S$, $\forall h \in \Gamma_{1}$, the set $\cup_{h \in \Gamma_{0}} \gamma_{h}(S)$ is finite as well. Thus, if $\gamma, \Gamma_{0}, G$ check with (c) in (i) so are $\gamma_{\mid \Gamma_{1}}, \Gamma_{1}, G_{1}$.

Then note that if $\gamma, \Gamma_{0}, G$ verify (ii) and for some $g_{1} \in G_{1}$ the set

$$
\left\{\gamma_{h}(g) g_{1} g^{-1} \mid g \in G_{1}\right\}
$$

is finite, then the set $\left\{\gamma_{h}(g) g_{1} g^{-1} \mid g \in G\right\}$ is finite, a contradiction.
Corollary. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the action of the group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For each $\alpha=e^{2 \pi i t} \in \mathbb{T}$, let $\tilde{\nu}=\tilde{\nu}(\alpha)$ be the unique normalized scalar 2 -cocycle on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying the relation $u_{x} v_{y}=\exp (2 \pi i t x y) v_{y} u_{x}$, where $u_{x}=(x, 0), v_{y}=(0, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\tilde{\nu}$ is $\tilde{\gamma}$-invariant. Moreover, the following restrictions $\left(\gamma, \Gamma_{0}, G, \nu\right)$ of $\left(\tilde{\gamma}, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}^{2}, \tilde{\nu}\right)$ are strongly ergodic and satisfy conditions (i), (ii) in the previous lemma (so the corresponding actions $\sigma_{\gamma}$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ are free and weakly mixing on $L_{\nu}(G)$ ):
(a) $\Gamma_{0}=\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), G=\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, or any other subgroup $G$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-invariant, with $\gamma$ the appropriate restriction of $\tilde{\gamma}$ (and of $\tilde{\nu}$ ).
(b) $\Gamma_{0}=\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q}), G=\mathbb{Q}^{2}$ (or any other $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$-invariant subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ), with $\gamma$ the appropriate restriction of $\tilde{\gamma}$.
(c) $\Gamma_{0} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{n}$, regarded as a subgroup of finite index in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (see e.g., [dHVa]), and $G=L\left((k \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right)$, for some $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Both conditions (i) and (ii) of the lemma are trivial in cases (a) and (b). Then (c) is just a simple consequence of part (iii) of the lemma. The strong ergodicity of these actions was proved in [S1].
3.3.3. Tensor products of actions. We often need to take tensor products of actions $\sigma_{i}$ of the same group $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\left(B_{i}, \tau_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots$, thus getting an action $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \ldots$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $(B, \tau)=\left(B_{1}, \tau_{1}\right) \bar{\otimes}\left(B_{2}, \tau_{2}\right) \bar{\otimes} \ldots$.

It is easy to see that the tensor product of a properly outer action $\sigma$ of a group $\Gamma_{0}$ with any other action $\sigma_{0}$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ gives a properly outer action. In fact, if $\sigma$ is an action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $(B, \tau)$ and $A_{0} \subset B$ is so that $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0} \subset B$ then given any action $\sigma_{0}$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on some $\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$, we have $\left(A_{0} \otimes 1\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(B \bar{\otimes} B_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}\right)=$ $\left(A_{0}^{\prime} \cap B\right) \bar{\otimes} B_{0}$.

While ergodicity does not always behave well with respect to tensor products, weak-mixing does: If $\sigma$ is weakly mixing and $\sigma_{0}$ is ergodic then $\sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}$ is ergodic. If $\sigma_{i}, i \geq 1$, are weakly mixing then $\otimes_{i} \sigma_{i}$ is weakly mixing.

If $\sigma_{0}$ is not strongly ergodic, then $\sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}$ is not strongly ergodic for all $\sigma$. Note that by [CW], if $\Gamma_{0}$ is an infinite property H group then there always exist free ergodic measure-preserving actions $\sigma_{0}$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ which are not strongly ergodic. Thus, given any $\sigma, \sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}$ is not strongly ergodic either.

The following combination of Bernoulli shifts and tensor products of actions will be of interest to us: Let $\sigma_{0}$ be an action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$. Let also $\Gamma_{1}$ be another discrete group and $\gamma$ an action of $\Gamma_{1}$ on $\Gamma_{0}$ by group automorphisms. (N.B. The action $\gamma$ may be trivial.) Let $\sigma_{1}$ be the Bernoulli shift action of $\Gamma_{1}$ on $(B, \tau)=\underset{g_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}}{\bar{\otimes}}\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)_{g_{1}}$. Let also $\sigma_{0}^{\gamma}$ be the action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $(B, \tau)$ given by $\sigma_{0}^{\gamma}=\otimes_{g_{1}} \sigma_{0} \circ \gamma\left(g_{1}\right)$.

Lemma. $1^{\circ}$. $\sigma_{1}\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}\left(g_{0}\right) \sigma_{1}\left(g_{1}^{-1}\right)=\sigma_{0}^{\gamma}\left(\gamma\left(g_{1}\right)\left(g_{0}\right)\right)$, for any $g_{0} \in \Gamma_{0}$ and $g_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$. Thus, $\left(g_{0}, g_{1}\right) \mapsto \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}\left(g_{0}\right) \sigma_{1}\left(g_{1}\right)$ implements an action $\sigma=\sigma_{0} \rtimes_{\gamma} \sigma_{1}$ of $\Gamma_{0} \rtimes_{\gamma} \Gamma_{1}$ on $(B, \tau)$.
$2^{\circ}$. If the group $\Gamma_{0}$ is infinite and the action $\sigma_{0}$ is properly outer then the action $\sigma$ defined in $1^{\circ}$ is properly outer. Moreover, if $B_{1} \subset B_{0}$ satisfies $B_{1}^{\prime} \cap\left(B_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}\right) \subset B_{0}$, and $B_{1}$ is identified with $\cdots \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes B_{1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdots \subset B$, then $B_{1}^{\prime} \cap\left(B \rtimes_{\sigma}\left(\Gamma_{0} \rtimes \Gamma_{1}\right)\right)=B_{1}^{\prime} \cap B$.
$3^{\circ}$. If the action $\sigma_{0}$ is weakly mixing, or if the group $\Gamma_{1}$ is infinite, then $\sigma$ is weakly mixing (thus ergodic).
$4^{\circ}$. If the group $\Gamma_{1}$ is nonamenable, then $\sigma$ is strongly ergodic.
Proof. $1^{\circ}$ is a straightforward direct calculation.
$2^{\circ}$ follows once we notice that if $\Gamma_{0}$ is infinite and $\sigma_{0}$ is properly outer, it automatically follows that $B_{0}$ has no atomic part. This in turn implies that the Bernoulli shift of $\Gamma_{1}$ on $\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)^{\otimes \Gamma_{1}}$ is a properly outer action, even when $\Gamma_{1}$ is a finite group.
$3^{\circ}$. This follows by the observations at the beginning of 3.3.3 and 3.3.1.
$4^{\circ}$. This follows from the properties of the Bernoulli shift listed in 3.3.1 (cf. [J2]).
3.4. Proposition. If the finite von Neumann algebra $N$ has property H relative to its von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset N$, then $B$ is quasiregular in $N$. If in addition $N$ is a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$ and $B=A$ is maximal abelian in $M$, then $A$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $M$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, given any $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in N$, with $\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2} \leq 1$, and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an operator $T \in B^{\prime} \cap J(\langle N, B\rangle)$ such that $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $\left\|T\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon^{2} / 32, \forall i$. Since $\|T\| \leq 1$, this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{*}\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\left\|T^{*}\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{*}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle T^{*}\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right), \hat{x_{i}}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle T^{*}\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right), \hat{x_{i}}\right\rangle=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\hat{x_{i}},\left(\hat{x_{i}}-T\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq 2\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2}\left\|\hat{x_{i}}-T\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon^{2} / 16 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we get:

$$
\left\|T^{*} T\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|T^{*}\right\|\left\|T\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2}+\left\|T^{*}\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

Thus, if we let $e$ be the spectral projection of $T^{*} T$ corresponding to $[1-\delta, 1]$ then $\left\|T^{*} T-T^{*} T e\right\| \leq \delta$, yielding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e\left(\hat{x}_{i}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|T^{*} T\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2}+\left\|e\left(T^{*} T\left(\hat{x}_{i}\right)-\left(\hat{x}_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{2}+\left\|T^{*} T-T^{*} T e\right\| \\
& \leq 2\left\|T^{*} T\left(\hat{x_{i}}\right)-\hat{x_{i}}\right\|_{2}+\delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

But for $\delta$ sufficiently small the latter follows less than $\varepsilon, \forall i$. Since the projection $e$ lies in $B^{\prime} \cap J(\langle N, B\rangle)$, this proves that $\vee\left\{f \mid f \in \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle\right), f\right.$ finite projection in $\langle N, B\rangle\}=1$. By part (iii) of Lemma 1.4.2, this implies $B$ is quasiregular in $N$. If in addition $B$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra then $B$ follows Cartan by ([PoSh]; see also part (i) in Proposition 1.4.3).
3.5. Remarks. $0^{\circ}$. It is interesting to note that in most known examples of groups $\Gamma_{0}$ with property $H$, the positive definite functions $\varphi_{n} \in c_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ approximating the identity can be chosen in $\ell^{p}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$, for some $p=p(n)$. This is the case, for instance, with the free groups $\mathbb{F}_{m}(\mathrm{cf} .[\mathrm{H}])$, the arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{SO}(m, 1), \mathrm{SU}(m, 1)$, etc. It is a known fact that if all $\varphi_{n}$ can be taken in the same $\ell^{p}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ (which is easily seen to imply they can be taken in $\left.\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right), \forall n\right)$, then $\Gamma_{0}$ is amenable. This fact, along with many other similar observations, justifies regarding Haagerup's approximating property as a "weak amenability" property.
$1^{\circ}$. The same proof as in [Cho] shows that if $G \subset G_{0}$ is an inclusion of discrete groups with the property that there exists a net of positive definite
functions $\varphi_{\alpha}$ on $G_{0}$ which are constant on double cosets $G g_{0} G, \forall g_{0} \in G_{0}$ (thus factoring out to bounded functions on $G \backslash G_{0} / G$ ) and satisfy
(3.5.1 $)^{\prime} \quad G$ is quasi-normal in $G_{0}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha} \in c_{0}\left(G \backslash G_{0} / G\right), \forall \alpha$;
(3.5.1") $\quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(g_{0}\right)=1, \forall g_{0} \in \Gamma_{0}$,
then $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ has property H relative to $L_{\nu}(G)$ for any scalar 2-cocycle $\nu$ for $G_{0}$.
When $G \subset G_{0}$ satisfies the set of conditions (3.5.1) we say that $G_{0}$ has property H relative to $G$. Note that in the case $G$ is normal in $G_{0}$ this is equivalent to $G_{0} / G$ having property H as a group. (See 3.18-3.20 in [Bo] for similar considerations).
$2^{\circ}$. The relative property H for inclusions of finite von Neumann algebras is related to the following notion of relative amenability considered in [Po1,5]: If $B \subset N$ is an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras then $N$ is amenable relative to $B$ if there exists a norm-one projection of $\langle N, B\rangle=\left(J_{N} B J_{N}\right)^{\prime} \cap$ $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(N)\right)$ onto $N$, where $L^{2}(N)$ is the standard representation of $N$ and $J_{N}$ is the corresponding canonical conjugation.

It is easy to see that if $B \subset N$ is a crossed product inclusion $B \subset B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ for some cocycle action $\sigma$ of a discrete group $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\left(B, \tau_{0}\right)$, with $\tau_{0}$ a faithful normal trace on $B$, then $N$ is amenable relative to $B$ in the above sense if and only if $\Gamma_{0}$ is amenable, a fact that justifies the terminology. Thus, in this case $N$ amenable relative to $B$ implies $N$ has the property H relative to $B$.

If $N$ is an arbitrary finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ and $B \subset N$ is a von Neumann subalgebra, then the amenability of $N$ relative to $B$ is equivalent to the existence of an $N$-hypertrace on $\langle N, B\rangle$, i.e., a state $\varphi$ on $\langle N, B\rangle$ with $N$ in its centralizer: $\varphi(x T)=\varphi(T x), \forall x \in N$, $T \in\langle N, B\rangle$ (cf. [Po1]). It is also easily seen to be equivalent (by using the standard Day-Namioka-Connes trick) to the following Følner type condition: $\forall F \subset \mathcal{U}(N)$ finite and $\varepsilon>0, \exists e \in \mathcal{P}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ with Tre $<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0} e-e u_{0}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}<\varepsilon\|e\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}, \forall u_{0} \in F \tag{3.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in case $(B \subset N)=\left(L_{\nu}(G) \subset L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)\right)$ for some inclusion of discrete groups $G \subset G_{0}$ and a scalar 2-cocycle $\nu$ on $G_{0}$, condition (3.5.2) amounts to the following: $\forall F \subset G_{0}$ finite and $\varepsilon>0, \exists E \subset G_{0} / G$ finite such that

$$
\left|g_{0} E-E\right|<\varepsilon|E|, \forall g_{0} \in F .
$$

This condition for inclusions of groups, for which the terminology used is " $G$ co-Følner in $G_{0}$ ", was first considered in [Ey]. It has been used in [CCJJV] to prove that if $G \subset G_{0}$ is an inclusion of groups, $G_{0}$ is amenable relative to $G$ and $G$ has the Haagerup property, then $G_{0}$ has Haagerup's property. It would be interesting to know whether a similar result holds true in the case of inclusions of finite von Neumann algebras $B \subset N$.
$3^{\circ}$. A stronger version of relative amenability for inclusions of finite von Neumann algebras $B \subset N$ was considered in [Po5], as follows: $N$ is s-amenable relative to $B$ if given any finite set of unitaries $F \subset \mathcal{U}(N)$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a projection $e \in B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$, with $\operatorname{Tr} e<\infty$, such that $e$ satisfies the Følner condition (3.5.2) and $\|\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot e) / \operatorname{Tr}(e)-\tau\| \leq \varepsilon$. (No specific terminology is in fact used in [Po5] to nominate this amenability property.) Note that in case $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$, we actually have $\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot e) / \operatorname{Tr}(e)=\tau$ for any finite projection $e$ in $B^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$, so the second condition is redundant. The $s$-amenability of $N$ relative to $B$ is easily seen to be equivalent to: There exists a net of $B$-bimodular completely positive maps $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $N$ such that $\tau \circ \phi_{\alpha} \leq \tau, T_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ belong to the (algebraic) ideal generated in $\langle N, B\rangle$ by $e_{B}$ and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{\alpha}(x)-x\right\|_{2}=0, \quad \forall x \in N .
$$

Thus, $N s$-amenable relative to $B$ implies $N$ has property H relative to $B$. Also, one can check that if $N=B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ for some cocycle action $\sigma$ of a discrete group $\Gamma_{0}$ on $(B, \tau)$, then $N$ is $s$-amenable relative to $B$ if and only if $N$ is amenable relative to $B$ and if and only if $\Gamma_{0}$ is an amenable group.
$4^{\circ}$. Let $N \subset M$ be an extremal inclusion of type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factors with finite Jones index and let $T=M \vee M^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M \boxtimes M^{\mathrm{op}}=S$ be its associated symmetric enveloping inclusion, as defined in [Po5]. It was shown in [Po5] that $T$ is quasiregular in $S$. It was also shown that $S$ is amenable relative to $T$ if and only if $S$ is s-amenable relative to $T$ and if and only if $N \subset M$ has amenable graph $\Gamma_{N, M}$ (or, equivalently, $N \subset M$ has amenable standard invariant $\mathcal{G}_{N, M}$ ).

By [Po5, §3], if $N \subset M$ is the subfactor associated to a properly outer cocycle action $\sigma$ of a finitely generated group $\Gamma_{0}$ on a factor $\simeq M$, then the corresponding symmetric enveloping inclusion

$$
T=M \vee M^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M \underset{e_{N}}{\boxtimes} M^{\mathrm{op}}=S
$$

is isomorphic to

$$
M \bar{\otimes} M^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M \bar{\otimes} M^{\mathrm{op}} \rtimes_{\sigma \otimes \sigma^{\mathrm{op}}} \Gamma_{0},
$$

so that $T$ is regular in $S$. But if $N \subset M$ has index $\lambda^{-1} \geq 4$ and Temperley-LiebJones (TLJ) standard invariant $\mathcal{G}_{N, M}=\mathcal{G}^{\lambda}$, then the corresponding symmetric enveloping inclusion $T \subset S$ is quasi-regular but not regular. In particular, if $\lambda^{-1}=4$ then $[S: T]=\infty$ and $S$ has property H relative to $T$ (because $\mathcal{G}_{N, M}$ is amenable by [Po3]), while $T$ is quasi-regular but not regular in $S$.
$5^{\circ}$. By exactly the same arguments as in the case of property ( T ) for standard lattices considered in [Po5], it can be shown that for an extremal standard lattice $\mathcal{G}$ the following conditions are equivalent: (i). There exists an irreducible subfactor $N \subset M$ with $\mathcal{G}_{N, M}=\mathcal{G}$ such that $M \underset{e_{N}}{\boxtimes} M^{\text {op }}$ has property

H relative to $M \vee M^{\mathrm{op}}$; (ii). Given any subfactor $N \subset M$ with $\mathcal{G}_{N, M}=\mathcal{G}$, $M \underset{e_{N}}{\boxtimes} M^{\mathrm{op}}$ has property H relative to $M \vee M^{\mathrm{op}}$. If $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies either of these conditions, we say that the standard lattice $\mathcal{G}$ has property H . By $4^{\circ}$ above, any amenable $\mathcal{G}$ has property H . We will prove in a forthcoming paper that TLJ standard lattices $\mathcal{G}^{\lambda}$ have the property $\mathrm{H}, \forall \lambda^{-1} \geq 4$, while they are known to be amenable if and only if $\lambda^{-1}=4([\mathrm{Po} 2],[\mathrm{Po5}])$.
$6^{\circ}$. When applied to the case of Cartan subalgebras $A \subset M$ coming from standard equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$ (i.e., countable, free, ergodic, measurepreserving) and having trivial 2-cocycle $v \equiv 1$, Definition 2.2 gives the following: A standard equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ has property H (or is of Haagerup-type) if $M$ has property H relative to $A$. Note that in case $\mathcal{R}$ comes from an action $\sigma$ of a group $\Gamma_{0}$ then property H of the corresponding $\mathcal{R}$ depends entirely on the group $\Gamma_{0}$, and not on the action (cf. 3.1). Since in addition $A \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ has property H relative to $A$ if and only if $p\left(A \rtimes \Gamma_{0}\right) p$ has the property H relative to $A p$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ (cf. 2.5), it follows that property H for groups is invariant to stable orbit equivalence (this fact was independently noticed by Jolissaint; see $[\mathrm{Fu}]$ for a reformulation of stable orbit equivalence as Gromov's "measure equivalence", abbreviated ME).

## 4. Rigid embeddings: Definitions and properties

In this section we consider a notion of rigid embeddings for finite von Neumann algebras, inspired by the Kazhdan-Margulis example of the rigid embedding of groups $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})([\mathrm{Ka}],[\mathrm{Ma}])$. Our definition will be the operator algebraic version of the notion of property (T) for pairs of groups in [Ma], in the same spirit Connes and Jones defined property ( T ) for single von Neumann algebras starting from property ( $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{groups} ,\mathrm{in} \mathrm{[CJ]}. \mathrm{Thus}$, in [CJ], to formulate the definition we use Connes's idea ([C3]) of regarding Hilbert bimodules as an operator algebra substitute for unitary representations of groups, and completely positive maps as an operator algebra substitute for positive definite functions on groups (see Section 1.1). For convenience (and comparison), we first recall the definition of property ( T ) for inclusions of groups and for single $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors:
4.0.1. Relative property $(\mathrm{T})$ for pairs of groups. The key part in Kazhdan's proof that the groups $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})), n \geq 3$, have the property ( T ) consists in showing that representations of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ that are close to the trivial representation contain copies of the trivial representation of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This type of "relative rigidity" property was later emphasized as a notion in its own right by Margulis ([Ma]; see also [dHVa]), as follows:

Let $G \subset G_{0}$ be an inclusion of discrete groups. The pair $\left(G_{0}, G\right)$ has relative property $(\mathrm{T})$ if the following condition holds true:
(4.0.1) There exist finitely many elements $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G_{0}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, such that if $\pi: G_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of the group $G_{0}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\left\|\pi\left(g_{i}\right) \xi-\xi\right\|<\varepsilon, \forall i$, then there exists a nonzero vector $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(h) \xi_{0}=\xi_{0}, \forall h \in G$.

Due to a recent result of Jolissaint ([Jo2]), the above condition is equivalent to:
(4.0.1') For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a finite subset $E^{\prime} \subset G_{0}$ and $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that if $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of $G_{0}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector satisfying $\|\pi(h) \xi-\xi\| \leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall h \in E^{\prime}$, then $\|\pi(g) \xi-\xi\| \leq \varepsilon, \forall g \in G$.

Note that the equivalence of (4.0.1) and (4.0.1') is easy to establish in case $G$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{0}$ (exactly the same argument as in [DeKi] will do), but it is less simple in general (cf. [Jo2]). On the other hand, condition (4.0.1 ${ }^{\prime}$ ) is easily seen to be equivalent to:
(4.0.1") For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a finite subset $E \subset G_{0}$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $\varphi$ is a positive definite function on $G_{0}$ with $|\varphi(h)-1| \leq \delta, \forall h \in E$ then $|\varphi(g)-1| \leq \varepsilon, \forall g \in G$.

Note that in the case $G=G_{0}$, condition (4.0.1) amounts to the usual property T of Kazhdan for the group $G_{0}$ ([Ka]; see also [DeKi], [Zi]). We will in fact also use the following alternative terminologies to designate property (T) pairs: $G \subset G_{0}$ is a property ( T ) (or rigid) embedding, or $G$ is a relatively rigid subgroup of $G_{0}$.
4.0.2. Property (T) for factors. The abstract definition of property (T) for a single von Neumann factor is due to Connes and Jones ([CJ]): A type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $N$ has property $(\mathrm{T})$ if the following condition holds true:
(4.0.2) There exist finitely many elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in N$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that if $\mathcal{H}$ is an $N$ Hilbert bimodule with a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\|x_{i} \xi-\xi x_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall i$, then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a nonzero vector $\xi_{0}$ such that $x \xi_{0}=$ $\xi_{0} x, \forall x \in N$.

Connes and Jones have also proved that the fixed vector $\xi_{0}$ can be taken close to the initial $\xi$, if the "critical set" in $N$ is taken sufficiently large and the "commutation constant" sufficiently small ([CJ]), by showing that (4.0.2) is equivalent to the following:
(4.0.2') For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a finite subset $F^{\prime} \subset N$ and $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that if $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $N$-bimodule and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector satisfying $\|y \xi-\xi y\| \leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall y \in F^{\prime}$, then there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} x, \forall x \in N$ and $\left\|\xi-\xi_{0}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$.

For inclusions of finite von Neumann algebras, we first establish the equivalence of several conditions:
4.1. Proposition. Let $N$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with countable decomposable center (i.e., with normal faithful tracial states). Let $B \subset N$ be a von Neumann subalgebra. The following conditions are equivalent:
$1^{\circ}$. There exists a normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ on $N$ such that: $\forall \varepsilon>0$, $\exists F^{\prime} \subset N$ finite and $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that if $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $N$-bimodule with a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying the conditions $\|\langle\cdot \xi, \xi\rangle-\tau\| \leq \delta^{\prime},\|\langle\xi \cdot, \xi\rangle-\tau\| \leq \delta^{\prime}$ and $\|y \xi-\xi y\| \leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall y \in F^{\prime}$ then $\exists \xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $b \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} b$, $\forall b \in B$.
$2^{\circ}$. There exists a normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ on $N$ such that: $\forall \varepsilon>0$, $\exists F \subset N$ finite and $\delta>0$ such that if $\phi: N \rightarrow N$ is a normal, completely positive map with $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau, \phi(1) \leq 1$ and $\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall x \in F$, then $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$.
$3^{\circ}$. Condition $1^{\circ}$ above is satisfied for any normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ on $N$.
$4^{\circ}$. Condition $2^{\circ}$ above is satisfied for any normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ on $N$.

Proof. We first prove that condition $1^{\circ}$ holds true for a specific normal faithful tracial state $\tau$ if and only if condition $2^{\circ}$ holds true for that same trace. Then we prove $1^{\circ} \Leftrightarrow 3^{\circ}$, which due to the equivalence of $1^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$ ends the proof of the proposition.
$2^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 1^{\circ}$. By part $1^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.1.3, we may assume the vectors $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ in condition 4.1.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ also satisfy $\langle\cdot \xi, \xi\rangle \leq \tau$ and $\langle\xi \cdot, \xi\rangle \leq \tau$, in addition to the given properties. We take $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ to be an enumeration of the finite set $F$ and for any given $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ let $\delta^{\prime}$ be the $\delta$ given by condition $2^{\circ}$ for $\varepsilon={\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}^{2} / 4$. By part $2^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.1 .3 , such a vector $\xi$ gives rise to a completely positive map $\phi=\phi_{(\mathcal{H}, \xi)}$ on $N$ which satisfies condition 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$. Thus, $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$. By Lemma 1.1.2, this implies that $\xi$ (which is equal to $\xi_{\phi}$ ) satisfies $\left\|u \xi u^{*}-\xi\right\| \leq 2 \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \leq \varepsilon^{\prime}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. By averaging over the unitaries $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$, we see that there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi\right\| \leq \varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $\xi_{0}$ commutes with $B$.
$1^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 2^{\circ}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Define $F(\varepsilon)=F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{2} / 8\right), \delta(\varepsilon)=\delta^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{2} / 8\right)^{2} / 4$. Let then $\phi: N \rightarrow N$ be a completely positive map satisfying the conditions $2^{\circ}$ for this $F(\varepsilon)$ and $\delta(\varepsilon)$. Let $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \xi_{\phi}\right)$ be constructed as in 1.1.2. By part $4^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.1.2, we have for $x \in F(\varepsilon)$ the inequality

$$
\left\|x \xi_{\phi}-\xi_{\phi} x\right\| \leq 2\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}^{1 / 2} \leq \delta^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{2} / 8\right)
$$

Thus, there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ such that $\left\|\xi_{\phi}-\xi_{0}\right\| \leq \varepsilon^{2} / 8$ and $b \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} b$, $\forall b \in B$. But then, if $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2}^{2} & \leq 2-2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle u \xi_{\phi} u^{*}, \xi_{\phi}\right\rangle \\
& \leq 2-2\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|^{2}+4\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi_{\phi}\right\| \leq 2-2\left(1-\varepsilon^{2} / 8\right)^{2}+4 \varepsilon^{2} / 8<\varepsilon^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since any $b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$, is a convex combination of unitary elements, we are done.
$3^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 1^{\circ}$ is trivial. To prove $1^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 3^{\circ}$, let $\tau_{0}$ be a normal faithful tracial state on $N$. We have to show that $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists F_{0} \subset N$ finite and $\delta_{0}>0$ such that if $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $N$-bimodule with $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\left\|\langle\cdot \eta, \eta\rangle-\tau_{0}\right\| \leq$ $\delta_{0},\left\|\langle\eta \cdot, \eta\rangle-\tau_{0}\right\| \leq \delta_{0}$ and $\|y \eta-\eta y\| \leq \delta_{0}, \forall y \in F_{0}$ then $\exists \eta_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\|\eta_{0}-\eta\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $b \eta_{0}=\eta_{0} b, \forall b \in B$.

By Sakai's Radon-Nykodim theorem, $\tau_{0}$ is of the form $\tau_{0}=\tau\left(\cdot a_{0}\right)$ for some $a_{0} \in L^{1}(\mathcal{Z}(N), \tau)_{+}$with $\tau\left(a_{0}\right)=1$. It is clearly sufficient to prove the statement in the case $a_{0}$ is bounded and with finite spectrum (thus bounded away from 0 as well). Also, by taking the spectral projections of $a_{0}$ to be in $F_{0}$ and slightly perturbing $\eta$, we may assume $\eta$ commutes with $a_{0}$. We take $F_{0}=F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon /\left\|a_{0}\right\|\right)$ and $\delta_{0}=\delta^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon /\left\|a_{0}\right\|\right) /\left\|a_{0}^{-1}\right\|$, as given by condition $1^{\circ}$ for $\tau$.

Let $\xi=a_{0}^{-1 / 2} \eta=\eta a_{0}^{-1 / 2}$. Then

$$
\|\langle\cdot \xi, \xi\rangle-\tau\|=\left\|\left\langle\cdot a_{0}^{-1} \eta, \eta\right\rangle-\tau_{0}\left(\cdot a_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|a_{0}^{-1}\right\|\left(\delta^{\prime} /\left\|a_{0}^{-1}\right\|\right)=\delta^{\prime}
$$

Similarly, $\|\langle\xi \cdot, \xi\rangle-\tau\| \leq \delta^{\prime}$. Also, for $y \in F_{0}$,

$$
\|[y, \xi]\|=\left\|\left[y, a_{0}^{-1 / 2} \eta\right]\right\| \leq\left\|a_{0}^{-1 / 2}\right\|\left(\delta^{\prime} /\left\|a_{0}^{-1}\right\|\right) \leq \delta^{\prime}
$$

Thus, by $1^{\circ}$, there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $b \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} b, \forall b \in B$ and $\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi\right\| \leq$ $\varepsilon /\left\|a_{0}\right\|$. In addition, since $\xi$ commutes with $a_{0}$, we may assume $\xi_{0}$ also does. Let $\eta_{0}=a_{0}^{1 / 2} \xi_{0}$. Then $\eta_{0}$ still commutes with $B$ and we have the estimates:

$$
\left\|\eta_{0}-\eta\right\|=\left\|a_{0}^{1 / 2} \xi_{0}-a_{0}^{1 / 2} \xi\right\| \leq\left\|a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right\|\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi\right\| \leq\left\|a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right\|\left(\varepsilon /\left\|a_{0}\right\|\right) \leq \varepsilon
$$

4.2. Definitions. Let $N$ be a countable decomposable finite von Neumann algebra and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra.
4.2.1. $B \subset N$ is a rigid (or property $(\mathrm{T})$ ) embedding (or, $B$ is a relatively rigid subalgebra of $N$, or the pair $(N, B)$ has the relative property $(\mathrm{T}))$ if $B \subset N$ satisfies the equivalent conditions 4.1.
4.2.2. If $N$ is a finite factor and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ then $B \subset N$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid if $\exists F \subset N$ finite and $\delta>0$ such that if $\phi$ is a completely positive map on $N$ with $\phi(1) \leq 1$, $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ and $\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall x \in F$ then $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$.

Note that if $N$ is a finite factor then an embedding $B \subset N$ is rigid if and only if it is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid $\forall \varepsilon_{0}>0$. We see that if some additional conditions are satisfied (e.g., $B$ regular, in $N$, in $4.3 .2^{\circ} ; B, N$ group algebras coming from a group-subgroup situation, in 5.1) then $B \subset N, \varepsilon_{0}$-rigid, for $\varepsilon_{0}=1 / 3$, is in fact sufficient to insure that $B \subset N$ is rigid.
4.3. Theorem. Let $N$ be a separable type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra.
$1^{\circ}$. Assume $B \subset N$ is either rigid or $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid, for some $\varepsilon_{0}<1$, with $B$ semi-regular. Then $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=N^{\prime} \cap\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)^{\omega}$, for any free ultrafilter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$. If in addition to either of the above conditions $B$ also satisfies $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathcal{Z}(B)$ (resp. $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$ ) then $N$ is non-McDuff (resp. non- $\Gamma$ ).
$2^{\circ}$. Assume that either $B$ is regular in $N$ or that $\mathcal{N}_{N}(B)^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. Then $B \subset N$ is rigid if and only if it is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid for some $\varepsilon_{0} \leq 1 / 3$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Assume first that $B \subset N$ is rigid. By applying 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ to the completely positive maps $\phi=\operatorname{Ad} u$ for $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, it follows that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $\delta>0$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in N$ such that if $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ satisfies

$$
\left\|u x_{i}-x_{i} u\right\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall i
$$

then

$$
\|u b-b u\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1
$$

In particular, $\left\|v u v^{*}-u\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall v \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. Thus, by taking averages over the unitaries $v \in B$, we see that $\left\|E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}(u)-u\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, if $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{U}(N)$ is a central sequence of unitary elements in $N$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left[x, u_{n}\right]\right\|_{2}=0, \forall x \in N
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}=0 .
$$

Assume now that $B \subset N$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid, with $\varepsilon_{0}<1$, and that $\mathcal{N}(B)^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$. We proceed by contradiction, assuming there exists $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}\right)$ such that $u \notin\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)^{\omega}$. By taking a suitable subsequence of $\left(u_{n}\right)$, we see that there exists $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n} \subset \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left[v_{n}, x\right]\right\|_{2}=0, \forall x \in N$, and $\left\|E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq c, \forall n$, for some $c<1$. It further follows that given any separable von Neumann subalgebra $P \subset N^{\omega}$ there exist $k_{1} \ll k_{2} \ll \ldots$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left[v_{k_{n}}, y_{n}\right]\right\|_{2}=0, \forall y=\left(y_{n}\right)_{n} \in P$.

Moreover, if $P \subset \mathcal{N}_{N^{\omega}}\left(B^{\omega}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, then the subsequence $v^{\prime}=\left(v_{k_{n}}\right)_{n}$ can be taken so that we also have $\left[E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right), y\right]=0, \forall y \in P$. To see this, let $S \subset$ $\mathcal{N}\left(B^{\omega}\right)$ be a countable set such that the von Neumann algebra $P_{0}$ generated by $S$ contains $P$. Choose $k_{n} \uparrow \infty$ so that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left[v_{k_{n}}, w_{n}\right]\right\|_{2}=0, \forall w=\left(w_{n}\right)_{n} \in S$. We then have

$$
w E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right) w^{*}=w E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(w^{*} v^{\prime} w\right) w^{*}=E_{B^{\omega^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}}\left(v^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall w \in S .
$$

Thus $\left[E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right), S\right]=0$, implying $\left[E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right), P_{0}\right]=0$ as well.
Now notice that $\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)^{\omega}=B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}$ (see e.g. [Po2]). As a consequence, since $E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)$ is the element of minimal norm $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ in $\overline{c o}^{w}\left\{w x w^{*} \mid w \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{U}\left(B^{\omega}\right)\right\}$, which in turn can be realized as a \| $\|_{2}$-limit of convex combinations
of the form $w x w^{*}$ with $w$ in a suitable countable subset of $\mathcal{U}\left(B^{\omega}\right)$, it follows that for any $x \in N^{\omega}$ there exists a separable von Neumann subalgebra $P \in B^{\omega}$ such that $E_{P^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)=E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)$. Also, since $\mathcal{N}_{N^{\omega}}\left(B^{\omega}\right) \supset \prod_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}_{N}(B)$, $\mathcal{N}\left(B^{\omega}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ follows a factor and for any $x^{\prime} \in N^{\omega}$ there exists a separable von Neumann subalgebra $P_{0}$ generated by a countable subset in $\mathcal{N}\left(B^{\omega}\right)$ such that $P_{0} \supset P$ and $E_{P_{0}^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\tau\left(x^{\prime}\right) 1$.

Using all the above, we prove the following statement:
(4.3.1 $1^{\prime}$ If $x \in N^{\omega}$ then there exists a subsequence $\left(v_{k_{n}}\right)_{n}$ of $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ such that $v^{\prime}=\left(v_{k_{n}}\right)_{n} \in N^{\omega}$ satisfies $\left\|E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(x v^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=\left\|E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)\right\|_{2}\left\|E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}$.

To see this, take first a separable von Neumann subalgebra $P \subset B^{\omega}$ such that $E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)=E_{P^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)$. Then take $P_{0}$ a von Neumann algebra generated by a countable subset in $\mathcal{N}\left(B^{\omega}\right)$ such that $P_{0} \supset P$ and $E_{P_{0}^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $\tau\left(x^{\prime}\right) 1$ where $x^{\prime}=E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)^{*} E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)$. Since $B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega} \subset P^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}$, if the subsequence $\left(v_{k_{n}}\right)_{n}$ is chosen such that $\left[v^{\prime}, P_{0}\right]=0$ then $\left[v^{\prime}, P\right]=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{B^{\omega^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}}\left(x v^{\prime}\right) & =E_{B^{\omega^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}}\left(E_{P^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(x v^{\prime}\right)\right)=E_{B^{\omega^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}}\left(E_{P^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}(x) v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(E_{B^{\omega^{\prime}} \cap N^{\omega}}(x) v^{\prime}\right)=E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}(x) E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since $y^{\prime}=E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right) E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ satisfies $\left[y^{\prime}, P_{0}\right]=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(x v^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}(x) E_{B^{\omega \prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\tau\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right)=\tau\left(E_{P_{0}^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\tau\left(E_{P_{0}^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime}\right)=\tau\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left\|E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|E_{B^{\omega^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by applying (4.3.1') recursively, we can choose a subsequence $v^{1}$ of $v=\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$, then $v^{2}$ of $v^{1}$, etc, such that

$$
\left\|E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(\Pi_{j=1}^{m} v^{j}\right)\right\|_{2}=\Pi_{j=1}^{m}\left\|E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}\left(v^{j}\right)\right\|_{2}=\left\|E_{B^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega}}(v)\right\|_{2}^{m} \leq c^{m} .
$$

Take $m$ so that $c^{m}<1-\varepsilon_{0}$ and put $w=v^{1} v^{2} \ldots v^{m}, w=\left(w_{n}\right)_{n}$, with $w_{n} \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, and $\phi_{n}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{n}\right)$. Then,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \omega}\left\|E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}<1-\varepsilon_{0}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{n}(x)-x\right\|_{2}=0, \forall x \in N .
$$

By the $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigidity of $B \subset N$ the second condition in (4.3.1") implies that for large enough $n$ we have

$$
\left\|u w_{n} u^{*}-w_{n}\right\|_{2}=\left\|w_{n} u w_{n}^{*}-u\right\|_{2}=\left\|\phi_{n}(u)-u\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B) .
$$

After we take convex combinations over $u$, this yields $\left\|E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}\left(w_{n}\right)-w_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$. Thus $\left\|E_{B^{\prime} \cap N}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{2} \geq 1-\varepsilon_{0}$ for all large enough $n$, contradicting the first condition in (4.3.1").
$2^{\circ}$. We need to show that if $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ are completely positive maps on $N$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \circ \psi_{n} \leq \tau, \psi_{n}(1) \leq 1, \forall n, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\psi_{n}(x)-x\right\|_{2}=0, \forall x \in N, \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\{\left\|\psi_{n}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \mid b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1\right\}\right)=0$. Assume by contradiction that there exist $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfying (a) but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{n}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|\psi_{n}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \mid b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1\right\}\right)>0 . \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by the $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigidity of $B \subset N$, (a) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|\psi_{n}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \mid b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1\right\}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{0} . \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfies $\tau \circ \psi_{n} \leq \tau, \psi_{n}(1) \leq 1, \forall n$ in (a) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\psi_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)_{n},\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \in N^{\omega}, \tag{d}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives a well defined completely positive map $\Psi$ on $N^{\omega}$ with $\tau \circ \Psi \leq \tau, \Psi(1) \leq 1$. Thus, the fixed point set $\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{x \in N^{\omega} \mid \Psi(x)=x\right\}$ is a von Neumann algebra. If $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ also satisfies the last condition in (a), then $N \subset\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}$. In particular $\Psi(1)=1$ which together with $\left\|T_{\Psi}\right\| \leq 1$ implies $T_{\Psi}{ }^{*}(\hat{1})=\hat{1}$; equivalently $\Psi^{*}(1)=1$, i.e., $\tau \circ \Psi=\tau$.

If in addition to (a) the sequence $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfies (b), then $B^{\omega} \not \subset\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}$. Let us prove that the $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigidity of $B \subset N$ entails

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\omega} \subset_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi} . \tag{e}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\psi$ a map on an algebra denote by $\psi^{m}$ the $m$-time composition $\psi \circ \psi \cdots \circ \psi$. Then note that for each $m \geq 1$ the sequence $\left(\psi_{n}^{m}\right)_{n}$ still satisfies (a), and thus, by $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigidity, (c) as well. Thus

$$
\left\|\Psi^{k}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \quad \forall b \in B^{\omega},\|b\| \leq 1
$$

But by von Neumann's ergodic theorem applied to $\Psi$ and $x \in N^{\omega}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|m^{-1} \Sigma_{k=1}^{m} \Psi^{k}(x)-E_{\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}}(x)\right\|_{2}=0 \tag{f}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with the previous estimate shows that for $x=b \in B^{\omega},\|b\| \leq 1$, we have $\left\|E_{\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, i.e., (e).

The assumption $\mathcal{N}(B)^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ implies in particular that $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=$ $\mathbb{C} \subset\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}$. We next prove that $B$ regular in $N$ implies $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega} \subset\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}$ as well, for any $\Psi$ on $N^{\omega}$ associated as in (d) to a sequence $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfying (a). Denote $P=\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}$ and assume by contradiction that $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega} \nsubseteq P$. Since $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}$ and $P$ make a commuting square, this implies there exists $x \in N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}$, $x \neq 0$, such that $E_{P}(x)=0$. Moreover, we may assume $x=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfies $x_{n}=x_{n}^{*},\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{2}=1, \forall n$.

By using (f), we can choose "rapidly" increasing $k_{1} \ll k_{2} \ll \ldots$ and "slowly" nondecreasing $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \ldots$ such that the sequence of completely positive maps $\psi_{n}^{\prime}=\left(m_{n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} \psi_{k_{n}}^{j}$ satisfies (a) and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\psi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=0$, with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left[x_{n}^{\prime}, y\right]\right\|_{2}=0, \forall y \in N, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{k}\right)=\tau\left(x^{k}\right), \forall k$, where $x_{n}^{\prime}=x_{k_{n}}$.

Denote by $\Psi_{1}$ the completely positive map on $N^{\omega}$ associated with $\left(\psi_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$, as in (d), and put $X=X_{1}=\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \in N^{\omega}$. Since each separable von Neumann subalgebra of $N^{\omega}$ is contained in a separable factor and since for each separable $Q \subset N^{\omega}$ there exists $j_{1} \ll j_{2} \ll \ldots$ such that $X^{\prime}=\left(x_{j_{n}}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \in Q^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}$, it follows that there exist separable factors $Q_{0}=N \subset Q_{1} \subset \cdots \subset Q_{m-1}$ in $N^{\omega}$ and consecutive subsequences of indices $(j, 1)<(j, 2)<\ldots$, for $j=1,2, \ldots, m$, with $(1, n)=n$, such that $X_{j}=\left(x_{j, n}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \in N^{\omega}$ satisfy $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{j} \in Q_{j}$, $\left[Q_{j}, X_{j+1}\right]=0$, for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. Denote by $\Psi_{j}$ the completely positive map on $N^{\omega}$ associated with $\left(\psi_{j, n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$, noticing that each one of these sequences checks (a). Thus for each $j=1,2, \ldots, m$ we have $\Psi_{j}(x)=x, \forall x \in N$ and $\Psi_{j}\left(X_{j}\right)=0$. Moreover, the von Neumann algebra generated by $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m}$ in $N^{\omega}$ is isomorphic to the tensor power $(A(X), \tau)^{\otimes m}$, where $A(X)$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $X \in N^{\omega}$.

Let $\tilde{X}=m^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{j=1}^{m} X_{j}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}=m^{-1} \Sigma_{j=1}^{m} \Psi_{j}$. Let $P_{j}=\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi_{j}}, 1 \leq j$ $\leq m$, and $\tilde{P}=\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\tilde{\Psi}}$. By $(a)-(e), \tilde{P}, P_{j}$ are von Neumann algebras containing $N$ and $B^{\omega} \subset_{\varepsilon_{0}} P_{j}, \tilde{P}$. Moreover, since by convexity we have $\tilde{\Psi}(Y)=Y$ if and only if $\Psi_{j}(Y)=Y, \forall j$, it follows that $\tilde{P}=\cap_{j} P_{j}$. Thus, since $\Psi_{j}\left(X_{j}\right)=0$ implies $E_{P_{j}}\left(X_{j}\right)=0$, it follows that $E_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{X})=0$.

But by the central limit theorem, as $m \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{X}$ gets closer and closer (in distribution) to an element $Y=Y^{*}$ with Gaussian spectral distribution, independently of $X$. Let $Y^{\prime}=Y e_{[-2,2]}(Y)$ and $\|Y\|_{2}^{2}=t$. By using Mathematica, one finds $t>0.731$. Thus, for large enough $m, \tilde{X}^{\prime}=\tilde{X} e_{[-2,2]}(\tilde{X})$ satisfies $\left\|\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=t_{-}$with $t_{-}$close to $t$. Let $\tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ and note that $\tilde{X}^{\prime} \tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}=0$, so that $\left\|\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\|\tilde{X}\|_{2}^{2}=1$. Also,

$$
E_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)=E_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)=-E_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

implying that $\left\|E_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|\tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1-t_{-}$. Altogether

$$
\left\|\tilde{X}^{\prime}-E_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|E_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq 2 t_{-}-1 .
$$

Since $\tilde{X}_{1} \in N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega} \subset B^{\omega}$ and $\left\|\tilde{X}_{1}\right\|=2$, if we take $\tilde{X}_{0}=\tilde{X}^{\prime} / 2$ then $\left\|\tilde{X}_{0}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|\tilde{X}_{0}-E_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tilde{X}_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left(2 t_{-}-1\right) / 4>(1 / 3)^{2}$, this contradicts $B^{\omega} \subset_{1 / 3} \tilde{P}$.

This finishes the proof of the fact that $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega} \subset\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi}$, independently of $\Psi$, for arbitrary $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ checking (a). Thus $P=\cap_{i}\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi_{i}}$, where $\Psi_{i}, i \in \mathcal{I}$, is the family of all completely positive maps on $N^{\omega}$ coming from sequences $\left(\psi_{i, n}\right)_{n}$ satisfying (a), still satisfies $N, N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega} \subset P$. Let us show that this newly designated $P$ still satisfies $B^{\omega} \subset_{\varepsilon_{0}} P$. To see this, take a finite subset $I \subset \mathcal{I}$ and consider the sequence $\psi_{I, n}=|I|^{-1} \Sigma_{i} \psi_{i, n}$, which clearly satisfies (a). Thus, the associated completely positive map $\Psi_{I}$ on $N^{\omega}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|E_{P_{I}}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall b \in B^{\omega},\|b\| \leq 1 .
$$

where $P_{I} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi_{I}}$. Since $|I|^{-1} \Sigma_{i} \Psi_{i}(x)=x$ if and only if $\Psi_{i}(x)=x, \forall i \in I$, we have $P_{I}=\bigcap_{i \in I}\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi_{i}}$. But $P_{I} \downarrow P$ as $I \uparrow \mathcal{I}$, implying that $\left\|E_{P}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall b$, as well.

Denote $\mathcal{U}_{0}=\mathcal{N}(B) \cup \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{N}(B)^{\prime} \cap\left(B^{\omega}\right)^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}\right), N_{0}=\mathcal{U}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and notice that $v\left(B^{\omega}\right) v^{*}=B^{\omega}, \forall v \in \mathcal{U}_{0}$. Also, if we let $M=N^{\omega}, Q=B^{\omega}$, then by $1^{\circ}$ both the assumption $\mathcal{N}(B)^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{N}(B)^{\prime \prime}=N$ imply that $\mathcal{U}_{0} \subset P$ and $N_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right),\left[\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right), Q\right]=0$ are satisfied. Thus, A. 3 applies and we get a nonzero projection $p \in \mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right)$ such that $Q p \subset P$. In the case $\mathcal{N}(B)^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$, this implies $p=1$ and we get $B^{\omega} \subset P$, a contradiction which finishes the proof under this assumption.

If $B$ is regular in $N$, then the group $\mathcal{N}(B)=\mathcal{N}\left(B \vee B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$ generates the factor $N$, a fact that is easily seen to imply $\mathcal{N}_{N^{\omega}}\left(B^{\omega}\right)^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. This implies there exists a countable subgroup $\mathcal{U}_{1} \subset \mathcal{N}\left(B^{\omega}\right)$ such that $\tau(p) 1$ is a limit in the norm- $\|\quad\|_{2}$ of convex combinations of elements of the form $u_{1} p u_{1}^{*}, u_{1} \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$. Let then $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n}$ be the sequence of completely positive maps satisfying $(a)-(b)$ at the beginning of the proof, with $b_{n} \in B,\left\|b_{n}\right\| \leq 1,\left\|\psi_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)-b_{n}\right\|_{2} \geq c>0$, $\forall n$. If we choose a sufficiently rapidly increasing $k_{1} \ll k_{2} \ll \ldots$, then the completely positive map $\Psi^{\prime}$ associated with $\left(\psi_{k_{n}}\right)_{n}$ as in (d) has both $N$ and $\mathcal{U}_{1}$ in the fixed point algebra $\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi^{\prime}}$. But since $P \subset\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi^{\prime}}$, it follows that $\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi^{\prime}}$ contains $B^{\omega} p$, and thus $u_{1}\left(B^{\omega} p\right) u_{1}^{*}=B^{\omega}\left(u_{1} p u_{1}^{*}\right), \forall u_{1} \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$ as well. This implies $B^{\omega} \subset\left(N^{\omega}\right)^{\Psi^{\prime}}$, contradicting $\left\|\Psi^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}\right)-b^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \geq c>0$, where $b^{\prime}=$ $\left(b_{k_{n}}\right)_{n} \in B^{\omega}$.
4.4. Theorem. Let $N$ be a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra such that $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathcal{Z}(B)$ and such that the normalizer of $B$ in $N$, $\mathcal{N}(B)$, acts ergodically on the center of $B$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{B} \subset \operatorname{Aut} N$ be the group generated by $\operatorname{Int} N$ and by the automorphisms of $N$ that leave all elements of $B$ fixed. If $B \subset N$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid for some $\varepsilon_{0}<1$ then $\mathcal{G}_{B}$ is open and closed in Aut $N$. Thus, Aut $N / \mathcal{G}_{B}$ is countable.

Proof. By applying condition $4.2 .2^{\circ}$ to the completely positive maps $\theta \in$ Aut $N$, it follows that there exist $\delta>0$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in N$ such that if $\left\|\theta\left(x_{i}\right)-x_{i}\right\|_{2} \leq \delta$ then

$$
\|\theta(u)-u\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B) .
$$

Thus, if $k$ denotes the unique element of minimal norm $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ in $K=$ $\overline{\mathrm{Co}}^{w}\left\{\theta(u) u^{*} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(B)\right\}$ then $\|k-1\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and thus $k \neq 0$. Also, since $\theta(u) K u^{*} \subset K$ and $\left\|\theta(u) k u^{*}\right\|_{2}=\|k\|_{2}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$, by the uniqueness of $k$ it follows that $\theta(u) k u^{*}=u$, or equivalently $\theta(u) k=k u$, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. By a standard trick, if $v \in N$ is the (nonzero) partial isometry in the polar decomposition of $k$, then $\theta(u) v=v u, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B), v^{*} v \in B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathcal{Z}(B), v v^{*} \in \theta(B)^{\prime} \cap N=$ $\theta(\mathcal{Z}(B))$. Since $\mathcal{N}(B)$ acts ergodically on $\mathcal{Z}(B)$ (equivalently, $\mathcal{N}(B)^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$ ), there exist finitely many partial isometries $v_{0}=v^{*} v, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n} \in N$ such
that $v_{i}^{*} v_{i}=v^{*} v, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, v_{n}^{*} v_{n} \in \mathcal{Z}(B) v^{*} v$ and $v_{i} v_{i}^{*} \in \mathcal{Z}(B), v_{i} B v_{i}^{*}=$ $B v_{i} v_{i}^{*}, \forall i$.

If we then define $w=\Sigma_{i} \theta\left(v_{i}\right) v v_{i}^{*}$, an easy calculation shows that $w$ is a unitary element and $w b w^{*}=\theta(b), \forall b \in B$.
4.5. Proposition. Let $N$ be a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor and $B \subset N$ a rigid embedding.
$1^{\circ}$. For any $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ there exist $F_{0} \subset N$ and $\delta_{0}>0$ such that if $N_{0} \subset N$ is a subfactor with $B \subset N_{0}$ and $F_{0} \subset_{\delta_{0}} N_{0}$, then $B \subset N_{0}$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid. In particular, if $N_{k} \subset N, k \geq 1$ is an increasing sequence of subfactors such that $B \subset N_{k}, \forall k$, and $\overline{\cup_{k} N_{k}}=N$, then for any $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ there exists $k_{0}$ such that $B \subset N_{k}$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid $\forall k \geq k_{0}$.
$2^{\circ}$. Assume in addition that $B$ is regular in $N$ and $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathcal{Z}(B)$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a finite subset $F \subset N$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $N_{0} \subset N$ is a subfactor with $N_{0}^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$ and $F \subset_{\delta} N_{0}$ then there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that $\|u-1\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon$ and $u B u^{*} \subset N_{0}$, with $u B u^{*} \subset N_{0}$ rigid embedding. If in addition $N_{0} \supset B$ then one can take $u=1$. In particular, if $N_{k} \subset N$ is an increasing sequence of subfactors with $N_{k}^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$ and $N_{k} \uparrow N$ then there exist $k_{0}$ such that $u_{k} B u_{k}^{*} \subset N_{k}$ rigid, $\forall k \geq k_{0}$, for some $u_{k} \in \mathcal{U}(N),\left\|u_{k}-1\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$, and such that if $N_{k} \supset B, \forall k$, then $B \subset N_{k}$ rigid $\forall k \geq k_{0}$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. With the notation of 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$, for the critical sets $F\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ and constants $\delta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ for $B \subset N$, let $F_{0}=F\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and $\delta_{0}=\delta\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) / 2$. Let $N_{0} \subset N$ be a von Neumann algebra with $B \subset N_{0},\left\|E_{N_{0}}(y)-y\right\|_{2} \leq \delta_{0}, \forall y \in F_{0}$. We want to prove that $B \subset N_{0}$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid by showing that if $\phi_{0}$ is a completely positive map on $N_{0}$ with $\phi_{0}(1) \leq 1, \tau \circ \phi_{0} \leq \tau$ and

$$
\left\|\phi_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)-y_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \delta\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) / 2, \forall y_{0} \in E_{N_{0}}\left(F_{0}\right)
$$

then $\left\|\phi_{0}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$. To this end let $\phi=\phi_{0} \circ E_{N_{0}}$, which we regard as a completely positive map from $N$ into $N\left(\supset N_{0}\right)$. Clearly $\phi(1) \leq 1, \tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$. Also, for $y \in F\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
\|\phi(y)-y\|_{2} \leq\left\|\phi_{0}\left(E_{N_{0}}(y)\right)-E_{N_{0}}(y)\right\|_{2}+\left\|E_{N_{0}}(y)-y\right\|_{2} \leq \delta\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) .
$$

Thus, $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$. Since for $b \in B$ we have $\phi(b)=\phi_{0}(b)$, we are done.
$2^{\circ}$. By application of condition 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ to the completely positive maps $E_{N_{0}}$, it follows that if we denote $\varepsilon\left(N_{0}\right)=\sup \left\{\left\|E_{N_{0}}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \mid b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1\right\}$, then $\varepsilon\left(N_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $E_{N_{0}} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{N}$. Thus, by Theorem A. 2 it follows that there exist unitary elements $u=u\left(N_{0}\right) \in N$ such that $u B u^{*} \subset N_{0}$ and $\|u-1\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, by $1^{\circ}$ above and 4.3.2 ${ }^{\circ}$, it follows that $u B u^{*} \subset N_{0}$ (equivalently, $B \subset$ $u N_{0} u^{*}$ ) is a rigid embedding when $N_{0}$ is close enough to $N$ on an appropriate finite set of elements. The fact that $B$ is still regular in $N_{0}$ is a consequence of ([JPo]). The last part is now trivial.
4.6. Proposition. $1^{\circ} .\left(B_{i} \subset N_{i}\right)$ are rigid embeddings for $i=1,2$ if and only if ( $B_{1} \bar{\otimes} B_{2} \subset N_{1} \bar{\otimes} N_{2}$ ) is a rigid embedding.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $B \subset N_{0} \subset N$. If $B \subset N_{0}$ is a rigid embedding then $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding. Conversely, if we assume $N_{0} \subset N$ is a $\lambda$-Markov inclusion ([Po2]), i.e., $N$ has an orthonormal basis $\left\{m_{j}\right\}_{j}$ with $\Sigma m_{j} m_{j}^{*}=\lambda^{-1}$ for some constant $\lambda>0$ (e.g., if $N, N_{0}$ are factors and $\left.\left[N: N_{0}\right]<\infty\right)$ then $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding, implies $B \subset N_{0}$ is a rigid embedding.
$3^{\circ}$. Let $B \subset B_{0} \subset N$. If $B_{0} \subset N$ is a rigid embedding, then $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding. Conversely, if $B_{0}$ has a finite orthonormal basis with respect to $B$ and $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding, then $B_{0} \subset N$ is a rigid embedding.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Assume first that $\left(B_{i} \subset N_{i}\right)$ are rigid embeddings, $\tau_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $F_{i}^{\prime}(\varepsilon / 2), \delta_{i}^{\prime}(\varepsilon / 2)$ be the critical sets and constants for $B_{i} \subset N_{i}$, as given by $4.1 .1^{\circ}$, for $\varepsilon / 2$. Define $F^{\prime}=F_{1}^{\prime} \otimes 1 \cup 1 \otimes F_{2}^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}=\min \left\{\delta_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Put $N=N_{1} \bar{\otimes} N_{2}, B=B_{1} \bar{\otimes} B_{2}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert $N$-bimodule with a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ which satisfies conditions 4.1.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ with respect to the trace $\tau_{1} \otimes \tau_{2}$, for $F^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $N_{i}$ bimodule, for $i=1,2$. Thus, if we denote by $p_{i}$ the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto the Hilbert subspace of all vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ that commute with $B_{i}$, then $\left\|\xi-p_{i}(\xi)\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon / 2, i=1,2$, for any vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ that satisfies $4.1 .1^{\circ}$ for the above $F^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}$. But $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are commuting projections and $p_{1} p_{2}$ projects onto the Hilbert subspace of vectors commuting with both $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, i.e., onto the Hilbert subspace of vectors commuting with $B$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi-p_{1} p_{2}(\xi)\right\| & \leq\left\|\xi-p_{1}(\xi)\right\|+\left\|p_{1}(\xi)-p_{1}\left(p_{2}(\xi)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\xi-p_{1}(\xi)\right\|+\left\|\xi-p_{2}(\xi)\right\| \leq \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $B \subset N$ satisfies 4.1.1 ${ }^{\circ}$.
Assume now that $B \subset N$ satisfies 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ for some trace $\tau$. Since $N_{1} \otimes N_{2}$ is a dense $*$-subalgebra in $N$, by using Kaplanski's density theorem and the fact that in $4.1 .2^{\circ}$ we only have to deal with completely positive maps $\phi$ satisfying $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau, \phi(1) \leq 1$, it follows that we may assume the critical set $F^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ is contained in $N_{1} \otimes N_{2}$ (by diminishing if necessary the corresponding $\delta^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ ).

Let $F_{i}^{\prime} \subset N_{i}$ be finite subsets such that $F^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{sp} F_{1}^{\prime} \otimes F_{2}^{\prime}$. There clearly exist $\delta_{i}^{\prime}>0$ such that if $\phi_{i}$ are completely positive maps on $N_{i}$ with $\tau \circ \phi_{i} \leq \tau$, $\phi_{i}(1) \leq 1$ and $\left\|\phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)-x_{i}\right\|_{2} \leq \delta_{i}^{\prime}, \forall x_{i} \in F_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2$, then $\phi=\phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{2}$ satisfies $\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall x \in F^{\prime}$. Thus, $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$. Taking $b \in B_{i}$, we get $\left\|\phi_{i}(b)-b\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall b \in B_{i},\|b\| \leq 1, i=1,2$.
$2^{\circ}$. The implication $\Longrightarrow$ follows by noticing that if $\phi$ is a completely positive map on $N$ such that $\phi(1) \leq 1$ and $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ then for $x \in N_{0}$ we have $\left\|E_{N_{0}}(\phi(x))-x\right\|_{2} \leq\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2}$ while for $b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$, we have

$$
\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|E_{N_{0}}(\phi(b))-b\right\|_{2}^{2}+2\left\|E_{N_{0}}(\phi(b))-b\right\|_{2} .
$$

Thus, if $4.1 .2^{\circ}$ is satisfied for $B \subset N_{0}$ with critical set $F_{0}(\varepsilon)$ and constant $\delta_{0}(\varepsilon)$, then 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ holds true for $B \subset N$ for the same set $F_{0}$ but constant $\delta(\varepsilon)=\delta_{0}(\varepsilon)^{2} / 3$.

To prove the opposite implication, let $e=e_{N_{0}}$ be the Jones projection corresponding to $N_{0} \subset N$ and $N_{1}=\langle N, e\rangle$ the basic construction. Since $N_{0} \subset N$ is $\lambda$-Markov, there exists a unique trace $\tau$ on $N_{1}$ extending the trace $\tau$ of $N$ and such that $E_{N}^{\tau}(e)=\lambda 1$.

We may assume 1 belongs to the orthonormal basis $\left\{m_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $N$ over $N_{0}$. Note that $x=\Sigma_{j} m_{j} E_{N}\left(m_{j}{ }^{*} x\right), \forall x \in N$. Any element $X \in N_{1}$ can be uniquely written in the form $X=\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i} x_{i j} e m_{j}^{*}$ for some $x_{i j} \in p_{i} N_{0} p_{j}$, where $p_{i}=$ $E_{N_{0}}\left(m_{i}^{*} m_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(N_{0}\right)$. Also, if $x \in N$ then

$$
x=\left(\Sigma_{i} m_{i} e m_{i}^{*}\right) x\left(\Sigma_{j} m_{j} e m_{j}^{*}\right)=\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i} E_{N_{0}}\left(m_{i}^{*} x m_{j}\right) e m_{j}^{*} .
$$

For each completely positive map $\phi$ on $N_{0}$ define $\tilde{\phi}$ on $N_{1}$ by

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i} x_{i j} e m_{j}^{*}\right)=\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i} \phi\left(x_{i j}\right) e m_{j}^{*} .
$$

Note that if $X=\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i} x_{i j} e m_{j}^{*} \geq 0$ and $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(\tilde{\phi}(X) & =\tau\left(\tilde{\phi}\left(\Sigma_{i, j} m_{i} x_{i j} e m_{j}^{*}\right)\right)=\lambda \Sigma_{i, j} \tau\left(m_{i} \phi\left(x_{i j}\right) m_{j}^{*}\right) \\
& =\lambda \Sigma_{i, j} \tau\left(m_{i} \phi\left(x_{i j}\right) m_{j}^{*}\right)=\lambda \Sigma_{i} \tau\left(\phi\left(x_{i i}\right) p_{i}\right) \\
& \leq \lambda \Sigma_{i} \tau\left(\phi\left(x_{i i}\right)\right) \leq \lambda \Sigma_{i} \tau\left(x_{i i}\right)=\tau(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $\phi(1) \leq 1$ then $\tilde{\phi}(1) \leq 1$.
Let now $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Let $F=F\left(\lambda \varepsilon^{2} / 3\right), \delta=\delta\left(\lambda \varepsilon^{2} / 3\right)$ be the critical set and constant for $B \subset N$, corresponding to $\lambda \varepsilon^{2} / 3$. Let $F_{0}=\left\{E_{N_{0}}\left(m_{i}^{*} x m_{j}\right) \mid\right.$ $\forall i, j, \forall x \in F\}$. Formulas (4.6.2'), (4.6.2") above show that there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that if $\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta_{0}, \forall x \in F_{0}$ then $\|\tilde{\phi}(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall x \in F$.

We claim that $F_{0}, \delta_{0}$ give the critical set and constant for $B \subset N_{0}$, corresponding to $\varepsilon$. To see this, note first that by the proof of $\Longrightarrow$ above we get $\|\tilde{\phi}(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \lambda^{1 / 2} \varepsilon, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$. By (4.6.2") this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{1 / 2}\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} & =\|(\phi(b)-b) e\|_{2} \\
& \leq\|\tilde{\phi}(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \lambda^{1 / 2} \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

$3^{\circ}$. The first implication is trivial. The opposite implication is equally evident, if we take the critical set $F_{0}(\varepsilon)$ and constant $\delta_{0}(\varepsilon)$ for $B_{0} \subset N$ to be defined as follows: We first choose $\delta_{1}>0$ with the property that if $\phi$ is a completely positive map on $N$ with $\tau \circ \phi \leq \tau, \phi(1) \leq 1$ and $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \delta_{1}, \forall b \in$ $B,\|b\| \leq 1$ and $\left\|\phi\left(b_{j}^{0}\right)-b_{j}^{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \delta_{1}$, then $\left\|\phi\left(b_{0}\right)-b_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall b_{0} \in B_{0},\left\|b_{0}\right\| \leq 1$ $\left(\left\{b_{j}^{0}\right\}_{j}\right.$ denotes here the orthonormal basis of $B_{0}$ over $\left.B\right)$. We then define $F_{0}(\varepsilon)=F\left(\delta_{1}\right) \cup\left\{b_{j}^{0}\right\}_{j}$ and put $\delta_{0}(\varepsilon)=\delta_{1}$.
4.7. Proposition. $1^{\circ}$. If $B \subset N$ and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is an increasing sequence of projections in $N$, with $p_{n} \uparrow 1$, which lie either in $B$ or in $B^{\prime} \cap N$, and with
the property that $p_{n} B p_{n} \subset p_{n} N p_{n}$ are rigid embeddings, $\forall n$, then $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding. In particular, if $B$ is atomic then $B \subset N$ is rigid.
$2^{\circ}$. If $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding and $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ or $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$ then $p B p \subset p N p$ is a rigid embedding.
$3^{\circ}$. Let $B \subset N$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. Assume there exist partial isometries $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \subset N$ such that $v_{n}^{*} v_{n} \in p B p, v_{n} v_{n}^{*} \in B, v_{n} B v_{n}^{*}=v_{n} v_{n}^{*} B v_{n} v_{n}^{*}, \forall n \geq 0$, $\Sigma_{n} v_{n} v_{n}^{*}=1$ and $B \subset\left(\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n} \cup p B p\right)^{\prime \prime}$. If $p B p \subset p N p$ is a rigid embedding then $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Notice first that if $\phi$ is completely positive on $N$ and $\tau \circ \phi \leq$ $\tau, \phi(1) \leq 1$ then $\tau\left(p_{n} \phi\left(p_{n} x p_{n}\right) p_{n}\right) \leq \tau\left(\phi\left(p_{n} x p_{n}\right)\right) \leq \tau\left(p_{n} x p_{n}\right), \forall x \geq 0$, and $p_{n} \phi\left(p_{n}\right) p_{n} \leq p_{n}$. Then we simply take the critical set and constant for $B \subset N$ to be the critical set and constant for $p_{n} B p_{n} \subset p_{n} N p_{n}$, with $n$ sufficiently large, and apply the above to deduce that for $\phi$ satisfying the conditions for this set and constant, $p_{n} \phi\left(p_{n} \cdot p_{n}\right) p_{n}$ follows uniformly close to the identity on the unit ball of $p_{n} B p_{n}$.

The case when $B$ is atomic is now trivial, when we first apply $4.6 .3^{\circ}$ and then the first part of the proof.
$2^{\circ}$. The statement is clearly true in case $p \in \mathcal{Z}(N)$. Assume next that $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. By part $1^{\circ}$ above, we may suppose $p B p$ has some nonatomic part.

Since there exist projections $z_{n} \in \mathcal{Z}(N)$ with $z_{n} \uparrow 1$ such that each $z_{n}$ is a sum of finitely many projections in $B z_{n}$ which are majorized by $p z_{n}$ in $B$, by $1^{\circ}$ above it is sufficient to prove the case when there exist partial isometries $v_{0}=p, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n} \in B$ such that $v_{i}^{*} v_{i} \leq p, \forall i, \Sigma_{i} v_{i} v_{i}^{*}=1$.

Let then $\varepsilon>0$. Let $F=F(\varepsilon \tau(p))$ and $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon \tau(p))$ be given by 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ for the inclusion $B \subset N$. Let also $F_{0}=\left\{v_{i}^{*} x v_{j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, x \in F\right\}$. We show that $F_{0}$ and $\delta_{0}=\delta$ are good for $p B p \subset p N p$. Thus, let $\phi$ be a completely positive map on $p N p$ such that $\phi(p) \leq p, \tau_{p} \circ \phi \leq \tau_{p}$ and $\|\phi(y)-y\|_{2} \leq \delta_{0}$, $\forall y \in F_{0}$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(x)=\Sigma_{i, j} v_{i} \phi\left(v_{i}^{*} x v_{j}\right) v_{j}^{*}$. As in the proof of 4.6.1 ${ }^{\circ}$, we get $\tau \circ \tilde{\phi}(x) \leq \tau(x), \forall x \in N$ and $\tilde{\phi}(1) \leq 1$.

An easy calculation shows that $\|\tilde{\phi}(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta$ for $x \in F$. Thus,

$$
\|\tilde{\phi}(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon \tau(p), \quad \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1 .
$$

But this implies $\|\phi(p b p)-p b p\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon\|p\|_{2}, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$ as well.
If the projection $p$ lies in $B^{\prime} \cap N$ then by the last part of $4.6 .3^{\circ}$ the subalgebra $B_{0} \subset N$ generated by $B$ and $\{1, p\}$ is rigid in $N$. But then we apply the first part to get $p B p=p B_{0} p$ is rigid in $p N p$.
$3^{\circ}$. By $1^{\circ}$ above, it is sufficient to prove the case when the set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i}$ is finite. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $F_{p}=F\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right), \delta_{p}=\delta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ be given by condition 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$, for $p B p \subset p N p$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon\left(\min _{i} \tau\left(v_{i} v_{i}^{*}\right) / 2\right)^{2}$. Then define $F_{0}=F_{p} \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$. If $\phi$ is a completely positive map on $N$ such that $\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta_{0}$ with $\delta_{0} \leq \delta_{p} \tau(p)^{1 / 2}, \forall x \in F_{0}$, then in particular we have $\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2, p} \leq \delta_{p}, \forall x \in F_{p}$.

Thus, $\|p \phi(b) p-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon\left(\min _{i}\left\|v_{i} v_{i}^{*}\right\|_{2} / 2\right)^{2}, \forall b \in p B p,\|p b p\| \leq 1$. This easily gives $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon$ for all $b$ in the von Neumann algebra $B_{0}=\Sigma_{i, j} v_{i} B v_{j}^{*}$, generated by $p B p$ and $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$, with $\|b\| \leq 1$ (in fact, even for all $b \in B_{0}$ that satisfy $\left.\left\|v_{i}^{*} b v_{j}\right\| \leq 1, \forall i, j\right)$. Thus, $B_{0} \subset N$ is rigid, so that by 4.6.3,$B \subset N$ is rigid as well.

## 5. More on rigid embeddings

In this section we produce examples of rigid inclusions of algebras, by using results of Kazhdan ([Ka]) and Valette ([Va]), which provide examples of property ( T ) inclusions of groups, and the result below, which establishes the link between property $(\mathrm{T})$ for an inclusion of groups and property ( T ) (rigidity) for the inclusion of the corresponding group von Neumann algebras (as defined in (4.2)).
5.1. Proposition. Let $G \subset G_{0}$ be an inclusion of discrete groups and $\nu$ a scalar 2-cocycle for $G_{0}$. Denote $(B \subset N)=\left(L_{\nu}(G) \subset L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)\right)$. Conditions (a)-(d) are equivalent. If in addition $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ is a factor then (a)-(e) are equivalent.
(a) $\left(G_{0}, G\right)$ is a property ( T$)$ pair, i.e., $G \subset G_{0}$ satisfies the equivalent conditions (4.0.1), (4.0.1'), (4.0.1").
(b) $B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding of algebras.
(c) For any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a finite set $F^{\prime} \subset N$ and $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that if $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $N$-bimodule with a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\left\|x_{i} \xi-\xi x_{i}\right\| \leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall i$ then there exists a vector $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $b \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} b, \forall b \in B$.
(d) For any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a finite set $F \subset N$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $\phi: N \rightarrow N$ is a normal completely positive map with $\|\phi(x)-x\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall x \in F$, then $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon, \forall b \in B,\|b\| \leq 1$.
(e) $L_{\nu}(G) \subset L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid for some $\varepsilon_{0}<1$.

Proof. To prove $(\mathrm{a}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$, we prove $\left(4.0 .1^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $E \subset G_{0}, \delta^{\prime}>0$ be given by (4.0.1'), for this $\varepsilon$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert $N$ bimodule with $\xi \in \mathcal{H},\|\xi\|=1,\left\|u_{h} \xi-\xi u_{h}\right\| \leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall h \in E^{\prime}$. Taking $\pi(g) \eta=u_{g} \eta u_{g}^{*}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{H}, g \in G_{0}$, gives a representation of $G_{0}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, with $\|\pi(h) \xi-\xi\|=$ $\left\|u_{h} \xi-\xi u_{h}\right\| \leq \delta^{\prime}$. Thus, there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ fixed by $\pi(G)$ (equivalently, $\left.u_{g} \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} u_{g}, \forall g \in G\right)$ and such that $\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi\right\| \leq \varepsilon$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. We prove that $4.1 .1^{\circ}$ implies (4.0.1'). Let $\varepsilon>0$. By part $1^{\circ}$ in Lemma 1.1.3 and by Kaplanski's density theorem (which implies that the unit ball of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}_{\nu} G_{0}$ is dense in the unit ball of $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ in the norm $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ ), it follows that given any $\varepsilon$ there exist a finite set $E_{0} \subset G_{0}$ and $\delta_{0}>0$, $\delta_{0} \leq \varepsilon$, such that if $\mathcal{H}$ is an $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ Hilbert bimodule with $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ a unit vector which is left and right $\delta_{0}$-tracial and satisfies $\left\|u_{h} \xi-\xi u_{h}\right\| \leq \delta_{0}, \forall h \in E_{0}$, then there exists $\xi_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\|\xi_{1}-\xi\right\| \leq \varepsilon / 2$ and $b \xi_{1}=\xi_{1} b, \forall b \in L_{\nu}(G),\|b\| \leq 1$.

Let then $\left(\pi_{0}, \mathcal{H}_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$ be a cyclic representation of $G_{0}$ such that $\| \pi_{0}(h) \xi_{0}-$ $\xi_{0} \| \leq \delta_{0}, \forall h \in E_{0}$. Let $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{0}}, \xi_{\pi_{0}}\right)$ be the pointed Hilbert $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ bimodule, as defined in 1.4. We clearly have $\left\|u_{h} \xi_{\pi_{0}}-\xi_{\pi_{0}} u_{h}\right\|=\left\|\pi_{0}(h) \xi_{0}-\xi_{0}\right\| \leq \delta_{0}, \forall h \in E_{0}$, by the definitions. Thus, there exists $\xi_{1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{0}}$ such that $\left\|\xi_{1}-\xi_{\pi_{0}}\right\| \leq \varepsilon / 2$ and $\xi_{1}$ commutes with $L_{\nu}(G)$. But this implies that for all $g \in G$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi_{0}(g) \xi_{0}-\xi_{0}\right\| & =\left\|u_{g} \xi_{\pi_{0}}-\xi_{\pi_{0}} u_{g}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left[u_{g},\left(\xi_{\pi_{0}}-\xi_{1}\right)\right]\right\|+\left\|\left[u_{g}, \xi_{1}\right]\right\| \leq 2 \varepsilon / 2=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the element of minimal norm $\xi_{2}$ in the weak closure of $\operatorname{co}\left\{\pi_{0}(g) \xi_{1} \mid\right.$ $g \in G\}$, it follows that $\xi_{2}$ is fixed by $\pi_{0}$ and $\left\|\xi_{2}-\xi_{0}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$.

The implications (c) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{d}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{b}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ (the latter for factorial $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ ) are trivial.

To prove $(\mathrm{a}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{d})$, we prove $\left(4.0 .1^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{d})$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $E^{\prime} \subset G_{0}, \delta^{\prime}>0$ be given by (4.0.1 $)$, for $\varepsilon / 2$. Also, we take $E^{\prime}$ to contain the unit $e$ of the group $G_{0}$.

Let $\phi$ be a completely positive map on $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$ such that $\left\|\phi\left(u_{h}\right)-u_{h}\right\|_{2}$ $\leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall h \in E^{\prime}$, where the norm \| $\|_{2}$ is given by some trace $\tau$ on $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$. Let $F=\left\{u_{h} \mid h \in E^{\prime}\right\}$.

Let $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \xi_{\phi}\right)$ be the pointed Hilbert $N$-bimodule defined out of $\phi$ as in 1.1.2. Let $\pi$ be the associated representation of $G_{0}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$, as in the last part of 1.1.4. It follows that there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ such that $b \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} b, \forall b \in L_{\nu}(G)$ and $\left\|\xi_{\phi}-\xi_{0}\right\| \leq \varepsilon / 2$. Since $1 \in F$, part $2^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.1.2 shows that we may assume $\phi(1) \leq 1$. By part $1^{\circ}$ of Lemma 1.1.2 it then follows that for any $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2}^{2} & \leq 2-2 \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(\phi(u) u^{*}\right)=\left\|u \xi_{\phi}-\xi_{\phi} u\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|u\left(\xi_{\phi}-\xi_{0}\right)-\left(\xi_{\phi}-\xi_{0}\right) u\right\|^{2} \leq 4\left\|\xi_{\phi}-\xi_{0}\right\|^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(\mathrm{e}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. As in the proof of $(\mathrm{b}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{a})$, by Kaplanski's density theorem, there exists $\delta>0$ and $E \subset G_{0}$ such that if $\phi$ is completely positive on $N=$ $L_{\nu}\left(G_{0}\right)$, with $\phi(1) \leq 1, \tau \circ \phi \leq \tau$ and $\left\|\phi\left(u_{h}\right)-u_{h}\right\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall h \in E$, then $\|\phi(b)-b\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, for all $b$ in the unit ball of $B=L_{\nu}(G)$.

Let $\left(\pi_{0}, \mathcal{H}_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$ be a cyclic representation of $G_{0}$ such that $\left\|\pi_{0}(h) \xi_{0}-\xi_{0}\right\|$ $\leq \delta, \forall h \in E$. Define $\phi_{0}$ on $N$ by $\phi_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g} \alpha_{g} u_{g}\right)=\Sigma_{g}\left\langle\pi_{0}(g) \xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\rangle \alpha_{g} u_{g}$. We clearly have $\phi_{0}(1)=1, \tau \circ \phi_{0}=\tau,\left\|\phi_{0}\left(u_{h}\right)-u_{h}\right\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall h \in E$. Thus, $\left\|\phi_{0}\left(u_{g}\right)-u_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall g \in G$, yielding $\left|\left\langle\pi_{0}(g) \xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\rangle-1\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0}<1, \forall g \in G$. Taking the vector $\xi$ of minimal norm in $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left\{\pi_{0}(g) \mid g \in G\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}_{0}$, it follows that $\xi \neq 0$ and $\pi_{0}(g)(\xi)=\xi, \forall g \in G$. This shows that the pair $\left(G_{0}, G\right)$ satisfies (4.0.1), i.e., it has relative property (T).

For the first part of the next corollary recall that any (normalized, unitary, multiplicative) scalar 2-cocycle $\nu$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is given by a bicharacter, and it is uniquely determined by a relation of the form $u v=\alpha v u$ between the generators
$u=(1,0), v=(0,1)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, where $\alpha$ is some scalar with $|\alpha|=1$. We already considered such 2-cocycles in Corollary 3.3.2, where we pointed out that they are $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-invariant. Thus, if we denote by $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ the twisted group algebra $L_{\nu}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$, then the action $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ induces an action still denoted $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$, preserving the canonical trace (cf. 3.3.2). We have:
5.2. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. The inclusion $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \subset Z^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is rigid. Thus, given any $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \subset L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a rigid embedding of algebras. Moreover, if $\alpha$ is not a root of unity, then the " 2 -dimensional noncommutative torus" $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to the hyperfinite $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor $R$, thus giving rigid embeddings $R \subset R \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. If $\alpha$ is a primitive root of unity of order $n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)\right. & \left.\subset L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right) \\
& =\left(L\left((n \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right) \subset L\left((n \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right) \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \\
& \simeq\left(L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \subset L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right) \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \\
& =\left(L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right) \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$2^{\circ}$. If $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbb{F}_{n} \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ has finite index, then the restriction to $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ of the canonical action of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}=\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}$ (resp. on $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \simeq R$, for $\alpha$ not a root of unity) is free, weakly mixing, measure-preserving, with $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mu\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n}$ rigid (resp. $R \subset R \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n}$ rigid).
$3^{\circ}$. For each $n \geq 2$ and each arithmetic lattice $\Gamma_{0}$ in $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$ (resp. in $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1))$ there exist free weakly mixing, measure-preserving actions of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $A \simeq L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ such that the corresponding crossed product inclusions $A \subset$ $A \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ are rigid.
$4^{\circ}$. Let $\sigma_{0}$ be a properly outer, weakly mixing action of some group $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ such that $B_{0} \subset B_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}$ is rigid (e.g., as in $1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}$ or $\left.3^{\circ}\right)$. Let $\sigma_{1}$ be any action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on some finite von Neumann algebra $\left(B_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$, which acts ergodically on the center of $B_{1}$. If $B=B_{0} \bar{\otimes} B_{1}$ and $M=\left(B_{0} \bar{\otimes} B_{1}\right) \rtimes_{\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1}} \Gamma_{0}$, then $M$ is a factor, $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M \subset B$, and $B_{0} \subset M$ is a rigid embedding.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. The rigidity of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \subset Z^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a well known result in [Ka], [Ma]; (see also [Bu], [Sha] for more elegant proofs). The fact that $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \simeq R$ if $\alpha$ is not a root of unity and that $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \simeq A \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, with $A=\mathcal{Z}\left(L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)\right) \simeq L\left((n \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right)$, if $\alpha$ is a primitive root of order $n$, are folklore type results (see [Ri] and [HkS]).

In the latter case, if $p \in 1 \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \subset L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ is a projection of central trace $1 / n$ then $\sigma_{g}(p)$ has central trace $1 / n$ as well, so there exists $v_{g} \in \mathcal{U}\left(L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)\right)$ such that $v_{g} \sigma_{g}(p) v_{g}^{*}=p$. Thus, since $v_{g}$ commutes with the center $A$, if we denote by $\sigma_{g}^{\prime}$ the action implemented by the restriction of $\operatorname{Ad} v_{g} \circ \sigma_{g}$ to $p\left(L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)\right) p=A p \simeq A \simeq L\left((n \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right)$, then $\sigma_{g}^{\prime}$ coincides with the restriction of $\sigma_{g}$ to $A \simeq L\left((n \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right)$.

Moreover, if $u_{g} \in L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ are the canonical unitaries implementing $\sigma_{g}$ on $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$, then $u_{g}^{\prime}=v_{g} u_{g} p$ implement the action $\sigma_{g}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mid A}$ on $A$, but with an $A$-valued 2-cocycle $v^{\prime}$, i.e., $p\left(L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \subset L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right) p \simeq$ $\left(A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma^{\prime}, v^{\prime}} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$. But by $[\mathrm{Hj}], A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma^{\prime}, v^{\prime}} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the amplification by 12 of an inclusion of the form $A_{0} \subset A_{0} \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{2}$, for some free ergodic action of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ on $A_{0}$. Since any action by the free group has trivial cocycle, $A_{0} \subset A_{0} \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{2}$ is associated with the bare equivalence relation it induces on the probability space, with trivial cocycle. Thus, so does its $1 / 12$ reduction (see 1.4); i.e., $\left(A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma^{\prime}} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)=\left(L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right) \rtimes_{\sigma} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$.

The rest of the statement follows from part (a) of Corollary 3.3.2 ${ }^{\circ}$.
$2^{\circ}$ follows from part $1^{\circ}$ above, Proposition $4.6 .2^{\circ}$ and part (c) of Corollary 3.3.2 ${ }^{\circ}$.
$3^{\circ}$ follows by a recent result in [Va], showing that there exist actions $\gamma$ of such $\Gamma_{0}$ on some appropriate $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ which give rise to rigid embeddings $\mathbb{Z}^{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N} \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$. It is easy to see that the actions $\gamma$ in [Va] can be taken to satisfy conditions (i), (ii) in Lemma 3.3.2.
$4^{\circ}$. By 3.3.3, since $\sigma_{0}$ is properly outer, it follows that $\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1}$ is properly outer and $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) \otimes B_{1}$. Also, since $\sigma_{0}$ is weakly mixing and $\sigma_{1}$ is ergodic, $\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1}$ is ergodic and $M$ is a factor.
5.3. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be an arbitrary discrete, countable group. Denote by $\sigma_{1}$ the Bernoulli shift action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\left(A_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)=\bar{\otimes}_{g \in \Gamma_{0}}\left(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)\right)_{g}$ and let $\sigma_{0}$ be an ergodic action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on an abelian von Neumann algebra $\left(A_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$. If $A=A_{0} \bar{\otimes} A_{1}, \sigma=\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1}$ then $\sigma$ is free ergodic and the inclusion $A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is not rigid.
$2^{\circ} . L\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)=A \subset M=L\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ is not a rigid inclusion but $A_{0}=L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \subset A$ satisfies $A_{0} \subset M$ rigid and $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=A$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $\Gamma_{0}$ is equal to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, or to $\mathbb{F}_{n}$, for some $n \geq 2$, or to an arithmetic lattice in some $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1), \mathrm{SU}(n, 1), n \geq 2$, then there exist three non orbit equivalent free ergodic measure-preserving actions $\sigma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, of $\Gamma_{0}$ on the probability space $(X, \mu)$. Moreover, each $\sigma_{i}$ can be taken such that $A=L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ contains a subalgebra $A_{i}$ with $A_{i} \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma_{i}} \Gamma_{0}$ rigid and $A_{i}^{\prime} \cap$ $A \rtimes_{\sigma_{i}} \Gamma_{0}=A$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Write $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)=\overline{\cup_{n} A^{n}}$, with $A^{n}$ an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subalgebras and denote $A_{1}^{n}=\bar{\otimes}_{g}\left(A^{n}\right)_{g} \subset A_{1}$. Then $A_{1}^{n} \uparrow A_{1}$ and $\sigma_{g}\left(A_{1}^{n}\right)=A_{1}^{n}, \forall g \in \Gamma_{0}, \forall n$. Thus, if $N_{n}=\left(A_{0} \bar{\otimes} A_{1}^{n} \cup\left\{u_{g}\right\}_{g}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ then $N_{n} \uparrow N=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$. So if we assume $A \subset N$ is rigid, then by 4.5 there exists $n$ such that $\left\|E_{N_{n}}(a)-a\right\|_{2} \leq 1 / 2, \forall a \in A,\|a\| \leq 1$. But if $a \in 1 \otimes A_{1}$ then $E_{N_{n}}(a)=E_{A_{1}^{n}}(a)$. Or, since $A^{n}$ is finite dimensional and $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)$ is diffuse, there exists a unitary element $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)$ such that $E_{A_{1}^{n}}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. Taking $u=\cdots \otimes 1 \otimes u_{0} \otimes 1 \cdots \in A$, it follows that $E_{A_{n}}(u)=0$, so that $1=\left\|E_{A_{1}^{n}}(u)-u\right\|_{2}=\left\|E_{N_{n}}(u)-u\right\|_{2} \leq 1 / 2$, a contradiction.
$2^{\circ}$. For each $n$ let $\mathbb{Q}_{n}$ be the ring of rationals with the denominator having prime decomposition with only the first $n$ prime numbers appearing. Then $A \supset A_{n}=L\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \subset L\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)=M_{n} \subset M$ and we have $E_{M_{n}} \circ E_{A}=E_{A_{n}}, \forall n$. If $A \subset M$ were rigid, then by 4.5 there would exist $n$ such that $\left\|E_{M_{n}}(a)-a\right\|_{2} \leq 1 / 2, \forall a \in A,\|a\| \leq 1$. But any unitary element $u \in A=L\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)$ corresponding to a group element in $\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{Q}_{n}$ satisfies $E_{A_{n}}(u)=0$, a contradiction.
$3^{\circ}$. We take $\sigma_{1}$ to be the action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $A=L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ given by $5.2 .1^{\circ}-$ $5.2 .3^{\circ}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ to be the tensor product of $\sigma_{1}$ with the Bernoulli shift action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\bar{\otimes}_{g \in \Gamma_{0}}\left(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)\right)_{g}$.

Finally, we take $\sigma_{3}$ to be the tensor product of $\sigma_{1}$ with a free ergodic measure-preserving action of $\Gamma_{0}$ which is not strongly ergodic, as provided by the Connes-Weiss Theorem ([CW]; this is possible because $\Gamma_{0}$ has property H, so it does not have property ( T )).

By part $1^{\circ}$ we have $\left(A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma_{1}} \Gamma_{0}\right) \nsucceq\left(A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma_{2}} \Gamma_{0}\right)$. By results of Klaus Schmidt ([Sc]; see also [J2]), $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ are strongly ergodic, while $\sigma_{3}$ is not. Thus, $\left(A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma_{3}} \Gamma_{0}\right) \not \not ㇒\left(A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma_{i}} \Gamma_{0}\right), i=1,2$.

Since all these Cartan subalgebras have trivial 2-cocycle by construction, their nonisomorphism implies the nonequivalence of the corresponding orbit equivalence relations.

The existence of "large" subalgebras $A_{i} \subset A$ with $A_{i} \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma_{i}} \Gamma_{0}$ rigid follows by construction and by 3.3.3.
5.4. Theorem. $1^{\circ}$. If $N$ is a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with property H (as defined in 2.0.2), then $N$ contains no diffuse relatively rigid subalgebras $B \subset N$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $N$ has property H relative to a type I von Neumann algebra $B_{0} \subset N$ then $N$ contains no relatively rigid type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ von Neumann subalgebras $B \subset N$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Let $\phi_{n}$ be completely positive maps on $N$ such that $\phi_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{N}$, $\tau \circ \phi_{n} \leq \tau$ and $T_{\phi_{n}} \in \mathcal{K}\left(L^{2}(N, \tau)\right)$. If $B \subset N$ is a rigid inclusion then by 4.1.2 ${ }^{\circ}$, there exists $n$ such that $\phi=\phi_{n}$ satisfies $\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2} \leq 1 / 2, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. If in addition $B$ has no atoms, then any maximal abelian subalgebra $A$ of $B$ is diffuse. Thus, such $A$ contains unitary elements $v$ with $\tau\left(v^{m}\right)=0, \forall m \neq 0$. Since the sequence $\left\{v^{\hat{m}}\right\}_{m} \subset L^{2}(N, \tau)$ is weakly convergent to 0 and $T_{\phi}$ is compact, $\left\|\phi\left(v^{m}\right)\right\|_{2}=\left\|T_{\phi}\left(v^{\hat{m}}\right)\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Thus,

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi\left(v^{m}\right)-v^{m}\right\|_{2}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v^{m}\right\|_{2}=1
$$

contradicting $\left\|\phi\left(v^{m}\right)-v^{m}\right\|_{2} \leq 1 / 2, \forall m$.
$2^{\circ}$. Assume $N$ does contain a relatively rigid type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset N$. Let $\phi_{n}$ contain completely positive $B_{0}$ bimodular maps on $N$ such that $\phi_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{id}_{N}, \tau \circ \phi_{n} \leq \tau$ and $T_{\phi_{n}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle\right)$. By the rigidity of
$B \subset N$ it follows that $\varepsilon_{n}=\sup \left\{\left\|\phi_{n}(u)-u\right\|_{2} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(B)\right\} \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$
\left\|u^{*} T_{\phi_{n}} u(\hat{1})-\hat{1}\right\|_{2}=\left\|u^{*} \phi_{n}(u)-1\right\|_{2}=\left\|\phi_{n}(u)-u\right\|_{2},
$$

by taking convex combinations and weak limits of elements of the form $u T_{\phi_{n}} u^{*}$, by Proposition 1.3 .2 we see that there exists $T_{n} \in K_{T_{\phi_{n}}} \cap\left(B^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{J}(\langle N, B\rangle)\right)$ such that $\left\|T_{n}(\hat{1})-\hat{1}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $T_{n} \neq 0$ for $n$ large enough, so that $B^{\prime} \cap\left\langle N, B_{0}\right\rangle$ contains nonzero projections of finite trace. By [Chr], this implies there exist nonzero projections $p \in B, q \in B_{0}$ and a unital isomorphism $\theta$ of $p B p$ into $q B_{0} q$. But $q B_{0} q$ is type I and $p B p$ is not, a contradiction.
5.5. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. If $N$ has a diffuse relatively rigid subalgebra $B \subset N$ then $N$ cannot be embedded into a free group factor $L\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$. In particular, the factors constructed in Corollary 5.2 cannot be embedded into $L\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$.
$2^{\circ}$. The factors $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, constructed in $5.2 .1^{\circ}$ for $\alpha$ irrational, cannot be embedded into $L_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ for $\alpha^{\prime}$ rational.

Proof. Part $1^{\circ}$ is a consequence of 5.4.1, while part $2^{\circ}$ follows trivially from 5.4.2.
5.6. Remarks. $1^{\circ}$. In the case when $N$ is a finite factor, a different notion of "relative property T" for inclusions $B \subset N$, was considered in [A-De], [Po1], as follows:
(5.6.1). $N$ has property T relative to $B$ (or $B$ is co-rigid in $N$ ) if there exists a finite set $F_{1} \subset N$ and $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that if $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ is a $(B \subset N)$ Hilbert bimodule (recall that by definition this requires $[B, \xi]=0$ ) such that $\|x \xi-\xi x\| \leq \varepsilon, \forall x \in F$, then there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}, \xi_{0} \neq 0$, with $x \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} x$, $\forall x \in N$.

In the case $B$ is a Cartan subalgebra $A$ of a type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor $N=M$, this definition is easily seen to be equivalent to Zimmer's property ( T ) ( $[\mathrm{Zi} 2]$ ) for the countable, measurable, measure-preserving equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{A \subset M}$, which it thus generalizes to the case of arbitrary inclusions of von Neumann algebras (cf. Section 4.8 in [Po1]). Thus, in this re-formulation, a standard equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies Zimmer's relative property ( T ) if and only if the Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$, constructed as in [FM] out of $\mathcal{R}$ and the trivial 2 -cocycle $v \equiv 1$, is co-rigid in the sense of [Po1], [A-De]. We will in fact call such equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$ co-rigid.
$2^{\circ}$. It is easy to see that in case $(B \subset N)=\left(B \subset B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}\right)$, for some cocycle action $\sigma$ of a group $\Gamma_{0}$ on ( $B, \tau$ ) then $N$ has property (T) relative to $B$ (i.e., $B$ is co-rigid in $N$ ) if and only if $\Gamma_{0}$ has the property (T) of Kazhdan (cf. [A-De], [Po1]; also [Zi] for the Cartan subalgebra case). In particular, if $H \subset G_{0}$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{0}$ then $L\left(G_{0}\right)$ has property ( T ) relative to $L(H)$ if and only if the quotient group $G_{0} / H$ has property (T). In fact, it is
easy to see that if $H \subset G_{0}$ is an inclusion of discrete groups then $L\left(G_{0}\right)$ has property ( T$)$ relative to $L(H)$ if and only if the following holds true:
(5.6.2). There exist a finite set $E \subset G_{0}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that if $\pi$ is a unitary representation of $G_{0}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(h) \xi=\xi, \forall h \in H$ and $\|\pi(g) \xi-\xi\| \leq \varepsilon, \forall g \in E$, then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a nonzero vector $\xi_{0}$ such that $\pi(g) \xi_{0}=\xi_{0}, \forall g \in G_{0}$.

A sufficient condition for an inclusion of groups $H \subset G_{0}$ to satisfy 5.6.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ exists when $G_{0}$ has finite length over $H$, i.e., when the following holds true:
(5.6.2'). There exist $n \geq 1$ and a finite set $E \subset G_{0}$ such that any element $g \in G_{0}$ can be written as $g=h_{1} f_{1} h_{2} f_{2} \ldots h_{n} f_{n}$, for some $f_{i} \in E, h_{j} \in H$.

Indeed, because then $\pi(h) \xi=\xi, \forall h \in H$ and $\xi$ is almost fixed by $\pi(f)$, $f \in E$, implies that $\xi$ is almost fixed by $\pi(g)$, uniformly for all $g \in G_{0}$. This, of course, shows that $\mathcal{H}$ has a nonzero vector fixed by $\pi\left(G_{0}\right)$. (N.B. Finite length was exploited in relation to rigidity in [Sha].)

An example of inclusion of groups $H \subset G_{0}$ satisfying (5.6.2') is obtained by taking $G_{0}$ to be the group of all affine transformations of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $H$ to be the subgroup of all homotheties of $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, because if we take $E$ to be the single element set consisting of the translation by 1 on $\mathbb{Q}$, then we clearly have $G_{0}=H E H$. Thus, $L\left(G_{0}\right)$, which is isomorphic to the hyperfinite type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $R$, has property ( T$)$ relative to $L(H)$, which is a singular maximal abelian subalgebra in $L\left(G_{0}\right)$ (cf. [D]).
5.7. Proposition. Let $N$ be a finite factor and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra.
$1^{\circ}$. If $\langle N, B\rangle$ is finite then $N$ has both property $(\mathrm{T})$ relative to $B$ (in the sense of (5.6.1)) and property H relative to $B$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $N$ has both property ( T ) and H relative to $B$ then there exists a nonzero $q \in \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$ such that $q N q$ is a finitely generated Bq-module. Thus, if in addition $B$ is a subfactor with $B^{\prime} \cap N=\mathbb{C}$ then $[N: B]<\infty$ and if $B$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra in $N$ then $\operatorname{dim} N<\infty$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. If $\langle N, B\rangle$ is finite, then there exists a sequence of projections $p_{n} \in \mathcal{Z}(B), p_{n} \uparrow 1$, such that $p_{n} N p_{n}$ has finite orthonormal basis over $B p_{n}$. By $2.3 .4^{\circ}$, this implies $p_{n} N p_{n}$ has property H relative to $B p_{n}$ and by $4.6 .3^{\circ}$, $B p_{n} \subset p_{n} N p_{n}$ is rigid. By 2.4.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ this implies $N$ has property H relative to $B$ and by 4.7.1 ${ }^{\circ}, B \subset N$ is rigid.
$2^{\circ}$. Note first that if there exist no $q \in \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime} \cap N\right)$ such that $q N q$ is a finitely generated $B q$-module, then $N^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$ contains no finite projections of $\langle N, B\rangle$.

On the other hand, if $N$ has property H relative to $B$ then by $2.2 .1^{\circ}$ there exist unital completely positive, $B$-bimodular maps $\phi_{n}$ on $N$ such that
$\tau \circ \phi_{n} \leq \tau, \phi_{n}(1) \leq 1, \phi_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{id}_{N}$ and $T_{\phi_{n}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$. If in addition $N$ has the property (T) relative to $B$, then $\exists n$ such that $\left\|\phi_{n}(u)-u\right\|_{2} \leq 1 / 4, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$. By 1.3.3, $\exists$ a spectral projection $p \in B^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle N, B\rangle)$ of $T_{\phi_{n}}^{*} T_{\phi_{n}}$ such that $\left\|T_{\phi_{n}}(1-p)\right\|<1 / 4$. If we now assume $N^{\prime} \cap\langle N, B\rangle$ has no finite projections, then there exists a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(p u e_{B} u^{*}\right)<1 / 4$. But $\operatorname{Tr}\left(p u e_{B} u^{*}\right)=\|p(\hat{u})\|_{2}^{2}$ (see the proof of 6.2 in the next section). Altogether, since $\|p(\hat{u})\|_{2} \geq\left\|T_{\phi_{n}}(\hat{u})\right\|_{2}-\left\|T_{\phi_{n}}((1-p)(\hat{u}))\right\|_{2} \geq 1 / 2$, it follows that $1 / 4>$ $\operatorname{Tr}\left(p u e_{B} u^{*}\right) \geq 1 / 4$, a contradiction. The last part of $2^{\circ}$ follows trivially from [PiPo].
5.8. Remarks. $1^{\circ}$. Both the notion 4.2 considered here and the notion considered in [A-De], [Po1] are in some sense "relative property ( T )" notions for an inclusion $B \subset N$; but while the notion in [A-De], [Po1] means " $N$ has the property ( T ) relative to $B$ ", thus being a "co"-type property $(\mathrm{T})$, the notion considered in this paper is a "property ( T ) of $B$ relative to its embedding into $N "$. The two notions are complementary, and together they imply (and are implied by) property ( T ) of the global factor (see Proposition 5.9 below).
$2^{\circ}$. An interesting relation between these two complementary notions of property $(\mathrm{T})$ is the following: If a group $\Gamma_{0}$ acts on $(B, \tau)$ such that $B \subset N=$ $B \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ is a rigid embedding, then $N$ has property ( T ) relative to its group von Neumann subalgebra $L\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ (i.e., $L\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ is co-rigid in $N$ ). Indeed, because if $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$ is an $\left(L\left(\Gamma_{0}\right) \subset N\right)$-Hilbert bimodule with $\xi$ almost commuting with all $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$, uniformly, then $\xi$ almost commutes with the group of elements $\mathcal{G}=\left\{u u_{g} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(B), g \in \Gamma_{0}\right\}$. Thus $\xi$ is close to a vector commuting with all $v \in \mathcal{G}$, thus with all $x \in N$. For instance, the factor $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ has property T relative to its subalgebra $L(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ) (in the sense of definition (5.6.1)).
5.9. Proposition. Let $N$ be a type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra. The following conditions are equivalent:
$1^{\circ}$. $N$ has property $(\mathrm{T})$ in the sense of Connes and Jones (i.e., of the equivalent conditions (4.0.2), (4.0.2')).
$2^{\circ}$. The identity embedding $N \subset N$ is rigid, i.e., for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a finite subset $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in N$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $N$-bimodule with a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\|\langle\cdot \xi, \xi\rangle-\tau\| \leq \delta,\|\langle\xi, \xi \cdot\rangle-\tau\| \leq \delta$ and $\left\|x_{i} \xi-\xi x_{i}\right\| \leq \delta, \forall i$, then there exists a vector $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\|\xi-\xi_{0}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $x \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} x, \forall x \in N$.
$3^{\circ} . B \subset N$ is a rigid embedding (in the sense of Definition 4.2) and $N$ has property $(\mathrm{T})$ relative to $B$ (in the sense of (5.6.1)).

Proof. $1^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 3^{\circ}$ and $1^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 2^{\circ}$ are trivial, by the characterization (4.0.2') of property (T) for $N$.

To prove $3^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 1^{\circ}$ let $F_{1} \subset N$ and $\varepsilon_{1}$ give the critical set and constant for property ( T ) of $N$ relative to $B$ and $F^{\prime} \subset N, \delta^{\prime}>0$ be the critical set and constant for the rigidity of $B \subset N$, corresponding to $\varepsilon_{1} / 4$. Let $F=F^{\prime} \cup F_{1}$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert $N$ bimodule with a unit vector $\xi$ which is left and right $\delta^{\prime}$ tracial and satisfies $\|y \xi-\xi y\| \leq \delta^{\prime}, \forall y \in F$. By the rigidity of $B \subset N$ it follows that there exists $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $b \xi_{0}=\xi_{0} b, \forall b \in B$ and $\left\|\xi_{0}-\xi\right\| \leq \varepsilon_{1} / 4$. Thus, if we assume $\varepsilon_{1} \leq 1 / 4$ from the beginning and denote $\xi_{1}=\xi_{0} /\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|$, then $\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|=1, b \xi_{1}=\xi_{1} b, \forall b \in B$, and $\left\|y \xi_{1}-\xi_{1} y\right\| \leq \varepsilon_{1}, \forall y \in F$, in particular for all $y \in F_{1}$. Thus, by the property ( T ) of $N$ relative to $B, \mathcal{H}$ has a nonzero $N$-central vector.
$2^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 1^{\circ}$. By part $1^{\circ}$ of Theorem 4.3, $N$ follows non- $\Gamma$. Thus, by Lemma 2.9 it is sufficient to check that any Hilbert $N$ bimodule with a vector that is almost left-right tracial and almost central has a nonzero central vector for $N$. But this does hold true by the fact that $N$ satisfies condition $2^{\circ}$.
5.10. Remark. When applied to the case of Cartan subalgebras coming from standard equivalence relations with trivial 2-cocycle, the definition of rigid embeddings 4.2 gives the following new property for equivalence relations:
5.10.1. Definition. A countable, ergodic, measure-preserving equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ has the relative property $(\mathrm{T})$ if its associated Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$, constructed out of $\mathcal{R}$ and the trivial 2-cocycle $v \equiv 1$ as in [FM], is a rigid embedding (Definition 4.2).

Since the rigidity for Cartan subalgebras is an invariant for the isomorphism class of $A \subset M$, this relative property ( T ) is an orbit equivalence invariant for equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$. Also, when applied to the particular case of Cartan subalgebras with trivial 2-cocycle, all the results on rigid embeddings of algebras in Sections 4 and 5 translate into corresponding results about standard equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$. For instance, by $4.6,4.7$, if $\mathcal{R}$ has relative property ( T ) then $\mathcal{R}^{t}$ has relative property ( T ), $\forall t>0$, and if $\mathcal{R}_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{2}$ have relative property ( T ) then so does $\mathcal{R}_{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}$. Also, if $\mathcal{R}$ has relative property $(\mathrm{T})$ then $\operatorname{Out}(\mathcal{R}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{R}) / \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{R})$ is discrete (cf. 4.4) and if we further have $\mathcal{R}=\cup_{n} \mathcal{R}_{n}$ for some increasing sequence of ergodic sub-equivalence relations, then $\mathcal{R}_{n}$ have relative property ( T ) for all large enough $n$.

We have proved that equivalence relations implemented by Bernoulli shift actions of a group $\Gamma_{0}$ cannot have relative property ( T ), no matter the group $\Gamma_{0}$ (cf. 5.3). Thus, equivalence relations coming from actions of the same group $\Gamma_{0}$ may or may not have relative property ( T ), depending on the action. While by [Zi] (see also [A-De], [Po1]), $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ has property (T) relative to $A$, in the sense of definition (5.6.1) if and only if $\Gamma_{0}$ has Kazhdan's property ( $T$ ), thus being a property entirely depending on the group. Even more: since by [Po1] if $A \subset M$ is a Cartan subalgebra in a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor and $p \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ then $p M p$ has
property $(\mathrm{T})$ relative to $A p$ if and only if $M$ has property ( T ) relative to $A$, it follows that property ( T ) for groups is invariant to stable orbit equivalence, or equivalently, it is an ME invariant (see [Fu] for an "ergodic theory" proof of this fact).

Proposition 5.9 shows that when the relative property (T) (5.10.1) for $\mathcal{R}$ is combined with the co-rigidity property (5.6.1) for $\mathcal{R}$ they imply, and are implied by, the "full" property T of $\mathcal{R}$, which by definition requires that the finite factor $M=M(\mathcal{R})$ have property ( T ) in the sense (4.0.2), of ConnesJones. It is thus of great interest to answer the following:
5.10.2. Problem. Characterize the countable discrete groups $\Gamma_{0}$ that can act rigidly on the probability space, i.e., for which there exist free ergodic measure-preserving actions $\sigma$ on $(X, \mu)$ such that $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \subset L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma}$ $\Gamma_{0}$ is a rigid embedding. Do all property ( T ) groups $\Gamma_{0}$ admit such rigid actions (i.e., in view of the above, actions $\sigma$ with property that the $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ has property ( T$)$ in the sense of (4.0.2))?

## 6. HT subalgebras and the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$

6.1. Definition. Let $N$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state and $B \subset N$ a von Neumann subalgebra. $B$ is an HT subalgebra of $N$ (or $B \subset N$ is an HT inclusion) if the following two conditions are met:
(6.1.1). $N$ has property $H$ relative to $B$ (as defined in Section 2).
(6.1.2). There exists a von Neumann subalgebra $B_{0} \subset B$ such that $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap$ $N \subset B$ and $B_{0} \subset N$ is a rigid (or property (T)) embedding.

Also, $B$ is an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ subalgebra of $N$ if conditions (6.1.1) and (6.1.2) hold true with $B_{0}=B$, i.e., if $N$ has the property H relative to $B$ and $B \subset N$ is itself a rigid embedding.

If $A \subset M$ is a Cartan subalgebra of a finite factor $M$ and $A \subset M$ satisfies the conditions (6.1.1) and (6.1.2), then we call it an HT Cartan subalgebra. Similarly, if a Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$ satisfies (6.1.1) and is a rigid embedding then it is called an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebra.

Note that condition (6.1.2) implies that $B^{\prime} \cap N \subset B$ and (6.1.1) implies $B$ is quasi-regular in $N$ (cf. 3.4). In particular, by Proposition 3.4, for $A \subset M$ a maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$, the condition that $A$ is an HT (resp. $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ ) subalgebra of $M$ is sufficient to insure that $A$ is an HT (resp. $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ ) Cartan subalgebra of $M$.
6.2. Theorem. Let $M$ be a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with two abelian von Neumann subalgebras $A, A_{0}$ such that $A, A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M$ are maximal abelian in $M$. Assume that $M$ has property H relative to $A$ and that $A_{0} \subset M$ is a rigid inclusion. Then both $A$ and $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M$ are HT Cartan subalgebras of $M$ and there exists $a$
unitary element $u$ in $M$ such that $u A_{0} u^{*} \subset A$, and thus $u\left(A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M\right) u^{*}=A$. In particular, if $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are HT Cartan subalgebras of a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$ then there exists a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $u A_{1} u^{*}=A_{2}$.

Proof. We first prove that there exists a nonzero partial isometry $v \in$ $M$ such that $v^{*} v \in A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M, v v^{*} \in A$ and $v A_{0} v^{*} \subset A v v^{*}$. If we assume by contradiction that this is not the case, then Theorem A. 1 implies $0 \in$ $K_{\mathcal{U}\left(A_{0}\right)}\left(e_{A}\right) \subset\langle M, A\rangle$. This in turn implies that given any finite projection $f \in\langle M, A\rangle$, with $\operatorname{Tr}(f)<\infty$, and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(A_{0}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(f u e_{A} u^{*}\right)<\varepsilon$. Indeed, if for some $c_{0}>0$ we had $\operatorname{Tr}\left(f u e_{A} u^{*}\right) \geq c_{0}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}\left(A_{0}\right)$, then by taking appropriate convex combinations and weak limits, we would get that $0=\operatorname{Tr}(f 0) \geq c_{0}>0$, a contradiction.

By property H of $M$ relative to $A$, there exist completely positive, unital, $A$-bimodular maps $\phi_{n}: M \rightarrow M$ which tend strongly to the identity and satisfy $\phi_{n}(1) \leq 1, \tau \circ \phi_{n} \leq \tau, T_{\phi_{n}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle M, A\rangle)$.

Let $0<\varepsilon_{0}<1$. By the rigidity of the embedding $A_{0} \subset M$, there exists $n$ large enough such that $\phi=\phi_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi(v)-v\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \forall v \in \mathcal{U}\left(A_{0}\right) . \tag{6.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $T_{\phi} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle M, A\rangle)$, it follows that there exists a finite projection $f \in \mathcal{J}_{0}(\langle M, A\rangle)$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(f)<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\phi}(1-f)\right\| \leq\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) / 2 \tag{6.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let then $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(A_{0}\right)$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(f u e_{A} u^{*}\right)<\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2} / 4 \tag{6.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{m_{j}\right\}_{j} \subset L^{2}(M, \tau)$ be such that $\Sigma_{j} m_{j} e_{A} m_{j}^{*}=f$. Equivalently, $\oplus_{j} L^{2}\left(m_{j} A\right)=f\left(L^{2}(M, \tau)\right)$. Thus, if $x \in N=\hat{N} \subset L^{2}(M, \tau)$ then $f(\hat{x})=$ $\Sigma_{j} m_{j} E_{A}\left(m_{j}^{*} x\right)$ and $\|f(\hat{x})\|_{2}^{2}=\Sigma_{j}\left\|m_{j} E_{A}\left(m_{j}^{*} x\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(f u e_{A} u^{*}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(f u e_{A} u^{*} f\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\Sigma_{j} m_{j} e_{A} m_{j}^{*}\right) u e_{A} u^{*}\left(\Sigma_{i} m_{i} e_{A} m_{i}^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =\Sigma_{i, j} \tau\left(m_{j} E_{A}\left(m_{j}^{*} u\right) E_{A}\left(u^{*} m_{i}\right) m_{i}^{*}\right)=\|f(\hat{u})\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (6.2.3) this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(\hat{u})\|_{2}<\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) / 2 \tag{6.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, since $\left\|T_{\phi}\right\| \leq 1,(6.2 .2)$ and (6.2.4) entail:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\phi}(\hat{u})\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|T_{\phi}((1-f)(\hat{u}))\right\|_{2}+\|f(\hat{u})\|_{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) / 2+\|f(\hat{u})\|_{2}<1-\varepsilon_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But by (6.2.1), this implies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{2} & \leq\left\|T_{\phi}(\hat{u})\right\|_{2}+\|\phi(u)-u\|_{2} \\
& <1-\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $1=\tau\left(u u^{*}\right)<1$, a contradiction.
Let now ( $\mathcal{V}, \leq$ ) denote the set of partial isometries $v \in M$ with $v^{*} v \in$ $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M, v v^{*} \in A$ and $v A_{0} v^{*} \subset A v v^{*}$, endowed with the order $\leq$ given by restriction, i.e., $v \leq v^{\prime}$ if $v=v v^{*} v^{\prime} .(\mathcal{V}, \leq)$ is clearly inductively ordered. Let $v_{0} \in \mathcal{V}$ be a maximal element. Assume $v_{0}$ is not a unitary element.

By 2.4.1 ${ }^{\circ},\left(1-v_{0} v_{0}^{*}\right) M\left(1-v_{0} v_{0}^{*}\right)$ has property H relative to $A\left(1-v_{0} v_{0}^{*}\right)$ and by 4.7.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ the inclusion $A_{0}\left(1-v_{0}^{*} v_{0}\right) \subset\left(1-v_{0}^{*} v_{0}\right) M\left(1-v_{0}^{*} v_{0}\right)$ is rigid. Let $u_{0} \in M$ be a unitary element extending $v_{0}$ and denote $M^{0}=\left(1-v_{0} v_{0}^{*}\right) M\left(1-v_{0} v_{0}^{*}\right)$, $A_{0}^{0}=u_{0}\left(A_{0}\left(1-v_{0}^{*} v_{0}\right)\right) u_{0}^{*}, A^{0}=A\left(1-v_{0} v_{0}^{*}\right)$. Thus, $M^{0}$ has property H relative to $A^{0}$ and $A_{0}^{0} \subset M_{0}$ is rigid. By the first part it follows that there exists a nonzero partial isometry $v \in M^{0}$ such that $v^{*} v \in\left(A_{0}^{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap M, v v^{*} \in A^{0}$ and $v A_{0}^{0} v^{*} \subset A^{0} v v^{*}$. But then $v^{\prime}=v_{0}+v u_{0}^{*} \in \mathcal{V}, v^{\prime} \geq v_{0}$ and $v^{\prime} \neq v_{0}$, contradicting the maximality of $v_{0}$.

We conclude that $v_{0}$ is a unitary element, so that $A, A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M$ are conjugate in $M$. The last part follows now by Proposition 3.4.
6.3. Remarks. $1^{\circ}$. If in the last part of Theorem 6.2 we restrict ourselves to the case where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebras of the type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M$, then we can give the following alternative proof of the statement, by using part (ii) of Proposition 1.4.3 in lieu of Theorem A. 1 and an argument similar to the proof of $5.4 .2^{\circ}$ : By property H of $M$ relative to $A_{1}$ there exists completely positive $A_{1}$ bimodular trace-preserving unital maps $\phi_{n}$ on $M$ such that $\phi_{n} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{id}_{M}$ and $T_{\phi_{n}} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle\right)$. By the rigidity of $A_{2} \subset M$ it follows that $\varepsilon_{n}=$ $\sup \left\{\left\|\phi_{n}(u)-u\right\|_{2} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\left(A_{2}\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0$. Fix $x \in M$ and note that by Corollary 1.1.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{*} T_{\phi_{n}} u(\hat{x})-\hat{x}\right\|_{2}= & \left\|\phi_{n}(u x)-u x\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|\phi_{n}(u x)-u \phi_{n}(x)\right\|_{2} \\
& +\left\|\phi_{n}(x)-x\right\|_{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon_{n}^{1 / 2}+\left\|\phi_{n}(x)-x\right\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by taking weak limits of appropriate convex combinations of elements of the form $u^{*} T_{\phi_{n}} u$ with $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(A_{2}\right)$, and using Proposition 1.3.2 we see that $T_{n}=\mathcal{E}_{A_{2}^{\prime} \cap\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle}\left(T_{\phi_{n}}\right) \in K_{T_{\phi_{n}}} \cap\left(A_{2}^{\prime} \cap J_{0}\left(\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle\right)\right)$ satisfy $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{n}(\hat{x})-\hat{x}\right\|_{2}=0$. But $x \in M$ was arbitrary. This shows that the right supports of $T_{n}$ span the identity of $\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle$. Since $T_{n}$ are compact, this shows that $A_{2}^{\prime} \cap\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle$ is generated by finite projections of $\left\langle M, A_{1}\right\rangle$. Thus, $A_{2}$ is discrete over $A_{1}$. Similarly, $A_{1}$ is discrete over $A_{2}$ and $A_{1}$ is conjugate to $A_{2}$ by a result in [PoSh]; see part (ii) of Proposition 1.4.3.
$2^{\circ}$. The above argument uses the fact that two Cartan subalgebras $A_{1}, A_{2}$ in $M$ are unitarily equivalent in $M$ if and only if the $A_{1}-A_{2}$ Hilbert bimod-
ule $L^{2}(M, \tau)$ is a direct sum of Hilbert bimodules that are finite dimensional both as left $A_{1}$ modules and as right $A_{2}$ modules. The proof of Theorem 6.2 uses, instead, Theorem A.1, which shows that in order for an abelian von Neumann algebra $A_{0} \subset M$ to be unitarily conjugate to a subalgebra of a semiregular maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra $A$ of $M$ it is sufficient that $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M$ be semi-regular abelian and that $A_{0} L^{2}(M, \tau)_{A}$ contain a nonzero $A_{0}-A$ Hilbert bimodule which is finite dimensional as a right $A$-module (a much weaker requirement).
$3^{\circ}$. Note that by 3.4 and $4.3 .2^{\circ}, A \subset M$ is $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan if and only if $A \subset M$ is maximal abelian, $M$ has property H relative to $A$ and $A \subset M$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid for some $\varepsilon_{0} \leq 1 / 3$.
$4^{\circ}$. Note that the proof of Theorem 6.2 shows in fact that if $A, A_{0}$ are abelian von Neumann subalgebras of a finite factor $M$ such that $A$ is maximal abelian, $M$ has property H relative to $A, A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M$ is semi-regular abelian and $A_{0} \subset M$ is $\varepsilon_{0}$-rigid, for some $\varepsilon_{0}<1$, then there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $u\left(A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M\right) u^{*}=A$. In particular, if one calls $\mathrm{HT}_{w}$ the Cartan subalgebras $A \subset M$ with the properties that $M$ has property H relative to $A$ and there exists $A_{0} \subset A$ with $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=A, A_{0} \subset M \varepsilon_{0}$-rigid, for some $\varepsilon_{0}<1$, then any two $\mathrm{HT}_{w}$ Cartan subalgebras of a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor are unitary conjugate.
6.4. Notation. We denote by $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ the class of finite separable (in norm $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ ) factors with HT Cartan subalgebras and by $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ the class of finite separable factors with $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebras. Note that $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s} \subset \mathcal{H T}$ and that Theorem 6.2 shows the uniqueness up to unitary conjugacy of HT Cartan subalgebras in factors $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.
6.5. Corollary. If $A_{i} \subset M_{i}, i=1,2$, are HT Cartan subalgebras and $\theta$ is an isomorphism from $M_{1}$ onto $M_{2}$ then there exists a unitary element $u \in M_{2}$ such that $u \theta\left(A_{1}\right) u^{*}=A_{2}$. Thus, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) standard equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ on the standard probability space, implemented by the normalizer of the HT Cartan subalgebra of $M$.

The next result shows that $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is closed to amplifications and tensor products and that it has good "continuity" properties. The proof of part $3^{\circ}$ below, like the proof of $4.5 .2^{\circ}$, uses A. 2 and is inspired by the proofs of 4.5.1, 4.5.6 in [Po1].
6.6. Theorem. $1^{\circ}$. If $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ ) and $t>0$ then $M^{t} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.M^{t} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}\right)$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ ) then $M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2} \in \mathcal{H T}$ (resp. $\left.M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2} \in \mathcal{H}_{s}\right)$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{\text {s }}$ then there exist a finite set $F \subset M$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $N \subset M$ is a subfactor with $F \subset_{\delta} N$ then $N \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$. In particular, if $N_{k} \subset M$
are subfactors with $N_{k} \uparrow M$, then there exists $k_{0}$ such that $N_{k} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}, \forall k \geq k_{0}$. If in addition $N_{k}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$, then all the $N_{k}, k \geq k_{0}$, contain the same $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebra of $M$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Let $A \subset M$ be an HT Cartan subalgebra and $A_{0} \subset A$ be so that $A_{0} \subset M$ is a rigid embedding and $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=A$. Choose some integer $n \geq t$. By $2.3 .2^{\circ}$ it follows that if $D$ denotes the diagonal of $M_{0}=M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ then $A \otimes D \subset M_{n}(M)$ has property H. Also, $\left(A_{0} \otimes D\right)^{\prime} \cap M \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})=A \otimes D$ and by 4.6.1 ${ }^{\circ}, A_{0} \otimes D \subset M \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a rigid embedding.

If we now take $p \in A_{0} \otimes D$ to be a projection of $\operatorname{trace} \tau(p)=t / n$, then by 2.4. $1^{\circ}$ and 4.7.2 ${ }^{\circ}$, it follows that $A_{0}^{t}=\left(A_{0} \otimes D\right) p \subset M^{t}=p M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) p$ is rigid and $M^{t}$ has property H relative to $A^{t}$. Thus, $M^{t} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. In case $A_{0}=A$, then $A_{0}^{t}=A^{t}$, so that $M^{t}$ is in $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$.
$2^{\circ}$. This follows trivially by application of $2.3 .2^{\circ}$ and $4.6 .1^{\circ}$, once we notice that if $A_{i} \subset M_{i}$ are maximal abelian $*$-subalgebras and $A_{0}^{i} \subset A_{i}$ satisfy $\left(A_{o}^{i}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{i}=A_{i}$, then $\left(A_{0}^{1} \bar{\otimes} A_{0}^{2}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2}=A_{1} \bar{\otimes} A_{2}$.
$3^{\circ}$. Let $A \subset M$ be a fixed $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebra of $M$. By 4.5.2 ${ }^{\circ}$, it follows that there exist a finite subset $F$ in the unit ball of $M$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that if a subfactor $N_{0} \subset M$ satisfies $F \subset_{\varepsilon} N_{0}$ and $N_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$ then $N_{0}$ contains a unitary conjugate $A_{0}=u A u^{*}$ of $A$ with $A_{0} \subset N_{0}$ rigid and Cartan. Moreover, $N_{0}$ has property H relative to $A_{0}$ by $2.3 .3^{\circ}$ (since $M$ has property H relative to $A_{0}$ ). Thus, $A_{0} \subset N_{0}$ is $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan, proving the statement in the case of subfactors with trivial relative commutant.

To prove the general case, note first that by Step 1 in the proof of A.2, for the above given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta_{0}>0$, with $\delta_{0} \leq \varepsilon / 4$, such that if $N \subset M$ is a subfactor with $A \subset_{\delta_{0}} N$ then there exist projections $p \in A, q \in N$, a unital isomorphism $\theta: A p \rightarrow q N q$ and a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $\tau(p) \geq 1-\varepsilon / 4, v^{*} v=p, v v^{*}=q q^{\prime}$, for some projection $q^{\prime} \in \theta(A p)^{\prime} \cap q M q$, and $v a=\theta(a) v, \forall a \in A p$.

Since $A p$ is maximal abelian in $p M p$, by spatiality it follows that $\theta(A p) q^{\prime}$ is maximal abelian in $q^{\prime} q M q^{\prime} q$. Thus, if $x \in \theta(A p)^{\prime} \cap q M q$ then $q^{\prime} x q^{\prime} \in$ $\theta(A p) q^{\prime} \simeq \theta(A p)$. Thus, there exists a unique normal conditional expectation $E$ of $\theta(A p)^{\prime} \cap q M q$ onto $\theta(A p)$ satisfying $q^{\prime} x q^{\prime}=E(x) q^{\prime}, \forall x \in \theta(A p)^{\prime} \cap q M q$.

Let $q_{0}^{\prime} \in N^{\prime} \cap M$ be the support projection of $E_{N^{\prime} \cap M}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $q_{0}^{\prime} \geq q^{\prime}$ and if $b \in q_{0}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) q_{0}^{\prime}$ is so that $q^{\prime} b=0$ then $b=0$. Since $E$ is implemented by $q^{\prime}, E$ is faithful on $q_{0}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) q_{0}^{\prime} q$, implying that if $b \in q_{0}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) q_{0}^{\prime} q$ and $a \in \theta(A p)$ are positive elements with $E(b) a=0$ then $b a=0$. But if $b a=0$ then $0=E_{N}(b a)=E_{N}(b) a=(\tau(b) / \tau(q)) a$ (because $b$ commutes with the factor $q N q)$. This shows that $E(b) \in \theta(A p)$ must have support equal to $q$ for any $b \in q_{0}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) q_{0}^{\prime} q$, with $b \geq 0, b \neq 0$. Thus, if $f$ is a nonzero projection in $q_{0}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) q_{0}^{\prime} q$ then $q^{\prime} f q^{\prime}=E(f) q^{\prime}$ has support $q^{\prime}$. This implies that any projection $f \neq 0$ in $q_{0}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) q_{0}^{\prime} q$ must have trace $\tau(f) \geq \tau\left(q^{\prime}\right) \geq 1-\varepsilon / 4$, showing that $N^{\prime} \cap M$ has an atom $q_{1}^{\prime}$ of trace $\tau\left(q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq 1-\varepsilon / 4$.

An easy calculation shows that if we denote by $\tilde{N} \subset M$ a unital subfactor with $q_{1}^{\prime} \in \tilde{N}$ and $q_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{N} q_{1}^{\prime}=N q_{1}^{\prime}$ (N.B.: $\tilde{N}$ is obtained by amplifying $N q_{1}^{\prime}$ by $\left.1 / \tau\left(q_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, then $F \subset_{\varepsilon} \tilde{N}$. Also, $\tilde{N}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$ by construction. Thus, by the first part of the proof, $\tilde{N} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$. Since $N$ is isomorphic to a reduction of $\tilde{N}$ by a projection, by part $1^{\circ}$ it follows that $N \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ as well.
6.7. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. If $A \subset M$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra then any automorphism of $M$ can be perturbed by an inner automorphism to an automorphism that leaves $A$ invariant; i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Aut} M / \operatorname{Int} M=\operatorname{Aut}(M, A) / \operatorname{Int}(M, A) .
$$

2. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{\text {s }}$ with $A \subset M$ its $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebra. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_{\text {нт }}(M)$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ generated by the inner automorphisms and by the automorphisms leaving all elements of $A$ fixed. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\text {HT }}(M)$ is an open-closed normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$, the quotient group

$$
\mathrm{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{Aut}(M) / \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M)
$$

is countable and is naturally isomorphic to the group of outer automorphisms of $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}, \operatorname{Out}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right) \xlongequal{\operatorname{def}} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right) / \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. If $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ then $\theta(A)$ is HT Cartan, so by Theorem 6.2 there exists a unitary element $u \in M$ such that $u \theta(A) u^{*}=A$.
$2^{\circ}$. This is trivial by 4.4.
6.8. Corollary. If $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ then any central sequence of $M$ is contained in the HT Cartan subalgebra of $M$. Thus, $M^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}$ is always abelian and $M$ is non- $\Gamma$ if and only if the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ is strongly ergodic. In particular, $M \not 千 M \bar{\otimes} R$.

Proof. If $A \subset M$ is the HT Cartan subalgebra of $M$ and $A_{0} \subset A$ is so that $A_{0} \subset M$ is rigid and $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=A$ then by 4.3.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ we have $M^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=$ $M^{\prime} \cap\left(A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M\right)^{\omega}=M^{\prime} \cap A^{\omega}$.
6.9. Examples. We now give a list of examples of HT inclusions of the form $B \subset B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ and of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ of the form $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$, based on the examples in $5.2,5.3 .2^{\circ}, 5.3 .3^{\circ}$. Note that if $B \subset B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is an HT inclusion then $\Gamma_{0}$ must have the property H (cf. 3.1), but that in Section 5 we were able to provide examples of inclusions $B \subset B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ satisfying the rigidity condition (6.1.2) only for certain property H groups $\Gamma_{0}$ and for certain actions of such groups (see Problem 6.12 below). Note also that by Theorem 6.2 if $M=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ is a property H group then $A=L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ is automatically the (unique) HT Cartan subalgebra of $M$; i.e., $A \subset M$ must satisfy the rigidity condition (6.1.2) as well.
6.9.1. Let $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), B_{0}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$, and $\sigma_{0}$ be the action of the group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $B_{0}$ induced by its action on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Then $B_{0} \subset M_{\alpha} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} B_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ inclusion with $M_{\alpha}$ a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor. In case $\alpha$ is not a root of 1 , this gives $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ inclusions $R=B_{0} \subset M_{\alpha}$ and when $\alpha$ is a $n^{\text {th }}$ primitive root of 1 , this gives $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ inclusions $B_{0} \subset M_{\alpha}$, with $B_{0}$ homogeneous of type $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ and diffuse center. Indeed, in all these examples the property (6.1.1) is satisfied by 3.2 , and property (6.1.2) is satisfied by 5.1. Moreover, by the isomorphism in $5.2 .1^{\circ}$, if $\alpha$ is a root of 1 then $M_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ and any maximal abelian subalgebra of $B_{0}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ is Cartan in $M_{\alpha}$.
6.9.1'. If we take the inclusion $A=L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \subset L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})=M$ from the previous example, which we regard as the group measure space construction $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, through the usual identification of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ with the dual of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and of $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \lambda\right)$ with $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$, and we "cut it in half" with a projection $p \in A$ of trace $1 / 2$, then we obtain the inclusion $(A p \subset p M p) \simeq$ $\left(L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}, \lambda\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}, \lambda\right) \rtimes \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$, where $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ is the 2 -sphere. Thus, by 6.9.1 and Theorem 6.6, it follows that $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}, \lambda\right) \rtimes \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$.
6.9.2. If $\mathbb{F}_{n} \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is an embedding with finite index and $\sigma_{0}$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ of the action $\sigma_{0}$ on $B_{0}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ considered in $1^{\circ}$, then $B_{0} \subset B_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \mathbb{F}_{n}$ is an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ inclusion, which in case $\alpha=1$ is an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebra. Also, if $p \in L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ has trace $(12(n-1))^{-1}$ then the inclusion $\left(L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) p \subset p\left(L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right) p\right)\right.$ is an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebra of the form $\left(A \subset A \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$. In all these cases, again, property (6.1.1) is satisfied by 3.2 , and property (6.1.2) is satisfied by $5.2 .2^{\circ}$.
6.9.3. If $\Gamma_{0}$ is an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1), \mathrm{SO}(n, 1), n \geq 2$, then there exist free weakly mixing trace-preserving actions $\sigma_{0}$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $A=L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ such that $A \subset M=A \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}$ is $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan (cf. 3.2 and 5.2.3 ${ }^{\circ}$ ).
6.9.4. If $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q}), A=L\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)$ and $M=L\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2} \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})\right)=A \rtimes$ $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$, then $A \subset M$ is HT Cartan but not $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan (cf. 3.2 and 5.3.2 ${ }^{\circ}$.
6.9.5. Let $\Gamma_{0}, \sigma_{0},\left(B_{0}, \tau\right)$ be as in 6.9.1, 6.9.2 or 6.9.3. Let $n \geq 1$ and $B=B_{0}^{\otimes n}, \sigma=\sigma_{0}^{\otimes n}$. Then $B \subset B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ inclusion (cf. 3.2, 3.3.3 and 5.2). Moreover, if $B_{0}=A_{0}$ is abelian, then $A_{0}^{\otimes n}=A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan.
6.9.6. Let $\Gamma_{0}, \sigma_{0},\left(B_{0}, \tau\right)$ be any of the actions considered above. Let $\sigma_{1}$ be an ergodic action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on a von Neumann algebra $B_{1} \simeq L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$. If $B=B_{0} \bar{\otimes} B_{1}$ and $M=B \rtimes_{\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1}} \Gamma_{0}$, then $B \subset M$ is an HT inclusion (cf. 3.2 and 5.2.4 ${ }^{\circ}$. In particular, if $B_{0}=A_{0}, B_{1}=A_{1}$ are abelian and $A=A_{0} \bar{\otimes} A_{1}$, then $A \subset M$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra. If $\sigma_{1}$ is taken to be a Bernoulli shift, then $A \subset M$ is not $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan. For any such group $\Gamma_{0}$ the action $\sigma_{1}$ can be taken nonstrongly ergodic by ([CW]). In this case, the resulting factor $M$ has the property $\Gamma$ of Murray and von Neumann, with $M^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=M^{\prime} \cap A^{\omega}$
abelian. Note that for each of the groups $\Gamma_{0}$ this gives three distinct HT Cartan subalgebras of the form $A \subset A \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ (cf. 5.3.3 ${ }^{\circ}$ ).
6.9.7. Let $\Gamma_{0}, \sigma_{0},\left(B_{0}, \tau\right)$ be any of the actions considered above (so that $B_{0} \subset B_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}$ is an HT inclusion). Let also $\Gamma_{1}$ be a property $H$ group and $\gamma$ an action of $\Gamma_{1}$ on $\Gamma_{0}$ such that $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \rtimes_{\gamma} \Gamma_{1}$ has property H (for instance, if $\Gamma_{1}$ is amenable or if $\gamma$ is the trivial action, giving $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \times \Gamma_{1}$ ). Let $\sigma$ denote the $\Gamma$-action $\sigma_{0} \rtimes \sigma_{1}$ on $B=\bar{\otimes}_{g \in \Gamma_{1}}\left(B_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)_{g}$ constructed in 3.3.3. Then $B \subset B \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma$ is an HT inclusion, which follows an HT Cartan subalgebra in case $B_{0}$ is abelian (cf. 3.1, 3.3.3, and the definitions).
6.10. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. If $M$ is a $M c D u f f$ factor, i.e., $M \simeq M \bar{\otimes} R$, then $M \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. In particular, $R \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $M$ contains a relatively rigid type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ von Neumann subalgebra then $M \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. In particular, if $M$ contains $L(G)$ for some infinite property T group $G$, or if $M$ contains a property T factor, then $M \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $M$ contains a copy of some $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{1}$, with $\Gamma_{1}$ a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha$ an irrational rotation, then $M \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.
$4^{\circ}$. If $M$ has property $\mathrm{H}\left(\right.$ e.g., $M \simeq L\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$ for some $\left.2 \leq n \leq \infty\right)$ then $M \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. In fact such factors do not even contain subfactors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$ is trivial by $6.8,2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$ are clear by $5.4 .2^{\circ}$ and $4^{\circ}$ follows from 5.4.1 ${ }^{\circ}$.
6.11. Definition. A countable discrete group $\Gamma_{0}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{T}\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathrm{H}_{T_{s}}$ ) group if there exists a free ergodic measure-preserving action $\sigma_{0}$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ on the standard probability space $(X, \mu)$ such that $L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \subset L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}$ is an HT (resp. $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ ) Cartan subalgebra. Note that an $\mathrm{H}_{T}$ group has property H but is not amenable.
6.12. Problems. $1^{\circ}$. Characterize the class of all $\mathrm{H}_{T}$ (resp. $\mathrm{H}_{T_{s}}$ ) groups.
$2^{\circ}$. Construct examples of free ergodic measure-preserving actions $\sigma$ of $\Gamma_{0}=\mathbb{F}_{n}$ (or of any other noninner amenable property H group $\Gamma_{0}$ ) on $A=$ $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ such that $A \subset M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is not HT Cartan. Is this the case if $\sigma$ is a Bernoulli shift?
6.13. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{F}_{n}, n \geq 2$, as well as any arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1), n \geq 2$, are $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}_{s}}$ groups.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$ be an inclusion of groups with $\left[\Gamma_{0}: \Gamma\right]<\infty$. Then $\Gamma_{0}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}_{s}}$ ) group if and only if $\Gamma$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}_{s}}$ ) group.
$3^{\circ}$. If $\Gamma_{0}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ group and $\Gamma_{1}$ has the property H (for instance, if $\Gamma_{1}$ is amenable) then $\Gamma_{0} \times \Gamma_{1}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ group.
$4^{\circ}$. If $\Gamma_{0}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ group and $\Gamma_{1}$ is amenable and acts on $\Gamma_{0}$ then $\Gamma_{0} \rtimes \Gamma_{1}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ group.

Proof. Part $1^{\circ}$ follows from 6.9.1 ${ }^{\circ}-3^{\circ}$, while parts $3^{\circ}$ and $4^{\circ}$ follow from 6.9.7.

To prove $2^{\circ}$ note first that by 3.1 and $2.3 .3^{\circ}, \Gamma_{0}$ has the property $H$ if and only if $\Gamma$ has the property H (this result can be easily proved directly, see e.g. [CCJJV]).

If $\Gamma_{0}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{T}$ group and $A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is HT Cartan and $A_{0} \subset A$ is so that $A_{0} \subset M$ is rigid and $A_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=A$ then $A_{0} \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma$ is also rigid, by 4.6.2 ${ }^{\circ}$. Moreover, the fixed point algebra $A^{\Gamma}$ is atomic (because $\left[\Gamma_{0}: \Gamma\right]<\infty$ ), so if $p$ is any minimal projection in $A^{\Gamma}$ then $p\left(A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma\right) p$ is a factor and $A p \subset p\left(A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma\right) p$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra. Thus, $\Gamma$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{T}$ group.

Conversely, if $\Gamma$ is an $H_{T}$ group, then let $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma$ be a subgroup of finite index so that $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$ is normal. By the first part, $\Gamma_{1}$ is an $H_{T}$ group. By part $4^{\circ}$, it follows that $\Gamma_{0}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{T}$ group.

## 7. Subfactors of an $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ factor

In this section we prove that the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is closed under extensions and restrictions of finite Jones index. More than that, we show that the lattice of subfactors of finite index of a factor in the class $\mathcal{H \mathcal { T }}$ is extremely rigid.
7.1. Lemma. Let $N \subset M$ be an irreducible inclusion of factors with $[M: N]<\infty$ and $A \subset N$ a Cartan subalgebra of $N$. Denote by $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_{N}(A)$ the normalizer of $A$ in $N$. Then
$1^{\circ}$. $A^{\prime} \cap M$ is a homogeneous type $\mathrm{I}_{m}$ algebra, for some $1 \leq m<\infty$, and if $A_{1}=\mathcal{Z}\left(A^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ then there exists a partition of the identity $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{P}\left(A_{1}\right)$ such that $A_{1}=\Sigma_{i} A q_{i}$ and $E_{N}\left(q_{i}\right)=E_{A}\left(q_{i}\right)=1 / n, \forall i$.
$2^{\circ} . \mathcal{N}$ normalizes $A_{1}$ and $Q \xlongequal{\text { def }} \operatorname{sp} A_{1} N=\overline{\operatorname{sp}} A_{1} \mathcal{N}$ is a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor containing $N$, with $[Q: N]=n$. Moreover, $A_{1} \subset Q$ is a Cartan subalgebra and the following is a nondegenerate commuting square:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
N & \subset & Q \\
\cup & & \cup \\
A & \subset & A_{1} .
\end{array}
$$

$3^{\circ} . \mathcal{N}$ normalizes $A^{\prime} \cap M=A_{1}^{\prime} \cap M \simeq M_{m \times m}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $P \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{sp}\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \cap M\right) N=$ $\overline{\operatorname{sp}}\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \cap M\right) \mathcal{N}$ is a type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor containing $Q$, with $[P: Q]=m^{2}$. Moreover, the following is a nondegenerate commuting square

$4^{\circ}$. Any maximal abelian *-subalgebra $A_{2}$ of $A^{\prime} \cap M=A_{1}^{\prime} \cap M$ is a Cartan subalgebra in $P$, with $A_{2} p \subset p P p$ implementing the same equivalence relation as $A_{1} \subset Q, \forall p \in \mathcal{P}\left(A_{2}\right), \tau(p)=1 / m$; i.e., $\mathcal{R}_{A_{2} p \subset p P p} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{A_{1} \subset Q}$ (equivalently,
$\left.\mathcal{R}_{A_{2} \subset P} \simeq\left(\mathcal{R}_{A_{1} \subset Q}\right)^{m}\right)$, but with the two Cartan subalgebras possibly differing by their 2-cocycles.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N}$ normalizes $A$, it also normalizes $A^{\prime} \cap M$, and thus $\mathcal{Z}\left(A^{\prime} \cap M\right)=A_{1}$ as well. In particular, $A_{1} \mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N} A_{1}$ and $\left(A^{\prime} \cap M\right) \mathcal{N}=$ $\mathcal{N}\left(A^{\prime} \cap M\right)$, showing that $\operatorname{sp} A_{1} \mathcal{N}$ and $\operatorname{sp}\left(A^{\prime} \cap M\right) \mathcal{N}$ are $*$-algebras. Since $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \cap M=N^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$, this implies that $Q, P$ are factors. In particular, this shows that the squares of inclusions in $2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$ are commuting and nondegenerate. Also, by definitions, $A_{1}$ is Cartan in $Q$.

Since $N \subset Q$ is a $\lambda$-Markov inclusion, for $\lambda^{-1}=[Q: N]$ (see e.g., $[\mathrm{Po} 2]$ for the definition), it follows that $A \subset A_{1}$, with the trace $\tau$ inherited from $M$, is $\lambda$-Markov. Thus, $e=e_{N}^{Q}$ implements the conditional expectation $E_{A}^{A_{1}}$ and $A_{1} \subset B=\left\langle A_{1}, A\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle$ gives the basic construction for $A \subset A_{1}$. Moreover, since $A, A_{1}$ are abelian, it follows that $\mathcal{Z}(B)=A=J_{A_{1}} A J_{A_{1}}$ and that

$$
A_{1}^{\prime} \cap B=J_{A_{1}} A_{1} J_{A_{1}} \cap\left(J_{A_{1}} A J_{A_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=J_{A_{1}}\left(A_{1} \cap A^{\prime}\right) J_{A_{1}}=J_{A_{1}} A_{1} J_{A_{1}}=A_{1} .
$$

Thus, $A_{1}$ is maximal abelian in $B$, implying that the Markov expectation of $B$ onto $A_{1}$ given by $E(x e y)=\lambda x y$, for $x, y \in A_{1}$, is the unique expectation of $B$ onto $A_{1}$.

Also, for each $u \in \mathcal{N}, \operatorname{Ad} u$ acts on $A \subset A_{1} \tau$-preservingly. Thus, $\operatorname{Ad} u$ extends uniquely to an automorphism $\theta_{u}$ on $B=\left\langle A_{1}, e_{A}^{A_{1}}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{1}, e_{N}^{Q}\right\rangle$ by $\theta_{u}\left(e_{A}^{A_{1}}\right)=e_{A}^{A_{1}}$. This automorphism leaves invariant the Markov trace on $B$. Also, since $\theta_{u}, u \in \mathcal{N}$, act ergodically on $A=\mathcal{Z}(B)$, it follows that $B$ is homogeneous of type $\mathrm{I}_{n}$, for some $n$. By [K2], it follows that there exists a matrix units system $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ in $B$ such that $B=A \vee \operatorname{sp}\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{i, j}$ with $A_{1}=\Sigma_{i} A e_{i i}$.

By the uniqueness of the conditional expectation $E$ of $B$ onto $A_{1}$, if we put $q_{i}=e_{i i}$ then $E(X)=\Sigma_{i} q_{i} X q_{i}, \forall X \in B$. In particular, the index of $A_{1} \subset B$ is given by $\lambda^{-1}=n=\tau(e)^{-1}$ and by the Markov property we have $1 / n=E(e)=\Sigma_{i} q_{i} e q_{i}$. Thus, $q_{i} e q_{i}=n^{-1} q_{i}$, and so $e q_{i} e=n^{-1} e=E\left(q_{i}\right) e$ as well, since $\tau(e)=\tau\left(q_{i}\right)$. This ends the proof of $1^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$.

Now, since $A_{1}$ is the center of $B_{1}=A^{\prime} \cap M=A_{1}^{\prime} \cap M$ and $\operatorname{Ad} u, u \in \mathcal{N}$, act ergodically on $A_{1}$, it also follows that $B_{1}$ is homogeneous of type $\mathrm{I}_{m}$, for some $m \geq 1$. This clearly implies $3^{\circ}$.

To prove $4^{\circ}$, let $\left\{f_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \subset B_{1}$ be a matrix units system in $B_{1}$ such that $A_{2}=\Sigma_{j} A_{1} f_{j j}$ and $B_{1}=\Sigma_{i, j} A_{1} f_{i j}$ (cf. [K2]). $A_{2}$ is Cartan in $P$ because by construction $f_{i j}$ are in the normalizing pseudogroup of $A_{2}$ in $P$.

For each $u \in \mathcal{N}$ let $v(u)$ be a unitary element in $B_{1}$ such that

$$
v(u)\left(u f_{j j} u^{*}\right) v(u)^{*}=f_{j j}, \quad \forall j
$$

(this is possible because $u f_{j j} u^{*}$ and $f_{j j}$ have the same central trace $1 / m$ in $\left.B_{1}\right)$. Since $v(u)$ commute with $A_{1}=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{1}\right), \forall u \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows that
$A_{1} f_{11}$ with the action implemented on it by $\{v(u) u \mid u \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}$ with the action implemented on it by $\mathcal{N}$. Thus, the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{A_{1} f_{11} \subset f_{11} M f_{11}}$ is the same as the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{A_{1} \subset Q}$, but with the 2-cocycle coming from the multiplication between the unitaries $v(u) u, u \in \mathcal{N}$ (for $A_{1} f_{11} \subset f_{11} M f_{11}$ ) possibly differing from the 2 -cocycle given by the multiplication of the corresponding $u \in \mathcal{N}$ (for $A_{1} \subset Q$ ).
7.2. Lemma. $1^{\circ}$. Let $A^{1} \subset M_{1}$ be a maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra in the type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $M_{1}$. If there exists a von Neumann subalgebra $A^{0} \subset A^{1}$ such that $A^{0} \subset M_{1}$ is rigid and $A^{1} \subset A^{0^{\prime}} \cap M_{1}$ has finite index (in the sense of $[\mathrm{PiPo}])$, then $A^{1}$ contains a von Neumann subalgebra $A_{0}^{1}$ such that $A_{0}^{1} \subset M_{1}$ is rigid and $A_{0}^{1^{\prime}} \cap M_{1}=A^{1}$.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $M_{0} \subset M_{1}$ be a subfactor of finite index with an HT (resp. HT ${ }_{s}$ ) Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M_{0}$. If $A^{1} \subset M_{1}$ is a maximal abelian *-subalgebra of $M_{1}$ such that $A^{1} \supset A$ and $M_{1}$ has property H relative to $A^{1}$ then $A^{1} \subset M_{1}$ is an HT (resp. $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ ) Cartan subalgebra.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Since $A^{1} \subset A^{0^{\prime}} \cap M_{1}$ has finite index, it follows that $A^{0^{\prime}} \cap M_{1}$ is a type $\mathrm{I}_{\text {fin }}$ von Neumann algebra and $A^{1}$ is maximal abelian in it (see e.g., [Po7]). It follows that there exists a finite partition of the identity with projections $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k}$ in $A^{1}$ such that $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k}^{\prime} \cap A^{0^{\prime}} \cap M_{1} \subset A^{1}$. Thus, if we let $A_{0}^{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Sigma_{k} A^{0} f_{k}$, then $A_{0}^{1^{\prime}} \cap M_{1} \subset A^{1}$. By 4.6.3 it follows that $A_{0} \subset M_{1}$ is a rigid embedding.
$2^{\circ}$. This is an immediate application of $1^{\circ}$, once we notice that if $A^{0} \subset A$ is so that $A^{0} \subset M_{0}$ is rigid and $A^{0^{\prime}} \cap M_{0}=A$ then $A \subset A^{0^{\prime}} \cap M_{1}$ has index majorized by $\left[M_{1}: M_{0}\right]$, implying that $A^{1} \subset A^{0^{\prime}} \cap M_{1}$ has finite index as well.
7.3. Theorem. Let $N \subset M$ be an inclusion of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors with $[M: N]<\infty$. Then
$1^{\circ}$. $N \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $N \in \mathcal{H}_{s}$ ) if and only if $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ ).
$2^{\circ}$. Assume $N^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$ and $N, M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. If $Q, P \subset M$ are the intermediate subfactors constructed out of an HT Cartan subalgebra of $N$, as in 7.1, then $Q, P \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and the triple inclusion $N \subset Q \subset P \subset M$ is canonical. Moreover, the HT Cartan subalgebra of $P$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra in $M$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $N \subset M$ is an irreducible subfactor then $[M: N]$ is an integer. Moreover, the canonical weights of the graph $\Gamma_{N, M}$ of $N \subset M$ are integers.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Since the algebra $\langle M, N\rangle$ in the basic construction $N \subset M \subset$ $\langle M, N\rangle$ is an amplification of $N$, by Theorem 6.6 it follows that it is sufficient to prove that if $N \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $N \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ ) then $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ ). By $6.6 .1^{\circ}$, it is in fact sufficient to prove this implication in the case $N^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$.

Let $A \subset N$ be an HT Cartan subalgebra and $A_{1}=\mathcal{Z}\left(A^{\prime} \cap M\right) \subset Q$ be constructed out of $A \subset N$ as in Lemma 7.1. We begin by showing that $A_{1} \subset Q$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra. Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} \in A_{1} \subset Q$ be so that $A_{1}=\Sigma_{i} A q_{i}, E_{N}\left(q_{i}\right)=E_{A}\left(q_{i}\right)=1 / n$, as in Lemma 7.1. By the last part of 2.3.3 ${ }^{\circ}$, it follows that $Q$ has property H relative to $A$. But by the last part of 2.3.4 ${ }^{\circ}$ this implies $Q$ has property H relative to $A_{1}$. Also, $A_{1} \subset Q$ satisfies the conditions in part $2^{\circ}$ of Lemma 7.2, implying that it is HT Cartan.

Next we prove that if $A_{2}$ is constructed as in part $3^{\circ}$ of Lemma 7.1, then $A_{2} \subset P$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra. Let $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \subset A_{1}^{\prime} \cap M$ be a matrix units system which together with $A_{1}$ generates $A_{1}^{\prime} \cap M$ and such that $A_{2}=\Sigma_{j} A_{1} e_{j j}$. Since $P$ has an orthonormal basis made up of unitary elements commuting with $A_{1}$, by the last part of $2.3 .3^{\circ}$ it follows that $P$ has property H relative to $A_{1}$. By applying the last part of $2.3 .4^{\circ}$, we see that $P$ has property H relative to $A_{2}$. Then 7.2.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ applies and we deduce that $A_{2} \subset P$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra, which is even $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ when $A \subset N\left(\right.$ and thus $\left.A_{1} \subset Q\right)$ is $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$.

Having proved that $A_{2} \subset P$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra, we now prove that $A_{2}$ is HT Cartan in $M$ as well. Since $A_{2}$ is maximal abelian in $M, 7.2 .2^{\circ}$ shows that it is sufficient to prove that $M$ has property H relative to $A_{2}$. To do this, we prove that if $A_{3}$ is any maximal abelian subalgebra in $A_{2}^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$, where $M_{1}=\langle M, P\rangle$, then $A_{3} \subset M_{1}$ is HT Cartan. This would finish the proof, because by the first part of $2.3 .4^{\circ} M_{1}$ would have the property H relative to $A_{2}$, and then by the first part of $2.3 .3^{\circ}$ this would imply $M$ has the property H relative to $A_{2}$.

Since $M_{1}$ is an amplification of $P \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, by Theorem 6.6 it follows that $M_{1}$, as well as any reductions of $M_{1}$ by projections in $M_{1}$, belong to $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ be the normalizer of $A_{2}$ in $P$. Since $A_{2}$ is regular in $P, \mathcal{N}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=P$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1}^{\prime} \cap M_{1}=P^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$. Let $\left\{p_{t}^{\prime}\right\}_{t}$ be a partition of the identity with minimal projections in $P^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$. For each $t$, the inclusion $A_{2} p_{t}^{\prime} \subset P p_{t}^{\prime} \subset p_{t}^{\prime} M_{1} p_{t}^{\prime}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 7.1. Thus, if $A_{2}^{t}$ is a maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra of $\left(A_{2} p_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \cap p_{t}^{\prime} M_{1} p_{t}^{\prime}$, then $A_{2} p_{t}^{\prime}$ is included in $A_{2}^{t}$ and by 7.1.4,$A_{2}^{t}$ is semiregular in $p_{t}^{\prime} M_{1} p_{t}^{\prime}$. In addition, by $7.2 .1^{\circ}$ it follows that $A_{2}^{t}$ contains a von Neumann subalgebra $A_{0}^{t}$ with $A_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \cap p_{t}^{\prime} M_{1} p_{t}^{\prime}=A_{2}^{t}$ and $A_{0}^{t} \subset p_{t}^{\prime} M_{1} p_{t}^{\prime}$ rigid. Since $p_{t}^{\prime} M_{1} p_{t}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, by Theorem 6.2 it follows that $A_{2}^{t} \subset p_{t}^{\prime} M_{1} p_{t}^{\prime}$ is HT Cartan. Moreover, $M_{1} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ implies $A_{3}=\Sigma_{t} A_{2}^{t}$ is HT Cartan in $M_{1}$, while clearly $A_{2} \subset A_{3}$, by construction.
$2^{\circ}$. The triple inclusion ( $N \subset Q \subset P \subset M$ ) depends on the choice of the Cartan subalgebra $A \subset N$. But such $A$ is unique up to conjugacy by unitaries in $N$, which leave $Q$ and $P$ fixed. The fact that the HT Cartan subalgebra of $P$ is HT Cartan in $M$ was proved in part $1^{\circ}$.
$3^{\circ}$. With the notation in $1^{\circ}$, we have $[M: N]=n m^{2}[M: P]$, with $[M: P]$ being itself an integer, since $P$ contains a Cartan subalgebra of $M$ (see e.g., [Po8]).

The weights $v_{k}$ of $\Gamma=\Gamma_{N, M}$ are square roots of indices of irreducible subfactors appearing in the Jones tower for $N \subset M$. Thus, $v_{k}$ are square roots of integers. Since $v_{*}=1,[M: N] \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Gamma$ is irreducible and has nonnegative integral entries, by the relations coming from $\Gamma \Gamma^{t} \vec{v}=[M: N] \vec{v}$, it follows recursively that all $v_{k}$ must be integers.
7.4. Definitions. Let $N \subset M$ be an irreducible inclusion of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ with $[M: N]<\infty$ and let $N \subset Q \subset P \subset M$ be the canonical triple inclusion defined in part $2^{\circ}$ of Theorem 7.3.
7.4.1. $N \subset Q \subset P \subset M$ is called the canonical decomposition of $N \subset M$.
7.4.2. If $M=Q$, i.e., if the HT Cartan subalgebra $A$ of $N$ is so that $A^{\prime} \cap M$ is abelian (thus HT Cartan in $M$ ) and $M=\operatorname{sp} A N=M$, then $N \subset M$ is a type $C_{-}$inclusion (or subfactor). If $N=P$, i.e., if $A^{\prime} \cap M=A$ (so that $A$ is Cartan in both $N$ and $M$ ) then $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{+}$. If $P=Q$, i.e., if $A^{\prime} \cap M$ is abelian, then $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{ \pm}$.
7.4.3. If $N=Q, P=M$ then $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{0}$. More generally, an extremal inclusion $N \subset M$ of factors in the class $\mathcal{H T}$ is of type $C_{0}$ if the HT Cartan subalgebra $A$ of $N$ satisfies $A^{\prime} \cap M=A \vee P_{0}$, with $P_{0} \simeq M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C}), m=$ $[M: N]^{1 / 2}$, and $M=\operatorname{sp}\left(A^{\prime} \cap M\right) N=\operatorname{sp} P_{0} N$.
7.5. Theorem. $1^{\circ}$. Let $N \subset M$ be an irreducible inclusion of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, with $[M: N]<\infty . N \subset M$ is of type $C_{-}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.C_{+}, C_{ \pm}, C_{0}\right)$ if and only if its dual inclusion $M \subset\langle M, N\rangle$ is of type $C_{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.C_{-}, C_{ \pm}, C_{0}\right)$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $N \subset M$ and $M \subset L$ are irreducible inclusions of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ with finite index and both of type $C_{-}$(resp. $C_{+}$), then $N \subset L$ is an irreducible inclusion of type $C_{-}$(resp. $C_{+}$).
$3^{\circ}$. If $N \subset M$ and $M \subset L$ are extremal inclusions of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, both of type $C_{0}$, then $N \subset L$ is of type $C_{0}$ and so are all subfactors of the form $N p \subset p L p$, with $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(N^{\prime} \cap L\right)$.
$4^{\circ}$. Let $N \subset M$ and $M \subset L$ be irreducible inclusions of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ with finite index and such that $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{+}$and $M \subset L$ is of type $C_{-}$. If $A \subset N$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra then $A^{\prime} \cap L$ is abelian and each irreducible inclusion $N p \subset p L p$ for $p$ minimal projection in $N^{\prime} \cap L$ is of type $C_{ \pm}$. In particular this is the case if $(M \subset L)=(M \subset\langle M, N\rangle)$.
$5^{\circ}$. Let $N \subset M$ be an inclusion of factors in the class $\mathcal{H T}$ with $[M: N]<\infty$. If $N \subset M$ is either irreducible of type $C_{-}$or extremal of type $C_{0}$ then $N \subset\langle M, N\rangle$ is a type $C_{0}$ inclusion, and so are all subfactors of the form $N p \subset p\langle M, N\rangle p$, for $p$ projection in $N^{\prime} \cap\langle M, N\rangle$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. Let $A \subset N$ be an HT Cartan subalgebra of $N$. If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{-}$then let $A^{\prime} \cap M=\Sigma_{i} A q_{i}$, where $\left\{q_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \subset A^{\prime} \cap M$ is a partition
of the identity with projections satisfying $E_{N}\left(q_{i}\right)=1 / n, \forall i$. Let $\alpha=e^{2 \pi i / n}$ and denote $u=n \Sigma_{i} q_{i} e_{N} q_{i+1}$. We clearly have $[u, A]=0, u q_{i} u^{*}=q_{i+1}$ and $E_{N}\left(u^{j}\right)=0, \forall j \leq n-1$. Thus, the HT Cartan subalgebra $A_{1}=A^{\prime} \cap M$ of $M$ is maximal abelian in $\langle M, N\rangle$ and is normalized by $u^{j}$, with $\langle M, N\rangle=\Sigma_{j} u^{j} M$; i.e., $A_{1}$ is the HT Cartan subalgebra in $\langle M, N\rangle$ as well, showing that $M \subset$ $\langle M, N\rangle$ is of type $C_{+}$.

If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{+}, A \subset N \subset M$ is HT Cartan in both factors and $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(A)$ are unitary elements such that $M=\Sigma_{i} u_{i} N$ and $E_{N}\left(u_{i}^{*} u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ then $q_{j}=u_{j} e_{N} u_{j}^{*}$ is a partition of the identity with projections in $\langle M, N\rangle$ and we have $A^{\prime} \cap\langle M, N\rangle=\Sigma_{j} q_{j} A,\langle M, N\rangle=\Sigma_{j} q_{j} M$. Thus, $M \subset$ $\langle M, N\rangle$ is of type $C_{-}$.

If $N \subset P \subset M$ is so that $N \subset P$ is $C_{-}, P \subset M$ is $C_{+}$then we have the irreducible inclusions $M \subset\langle M, P\rangle$, which is $C_{-}$, and $\langle M, P\rangle \subset\langle M, N\rangle$, which is an amplification of $P \subset\langle P, N\rangle$, thus of type $C_{+}$. This shows that $M \subset\langle M, N\rangle$ is $C_{ \pm}$.

If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{0}$ and $A \subset N$ is an HT Cartan subalgebra with $A^{\prime} \cap M=\Sigma_{i, j} e_{i j} A$ for some matrix units system $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \subset A^{\prime} \cap M$, then denote $e_{i j}^{\prime}=m \Sigma_{k} e_{k i} e_{N} e_{j k}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m$. It is immediate to show that $\left\{e_{i j}^{\prime}\right\}_{i, j}$ is a matrix units system which commutes with $A$ and with $\left\{e_{k l}\right\}_{k, l}$, that $\left\{e_{i j}^{\prime}\right\}_{i, j}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\langle M, N\rangle$ over $M$ and that $\left\{e_{i j}^{\prime} e_{k l}\right\}_{i, j, k, l}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\langle M, N\rangle$ over $N$. It follows that $A^{\prime} \cap\langle M, N\rangle=\operatorname{sp}\left\{e_{i j}^{\prime} e_{k l}\right\}_{i, j, k, l} A$. Thus, if $A_{2} \subset A^{\prime} \cap M$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra, then $A_{2}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, N\rangle=\Sigma_{i, j} e_{i j}^{\prime} A_{2}$. This shows that $M \subset\langle M, N\rangle$ is of type $C_{0}$.
$2^{\circ}$. By duality in the Jones tower $([\mathrm{PiPo}])$ and part $1^{\circ}$, it is sufficient to prove that if $N \subset M, M \subset L$ are of type $C_{+}$then so is $N \subset L$. But this is trivial, since if $A \subset N$ is HT Cartan in $N$ then it first follows that $N$ is Cartan in $M$, then in $L$.
$3^{\circ}$. Let $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \subset A^{\prime} \cap M$ be a matrix units system such that $A^{\prime} \cap M=$ $\Sigma_{i, j} e_{i j} A$, as in the proof of the last part of $1^{\circ}$ (thus, $[M: N]=m^{2}$ ). Let $A_{2}=\Sigma_{j} e_{j j} A$, which is HT Cartan in $M$. Let $\left\{f_{k l}^{\prime}\right\}_{1 \leq k, l \leq m^{\prime}} \subset A_{2}^{\prime} \cap L$ be a matrix units system such that $A_{2}^{\prime} \cap L=\Sigma_{k, l} f_{k l}^{\prime} A_{2}$, with $m^{\prime 2}=[L: M]$. Then $\left\{f_{t s}\right\}_{t, s}=\left\{e_{i 1} f_{k l}^{\prime} e_{1 j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq m, 1 \leq k, l \leq m^{\prime}\right\}$ is a matrix units system in $A^{\prime} \cap L$ and if we denote $P_{0} \simeq M_{m m^{\prime} \times m m^{\prime}}(\mathbb{C})$ the algebra it generates, then clearly $E_{N}\left(f_{s t}\right)=\delta_{s t} / m m^{\prime}$. Since $[L: N]=\left(m m^{\prime}\right)^{2}$, and since we have the commuting square

as well as

with $A \vee P_{0} \subset A^{\prime} \cap L$ and with $P_{0}$ containing an orthonormal system of $L$ over $N$ made up of $m m^{\prime}$ elements, it follows that $A \vee P_{0}=A^{\prime} \cap L$, thus showing that $N \subset L$ is of type $C_{0}$.

Finally, if $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(N^{\prime} \cap L\right)$ then in particular $p \in A \vee P_{0}$. By the above commuting squares, we have $E_{A}(p)=E_{N}(p)=\tau(p) 1$. But $A=\mathcal{Z}\left(A \vee P_{0}\right)$, implying that $p$ has scalar central trace in $A \vee P_{0}$. Thus, $(A p)^{\prime} \cap p L p=$ $p\left(A \vee P_{0}\right) p$ is homogeneous of type I. Since we also have $p L p=p\left(\operatorname{sp} P_{0} N\right) p=$ $p\left(\operatorname{sp} P_{0}\right) p N p$, this shows that $N p \subset p L p$ is of type $\mathrm{C}_{0}$.
$4^{\circ}$. Let $A \subset N$ be the HT Cartan subalgebra of $N$, which is thus HT Cartan in $M$ as well. Thus $A_{1}=A^{\prime} \cap L$ is abelian with $L=\operatorname{sp} A_{1} M$. Since any irreducible projection $p \in N^{\prime} \cap L$ lies in $A_{1}$, by cutting these relations with $p$ we obtain that $(A p)^{\prime} \cap p L p$ is abelian, which by Lemma 7.1 means that $N p \subset p L p$ has only type $C_{-}$and $C_{+}$components in its canonical decomposition.
$5^{\circ}$. This is immediate from the proofs in $1^{\circ}$ and the last part of $3^{\circ}$.
7.6. Examples. $1^{\circ}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be a property H group and $\sigma$ a free, weakly mixing measure-preserving action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on the probability space ( $X, \mu$ ) such that the Cartan subalgebra $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)=A \subset N=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ contains a von Neumann subalgebra $A_{1} \subset A$ with $A_{1}^{\prime} \cap N=A$ and $A_{1} \subset N$ rigid. Let $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$ be a subgroup of finite index and $\sigma_{0}$ the left action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\Gamma_{0} / \Gamma_{1}$. Let $A_{0}=\ell^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{0} / \Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $M=A \otimes A_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}$.

Then $N, M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and if we identify $N$ with the subfactor of $M$ generated by $A=A \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and by the canonical unitaries $\left\{u_{g}\right\}_{g} \subset M$ implementing the action $\sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}$ on $A \otimes A_{0}$, then $N \subset M$ is an irreducible type $C_{-}$inclusion. Moreover, if we denote $N_{1}=A \vee\left\{u_{g}\right\}_{g \in \Gamma_{1}} \simeq A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{1} \subset N$ then $N_{1} \subset N$ is a type $C_{+}$inclusion and $N_{1} \subset N \subset M$ is a basic construction.

We have $[M: N]=\left[N: N_{1}\right]=\left[\Gamma_{0}: \Gamma_{1}\right]$, the standard invariant of $N_{1} \subset N$ coincides with the standard invariant $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}}$ of $R \rtimes \Gamma_{1} \subset R \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$ studied in [KoYa] and the standard invariant of $N \subset M$ is the dual of $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}}$. In particular, $N_{1} \subset N \subset M$ are finite depth inclusions.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}, \sigma, A$ be as in example $1^{\circ}$ above and let $\pi_{0}$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible projective representation of $\Gamma_{0}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{0}$, with scalar 2-cocycle $v$. Let $B_{0}=\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\sigma_{0}(g)=\operatorname{Ad} \pi_{0}(g)$ be the action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on $B_{0}$ implemented by $\pi_{0}$. Denote $M=M_{\pi_{0}}=A \otimes B_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}} \Gamma_{0}$ and let $N$ be the subfactor of $M$ generated by $A \otimes 1=\mathcal{Z}\left(A \otimes B_{0}\right)$ and by the canonical unitaries $\left\{u_{g}\right\}_{g \in \Gamma_{0}} \subset M$ implementing the action $\sigma \otimes \sigma_{0}$. Thus, $N \simeq A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$, $M \simeq M_{n \times n}\left(A \rtimes_{\sigma, v} \Gamma_{0}\right)$ and both belong to the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.

Moreover, $N \subset M$ is an irreducible type $C_{0}$ inclusion and its standard invariant coincides with the standard invariant of the generalized Wassermanntype subfactor corresponding to the projective representation $\pi_{0}$, i.e.:

$3^{\circ}$. Let $\sigma$ be the action of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ implemented by the action of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, as in 5.2.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ and 6.9.1 ${ }^{\circ}$, for $\alpha$ a primitive root of 1 of order $n$. Let $M_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes_{\sigma} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), A=\mathcal{Z}\left(L_{\nu}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)\right)$ and $N=A \vee\left\{u_{g}\right\}_{g}$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by $A$ and the canonical unitaries in $M_{\alpha}$ implementing the action $\sigma$. Then $N, M_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ and $N \subset M_{\alpha}$ is an irreducible inclusion of type $C_{0}$ with $\left[M_{\alpha}: N\right]=n^{2}$. Indeed, we have already noticed in 6.9.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ that $N \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$, so that by 7.3 we have $M_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$. Also, by construction we have $A^{\prime} \cap M_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=A \otimes B_{0}$, with $B_{0} \simeq M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, and $M_{\alpha}=$ $\operatorname{sp} L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) N$.

One can show that $N \subset M_{\alpha}$ is isomorphic to a type $C_{0}$ inclusion $N \subset M_{\pi_{0}}$ as in example $2^{\circ}$, when taking $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, with $\sigma, \sigma_{0}$ the actions of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $A=\mathcal{Z}\left(L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)\right) \simeq L\left((n \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right), B_{0}=L_{\alpha}\left((\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right) \simeq M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. Note that the standard invariant ([Po3]) of $N \subset M_{\alpha}$ depends only on the order $n$ of $\alpha$, because if $\pi_{0}, \pi_{0}^{\prime}$ are representations corresponding to primitive roots $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ of order $n$ then there exists an automorphism $\gamma$ of the group $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ such that $\pi^{\prime}=\pi \circ \gamma$. But we do not know whether the isomorphism class of $N \subset M_{\alpha}$ depends only on $n$.

We now reformulate the results in Theorem 7.5 in terms of correspondences. For the definition of Connes' general $N-M$ correspondences (or $N-M$ Hilbert bimodules) $\mathcal{H}={ }_{N} \mathcal{H}_{M}$, of the adjoint $\overline{\mathcal{H}}={ }_{M} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{N}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, as well as for the definition of the composition $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{K}$ (also called tensor product, or fusion) of correspondences $\mathcal{H}={ }_{N} \mathcal{H}_{M}, \mathcal{K}={ }_{M} \mathcal{K}_{P}$ see [C7], [Po1], [Sa].
7.7. Definition. Let $N, M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be an $N-M$ correspondence, viewed as a Hilbert $N-M$ bimodule. Assume that $\operatorname{dim}_{N} \mathcal{K}_{M} \xlongequal{\text { def }} \operatorname{dim}_{N} \mathcal{K}$. $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}_{M}<\infty$ and that $\mathcal{K}$ is irreducible, i.e., $N \vee\left(M^{\text {op }}\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. We say that $\mathcal{K}$ is of type $C_{-}$(resp. $\left.C_{+}, C_{ \pm}, C_{0}\right)$ if the inclusion $N \subset\left(M^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\prime}$ is of type $C_{-}$ (resp. $C_{+}, C_{ \pm}, C_{0}$ ), in the sense of Definitions 7.4.

Finite index correspondences (resp. bimodules) between factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ will also be called HT correspondences (resp. HT bimodules).
7.8. Corollary. Let ${ }_{N} \mathcal{H}_{M, M} \mathcal{K}_{L}$ be irreducible HT bimodules.
$1^{\circ} . \mathcal{H}$ is of type $C_{-}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.C_{+}, C_{ \pm}, C_{0}\right)$ if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is of type $C_{+}$ (resp. $C_{-}, C_{ \pm}, C_{0}$ ).
$2^{\circ}$. If both $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ are of type $C_{-}\left(\right.$resp. $C_{+}$, resp. $\left.C_{0}\right)$ then $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{K}$ is irreducible of type $C_{-}$(resp. irred. $C_{+}$, resp. a sum of irreducible $C_{0}$ ). In particular, the class of HT bimodules (or correspondences) of type $C_{0}$ over an HT factor forms a selfadjoint tensor category.
$3^{\circ}$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $C_{+}$and $\mathcal{K}$ is of type $C_{-}$then $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{K}$ is a direct sum of irreducible type $C_{ \pm}$bimodules. Also, $\mathcal{K} \circ \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ is a direct sum of irreducible $C_{0}$ bimodules.

Proof. Part $1^{\circ}$ is a reformulation of $7.5 .1^{\circ}$, while $2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$ are direct consequences of $7.5 .2^{\circ}-5^{\circ}$.
7.9. Definition. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $\theta \in$ Aut $M$ be a periodic automorphism of $M$, with $\theta^{n}=\mathrm{id}$ and $\theta^{k}$ outer $\forall 0<k<n$. Then $\theta$ is of type $C_{-}$(resp. $C_{+}$) if the inclusion $M \subset M \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is of type $C_{-}$(resp. $C_{+}$). By the uniqueness of the HT Cartan subalgebra, this property is clearly a conjugacy invariant for $\theta$.
7.10. Corollary. The factor $N=L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ has two nonconjugate, period-two automorphisms, one of type $C_{-}$and one of type $C_{+}$.

Proof. In example 7.6.1 ${ }^{\circ}$, take $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}=\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ a subgroup of index 2 and $(X, \mu)=\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mu\right)$ with $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acting on it in the usual way. Then $N=$ $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ and the resulting type $C_{-}$inclusion $N \subset M$ given by the construction $7.6 .1^{\circ}$ is of index 2. Thus, by Goldman's theorem, it is given by a period 2 automorphism $\theta_{-}$, which is thus of type $C_{-}$. Alternatively, we can take $\theta_{-}$to be the automorphism given by the nontrivial character $\gamma$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\gamma^{2}=1$, defined by $\gamma(a)=-a, \gamma(b)=b$, on the generators $a, b$ of period 4 , resp. 6 of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and $\gamma\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=1$.

Now take $\theta_{+}$to be the automorphism of $N$ implemented by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, $N \subset M=N \rtimes_{\theta_{+}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ coincides with $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right) \subset$ $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$, and since $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts freely on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, it follows that $L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)^{\prime} \cap$ $M=L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$, so that $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{+}$.
7.11. Question. Let $N \simeq L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$. Is, then, any irreducible type $C_{-}, C_{+}$or $C_{0}$ inclusion of factors $N \subset M$ isomorphic to a "model" inclusion 7.6.1 ${ }^{\circ}-7.6 .2^{\circ}$ ?

## 8. Betti numbers for $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ factors

8.1. Definition. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ be the standard equivalence relation implemented by the normalizer of the HT Cartan subalgebra of $M$, as in Corollary 6.5. Let $\left\{\beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$, as defined by Gaboriau in [G2]. For each $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, we denote $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)$ and call it the $n^{\text {th }} \ell_{\mathrm{HT}}^{2}$-Betti number (or simply the $n^{\text {th }}$ Betti number) of $M$. By $6.5, \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ are isomorphism invariants for $M$.

From the results in Section 6 and the properties proved by Gaboriau for $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of standard equivalence relations, one immediately gets:
8.2. Corollary. $0^{\circ}$. If $M$ is of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ then $\beta_{0}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0$ and if $M=M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ then $\beta_{0}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=1 / n$.
$1^{\circ}$. If $A \subset M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ is a HT Cartan subalgebra, for some countable discrete group $\Gamma_{0}$ acting freely and ergodically on $A \simeq L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$, then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ is equal to the $n^{\text {th }} \ell^{2}$-Betti number of $\Gamma_{0}, \beta_{n}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$, as defined in $\left.[\mathrm{ChG}]\right)$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $t>0$ then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=\beta(M) / t, \forall n$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ then for each $n \geq 0$ the following Künneth-type formula holds:

$$
\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2}\right)=\sum_{i+j=n} \beta_{i}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{1}\right) \beta_{j}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{2}\right)
$$

where $0 \cdot \infty=0$ and $b \cdot \infty=\infty$ if $b \neq 0$.
$4^{\circ}$. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ and let $N_{k} \subset M, k \geq 1$, be an increasing sequence of subfactors with $N_{k} \uparrow M$ (so that $N_{k} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$, for $k$ large enough, by 6.8.3 ${ }^{\circ}$ ). Then $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(N_{k}\right) \geq \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$.

Proof. $0^{\circ}$. This is trivial by the definitions and [G2].
$1^{\circ}$. By 8.1, we have $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=\beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)$. But $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}=\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_{0}}$, and by Gaboriau's theorem the latter has Betti numbers $\beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)$ equal to the CheegerGromov $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers $\beta_{n}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ of the group $\Gamma_{0}$.
$2^{\circ}$. By Section 6 we know that the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ is closed under amplifications and tensor products. Moreover, by 1.4.3 the "amplification" by $t$ of a Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$ has a normalizer that gives rise to the standard equivalence relation $\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)^{t}$. Then formula $2^{\circ}$ is a consequence of Gaboriau's similar result for standard equivalence relations.

Part $3^{\circ}$ follows similarly, by taking into account that if $A_{1} \subset M_{1}, A_{2} \subset M_{2}$ are Cartan subalgebras then $\mathcal{N}\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathcal{N}\left(A_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}$.
$4^{\circ}$. By $6.8 .3^{\circ}$, there exists $k_{0}$ and an $\mathrm{HT}_{s}$ Cartan subalgebra $A$ of $M$ such that $A \subset N_{k}, \forall k \geq k_{0}$. Then the statement follows from Theorem 5.13 in [G2].
8.3. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. If $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ has at least one nonzero, finite Betti number then $\mathscr{F}(M)=\{1\}$ and in fact $M^{t_{1}} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \otimes M^{t_{n}}$ is isomorphic to $M^{s_{1}} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \otimes M^{s_{m}}$ if and only if $n=m$ and $t_{1} \ldots t_{n}=s_{1} \ldots s_{m}$. Equivalently, $\left\{M^{\bar{\otimes} m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ are stably nonisomorphic and all the tensor powers $M^{\bar{\otimes} m}$ have trivial fundamental group, $\mathscr{F}\left(M^{\bar{\otimes} m}\right)=\{1\}, \forall m \geq 1$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ and $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \neq 0$ or $\infty$, then $M$ is not the tensor product of two factors $M_{1}, M_{2}$ in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. More generally if $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ is the first nonzero finite Betti number for $M$, then $M^{\bar{\otimes} m}$ cannot be expressed as the tensor product of $k m+1$ or more factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. First note that if $M$ has $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ as first nonzero Betti number, then the formula $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) / t$ implies that $M \not \approx M^{t}$ if $t \neq 1$. Thus, $\mathscr{F}(M)=\{1\}$.

Also, by the Künneth formula $8.2 .2^{\circ}$, if $\beta_{n_{i}}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{i}\right)$ is the first nonzero finite Betti number for $M_{i} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}, i=1,2$, and we put $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$, then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2}\right)=\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{1}\right) \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{2}\right)$, is the first nonzero finite Betti number for $M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2}$.

Thus, $\beta_{k m}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{\bar{\otimes}} m\right)$ is the first nonzero finite Betti number for $M^{\bar{\otimes} m}, m \geq 1$, showing that $\left\{M^{\bar{\otimes} m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ are stably nonisomorphic.
$2^{\circ}$. This is trivial by the first part of the proof and the Künneth formula 8.2.2 ${ }^{\circ}$.
8.4. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. Let $N \subset M$ be an irreducible inclusion of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ with $[M: N]<\infty$. If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{-}$then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=$ $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N), \forall n$. If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{+}$then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=[M: N] \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N)$.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $N \subset M$ be an extremal inclusion of factors in the class $\mathcal{H T}$. If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{0}$ then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=[M: N]^{1 / 2} \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N), \forall n$.
$3^{\circ}$. If $N \subset Q \subset P \subset M$ is the canonical decomposition of an irreducible inclusion of factors $N \subset M$ in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(Q)=\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N), \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(P)=$ $[P: Q]^{1 / 2} \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N)$ and $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=[M: P] \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(P)$.
$4^{\circ}$. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}, N \subset M$ be a subfactor of finite index, $\left(\Gamma_{N, M},\left(v_{k}\right)_{k}\right)$ be the graph of $N \subset M$, with its standard weights. Let also $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{k}\right\}_{k}$ be the list of irreducible Hilbert $M$-bimodules appearing in some $L^{2}\left(M_{n}, \tau\right), n=0,1,2, \ldots$, with $\left\{M \subset M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)\right\}_{k}$ the corresponding irreducible inclusions of factors. If $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \neq 0$ or $\infty$ for some $n \geq 1$ then $v_{k}=\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)\right) / \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M), \forall k$. Thus,

$$
\Gamma_{N, M} \Gamma_{N, M}^{t}\left(\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)\right)\right)_{k}=[M: N]\left(\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)\right)\right)_{k} .
$$

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{+}$then $\mathcal{R}_{N}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ is a subequivalence relation of index $[M: N]$ in $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$, so that by [G2] we have

$$
\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=\beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)=[M: N] \beta_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)=[M: N] \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N) .
$$

If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{-}$then by part $1^{\circ}$ of Theorem $7.5, M \subset\langle M, N\rangle$ is of type $C_{+}$. Since $\langle M, N\rangle$ is the $[M: N]$-amplification of $N$, by the first part and by formula 8.2.2, we get:

$$
\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N)=[M: N]^{-1} \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(\langle M, N\rangle)=[M: N]^{-1}[M: N] \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) .
$$

$2^{\circ}$. If $N \subset M$ is of type $C_{0}$ then by 7.1 the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ is an $[M: N]^{1 / 2}$-amplification of $\mathcal{R}_{N}^{\mathrm{HT}}$. Thus, $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=[M: N]^{1 / 2} \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(N)$.
$3^{\circ}$. This is just a combination of $1^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$.
$4^{\circ}$. Note that all subfactors $M \subset M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)$ appear as irreducible inclusions of factors in some $M \subset M_{2 n}$. By Jones' formula for the local indices ([J1]), if
$p$ is a minimal projection in $M^{\prime} \cap M_{2 n}$ with $\left(M p \subset p M_{2 n} p\right) \simeq\left(M \subset M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)\right)$ then $\left[M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right): M\right] / \tau(p)^{2}=\left[M_{2 n}: M\right]$. On the other hand, since $M_{2 n}$ is the $[M: N]^{n}$-amplification of $M$ and since $M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right) \simeq p M_{2 n} p$, it follows that $M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)$ is the $\tau(p)[M: N]^{n}$ - amplification of $M$. By $8.2 .2^{\circ}$, this yields $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)\right)=$ $\left[M\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right): M\right]^{1 / 2} \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=v_{k} \beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$.

Using the inventory of examples 6.9 of factors in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, and the calculations of $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers for groups in [ChGr], [B], from 8.2.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ above we get the following list of Betti numbers for factors:
8.5. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ is a primitive root of unity of order $n$, then $M_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}(c f .6 .9 .1)$ and $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=(12 n)^{-1}$, while $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=0, \forall k \neq 1$.
$2^{\circ}$. If $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ are primitive roots of unity of order $n$ respectively $n^{\prime}$ then $M_{\alpha} \simeq M_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ if and only if $n=n^{\prime}$.

Proof. $1^{\circ}$. By $5.2 .1^{\circ}, 8.2 .1^{\circ}$ and 8.2.2 ${ }^{\circ}, \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=\beta_{k}(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})) / n$. But by $[\mathrm{B}]$ we have $\beta_{1}(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}))=1 / 12, \beta_{k}(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}))=0$ if $k \neq 1$.
$2^{\circ}$. By 5.2.1 ${ }^{\circ}$, if $n=n^{\prime}$ then $M_{\alpha} \simeq M_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, while if $n \neq n^{\prime}$ then $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right) \neq$ $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)$, and so $M_{\alpha} \not 千 M_{\alpha^{\prime}}$.
8.6. Corollary. $1^{\circ}$. If $M=L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}, \lambda\right) \rtimes \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as in 6.9.1' then $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=1 / 6$ and $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0, \forall n \neq 1$.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $\sigma$ be any of the actions 6.9.2 or 6.9.6 of the free group $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ on the diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra $(A, \tau)$, and $M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{F}_{n}$ the corresponding factor in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. Then $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=(n-1), \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0, \forall k \neq 1$.
$3^{\circ}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1), n \geq 2$, or in $\mathrm{SO}(2 n, 1)$, $n \geq 1$, and $\sigma$ a free ergodic trace-preserving action of $\Gamma_{0}$ on the diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra $A$ as in 6.9.3 or 6.9.6. Let $M=A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0} \in \mathcal{H T}$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ factor. Then $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \neq 0$ and $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0, \forall k \neq n$. Also, if $\Gamma_{0}$ is an arithmetic lattice in some $\mathrm{SO}(2 n+1,1), n \geq 1$, then the corresponding $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ factors constructed in 6.9 .3 satisfy $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0, \forall k \geq 0$.
$4^{\circ}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ group (in the sense of Definition 6.11; e.g., any of the groups listed in 6.13) and $\Gamma_{1}$ an infinite amenable group. Let $M \in \mathcal{H T}$ be of the form $M=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes\left(\Gamma_{0} \times \Gamma_{1}\right)\left(c f .6 .13 .3^{\circ}\right)$. Then $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0, \forall k \geq 0$.

Proof. For each of the groups in $1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}$ the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers for certain specific co-compact actions were calculated in [B]. Then the statements follow by [G2], [ChGr] and $8.2 .1^{\circ}$, similarly for $3^{\circ}$.
8.7. Corollary. If $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{F}_{n}$ or if $\Gamma_{0}$ is an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1), \mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$, for some $n \geq 2$, then there exist three nonisomorphic factors $M_{i}=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\sigma_{i}} \Gamma_{0}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, with $M_{1} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$, $M_{2,3} \notin \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}, M_{1,2}$ non- $\Gamma$ and $M_{3}$ with the property $\Gamma$.

Proof. All the groups mentioned have property H (see 3.2). The statement then follows from the last part of 5.3.3 ${ }^{\circ}$.
8.8. Corollary. There exist both property $\Gamma$ and non- $\Gamma$ type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $M$ with trivial fundamental group, $\mathscr{F}(M)=\{1\}$. Moreover, such factors $M$ can be taken to have non stably-isomorphic tensor powers, all with trivial fundamental group.
8.9. Definition. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. The HT-approximate dimension of $M$, denoted $\operatorname{ad}_{\text {HT }}(M)$, is by definition Gaboriau's approximate dimension ([G2]) of the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}$ associated with the HT Cartan subalgebra of $M$. Note that $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=\operatorname{ad}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M), \forall t>0$.
8.10. Corollary. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ be of the form $M_{k}=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma_{k}$, where $\Gamma_{k}=\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{F}_{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_{k}}$, for some $2 \leq n_{i}<\infty, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$, with $\Gamma_{0}$ an increasing union of finite groups. Then $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathbf{H T}}\left(M_{k}\right)=k$, so the factors $M_{k}, k \geq 1$, are non stably-isomorphic.

Proof. By 5.17, 5.13 and 5.16 in [G2], the approximate dimension of the group $\Gamma_{k}$, and thus of $\mathcal{R}_{M_{k}}^{\mathrm{HT}}$, is equal to $k$.
8.11. Definition. Let $M \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ and $\mathrm{Out}_{\text {нт }}(M)$ be the countable discrete group defined in Corollary 6.7.2 ${ }^{\circ}$. We call it the HT-outomorphism group of $M$. As noted in $6.7, \operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ can be identified with the outer automorphism group of the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}, \operatorname{Out}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right) / \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathcal{R}_{M}^{\mathrm{HT}}\right)$. Note that $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=\operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M), \forall t>0$. The outer automorphism group of an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ was first considered by I. M. Singer in $[\mathrm{Si}]$, and was also studied in [FM]. By 6.7 this group is discrete (with the quotient topology) and countable. Thus, it seems likely that $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ can be computed in certain specific examples. In this respect we mention the following:
8.12. Problem. Calculate $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ for $M=L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$, more generally for $M_{n}=L\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)^{n} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$, with $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acting diagonally on $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)^{n}=\mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ be the normalizer of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{GL}(2 n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is embedded in $\mathrm{GL}(2 n, \mathbb{Z})$ block-diagonally. Is $\operatorname{Out}_{\text {нт }}\left(M_{n}\right)$ equal to the quotient group $\mathcal{G}_{n} / \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, in particular is $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{1}\right)$ equal to $\left\{\theta_{+}, \mathrm{id}\right\}$, for $\theta_{+}$the $C_{+}$period 2 automorphism in Corollary 7.7?
8.13. Remarks. $1^{\circ}$. Note that the above Corollary 8.8 (and also 8.5-8.7) solves Problem 3 from Kadison's Baton Rouge list, providing lots of examples of factors $M$ with the property that the algebra of $n$ by $n$ matrices over $M$ is not isomorphic to $M$, for any $n \geq 2$.
$2^{\circ}$. We could extend the definition of $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ to arbitrary $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $M$, by simply letting $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=0, \forall n$, whenever $M$ does not belong to the class
$\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$. This definition would still be consistent with the property $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=$ $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) / t, \forall t>0$. However, in order for this definition to also satisfy the Künneth formula (an imperative!), one needs to solve the following:

### 8.13.2. Problem. Does $M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ imply $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ ?

Note that if this problem were to have an affirmative answer, our factors $A \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$ would be prime, i.e., $A \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n}$ would not be expressible as a tensor product of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2}$. Indeed, this is because $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M_{1} \bar{\otimes} M_{2}\right)=0$ for $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}$, by the Künneth formula, while $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(A \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{n}\right)=n-1 \neq 0$.
$3^{\circ}$. It would be interesting to extend the class of factors in the "good class" for which a certain uniqueness result can be proved for some special type of Cartan subalgebras, beyond the HT factors considered here. Such generalizations can go two ways: by either extending the class of groups $\Gamma_{0}$ for which $A \subset A \rtimes_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}$ works, for certain $\sigma$, or by showing that for the groups $\Gamma_{0}$ already considered here (e.g., the free groups) any action $\sigma$ works (see Problems $6.12 .1^{\circ}$ and respectively $6.12 .2^{\circ}$, in this respect).
$4^{\circ}$. During a conference at MSRI in May 2001 ([C6]), Alain Connes posed the problem of constructing $\ell^{2}$-type Betti number invariants $\beta_{k}(M)$ for type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors $M$, building on similar conceptual grounds as in $[\mathrm{A}]$, $[\mathrm{C} 4]$, [ ChGr$],[\mathrm{G} 2,3]$, through appropriate definitions of simplicial complexes, $\ell^{2}$-homology/cohomology for $M$, which should satisfy $\beta_{k}\left(L\left(G_{0}\right)\right)=\beta_{k}\left(G_{0}\right)$ for von Neumann factors $M=L\left(G_{0}\right)$ associated to discrete groups $G_{0}$. Thus, since $\beta_{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)=0, \forall k$ (cf. [ChGr]), such Betti numbers would give $\beta_{k}\left(L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)\right)=0, \forall k$.

Instead, our approach to defining $\ell^{2}$-Betti number invariants was to restrict our attention to a class of factors $M$ having a special type of Cartan subalgebras $A$, the HT ones, for which we could prove a uniqueness result, thus being able to use the notion of Betti numbers for equivalence relations in [G2]. Thus, our Betti numbers are defined "relative" to HT Cartan subalgebras, a fact we emphasized by using the terminology " $\ell_{\mathrm{HT}}^{2}$-Betti numbers" and the notation " $\beta_{n}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)$ ". When $M=A \rtimes G_{0}$ these $\ell_{\mathrm{HT}}^{2}$-Betti numbers satisfy $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=\beta_{k}\left(G_{0}\right)$. In particular, if $M=L\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ then $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M)=\beta_{1}(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})) \neq 0$. Thus $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) \neq \beta_{1}(M)$, if $\beta_{k}(M)$ could be defined as asked in [C6].

Moreover, if such $\beta_{k}(M)$ are possible, then according to Voiculescu's formula ([V1]) for the number of generators of the amplifications/compressions $M^{t}$ of the free group factors $M=L\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)$ (cf. also [Ra], [Dy], [Sh]), the first Betti number $\beta_{1}\left(M^{t}\right)$ ( $=$ (number of generators of $\left.M^{t}\right)-1$ ) should satisfy a formula of the type $\beta_{1}\left(M^{t}\right)=\beta_{1}(M) / t^{2}$, rather than $\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}\left(M^{t}\right)=\beta_{1}^{\mathrm{HT}}(M) / t$, as we have in this paper!

## Appendix: Some conjugacy results

We prove here several conjugacy results for subalgebras in type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors. The first one, Theorem A.1, plays a key role in the proof of 6.2 . The starting point in its proof is the following simple observation: If $B_{0}, B$ are finite von Neumann algebras for which there exists a $B_{0}-B$ Hilbert bimodule $\mathcal{H}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{B}<\infty$ then a suitable reduction algebra of $B_{0}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of some reduced of $B$. In the context of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras, this is reminiscent of the fact that imprimitivity bimodules entail Morita equivalence. In the von Neumann context, if both $B_{0}, B$ are subalgebras in some finite factor $M$ then existence of Hilbert $B_{0}-B$ bimodules $\mathcal{H} \subset L^{2}(M, \tau)$ with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{B}<\infty$ amounts to existence of finite projections in $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle(\langle M, B\rangle$ being the basic construction algebra) and the corresponding isomorphism of $B_{0}$ into $B$ is implemented by an element in $M$.

The basic construction was first used in conjugacy problems by Christensen ([Chr]), to study "small perturbations" of subalgebras of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors. Although in A. 1 we deal with conjugacy of subalgebras for which no "small distance" assumption is made, we still use the basic construction as a set-up for the proof. This framework allows us to use a trick inspired from [Chr], and then to utilize techniques from "subfactor theory", notably the pull down identity ([PiPo], $[\mathrm{Po} 2,3]$ ). We also use von Neumann algebra analysis of projections, with repeated use of results from [K2]. For notation and elementary properties of the basic construction, see Section 1.3 and [J1], [PiPo], [Po2,3].

To state A.1, let $M$ be a finite factor, $B \subset M$ a von Neumann subalgebra and $\mathcal{U}_{0} \subset M$ be a subgroup of unitary elements. Let $B_{0}=\mathcal{U}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ be the von Neumann algebra it generates in $M$. For each $b \in\langle M, B\rangle, \operatorname{Tr}\left(b^{*} b\right)<\infty$, we denote by $K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b)$ the weak closure of the convex hull of $\left\{u_{0} b u_{0}^{*} \mid u_{0} \in \mathcal{U}_{0}\right\}$, i.e., $K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b)=\overline{\operatorname{co}^{\mathrm{w}}}\left\{u_{0} b u_{0}^{*} \mid u_{0} \in \mathcal{U}_{0}\right\}$. Note that $K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b)$ is also contained in the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\langle M, B\rangle, \mathrm{Tr})$, where it is still weakly closed.

Let $h=h_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b) \in K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b)$ be the unique element of minimal norm $\left\|\|_{2, \text { Tr }}\right.$ in $K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b)$. Since $u K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b) u^{*}=K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b)$ and $\left\|u h u^{*}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}=\|h\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{0}$, by the uniqueness of $h$ it follows that $u h u^{*}=h, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{0}$. Thus $h \in \mathcal{U}_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle=$ $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle$. Moreover, by the definitions, we see that if $0 \leq b \leq 1$ then $0 \leq k \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(k) \leq \operatorname{Tr}(b)$, for all $k \in K_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}(b)$.
A.1. Theorem. Let $M, B, B_{0}, \mathcal{U}_{0}$ be as above. Assume the von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset M$ is maximal abelian in $M$ and $B_{0}$ is abelian with $B_{01} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M$ still abelian (thus maximal abelian in $M$ ). Then the following conditions are equivalent:
$1^{\circ}$. There exists a nonzero projection $e_{0} \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle$ with $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{0}\right)<\infty$.
$2^{\circ}$. There exist nonzero projections $q_{0} \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M, q \in B$ and a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $v^{*} v=q_{0}, v v^{*}=q$ and $v B_{0} v^{*} \subset B q$.

Proof. $2^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 1^{\circ}$. If $v$ satisfies condition $3^{\circ}$ then $B_{0} q_{0}$ is contained in $v^{*} B v$. Since $e_{B}$ commutes with $B$, it follows that $e_{0}=v^{*} e_{B} v$ commutes with $B_{0}$, i.e., $e_{0} \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle$. Also, $\operatorname{Tr} e_{0}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(v^{*} e_{B} v\right) \leq \operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{B}\right)=1$.
$1^{\circ} \Longrightarrow 2^{\circ}$. Denote $M_{1}=\langle M, B\rangle$. Since $B_{0} e_{0}$ is abelian, it is contained in a maximal abelian subalgebra $B_{1}$ of $e_{0} M_{1} e_{0}$. Since $M_{1}=(J B J)^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2} M\right)$, it is a type I von Neumann algebra. Thus, by a result of Kadison ([K2]), $B_{1}$ contains a nonzero abelian projection $e_{1}$ of $M_{1}$ (i.e., $e_{1} M_{1} e_{1}$ is abelian). Since $e_{B}$ is a maximal abelian projection in $M_{1}$ and has central support 1 in $M_{1}$, it follows that $e_{B}$ majorizes $e_{1}$. Thus, $e_{1}$ satisfies $e_{1}\left(L^{2}(M, \tau)\right)=\overline{\xi B}$ for some $\xi \in L^{2}(M, \tau)$.

Let $V \in M_{1}$ be a partial isometry such that $V^{*} V=e_{1} \leq e_{0}$ and $V V^{*} \leq e_{B}$. It follows that $V B_{1} e_{1} V^{*}$ is a subalgebra of $e_{B} M_{1} e_{B}=B e_{B}$. Since $e_{1}$ commutes with $B_{0}$, if we denote by $f^{\prime}$ the maximal projection in $B_{0}$ such that $f^{\prime} e_{1}=0$ and let $f_{0}=1-f^{\prime}$, then there exists a unique isomorphism $\alpha$ from $B_{0} f_{0}$ into $B$ such that $\alpha(b) e_{B}=V b V^{*}, \forall b \in B_{0} f_{0}$. Let $f=\alpha\left(f_{0}\right) \in B$.

Then $\alpha(b) e_{B} V=e_{B} V b, \forall b \in B_{0} f_{0}$. By applying $\Phi$ to both sides and denoting $a$ the square integrable operator $a=\Phi\left(e_{B} V\right) \in L^{2}(M, \tau)$, we see that $\alpha(b) a=a b, \forall b \in B_{0}$. Since $e_{B} a=e_{B} V=V$, it follows that $a \neq 0$.

By the usual trick, if we denote by $v_{0} \in M$ the unique partial isometry in the polar decomposition of $a$ such that the right supports of $a$ and $v_{0}$ coincide, then $p_{0}=v_{0}^{*} v_{0}$ belongs to the algebra $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=B_{01}$, which is abelian by hypothesis, $p=v_{0} v_{0}^{*}$ belongs to $\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) f\right)^{\prime} \cap f M f$ and $\alpha(b) v_{0}=v_{0} b, \forall b \in B_{0} f_{0}$.

But $B_{01}=B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M$ maximal abelian in $M$ implies $B_{01} f_{0}$ maximal abelian in $f_{0} M f_{0}$. Moreover, since $v_{0} B_{0} v_{0}^{*}=\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) p$, if we denote $B_{11}=v_{0} B_{01} v_{0}^{*}$, then by spatiality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{11} & =v_{0} B_{01} v_{0}^{*}=v_{0}\left(B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M\right) v_{0}^{*}=v_{0} B_{0} v_{0}^{* \prime} \cap p M p \\
& =\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) p\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p=p\left(\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) f\right)^{\prime} \cap f M f\right) p .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $p$ is an abelian projection in $\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) f\right)^{\prime} \cap f M f$. Thus, if $z$ is the central projection of $p$ in $\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) f\right)^{\prime} \cap f M f$ then $\left(\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) f\right)^{\prime} \cap f M f\right) z=$ $\left(\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) z\right)^{\prime} \cap z M z\right.$ is finite of type I.

Since $B f$ is maximal abelian in $f M f$ it follows that $z \in B f$ and $B z$ is maximal abelian in the type $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ algebra $\left(\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) z\right)^{\prime} \cap z M z\right.$. By [K2], there exists a projection $f_{11} \in B z$ such that $f_{11}$ is equivalent to $p$ in $\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) z\right)^{\prime} \cap z M z$. Let $v_{1} \in\left(\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) z\right)^{\prime} \cap z M z$ be such that $v_{1} v_{1}^{*}=f_{11}, v_{1}^{*} v_{1}=p$ and denote $v=$ $v_{1} v_{0} \in M$. Then $v^{*} v=p_{0} \in B_{0}^{\prime}, v v^{*}=f_{11} \in B$ and $v B_{0} v^{*}=\alpha\left(B_{0}\right) f_{11} \subset B f_{11}$.

Our second conjugacy result, A.2, is a "small perturbation"-type result, needed in the proofs of 4.5 and $6.6 .3^{\circ}$. The starting point in its proof is a trick
from [Chr]. Then, as in A.1, we use techniques from [Po2,3,7], [PiPo]. Note that the proof of Step 1 below is a refinement of the proof of 4.4.2 in [Po1], while the proof of Step 2 is a refinement of an argument used in proving 4.5.1, 4.5.6 and 4.7.3 in [Po1].
A.2. Theorem. For any $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $M$ is a type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor, $B \subset M$ is a subfactor with $B^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}, B_{0} \subset M$ is a von Neumann subalgebra with $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right), \mathcal{N}_{M}\left(B_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}=M$ and $B_{0} \subset_{\delta} B$ then there exists a unitary element $u \in M$ such that $\|u-1\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $u B_{0} u^{*} \subset B$.

Proof. Step 1. Let $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{0}^{2} / 4$. We first prove that $\exists \delta>0$ such that if $B_{0}, B \subset M$ satisfy $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right)$ and $B_{0} \subset_{\delta} B$ then $\exists p_{0} \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{0}\right), p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, a unital isomorphism $\theta$ of $p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}$ into $p B p$, a projection $q \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p$ and a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $v^{*} v=p_{0}, v v^{*}=q \leq p,\|v-1\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon$, $\tau(q) \geq 1-\varepsilon$ and $v b_{0}=\theta\left(b_{0}\right) v, \forall b_{0} \in p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}$.

To do this note first that if $u_{0} \in \mathcal{U}\left(B_{0}\right)$ then $\left\|u_{0} e_{B} u_{0}^{*}-e_{B}\right\|_{2, \mathrm{Tr}}^{2} / 2=$ $1-\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{B} u_{0} e_{B} e_{0}^{*}\right)=\left\|u_{0}-E_{B}\left(u_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}$ (see e.g., line 17 on page 322 in [Po9]). So if $\left\|u_{0}-E_{B}\left(u_{0}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq \delta, \forall u_{0} \in \mathcal{U}_{0}=\mathcal{U}\left(B_{0}\right)$, then with the notation in A. 1 we get $h=h_{\mathcal{U}_{0}}\left(e_{B}\right) \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle$, with $h \leq 1, \operatorname{Tr}(h) \leq 1$ and $\left\|h-e_{B}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}} \leq 2^{1 / 2} \delta$. Thus, by (1.1 in [C2]) there exists $s>0$ such that the spectral projection $e$ of $h$ corresponding to the interval $[s, \infty)$ satisfies $\left\|e-e_{B}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}} \leq(2 \delta)^{1 / 2}$. Note that $e \in B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle$ as well. We next want to show that by slightly shrinking $e$ we may assume in addition $\left(B_{0} e\right)^{\prime} \cap e\langle M, B\rangle e=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) e$.

So let $u \in \mathcal{U}(C)$, where $C=\left(B_{0} e\right)^{\prime} \cap e\langle M, B\rangle e$. Since $e_{B}\langle M, B\rangle e_{B}=$ $B e_{B}$ and $e$ is $(2 \delta)^{1 / 2}$-close to $e_{B}$ in the norm $\left\|\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}\right.$, if we denote by $b$ the unique element in $B$ with $b e_{B}=e_{B} u e_{B}$, then $u$ is close to ebe in the norm $\|\quad\|_{2, t r}$ implemented by the normalized trace $\operatorname{tr}=\operatorname{Tr}(e)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}$ on $e\langle M, B\rangle e$. This implies that $\|[e b e, v]\|_{2, t r} \leq \varepsilon(\delta), \forall v \in \mathcal{U}\left(B_{0} e\right)$, in which $\varepsilon(\delta)$ denotes from now on a constant depending on $\delta$, with $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(\delta)=0$ (but $\varepsilon(\delta)$ possibly changing in each of the subsequent estimates). Since $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right)$, if we average ebe by unitaries in $B_{0} e$, we see that $u$ is $\varepsilon(\delta)$-close to an element in $\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) e$. Thus $C \subset_{\varepsilon(\delta)} A_{0}$, where $A_{0}=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) e$. Noticing that $A_{0} \subset \mathcal{Z}(C)$, we infer that this implies $\exists e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Z}(C)$, with $\operatorname{tr}\left(e^{\prime}\right) \geq 1-\varepsilon(\delta)$ and $C e^{\prime}=A_{0} e^{\prime}$; i.e., $\left(B_{0} e\right)^{\prime} \cap e\langle M, B\rangle e=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) e$. Indeed, for if $q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Z}(C)$ is the maximal projection with $C q^{\prime}$ abelian and $A \subset C$ is a maximal abelian ${ }^{*}$-subalgebra with $A_{0} \subset A$ then $q^{\prime} \in A$ and there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(B\left(1-q^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with $E_{A}(u)=0$. Since $q^{\prime}+u \in \mathcal{U}(C)$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(1-q^{\prime}\right) & =\|u\|_{2, t r}^{2}=\left\|\left(q^{\prime}+u\right)-E_{A}\left(q^{\prime}+u\right)\right\|_{2, t r}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(q^{\prime}+u\right)-E_{A_{0}}\left(q^{\prime}+u\right)\right\|_{2, t r}^{2} \leq \varepsilon(\delta)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This reduces the problem to the case $C$ is abelian, which is an easy exercise (e.g., use the argument on page 745 in [Po7]).

Taking $e^{\prime}$ for $e$ in the above, this shows that if $B_{0} \subset_{\delta} B$ then $\exists e \in$ $B_{0}^{\prime} \cap\langle M, B\rangle$ finite projection with $\left\|e-e_{B}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}} \leq \varepsilon(\delta)$ and $\left(B_{0} e\right)^{\prime} \cap e\langle M, B\rangle e=$ $\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) e$. But by ([Po6]) the latter condition implies there exists $A_{1} \subset B_{0}$ abelian such that $A_{1} e$ is maximal abelian in $e\langle M, B\rangle e$. By [K2] there exists a projection $P \in A_{1}=A_{1} e$ such that $P$ is equivalent to the support projection of $e e_{B} e \in e\langle M, B\rangle e$. In particular, $P$ is majorized by $e_{B}$. Also, $P, e$ and $e_{B}$ are $\varepsilon(\delta)$-close one to another. By 1.2 in [C2], there exists a partial isometry $V \in$ $\langle M, B\rangle$ such that $V$ is $\varepsilon(\delta)$-close to $e_{B}, V^{*} V=P \in A_{1} \subset B_{0}^{\prime}$ and $V V^{*} \leq e_{B}$. As in [Chr] and in the proof of A.1, if $p_{0} \in B_{0}$ and $p \in B$ denote the support projections of $V^{*} V$ in $B_{0}$ and respectively $V V^{*}$ in $B$ then there exists a unital isomorphism $\theta$ of $p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}$ into $p B p$ such that $V b_{0}=\theta\left(b_{0}\right) V, \forall b_{0} \in p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}$. If we now take the partial isometry $v=\Phi(V)|\Phi(V)|^{-1} \in M$, then we still have $v b_{0}=$ $\theta\left(b_{0}\right) v, \forall b_{0} \in p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}$ and $v$ is $\varepsilon(\delta)$-close to 1 (using $\|\Phi(V)-1\|_{1} \leq\left\|V-e_{B}\right\|_{1, \operatorname{Tr}}$ and applying 2.1 in [C2]). Since $v^{*} v \in\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p_{0} M p_{0}=\mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) p_{0}$ and $v v^{*} \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p$, letting $q=v v^{*}$, we are done.

Step 2. If $p_{0}, p, q, v, \theta$ are as in Step 1 , then $v B_{0} v^{*}=\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) q$, so by spatiality we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p\right) q & =\left(v B_{0} v^{*}\right)^{\prime} \cap q M q \\
& =v\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}^{\prime} \cap p_{0} M p_{0}\right) v^{*}=v \mathcal{Z}\left(B_{0}\right) v^{*}=\mathcal{Z}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right) q
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $q\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p\right) q=\mathcal{Z}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right) q$. Since $Z\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right) \subset$ $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$ this implies that there exists a normal conditional expectation $E$ of $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$ onto $Z\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $q x q=E(x) q, \forall x \in$ $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$.

Let $p^{\prime} \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$ be the minimal projection such that $q p^{\prime}=q$. By replacing if necessary $\theta$ by $\theta(\cdot) q^{\prime}$ (while leaving $v$ unchanged), we may assume $p^{\prime}=p$. Thus, if $a \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$ satisfies $a q=0$ then the support of $a^{*} a$ is majorized by $p-p^{\prime}=0$, implying that $a=0$ and showing that $E$ is faithful. Since $q$ implements the normal faithful conditional expectation $E$ of $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$ onto $Z\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)$, the weak closure of $\operatorname{sp}\{x q y \mid x, y \in$ $\left.\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p\right\}$ is a finite von Neumann subalgebra $Q$ of $p M p$ with $q Q q \simeq$ $Z\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)$. Since $q$ has support 1 in $Q$, this shows that $Q$ is type $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{fin}}$. But $Q$ contains $\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p\right) 1_{Q}$, which is isomorphic to $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$. Thus, the latter follows type $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ as well.

Let $q^{\prime} \in Z\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)\left(\subset \mathcal{Z}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p\right)\right)$ be the maximal projection with

$$
q^{\prime} Z\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)=q^{\prime}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p\right)
$$

It follows that there exists $b \in L^{2}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p\right)\left(p-q^{\prime}\right)$ with $E(b)=0$ and $E\left(b^{*} b\right)=p-q^{\prime}$ (see e.g., [Po2]). This shows that $b q b^{*}$ is a projection orthogonal to $q\left(p-q^{\prime}\right)$ and equivalent to $q\left(p-q^{\prime}\right)$, while still under $p-q^{\prime}$.

Thus

$$
\tau\left(q\left(p-q^{\prime}\right)\right)=\tau\left(b q\left(p-q^{\prime}\right) b^{*}\right) \leq \tau\left((1-q)\left(p-q^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq \tau(1-q) \leq \varepsilon
$$

Thus, $1-\varepsilon-\tau\left(q^{\prime}\right) \leq \tau\left(p-q^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \varepsilon$, implying that $\tau\left(q^{\prime}\right) \geq 1-3 \varepsilon$. This shows that by "cutting everything" by $q^{\prime}$ we may assume $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p=$ $\mathcal{Z}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)$.

Since $B_{0}$ is regular in $M, p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}$ is regular in $p_{0} M p_{0}$ (see e.g. [JPo]) and thus, by spatiality, $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) q$ is regular in $q M q$. Since $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) \ni b \rightarrow b q \in$ $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) q$ is an isomorphism, for each $u \in \mathcal{N}_{q M q}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) q\right)$ there exists an automorphism $\sigma_{u}$ of $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$ such that $u b q u^{*}=\sigma_{u}(b) q, \forall b \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$. Thus, $u b=\sigma_{u}(b) u, \forall b \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$.

By applying $E_{B}$ to both sides of this equality, it follows that $E_{B}(u) b=$ $\sigma_{u}(b) E_{B}(u), \forall b \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$. By also taking into account that $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap$ $p B p \subset \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$, we see that if $B_{1} \subset p B p$ denotes the von Neumann algebra generated by the normalizer of $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$ in $p B p$ then $E_{B}\left(\mathcal{N}_{q M q}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) q\right) \subset\right.$ $B_{1}$. By the regularity of $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) q$ in $q M q$, this entails $E_{B}(q M q) \subset B_{1}$ as well. Since $q \leq p$ and $\tau(q) \geq 1-\varepsilon$, we thus have $p B p \subset_{\varepsilon} B_{1} \subset p B p$. Since $p B p$ is a factor, this implies there exists a projection $p^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(B_{1}\right)$ with $\tau\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 1-2 \varepsilon$ such that $B_{1} p^{\prime \prime}=p^{\prime \prime} B p^{\prime \prime}$.

By cutting with $p^{\prime \prime}$ we may thus also assume $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$ is regular in $p B p$. Since $p B p^{\prime} \cap p M p=\mathbb{C} p$, this implies $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\mathcal{N}_{p B p}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)$ satisfies $\mathcal{N}_{1}^{\prime} \cap p M p=\mathbb{C} p$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ also normalizes the algebras $\mathcal{Z}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right)=$ $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p B p$ and $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p$, it acts ergodically on both. By ergodicity, $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p$ is either homogeneous of type $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ or of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$. Since $q\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p\right) q=\mathcal{Z}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right) q$ is abelian and $\tau(q)>1 / 2$ (for $\varepsilon$ chosen sufficiently small), $\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p$ is abelian.

Denote $A_{0}=\mathcal{Z}\left(\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)\right), A_{1}=\theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap p M p, N_{0}=p B p$ and $Q_{0}$ the factor generated by $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ and $A_{1}$ in $p M p$. Thus, we have $N_{0}^{\prime} \cap Q_{0}=\mathbb{C}$ and the nondegenerate commuting square

(Recall that we also have $q \in A_{1}, A_{1} q=A_{0} q$ and $\tau(q) \geq 1-\varepsilon$.)
Thus, if $e=e_{N_{0}}^{Q_{0}}$ denotes the Jones projection corresponding to the inclusion $N_{0} \subset Q_{0}$ then $A_{0} \subset A_{1} \subset\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle$ is the basic construction for $A_{0} \subset A_{1}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}\left(\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle\right)=A_{0}$ and since $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ acts on $A_{0} \subset A_{1}$ with the action on $A_{0}$ being ergodic, it follows that $\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle$ is homogeneous of type I. But $q\left(A_{1} e A_{1}\right) q=$ $A_{0}(q e q) A_{0}$, and since $\left[A_{0}, q e q\right]=0$ this implies $q\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle q=A_{0} q e q$. Thus, $q\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle q$ is abelian. Equivalently, $q$ is an abelian projection in $\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle$. But then $q$ is majorised by $e$ in $\left\langle A_{1}, e\right\rangle$. Thus $q$ is majorised by $e$ in $\left\langle Q_{0}, e\right\rangle$ as well, showing that $q$ is finite in $\left\langle Q_{0}, e\right\rangle$.

But $q$ enters finitely many times in $1_{Q}$, in the factor $Q_{0}$, which is a subalgebra of $\left\langle Q_{0}, e\right\rangle$. Thus $\left\langle Q_{0}, e\right\rangle$ is a finite factor and $\tau(e) \geq \tau(q) \geq 1-\varepsilon>1 / 2$. By Jones' theorem, $e=1$ and $N_{0}=Q_{0}$. In particular, $q \in \theta\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right)$, so that $q=p$. Thus, $v^{*} v=p_{0} \in B_{0}, v v^{*}=p \in B$ and $v\left(p_{0} B_{0} p_{0}\right) v^{*} \subset p B p$. Since the normalizer of $B_{0}$ acts ergodically on the center of $B_{0}$ and $B$ is a factor, there exists a unitary element $u \in M$ such that $u p_{0}=v$ and $u B_{0} u^{*} \subset B$. But then $\|1-u\|_{2} \leq\|1-v\|_{2}+\|v-u\|_{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{1 / 2}=\varepsilon_{0}$.

Our last conjugacy result, somewhat technical, is needed in the proof of $4.3 .2^{\circ}$.
A.3. Theorem. Let $M$ be a type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor and $P, Q \subset M$ von Neumann subalgebras. Assume there exists a group of unitary elements $\mathcal{U}_{0} \subset P$ that normalizes $Q$ and satisfies $N_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right)$ and $\left[\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right), Q\right]=0$, where $N_{0}=$ $\mathcal{U}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. If $Q \subset_{\varepsilon_{0}} P$, for some $\varepsilon_{0}<1 / 2$, then there exists a nonzero projection $p \in \mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right)$ such that $Q p \subset P$.

Proof. Let $M \subset{ }^{e_{P}}\left\langle M, e_{P}\right\rangle$ be the basic construction for $P \subset M$, with $\operatorname{Tr}$ and $\Phi$ the canonical trace and weight, respectively, as in 1.3.1. The statement is equivalent to proving that there exists $p \in Q^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right), p \neq 0$, such that $\left[Q p, e_{P}\right]=0$.

Let $k$ be the unique element of minimal norm \| $\left\|\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}\right.$ in $K=\overline{\operatorname{co}}^{w}\left\{u e_{P} u^{*} \mid\right.$ $u \in \mathcal{U}(Q)\}$. Note that $0 \leq k \leq 1, \operatorname{Tr}(k) \leq 1$. Also, since for $u \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$ we have

$$
\left\|e_{P}-u e_{P} u^{*}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}^{2}=2-2\left\|E_{P}(u)\right\|_{2}^{2}=2\left\|u-E_{P}(u)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon_{0}^{2},
$$

by taking convex combinations and weak limits $\left\|k-e_{P}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}<1 / 2$.
Since $u K u^{*}=K$ and $\left\|u k u^{*}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}=\|k\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$, by the uniqueness of $k$ as the element of minimal norm $\left\|\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}\right.$ in $K$, it follows that $u k u^{*}=$ $k, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$. Thus $[k, Q]=0$. Moreover, if $v \in \mathcal{U}_{0} \subset P$ then $\left[v, e_{P}\right]=0$ and $v Q v^{*}=Q$, implying that $v\left(u e_{P} u^{*}\right) v^{*}=\left(v u v^{*}\right) e_{P}\left(v u^{*} v^{*}\right) \subset K, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$. Thus, $v K v^{*}=K$ and so, by the uniqueness of $k,[k, v]=0$. Since $\mathcal{U}_{0}$ generates $N_{0}$, it follows that $k$ and all its spectral projections commute with both $Q$ and $N_{0}=\mathcal{U}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.

Together with $\left[e_{P}, N_{0}\right]=0$ this yields $\left[k e_{P}, N_{0}\right]=0$ and further on, by applying the operator valued weight $\Phi$ of $\left\langle M, e_{P}\right\rangle$ on $M$ (which is $M$-bimodular, thus $N_{0}$-bimodular as well) and letting $a=\Phi\left(k e_{P}\right)$, we see that $\left[a, N_{0}\right]=0$. Equivalently, $a \in N_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right) \subset N_{0} \subset P, a \in P$ and so $\left[a, e_{P}\right]=0$. Together with $a e_{P}=k e_{P}$, this entails $a e_{P}=e_{P} a e_{P}=e_{P} k e_{P} \geq 0$, and so $a \geq 0$. In particular, $a=a^{*}$. Thus, $k e_{P}=a e_{P}=\left(a e_{P}\right)^{*}=\left(k e_{P}\right)^{*}=$ $e_{P} k$, showing that $\left[k, e_{P}\right]=0$.

Let now $e_{1}$ be the spectral projection of $k$ corresponding to the set $\{1\}$. Thus $e_{1}=e_{1} k \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}^{w}\left\{u\left(e_{1} e_{P}\right) u^{*} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}_{0}\right\}$, showing that $e_{1} \leq e_{P}$. Thus, if $p=\Phi\left(e_{1}\right)$ then $p$ is a projection in $P$ with $e_{1}=p e_{P},\left[p, Q \vee N_{0}\right]=0$ and $\left[e_{P}, Q p\right]=0$. Thus, we are done, provided we can show that $p \neq 0$.

Assume by contradiction that $e_{1}=0$. We show that this implies that for any spectral projection $e$ of $k, e e_{P}$ is majorized by $e\left(1-e_{P}\right)$ in $\left\langle M, e_{P}\right\rangle$. Indeed, for if this is not the case then there exists a projection $z$ in $\mathcal{Z}\left(\left\langle M, e_{P}\right\rangle\right)$ and a partial isometry $w \in\left\langle M, e_{P}\right\rangle$ such that $w^{*} w \supsetneqq z e e_{P}, w w^{*}=z e\left(1-e_{P}\right)$. If we denote $b=\Phi(w)$, then $b e_{P}=w$ and so

$$
b b^{*}=\Phi\left(w w^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(z e\left(1-e_{P}\right)\right) \in N_{0}^{\prime} \cap M=Q^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right) .
$$

Similarly, $q=\Phi\left(e z e_{P}\right)$ is a projection in $P$ which commutes with $N_{0}$, thus lying in $\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right) \subset P$. Since $b b^{*} \geq b e_{P} b^{*}=z e\left(1-e_{P}\right)$ and the morphism $\mathcal{Z}\left(N_{0}\right) \ni x \mapsto x z e\left(1-e_{P}\right)$ has support $q$ (because $e_{1}=0$ ), it follows that $b b^{*} \geq q$. Thus

$$
\tau(q)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(z e e_{P}\right) \nexists \operatorname{Tr}\left(w^{*} w\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(w w^{*}\right)=\tau\left(b b^{*}\right) \geq \tau(q),
$$

a contradiction.
In particular, since $e e_{P} \prec e\left(1-e_{P}\right)$ for any spectral projection $e$ of $k$, we have $\left\|k\left(1-e_{P}\right)\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}} \geq\left\|k e_{P}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}$. By Pythagoras, this gives

$$
\tau\left((1-k)^{2}\right)+\tau\left(k^{2}\right) \leq\left\|k e_{P}-e_{P}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}^{2}+\left\|k\left(1-e_{P}\right)\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}^{2}=\left\|k-e_{P}\right\|_{2, \operatorname{Tr}}^{2}<1 / 2 .
$$

Thus $0>\tau\left(2(1-k)^{2}+2 k^{2}-1\right)=\tau\left(1-4 k+4 k^{2}\right)=\tau\left((1-2 k)^{2}\right)$. This final contradiction ends the proof of the theorem.
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